The motion was agreed to; and the Senate (at 5 o'clock and 15 minutes p. m.), in accordance with the order previously entered, took a recess until to-morrow, Tuesday, February 10, 1931, at 12 o'clock meridian.

### NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate February 9 (legislative day of January 26), 1931

JUDGE OF THE POLICE COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

John P. McMahon, of the District of Columbia, to be a judge of the police court of the District of Columbia. (He is now serving in this position under an appointment which expires March 2, 1931.)

### UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Charles A. Jonas, of North Carolina, to be United States Attorney, western district of North Carolina, to succeed Thomas J. Harkins, resigned.

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1931

The House met at 12 o'clock noon.

The Chaplain, Rev. James Shera Montgomery, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Infinite God, Thy love, which passeth knowledge, passeth utterance as well. It is not a matter of human logic, it is a mistry for tears. We thank Thee for Him who has unveiled our Father's heart and become the touchstone and the test of character. We praise Thee that because of Him man everywhere can be tranquil and satisfied, quenching his immortal thirst in that river of life that proceedeth out of the throne of God. With the coming of each sun comes Thy loving providence, and with each going day God remains. Our Heavenly Father, speak, speak to us to-day of the things that we shall never find in the world. Lead us to the very edge of Thy garment, and there let us enter the realm of understanding. Let there be no bitter note in our voice, nor twist in our vision, nor perversion in our judgment. Amen.

The Journal of the proceedings of Saturday, February 7, 1931, was read and approved.

### MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Craven, its principal clerk, announced that the Senate had passed, with amendments, in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H. R. 16297. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to provide for the construction of certain public buildings, and for other purposes," approved May 25, 1926 (44 Stat. 630), and acts amendatory thereof.

### NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL

Mr. FRENCH, from the Committee on Appropriations, reported the bill (H. R. 16969) making appropriations for the Navy Department and the naval service for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1932, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 2551), which was read the first and second times, and, with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union and ordered

Mr. AYRES reserved all points of order.

### HOBOKEN PIER PROPERTIES

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD by printing a concurrent resolution from the New York State Legislature.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from New York asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the Record by printing a concurrent resolution of the New York State Legislature. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The resolution is as follows:

STATE OF NEW YORK, IN SENATE Albany, February 2, 1931.

By Mr. Hofstadter: Concurrent resolution urging the Congress of the United States of America to authorize the United States Shipping Board to sell to the Port of New York Authority the properties in the port of New York district commonly known as the Hoboken pier properties

whereas shortly after the declaration of war against the Imperial German Government on April 6, 1917, the United States of America seized as enemy-owner properties certain docks, piers, warehouses, wharves, and terminal equipment and facilities located within the port of New York district as defined in the port compact between the States of New York and New Jersey, dated April 30, 1921, and belonging to the North German Lloyd Dock Co. and the Hamburg-American Line Terminal & Navigation Co., and has expropriated title thereto; and

Whereas the said properties have since been operated by various agencies of the United States Government and are now being

whereas the said properties have since been operated by various agencies of the United States Government and are now being operated by the United States Shipping Board; and
Whereas by Public Resolution No. 146, Seventy-first Congress, authorizing the United States Shipping Board to sell the said properties to citizens of the United States, the Congress of the United States has adopted a policy that the properties shall no longer be operated by the United States but shall not pass into the control of aliens; and

Whereas since the acquisition of the said properties as aforewhereas since the acquisition of the said properties as aforesaid by the United States of America, neither the United States of America nor any agency thereof in charge of the operation of said properties has paid any taxes thereon either to the State of New Jersey or to the city of Hoboken and the State and city have therefore suffered serious losses in revenues; and

Whereas the Port of New York Authority, a body corporate and politic, created by compact between the States of New York and New Jersey with the consent of Congress, is willing to acquire the said properties for the sum of \$4,282,000 and is willing to pay 30 per cent of the said purchase price in cash, and to pay the balance by its bond and mortgage running for a period of 15 years and bearing interest at a rate not lower than the lowest current yield on any interest-bearing obligation of the United States issued subsequent to April 6, 1917 (except postal-savings bonds and short-term Treasury notes), outstanding at the time bonds and short-term Treasury notes), outstanding at the time the sale is consummated; and

Whereas in the opinion of the Legislature of the State of New York, the operation of the said properties by the Port of New York Authority as a marine terminal will be in the best interest of the Port of New York district, the people of the States of New York and New Jersey, and the people of the United States of America, and

Whereas by the said port compact the States of New York and New Jersey have agreed to and pledged both each to the other faithful cooperation in the future planning and development of the Port of New York, holding in high trust for the benefit of the Nation special blessings and natural advantages thereof, and are in fact cooperating in the development of the Port of New York in various ways, now, therefore be it

Resolved (if the assembly concur):

Resolved (if the assembly concur):

1. That the Congress of the United States be and it hereby is respectfully urged to adopt a joint resolution and/or enact appropriate legislation at the earliest practicable date authorizing and directing the United States Shipping Board to sell to the Port of New York Authority, in accordance with the foregoing plan, all those certain properties situated in the city of Hoboken, State of New Jersey, commonly known as the Hoboken Pier Properties, consisting of docks, piers, warehouses, wharves, and terminal equipment and facilities including all leaseholds, easements, rights of way, riparian rights, and other rights, estates and interest therein or appurtenant thereto, which were acquired and interest therein or appurtenant thereto, which were acquired by the proclamation of the President of the United States, under the provisions of an act of Congress entitled "An act making appropriations to supply urgent deficiencies in appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1918, and prior fiscal years, on account of war expenses, and for other purposes," approved March 28, 1918, and acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto.

28, 1918, and acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto.

2. That in addition to the official notification of the passage of this resolution the secretary of state furnish certified copies of this resolution to each of the following officials of the United States: The President, the Vice President, the Secretary of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the two United States Senators from New Jersey, the several Representatives in Congress from this State, the chairman of the United States Shipping Board, the chairman of the Committee of the United States Senate, and the chairman of the Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries of the House of Representatives of t on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries of the House of Representatives.

By order of the senate.

A. MINER WELLMAN, Clerk.

In assembly, February 2, 1931. Concurred in without amendment; by order of the assembly.

FRED W. HAMMOND, Clerk.

(Indorsed:) Filed February 4, 1931. Edward J. Flynn, secretary

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Department of State, ss:

I have compared the preceding copy of concurrent resolution with the original resolution on file in this office, and do hereby certify that the same is a correct transcript therefrom and of

Given under my hand and the official seal of the department of state, at the city of Albany, this 4th day of February, 1931.

GRACE A. REAVY Deputy Secretary of State.

## THE UNITED STATES AND THE OTHER AMERICAN REPUBLICS

Mr. TEMPLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD by printing an address delivered by Hon. Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of State, before the Council on Foreign Relations, in New York City, February 6, 1931, which is a discussion of recent events in the relations between the United States and other American Republics.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Pennsylvania asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the RECORD by printing an address recently delivered by the Secretary of State. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The address is as follows:

During the past two years widespread economic depression and consequent unemployment have brought instability and unrest to many of the countries of the Western Hemisphere. Since March, 1929, there have been revolutions in no less than seven Latin American Republics, resulting in the forcible overthrow in six of them of the existing Governments. These changes, and the armed contests by which some of them have been accompanied, have presented to the State Department of this country a rapid succession of critical problems for decision. It was inevitable in such a situation that criticism of our decisions should be excited,

Therefore, this evening I shall place before you from the stand-point of the State Department a brief statement of the facts as well as of the underlying principles and reasons upon which some of these recent decisions have been based. In particular I shall discuss the principles by which we have been guided in the recognition of the new Governments which have arisen and also the principles which have underlain our action in the regulation of the sale and transportation of arms and munitions to the countries which have been involved in strife.

As a background for this discussion a brief review of the general policy of the United States toward the other Republics of this hemisphere during the past century is pertinent. That policy in its general conception has been a noble one. From the beginning we have made the preservation of individual independence of these nations correspond with our own interest. This was ning we have made the preservation of individual independence of these nations correspond with our own interest. This was announced in the Monroe doctrine and has been maintained ever since. That doctrine, far from being an assertion of suzerainty over our sister Republics, was an assertion of their individual rights as independent nations. It declared to the world that this independence was so vital to our own safety that we would be willing to fight for it against an aggressive Europe. The Monroe doctrine was a declaration of the United States versus Europe, not of the United States versus Latin America.

In taking this position in the Western Hemisphere our policy.

of the United States versus Latin America.

In taking this position in the Western Hemisphere our policy has coincided with the basic conception of international law, namely, the equal rights of each nation in the family of nations. The law justly regards this conception as the chief protection of weak nations against oppression. Our people led in the recognition of the independence of those countries with an instinctive readiness, which was based upon their sympathy with the doctrine upon which that independence rested. In the language of John Quincy Adams, Secretary of State at the time:

"The principles upon which the right of independence has been maintained by the South American patriots have been proved not only as identical with those upon which our own independence was asserted and achieved, but as involving the whole theory of government on the emphatically American foundation of the sovereignty of the people and the unalienable rights of men. To a cause reposing upon this basis the people of this country never could be indifferent, and their sympathies have accordingly been, with great unanimity and constancy, enlisted in its favor." (J. Q. with great unanimity and constancy, enlisted in its favor." (J. Q. Adams, May 27, 1823, American State Papers, Foreign Relations, vol. 888.)

I am not forgetful of the fact that the foreign policy of every nation is devoted primarily to its own interest. It also rises and falls with the character and wisdom of the individuals or groups who from time to time are in power. I do not close my eyes to the occasional dark spots which have been charged to that record, the occasional dark spots which have been charged to that record, particularly 75 or 80 years ago. But the actions which were the foundation for the most serious of these charges were directly attributable to the influence of slavery in this country, then at the height of its political power, and that influence has long since been wiped out in the blood of a great civil war. They have no more reflected the democratic idealism which has generally characterized our foreign policy at its best than the fugitive slave act has fairly reflected our domestic social policy.

In spite of these and all other aberrations, it is a very conserv ative statement to say that the general foreign policy of the United States during the past century toward the Republics of Latin America has been characterized by a regard for their rights as independent nations which, when compared with current inter-national morality in other hemispheres, has been as unusual as

it has been praiseworthy.

thas been praiseworthy.

People are sometimes prone to forget our long and honorable fulfillment of this policy toward our younger sister nations. It was our action which obtained the withdrawal of French imperialism from Mexico. It was our influence which provided for the return from Great Britain of the Bay Islands to Honduras, and the Mosquito Coast, including Greytown, to Nicaragua. It was our pressure which secured the arbitration of the boundary dispute between Great Britain and Venezuela and which later secured by arbitration the solution of serious disputes between Venezuela, Germany, and Italy. Between the Republics themselves, our influence has constantly been exerted for a friendly solution of controversies which might otherwise mar their independent and peaceful intercourse. To speak only of recent matters, I may refer to the long-standing Tacna-Arica dispute between Chile and Peru, and the open clash between Bolivia and Paraguay. During the past seven years our good offices have resulted in the settlement of eight boundary disputes between 11 countries of this hemisphere.

In our successive Pan American conferences, as well as in the

Il countries of this hemisphere.

In our successive Pan American conferences, as well as in the Pan American Union, the fundamental rule of equality, which is the mainstay of independence, has been unbroken. Action is taken only by unanimous consent. No majority of states can conclude a minority, even of the smallest and weakest. This is in sharp contrast to the practice which prevailed in the former concert of Europe, where only the great powers were admitted on a basis of equality. It was also at variance with the original organization of the covenant of the League of Nations, where it was proposed that a majority of the seats in the council should be permanently occupied by the great powers.

While such recognition of their equal rights and national independence has always been the basic foundation upon which our policy toward these Republics has rested, there is another side of the picture which must be borne in mind. This basic principle of equality in international law is an ideal resting upon postulates which are not always and consistently accurate. For independence imposes duties as well as rights. It presupposes ability in the independent nation to fulfill the obligations toward other nations and their nationals which are prescribed and expected to exist in the family of nations. The hundred years which have

nations and their nationals which are prescribed and expected to exist in the family of nations. The hundred years which have ensued since the announcement of our policy toward these Republics have contained recurring evidence of how slow is the progress of mankind along that difficult highway which leads to national maturity and how difficult is the art of popular self-government. Years and decades of alternations between arbitrary power at one time and outbreaks of violence at another have pointed out again and again how different a matter it is in human affairs to have the vision and to achieve the reality.

Furthermore, the difficulties which have beset the foreign policy of the United States in carrying out these principles can not be understood without the comprehension of a geographical fact. The very locality where the progress of these Republics has been most slow; where the difficulties of race and climate have been greatest; where the recurrence of domestic violence has most frequently resulted in the failure of duty on the part of the Republics themselves and the violation of the rights of life and property accorded by international law to foreigners within their territory, has been in Central America, the narrow Isthmus which joins the two Americas, and among the islands which intersperse the Caribbean Sea adjacent to that Isthmus. That locality has been the one spot external to our shores which nature has decreed the Caribbean Sea adjacent to that Isthmus. That locality has been the one spot external to our shores which nature has decreed to be most vital to our national safety, not to mention our prosperity. It commands the line of the great trade route which joins our eastern and western coasts. Even before human hands had pierced the Isthmus with a seagoing canal, that route was vital to our national interest. Since the Panama Canal has become an accomplished fact, it has been not only the vital artery of our coastwise commerce but, as well, the link in our national defense, which protects the defensive power of our fleet. One can not fairly appraise American policy toward Latin America or fully appreciate the standard which it has maintained without taking into consideration all of the elements of which it is the resultant. resultant.

Like the rocks which mark the surface of a steady river current, all of the facts and circumstances which I have outlined have produced ripples in the current of our steady policy toward the Latin American Republics. Some of them have resulted in temporary intrusions into the domestic affairs of some of those countries, which our hostile critics have not hesitated to characterize as the manifestation of a selfish American imperialism. I am clear that a calm historical perspective will refute that criticism and will demonstrate that the international practice of this Government in the Western Hemisphere has been asserted with a much readier recognition of the legal rights of all the countries with which we have been in contact than has been the prevalent practice in any other part of the world. The discussion of the particular topics which I am bringing before you this evening will, I hope, help to develop the character, trend, and uniformity of our country's policy.

### RECOGNITION

The recognition of a new state has been described as the assurance given to it that it will be permitted to hold its place and

rank in the character of an independent political organism in the society of nations. The recognition of a new government within a state arises in practice only when a government has been changed or established by revolution or by a coup d'état. No question of recognition normally arises, for example, when a king dies and his heir succeeds to the throne, or where, as the result question of recognition normally arises, for example, when a king dies and his heir succeeds to the throne, or where, as the result of an election in a republic, a new chief executive constitutionally assumes office. The practice of this country as to the recognition of new governments has been substantially uniform from the days of the administration of Secretary of State Jefferson in 1792 to the days of Secretary of State Bryan in 1913. There were certain slight departures from this policy during the Civil War, but they were manifestly due to the exigencies of warfare and were abandoned immediately afterwards. This general policy, as thus observed, was to base the act of recognition, not upon the question of the constitutional legitimacy of the new government but upon its de facto capacity to fulfill its obligations as a member of the family of nations. This country recognized the right of other nations to regulate their own internal affairs of government and disclaimed any attempt to base its recognition upon the correctness of their constitutional action.

Said Mr. Jefferson in 1792:

"We certainly can not deny to other nations that principle whereon our own Government is founded, that every nation has a right to govern itself internally under what forms it pleases, and to change these forms at its own will; and externally to transact business with other nations through whatever organ it chooses, whether that be a king, convention, assembly, committee, president, or whatever it be." (Jefferson to Pinckney, Works, Vol. III, p. 500.)

In these essentials our practice corresponded with the practice of the other nations of the world.

In these essentials our practice corresponded with the practice of the other nations of the world.

The particular considerations upon which our action was regularly based were well stated by Mr. Adee, long the trusted Assistant Secretary of State of this Government, as follows:

"Ever since the American Revolution entrance upon diplomatic intercourse with foreign states has been de facto, dependent upon the existence of three conditions of fact: The control of the administrative machinery of the state; the general acquiescence of its people; and the ability and willingness of their Government to its people; and the ability and willingness of their Government to discharge international and conventional obligations. The form of government has not been a conditional factor in such recognition; in other words, the de jure element of legitimacy of title has been left aside." (Foreign Relations of the United States, 1913, p. 100.) With the advent of President Wilson's administration this policy of over a century was radically departed from in respect to the Republic of Mexico, and, by a public declaration on March 11, 1913, it was appropried that:

1913, it was announced that:

Republic of Mexico, and, by a public declaration on March 11, 1913, it was announced that:

"Cooperation (with our sister Republics of Central and South America) is possible only when supported at every turn by the orderly processes of just government based upon law, not upon arbitrary or irregular force. We hold, as I am sure that all thoughtful leaders of republican government everywhere hold, that just government rests always upon the consent of the governed, and that there can be no freedom without order based upon law and upon the public conscience and approval. We shall look to make these principles the basis of mutual intercourse, respect, and helpfulness between our sister Republics and ourselves." (Foreign Relations of the United States, 1913, p. 7.)

Mr. Wilson's government sought to put this new policy into effect in respect to the recognition of the then Government of Mexico held by President Victoriano Huerta. Although Huerta's government was in de facto possession, Mr. Wilson refused to recognize it, and he sought through the influence and pressure of his great office to force it from power. Armed conflict followed with the forces of Mexico, and disturbed relations between us and that Republic lasted until a comparatively few years ago.

In his sympathy for the development of free constitutional institutions among the people of our Latin American neighbors, Mr. Wilson did not differ from the feelings of the great mass of his countrymen in the United States, including Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Adams, whose statements I have quoted; but he differed from the

countrymen in the United States, including Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Adams, whose statements I have quoted; but he differed from the practice of his predecessors in seeking actively to propagate these institutions in a foreign country by the direct influence of this Government and to do this against the desire of the authorities

and people of Mexico.

The present administration has refused to follow the policy of Mr. Wilson and has followed consistently the former practice of this Government since the days of Jefferson. As soon as it was reported to us, through our diplomatic representatives, that the new governments in Bolivia, Peru, Argentina, Brazil, and Panama new governments in Bolivia, Pert, Argentina, Brazil, and Panama were in control of the administrative machinery of the state, with the apparent general acquiescence of their people, and that they were willing and apparently able to discharge their international and conventional obligations, they were recognized by our Government. And in view of the economic depression, with the consequent need for prompt measures of financial stabilization, we did this with as little delay as possible in order to give those sorely pressed countries the quickest possible opportunities for recovering their economic poise.

their economic poise.

Such has been our policy in all cases where international practice was not affected or controlled by preexisting treaty. In the five Republics of Central America—Guatemala, Honduras, Salvador, Nicaragua, and Costa Rica—however, we have found an entirely different situation existing from that normally presented under international law and practice. As I have already pointed out, those countries geographically have for a century been the focus of the greatest difficulties and the most frequent disturb-

ances in their earnest course toward competent maturity in the discharge of their international obligations. Until some two decades ago, war within and without was their almost yearly portion. No administration of their government was long safe from revolutionary attack instigated either by factions of its own citizens or by the machinations of another one of the five Republics. Free elections, the corner stone upon which our own democracy rests, had been practically unknown during the entire period. In 1907 a period of strife involving four of the five Republics had lasted almost without interruption for several years. In that year, on the joint suggestion and mediation of the Governments of the United States and Mexico, the five Republics met for the purpose of considering methods intended to mitigate and, if possible, terminate the intolerable situation. By one of the conventions which they then adopted, the five Republics agreed with one another as follows:

they then adopted, the five Republics agreed with one another as follows:

"The governments of the high contracting parties shall not recognize any other government which may come into power in any of the five Republics as a consequence of a coup d'état, or of a revolution against the recognized government, so long as the freely elected representatives of the people thereof have not constitutionally reorganized the country."

Sixteen years later, in 1923, the same five Republics, evidently satisfied with the principle they had thus adopted and desiring to reinforce it and prevent any future evasions of that principle, met again, reenacted the same covenant, and further promised each other that even after a revolutionary government had been constitutionally reorganized by the representatives of the people they would not recognize it if its President should have been a leader in the preceding revolution or related to such a leader by blood or marriage, or if he should have been a cabinet officer or held some high military command during the accomplishment of the revolution. Some four months thereafter our own Government, on the invitation of these Republics, who had conducted ment, on the invitation of these Republics, who had conducted their meeting in Washington, announced, through Secretary Hughes, that the United States would in its future dealings with

their meeting in Washington, announced, through Secretary Hughes, that the United States would in its future dealings with those Republics follow out the same principle which they had thus established in their treaty. Since that time we have consistently adhered to this policy in respect to those five Republics.

We followed that policy in Guatemala in the case of a recent revolution in which some 57 people were killed. General Orellano, the leader of the revolt, set himself up as the provisional President of that Republic on December 16, 1930. On December 22, 1930, we notified him that in accordance with the policy established by the 1923 treaty he would not be recognized by us. No recognition was granted him by any of the other four Republics. Following this he tendered his resignation and retired from office; and on January 2, 1931, through the constitutional forms provided in the Guatemalan constitution, Señor Reina Andrade was chosen provisional President by the Guatemalan Congress and immediately called a new election for a permanent President. Thereupon this country and the other four Republics recognized the government of Señor Reina Andrade.

Since the adoption by Secretary Hughes in 1923 of the policy of recognition agreed upon by the five Republics in their convention, not one single revolutionary government has been able to maintain itself in those five Republics. Twice, once in Nicaragua and once in the case of Guatemala, just described, a revolutionary leader has succeeded in grasping the reins of government for a brief period. But in each case the failure to obtain recognition has resulted in his prompt resignation on account of his inability to borrow money in the international markets. Several times within the same period a contemplated revolution has been abandoned by its conspirators on the simple reminder by a minister

to borrow money in the international markets. Several times within the same period a contemplated revolution has been abandoned by its conspirators on the simple reminder by a minister from this country or one of the other Republics that, even if they were successful, their government would not be recognized; and undoubtedly in many more cases has the knowledge of the existence of the policy prevented even the preparation for a revolution or coup d'état. In every one of these cases the other four Republics have made common cause in the efforts of the United States to carry out their policy and maintain stability. When one compares carry out their policy and maintain stability. When one compares this record with the bloodstained history of Central America before the adoption of the treaty of 1923, I think that no impartial student can avoid the conclusion that the treaty and the policy which it has established in that locality has been productive of very great good.

which it has established in that locality has been productive of very great good.

Of course it is a departure from the regular international practice of our Government, and it undoubtedly contains possible difficulties and dangers of application which we in the State Department are the last to minimize and in case of which, should they arise, this Government must reserve its freedom of action. But the distinction between this departure, which was suggested by the five Republics themselves and in which we have acted at their earnest desire and in cooperation with them, and the departure taken by President Wilson in an attempt to force upon Mexico a policy which she resented must be apparent to the most thoughtless student. A few weeks ago Judge John Bassett Moore, who as counselor of the State Department was a member of Mr. Wilson's administration, criticized Mr. Wilson's departure from the former practice of this country, and he included within his criticism the departure initiated by the treaty of 1923. He did not, however, point out the foregoing radical difference of principle between the two policies, nor the entirely different results which have followed each, and which thus far seem quite to justify the policy of 1923. Furthermore, it may be noted that one of the dangers which might be apprehended from this policy of recognition adopted by the five Central American Republics under the treaty of 1923 has not materialized. One of the most serious evils in Central

America has been the fact that throughout the history of those Republics, until recently, it has been the habitual practice of the President who held the machinery of government to influence and control the election of his successor. This has tended to stimulate revolution as the only means by which a change of government could be accomplished. The danger was therefore manifest that this treaty of 1923 might result in perpetuating the autocratic power of the Governments which were for the time in possession. As a matter of fact this has not happened. On the contrary, significant improvement has taken place in election practice. The Government of Nicaragua, of its own motion, has sought and obtained the assistance of the United States in securing free and uncontrolled elections in 1928 and 1930. The Government of Honduras, in 1928, without any such assistance, conducted an election which was so free that the party in power was dispossessed by the opposition party; and a similar free election has apparently occurred in 1930. For nearly 100 years before 1923, free elections have been so rare in Central America as to be almost unique. Of course, it is too early to make safe generalizations, but it would seem that the stability created by the treaty of 1923 apparently has not tended to perpetuate existing autocracies, but, on the contrary, to stimulate a greater sense of responsibility in elections.

TRAFFIC IN ARMS

#### TRAFFIC IN ARMS

I will now pass to the subject of the policy of this Government in respect to the export of arms and munitions to countries which are engaged in civil strife. Twice during the present administration we have had to make important decisions and take important action in respect to this subject. The first of these occasions was in March, 1929, when a military insurrection broke out in the Republic of Mexico.

This insurrection was of serious nature and extent. It involved disturbances in many of the Mexican Provinces and much volved disturbances in many of the Mexican Provinces and much fighting and bloodshed. Acting under a joint resolution of our Congress, adopted in 1922, this Government maintained an embargo upon the exportation of all arms and munitions which might reach the rebels. At the same time it permitted the sale and itself sold arms and ammunition to the established Government of Mexico, with which we were then and had been for a number of years in diplomatic relations. In about three months the insurrection was suppressed, and I think it can be fairly said that it is due in no slight degree to our action in this matter that the feelings of hostility on the part of Mexico to the United States which had existed ever since the intervention of President Wilson against Huerta in 1913 were finally ended and the relations of the two countries became friendly and cordial.

The second occasion was in October, 1930, when armed insur-

The second occasion was in October, 1930, when armed insur-rection had broken out against the Government of Brazil. In the same way in which we had acted toward Mexico, we permitted the same way in which we had acted toward Mexico, we permitted that Government to purchase arms both from our Government and from our nationals in this country; and, when the ambassador of Brazil brought to our attention the fact that arms were being purchased in this country for export to the rebel forces fighting against the recognized Government, we placed an embargo against the exportation of such arms. Two days later the Government of Brazil suddenly fell, the immediate cause being the revolt of its own garrison in Rio de Janeiro.

In placing the embargo upon the exportation of arms to the Brazilian rebel forces our Government acted under the same joint resolution of our Congress of 1922 and with the same purpose

Brazilian reset forces our Government acted under the same joint resolution of our Congress of 1922 and with the same purpose and upon the same policy as had guided our action in the case of Mexico and in other cases where action has been taken under that resolution. That purpose was "to prevent arms and munitions procured from the United States being used to promote conditions of domestic violence" in countries whose governments we had recognized and with which we were in triendly intercourse. that recognized and with which we were in friendly intercourse. This was the purpose and policy as stated by our Congress in the language of the resolution itself.

In the case of Brazil there also was in effect a treaty between

In the case of Brazil there also was in effect a treaty between the United States and Brazil which made it compulsory for us to act as we did in placing this embargo. With Mexico that treaty had not yet gone into effect. This treaty was the convention executed at Habana on February 20, 1928, between the United States and the 20 Latin American Republics, providing for the rights and duties of states in the event of civil strife. Between its signatories it rendered compulsory the policy of protecting our Latin American sister Republics against the traffic in arms and war material carried on by our nationals, which previously the joint resoterial carried on by our nationals, which previously the joint resolution of 1922 had left within the discretion of the Executive. The language of the treaty of 1928 is as follows:

"ARTICLE 1. The contracting states bind themselves to observe the following rules with regard to civil strife in another one of

them: \* \* \*

"3. To forbid the traffic in arms and war material, except when intended for the government, while the belligerency of the rebels intended for the government, while the belligerency of the rebels intended in which latter case the rules of neutral-

ity shall be applied."
Our action in regard to Brazil has been criticized by gentlemen who have confused the legal situation which existed in Brazil with

who have confused the legal situation which existed in Brazil with an entirely different situation. We have been criticized for "taking sides in that civil strife," as if we had been under the duty to maintain neutrality between the Brazilian Government and the rebels who were seeking to overthrow it.

Under the law of nations the duty of neutrality does not arise until the insurgents have assumed the status of a belligerent power between whom and the mother country other Governments must maintain impartiality. This occurs when a condition of belligerency is recognized either by the parent state itself or by

the Governments of other nations. Such a situation arose in our Civil War, when the Confederate States, having occupied exclusively a portion of the territory of the United States and having set up their own capital at Richmond, were recognized as belligerents by the nations of Europe. It has not arisen in any of the recent revolutions of Latin America, whether successful or unsuccessful

cessful.

The revolutionists in Brazil had not been recognized as belligerents either by the Brazilian Government, by the United States, or by any other nation. Until that happens, under the law and practice of nations, no duty of impartiality arises either on the part of our Government or our citizens. Until that time there is only one side toward which, under international law, other nations owe any duty. This is so well established as to be elementary. It was recognized in the clause of the treaty of 1928 which I have just quoted. It is recognized in the standard legal treatises, including that of Mr. John Bassett Moore, who cites, among other precedents, an opinion of one of our Attorneys General and says that—

cluding that of Mr. John Bassett Moore, who cites, among other precedents, an opinion of one of our Attorneys General and says that—

"It [the United States neutrality act of 1818] would extend to the fitting out and arming of vessels for a revolted colony, whose belligerency had not been recognized, but it should not be applied to the fitting out, etc., of vessels for the perent State for use against a revolted colony whose independence has not in any manner been recognized by our Government." (Hoar, Attorney General, 1869, 13 Op. 177. Cited in Judge Moore's International Law Digest, Vol. VII, p. 1079.)

Until belligerency is recognized and the duty of neutrality arises, all the humane predispositions toward stability of government, the preservation of international amity, and the protection of established intercourse between nations are in favor of the existing government. This is particularly the case in countries where civil strife has been as frequent, as personal, and as disastrous as it has been in some sections of Central and South America during the past century. The law of nations is not static. It grows and develops with the experience of mankind, and its development follows the line of human predispositions and experiences such as those to which I have referred.

The domestic legislation of the United States prescribing the duties of its citizens toward nations suffering from civil strife is following the line of these predispositions and is blazing the way for the subsequent growth of the law of nations. I am not one who regards this development of American domestic legislation, exemplified by the joint resolution of 1922, as a departure from the principles of international law or as a reactionary or backward step. The reverse is true. Although I have had little occasion to deal with the subject of international law from an academic viewpoint, it has happened that at different times during my life I have occupied public offices where I came in official contact with international conditions before t

States. Our laws were then insufficient to control the shipment of arms from this country, even when the purpose of stirring up strife, sedition, and revolutions in the Republics to the south of us was manifest. I can remember the time when a single concern in the State of New York used to make it known that concern in the State of New York used to make it known that they were fully prepared to outfit, on short notice, for war service, expeditions of any size up to several thousand men. I personally witnessed the activities by which some of our munitions manufacturers for sordid gain became a veritable curse to the stability of our neighboring Republics. Later, as Secretary of War, I became a witness to the fact that our own citizens were sometimes the innocent victims of domestic strife in adjacent countries stirred up by this disgraceful traffic.

When an insurrection broke out in Mexico the first effort of the rebels was usually to try to seize a customhouse on one of the important railroad crossings between our two countries, in order that they might freely receive arms and ammunition from this country. And I myself have seen the bullet marks on the houses in El Paso, Tex., caused by a conflict of this kind in Juarez, across the river, in which over a score of innocent citizens of El Paso, going about their accustomed duties on American soil, were killed

going about their accustomed duties on American son, were kined or injured.

With these personal experiences in mind, I had little difficulty in reaching the conclusion that those who argued for the liberty of our munitions manufacturers to continue for profit a traffic which was staining with blood the soil of the Central American Republics were not the progressives in international law or practice. I am glad that I had a share in the drafting of the joint resolution of 1912, and I have studied closely the progress of its remedial effect upon the conditions which it was designed to cure. resolution of 1912, and I have studied closely the progress of its remedial effect upon the conditions which it was designed to cure. I am glad to find that that effect has been beneficial. By our own Government it has been found so beneficent that in 1922 its scope was extended from civil strife in America to civil strife in certain other portions of the world. By 1928 its beneficent influence was so generally recognized that at the great Pan American conference which was held in Habana in that year, all of the nations of this hemisphere embodied in the treaty of 1928 as a definite and compulsory legal obligation the same policy which we had been able in 1912 to initiate as a discretionary power of the American President. I believe that this marks the line which the law of nations will eventually follow throughout the world. When it does so, I believe that international law and practice will have achieved another step forward toward the ultimate peace of mankind. It is my hope that the decisions of the State Department during the past two years will be found to have assisted in this beneficent progress.

SMALL LOANS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Mr. BOWMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record on the bill H. R. 15982, known as the small loan bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from West Virginia asks unanimous consent to extend his own remarks in the RECORD. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. BOWMAN. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the Record I desire to include the following statement bearing upon H. R. 15982, of which I am joint author with Senator Capper, of Kansas. H. R. 15982 undertakes to provide legislative remedy in the District of Columbia for the evil of extortionate money lending by affording regulated and supervised facilities under sanction of law to meet the small-loan needs of citizens of Washington.

It seems to me that Congress owes the people of the city of Washington a special obligation of sympathetic consideration of proposals advanced to provide here at the Nation's Capital the legal safeguards and the business facilities which the several States have enacted in behalf of their

citizens.

The District of Columbia Committee has had this and similar measures before it throughout the entire session. A subcommittee has conferred exhaustively with social and civic bodies, including the Russell Sage Foundation, which has sponsored this law since 1913. The corporation counsel and several grand juries have repeatedly called attention to the need for such a law. Before submitting the bill with its indorsement, the District Commissioners made an exhaustive study of the situation in the District, with the aid of an economist. The committee has profited by the experience of the 25 States that have already passed the uniform small loan law, and has had access to reports of research in this field by leading specialists. The measure submitted has many improvements over the laws of the various States. It includes every safeguard yet developed for the protection of the borrower, and presents a new and scientific rate plan, based upon statistical data. The committee believes that the proposed bill represents the sum total of experience and practice in many States over a period of more than 15 years of practical experiment.

## PROVISIONS OF THE ACT

The law sets up rigid restrictions governing the issuing of licenses, supervision of operations, and penalties for violations.

Qualifications of licensees: The applicant for a license must file a bond of \$5,000; must have cash capital of at least \$25,000 available for use in the business; and upon investigation, the superintendent of insurance must find (1) that the financial responsibility, experience, character, and general fitness of the applicant are such as to command the confidence of the community and to warrant the belief that the business will be operated honestly and fairly, and (2) that permitting such applicant to engage in the business will promote the convenience and advantage of the community. These regulations should confine the licensees to reputable and capable organizations, and prevent the operation in the District of more offices than the legitimate demand for personal credit justifies. Licenses may be revoked upon violation of any of the provisions of the act, or of the regulations prescribed by the commissioners, or when any fact or condition exists which would originally have warranted refusing the application for a license. insures the maximum protection to the public and gives great flexibility.

The superintendent of insurance is required to investigate each licensed office at least once a year, examining all records, and enforcing all provisions of the act, including those against overcharges, misleading or deceptive advertising, lending more than \$300 to any one person, failing to deliver to the borrower a copy of sections of the law governing in-

terest charges or complete receipts for each payment on the loan.

A graduated-rate plan, described below in detail, fixes a maximum-interest rate to be collected only on the unpaid balance for the actual number of days the borrower has the money. The charging of any fees, fines, or other extras, the discounting of interest in advance, the compounding of interest, and all other subterfuges are carefully prohibited.

Penalties for violation of the act include the revocation of license, prohibition against collection of either principal or interest on any loan contract made in violation of the law, and upon conviction a fine of not more than \$300 or imprisonment for not more than six months, or both.

### NATIONAL PROBLEM

While the pending measure regulating loans of \$300 or less in the District of Columbia is presented here as a matter of local legislative interest, I may add that the situation it seeks to remedy is one which is national in character. This is clearly indicated in the reference above to the fact that 25 States have adopted the uniform small loan law. I feel, therefore, that I may commend the careful study of this legislation to the Congress as a subject having legislative interest far exceeding the limited application now under consideration.

I mention this in order that my colleagues of the House may realize that while H. R. 15982 is wholly local in its operation, more than half the States of the Union have already taken steps to deal with the problem it undertakes to solve, and in my judgment the remaining States will similarly have to deal at an appropriate time and in an appropriate manner with the same problem. I am reliably informed that conditions in the District of Columbia, which have caused those charged with its official affairs to recommend passage of this legislation has its exact parallel in a wide area throughout the country.

In order that we may gain a true perspective of this proposed legislation permit me to call your attention to a change in our business habits and our business structure within the past 10 years, which has greatly modified some of our views toward borrowing money. The United States has achieved industrial development far exceeding that of other countries through the processes of mass production. This would have been impossible had not our business structure been readjusted to provide a vast amount of credit to manufacturing and industrial enterprise.

Mass production has advanced, however, only because we have been able also to stimulate mass consumption. I can not here enter upon the details of this great business development in America. But I believe it will be clear to the gentlemen of the House that mass production is inconceivable without a corresponding mass consumption.

# CONSUMER CREDIT

Within the last decade similarly it has been necessary to provide a vast reservoir of credit to support the consumption of goods. The installment business came into being, and, in so far as its practical business soundness is in question, the experience of our merchants with this form of credit during the trying period through which we are passing has removed many doubts which existed regarding it only a few years ago.

The need for small-loan credit has always existed, and it is always likely to exist. In addressing the American Association of Personal Finance Companies here in Washington last fall, A. F. Whitney, president of the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, said:

The range of human wants is insatiable. The heights of American ambition is unmeasurable. However we may regard it as a matter of economy, it is, nevertheless, the human fact that, whatever the wage scale, there will always be borrowers.

When we add to this fundamental fact the tremendous expansion of our national credit system during the past few years, both as it affects production and as it sustains consumption, we can conceive even greater needs for smallloan credit than were developed through the emergent and extraordinary personal requirements of our people before this expansion of our credit structure occurred.

SMALL LOANS STABILIZING FACTOR

The Members of this House are familiar with the sound business judgment of Dr. Julius Klein, Assistant Secretary of Commerce. Under date of September 16, 1930, Doctor Klein, in a public statement dealing with this phase of credit, had this to say:

It seems established that more than 80 per cent of our population has occasion to employ with regularity some form of smallloan credit. If we are to sustain the processes of mass con-sumption of merchandise, it is likely that these consumer-credit facilities will continue to serve usefully in maintaining economic balance between domestic production and consumption. It is an intriguing field for business research and one from which is likely to emerge eventually some valuable business indicators

Here in America we are now making great economic and social readjustments. I have profound faith that eventually they will lead us forward notwithstanding that at the moment there is much confusion of purposes and of objectives. As this situation affects the American family it is of fundamental importance. Anything we can do here to provide legislative safeguards and facilities to promote wholesome economic developments, it is our duty to do generously. The report of the Committee on the District of Columbia on H. R. 15982, which it was my privilege to present to the House, contains this passage descriptive of local conditions in this particular:

Borrowing has always been necessary, and, in the very nature of things, can not be prohibited. When a family is dependent upon its own efforts for its necessities, and its income is irregular, sup-

its own efforts for its necessities, and its income is irregular, supplementary funds are needed to refinance indebtedness, and often to obtain food, shelter, and clothing to sustain life. When unable to borrow, not only the family suffers but merchants, landlords, and other creditors find their assets tied up in frozen credits. The paying power of the consumer, so necessary in an age of mass production and mass distribution, can not be sustained without financial agencies to meet the pressing obligations of families.

The underlying cause of most family indebtedness is not improvidence, as might be surmised. More than 65,000 families in the District have an annual income of less than \$2,000. The cost of living is such that these families can not save any substantial amount against the inevitable emergencies of sickness, unemployment, or other sudden demand. At least 30 per cent of Washington's families are affected during each year by serious interruptions of income or by demands for excess expenditures. They are then overwhelmed with debt through no fault of their own. then overwhelmed with debt through no fault of their own.

Charitable funds are not sufficient to give adequate relief even to the 3 per cent of families which these relief organizations now serve

The vicious evils which this legislation seeks to remedy are elusive. We are all familiar with the difficulties encountered by most enforcement agencies in dealing with "bootleg" enterprise. Illegal money lending is a "bootleg" enterprise of vast proportions extending in its ramifications throughout the country. Evans Clark, director of the Twentieth Century Fund, in his book on Financing the Consumer, estimates that the aggregate amount loaned by unlicensed, and for the most part illegal, lending agencies totals the amazing sum of \$750,000,000 annually. In dealing with this situation we encounter not only the practiced and skillful evasion of the "loan shark" but we also generally experience an unwillingness on the part of his victim to come forward with evidence of the offense.

It is necessary, of course, to establish a reasonable need for this legislation in the District of Columbia. Congress owes to the people of the District a special responsibility. I refer to their lack of direct representation in this body, which, it seems to me, imposes upon us the obligation to examine in a sympathetic manner any proposal advanced for the purpose of providing a service similar to that afforded citizens of the several States.

LOAN SHARKS THRIVE IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The people of the District of Columbia and we of the Congress are indebted to the Washington Post for a searching investigation of the present plight of the individual citizen of Washington in need of emergency funds and lacking credit status of the kind acceptable to commercial banks. In a series of articles which appeared in the Post only a few months ago, the operations and methods of loan sharks and

other bootleg lenders in the District of Columbia were set forth in a way which can not fail to make clear the need for remedial small-loan legislation in Washington. I insert at this point three articles from the Washington Post which present an illuminating picture of local conditions in this particular. Under date of September 16, 1930, the following article appeared:

LOAN SHARKS' SLY METHODS THWART TRIAL-WAY FORM IS FILLED OUT BALKS PROSECUTION ON USURY CHARGE—NOTE MADE PAYABLE TO ANOTHER PARTY—MONEY LENDER INSISTS HE IS ONLY BROKER AND PUTS OUT NO CASH-PAPER, DISCOUNTED, IS RETURNED LATER-Extra Cost Is Commission and Not Interest, He Tells Borrower

## By Austin T. Rogers

Washington's loan sharks would wax highly indignant if one were to refer to them personally as loan sharks or even as money lenders.

They are, they insist, merely brokers, acting in an intermediary capacity to bring penurious wage earners and the moneyed in-terests together for their mutual benefit.

For performing this service the self-styled broker will explain he is entitled to a commission, and it is this commission which makes it necessary for the borrower to pay a fee amounting to more than 900 per cent annually for the use of any sum over a period of 20 weeks.

period of 20 weeks.

The borrower, as has been explained in previous articles in this series, gets \$100 in cash from the lender, in return for which he signs a note for \$140, payable in approximately 20 installments of \$7 each. Twenty weeks is the customary period allowed for payment, although some companies may occasionally extend the period to 28 weeks.

Until it is filled out the note form used by these loan sharks is just a blank form, such as may be hought in pads at any stationery.

just a blank form, such as may be bought in pads at any stationery store. It has no cryptic verblage to confuse the signor and to all entents and purposes is entirely legal.

#### ASPECT IS CHANGED

But when that form is filled out and becomes a negotiable security it assumes an entirely different aspect; it becomes something else again, and when the borrower stops to consider the transformation that has taken place the situation is far from pleasant to contemplate.

Assume that the borrower has gone to the Hijack Loan Agenc7 to borrow \$200. He has signed his application and produced satisfactory indorsers for his note and has reached the final stage of the transaction—the signing of the note and delivery of the cash. The note is placed before him for his signature and those of his

indorsers.

"On such-and-such a date," he reads, "I promise to pay to Mazie Magimp or order the sum of \$280."

Now, who in the world is Mazie Magimp? He has never heard of her, so he asks the loan shark for information.

"Why Mazie Magimp is the lady that's lending you the money," the shark replies in pained surprise. "You know we don't lend any money ourselves; we're just brokers and arrange with somebody that's got the money to lend it to you."

It might surprise the borrower exceedingly to learn that Mazie Magimp is none other than the blond secretary who attends to the

Magimp is none other than the blond secretary who attends to the loan shark's affairs while he is out of the office collecting delinquent accounts.

# SALARY ABOUT \$20 A WEEK

Mazie's salary probably is about \$20 a week, and if she ever had all the cash represented by the outstanding notes for which she is payee and which she is supposed to have loaned out, she wouldn't be spending these torrid summer days in a grimy second-floor loan office in Washington; she would be elsewhere enjoying herself.

In most instances, the payee to whom the note is made out,

then, is an employee of the loan shark. On the other hand, it may be a silent partner of the loan shark. In one instance the proprietor of a cigar store is the payee named on all notes handled by a Washington loan shark, while another large operator has all notes made payable to a tailor whose shop is around the corner

The reason for this is obvious. It protects the loan shark against any possibility of being accused of collecting illegal interest. He will insist that he gets no interest at all; that he gets a fee from the payee for investigating the borrower, and that he gets another commission for introducing the borrower to the lender.

Haled into court, the payee named in the note will explain volubly that he has not the faintest inkling of what relations may exist between the borrower and the broker. All he knows is that he loaned a certain sum of money to a person vouched for by the loan broker, in return for which he accepted a note with interest payable at the legal rate of 6 per cent.

### OUT OF IT, SHARK ASSERTS

He will point out that he did not even demand the contract rate of 8 per cent, which he might have collected legally. Having loaned the money, he explains, he found himself later a little strapped for cash, so he asked the loan broker to discount his note, and turned it over to him. Therefore the payee insists he is out of the transaction entirely.

The loan shark will substantiate these claims. He will point out that all he did was arrange for the lender to advance the

money to the borrower; that he made all the arrangements, even to investigating the responsibility of the borrower, in order to protect the lender, and that in return he was paid an investigation

fee and a brokerage commission.

Later, he will add, the lender asked him to discount the note because he needed cash to lift a shipment of goods, or to pay off the mortgage on the home, or, if it happens to be his stenographer,

for something else.

In any event the loan shark insists—and he can not be contradicted except by accident—he did not lend anyone any money, and therefore it is most obvious that he can not be accused of collecting illegal rates of interest, or any other rates.

And it is one thing to be morally certain that a \$20 stenographer never had more than eating money to her name and therefore could not possibly lend such sums as the notes in her name indicate, but it is something else, again, to prove it or to prove that the money represented by the notes had been advanced to her by the loan shark, who was the actual lender.

Under date of September 17, 1930, the Washington Post printed the following additional article:

LOAN SHARKS DEFAME CLIENT IF PAY IS SLOW-BORROWER WHO FAILS TO MEET NOTES ON TIME IN HOT WATER-DISCHARGE FROM JOB IS SOUGHT BY LENDER—GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES IN LINE FOR BLACKMAIL OVER DELAY—LOAN NEWS SPREAD TO FELLOW WORK-OTHER METHODS EMPLOYED, AS CASES SOON TO BE TRIED

### By Austin T. Rogers

What happens when a borrower, having placed himself in the clutches of a loan shark, falls down on his payments?

The answer can be expressed in one potent word: Plenty

Recently an employee in a Government department found it necessary to borrow \$100 from an F Street loan shark. In return for the money he gave a note, acceptably indorsed by two wage earners, for \$140, plus interest at 6 per cent.

After making a few payments, the borrower was confronted by a situation which made it impossible for him to continue accord-

ing to schedule.

#### LENDER SPREADS NEWS

A few days later a representative of the loan company called at the borrower's office and spread news of the affair throughout the department, creating general unpleasantness.

The borrower, being a Government employee, the loan shark could not, of course, garnishee his salary; that is one reason why Government employees generally find it more difficult to borrow from loan sharks than if they were employed by private companies.

The borrower immediately went to his attorney, who telephoned to the loan company, but in the lawyer's own words:

"Before I could give them any details of what we expected to do, I was met with a perfect torrent of abuse. I simply told them that I was an attorney to whom the borrower had turned over his affairs, and that my whole interest in the matter was to make payment on the loan. I asked for a statement of the to make payment on the loan. I asked for a statement of the amount of the note and the amount of cash the loan shark had given my client. I also said that inasmuch as the agreement had been entered into by the borrower, we intended to pay the amount in full as agreed.

### MORE ABUSE FOLLOWS

"I was again met with abuse, whereupon I stated that I had made a mistake in calling them up; that I should have called up the corporation counsel's office. They replied that I could do any damned thing I wanted to."

This case is soon to be brought into court with charges against the loan shark under the so-called loan shark act, which relieves borrowers of the obligation to pay debts when usurious charges

borrowers of the congular are made.

In another instance a borrower received \$75 from a New York Avenue loan shark and gave in return a note for \$100 plus interest at 6 per cent. He made several payments and then, being out of town when another fell due, missed one.

The loan shark promptly called up the borrower's employer and tried to have him discharged from his position, the borrower says in a signed statement. This demand was made by the loan in a signed statement. in a signed statement. This demand was made by the loan shark after the borrower's employer refused to assume the obliga-

Not a single one of the scores of loan sharks in the District is licensed, either under the "loan shark act" or as a note broker, according to Wade H. Coombs, superintendent of licenses, and the superintendent of licenses, according to Wade H. Coombs, superintendent of licenses, which is the superintendent of licenses, and the superintendent of licenses are superintendent. reports that he has received no applications nor issued any licenses for any classification which would cover money lenders.

## WHAT LICENSES ARE

Several of the loan sharks, however, display on their walls licenses which, upon close inspection, prove to be licenses to operate as real-estate dealers, agents, or brokers, but the only real-estate business they do is when they foreclose on real estate which

has been posted as collateral for a usurious loan.

The Post's "loan-shark" reporter yesterday set out to interview some of the 41 loan sharks whose activities have placed them on his list. His purpose was to discover their reactions to this series, and he entered their offices without hesitation and announced his name and connections without any attempt at concealment of his identity.

All of the money lenders he called upon informed him that his credit was good for any amount he cared to borrow, without inter-

est and without indorsers for his note. Two wanted to know if there wasn't some way by which "we can get together and put an end to this series." They did not make any actual offers of bribery, largely because the reporter did not seem receptive to the

The particular broker mentioned in the first incident above regaled the reporter with a long tale of woe which would make it seem that the poor money lender was the most unfortunate being in the world. To hear him tell it, there are no honest borrowers in the world; every one who gets a loan from him does so with the express purpose of beating him out of the money.

#### BIG-HEARTED, HE INSISTS

Further, he insisted, he is so big-hearted and magnanimous that

Further, he insisted, he is so big-hearted and magnanimous that never yet has he pressed any debtor for payment.

"If they don't pay," he said, with a sickly smile, "that's just my tough luck. Do you think I'm the kind of guy that would press a guy for money when maybe he's got a sick wife or something? Do you think I would ever go to that man's boss and get him in wrong, and maybe get him fired? Do you think I would go and garnishee a man's salary just because he happens to owe me a little money? Listen, mister, I would rather lose the money than make any trouble for anybody."

The reporter might have remarked that because of the activities of loan sharks in the District, whose oppression destroys a borrower's efficiency and value to his employers, many employers have adopted a policy of discharging immediately any employee whose salary is garnisheed. A man who is out of a job is not much good to a loan shark to whom he owes money.

On the other hand, the reporter might have remarked that per-

much good to a loan shark to whom he owes money.

On the other hand, the reporter might have remarked that perhaps one reason why the loan shark hesitated to start legal action to recover was because he was sufficiently familiar with the "loan shark act" to know that if the borrower was not quite as dumb as he looked he might hire a competent lawyer to fight the suit, with the result that instead of collecting the loan shark would end up by "doing time."

### COURTS TO ACT IN CASES

Above, there have been outlined just two cases of what happens when a borrower fails to pay. The Post has in its possession records of many more similar cases, many of which will be brought into the courts of the District in due time.

Into the courts of the District in due time.

This article does not in any sense attempt to justify any borrower in falling to pay his obligations. If a borrower incurs such obligations, knowing in advance what he will have to pay for what he gets, it is his duty to meet those obligations. The real purpose of these articles is to inform the prospective borrower what he is up against, and to make it impossible for the loan shark to demand and get the exorbitant, usurious rates he now collects. collects.

Even more pernicious than the straight money lender is automobile finance wizard, who loans money and accepts title to the borrower's car as security.

These gentry operate on the same basis as the straight money lenders who lend to salaried persons on indorsed notes; that is, they collect at the rate of \$40 fees plus 6 per cent interest. But they have the added advantage of being able, without any legal procedure whatever, of attaching the borrower's automobile the moment the borrower falls into arrears.

### THEN TROUBLE STARTS

Once a borrower's automobile has been confiscated by one of these auto finance sharks, trouble starts, unless the borrower knows his rights, and even then he has plenty of difficulty.

Here is what happened in one case: A man earning a small salary borrowed \$100 from a New York Avenue loan shark, giving deed to his automobile as security, and signing a note for \$140, payable at the rate of \$28 monthly for five months.

He was late on one payment, and his car was seized. To get back his car, the loan shark made him pay storage and hauling charges amounting to \$59.30, bringing his total payment to \$199.30 for \$100 he borrowed. He has now brought suit against the company under the "loan shark law" to recover the usurious interest paid and one-fourth of the principal.

pany under the "loan shark law" to recover the usurious interest paid and one-fourth of the principal.

That was one alternative open to a borrower under such circumstances; he can pay the amount demanded and then sue to recover. On the other hand, he could have had the court issue a writ of replevin forcing the loan shark to return his car to him and placing upon the loan shark the burden of suing him to collect whatever he thought he could get.

### WHEN BORROWER FAILS

When borrower falls to pay, then, is briefly this: If he has received a straight loan without other security than the indorsements of two salaried friends, the loan shark seeks first to obtain payment by blackmail, threatening to report him to his employer, and to circulate reports among his friends. This is illustrated pointedly in the case of a policeman who found himself caught recently in the loan shark's meshes. The loan shark threatened to have him brought before the police trial board and, although it meant that his wife had to forego a much-needed serious major operation, the policeman raid un

needed serious major operation, the policeman paid up.

But when the blackmail fails—that's a strong word, but it is used advisedly—the loan shark actually does go to the borrower's employers and tries to make trouble. If the result is that the borrower loses his job and can't pay, then the loan shark starts action against the indorsers, following the same procedure.

In the case of an auto-finance loan, what happens has been told in preceding paragraphs.

in preceding paragraphs.

Under date of September 22, 1930, the Washington Post printed an article dealing with the operations of illegal pawnbrokers which presents another business operation outlawed in the District of Columbia but, nevertheless, pursued secretly and by the usual skillful methods of evasion by which loan sharks circumvent or defeat the law and prey upon the needy.

PAWN OFFICES PLY LAWLESS TRADE IN CITY—BUSINESS IS CARRIED ON BEHIND SECOND-HAND CLOTHING FRONT—NO TICKETS GIVEN TO SAFEGUARD PATRON—CLIENTS HAVE DIFFICULTY IN REGAINING ARTICLES LEFT AS SECURITY-THREE HUNDRED PER CENT RATE OF INTEREST ASKED-DEALERS ACCEPT ANYTHING BUT ARTIFICIAL LIMBS AND FALSE TEETH

## By Daniel B. Maher

Legally there are no pawnbrokers in the District of Columbia, but actually there are nearly 100 of them, who unblushingly extract money from their indigent patrons in a way that would make

even Al Capone weep in shame.

Under the guise of second-hand stores these merchants carry on a business which is exactly that of a pawn shop. The only difference in their transactions is that no pawn tickets are given in return for articles offered as security for a loan. The patrons are evidently not to be trusted with a written record of their business transactions.

In contrast with these dealers, the loan sharks, who ask a mere pittance of 933 per cent interest for their money, are placed in a somewhat less unfavorable light. While patronizing the pawn shops you may be charged only 300 per cent interest for the loan you receive on your pledge; that is, if you happen to be unusually

#### MAY GET ARTICLES BACK

Then, if you are blessed with exceptional good fortune, you may even be permitted to redeem your own watch, diamond ring, glass eye, or whatever the case may be; providing, however, that some one else hasn't offered a more attractive price to the dealer.

If some one comes along and offers the dealer something in excess of your redemption price, he will sell. In that case you are just out of luck. You will be told that the dealer did not pawn your watch or diamond; he bought it from you and sold it at his own price. There is supposed to be no legal recourse for the victim. This aspect of the case remains to be seen.

Should you have the luck to locate your watch or diamond when

Should you have the luck to locate your watch or diamond when you attempt to redeem it you will find that its value has soared tremendously. You may have to pay something approximating the original purchase price before you may regain poss ession of it

# MEMORIES BECOME HAZY

If you object to this procedure and explain that you meant the trinket to be merely a security for a loan, you will be emphatically told that the arrangement was entirely different. They bought the watch, they will say, and had every legal right to sell it if they so desired. If you remind them of an agreement of theirs to retain the article until the redemption date, their memories become very

These business houses, if one may dignify them by the name, are spread throughout the city. But most of them are clustered on Seventh, Ninth, and Eleventh Streets, between Pennsylvania Avenue and F Street, and on D and E Streets, between Seventh and Eleventh Streets.

Some time you may be walking down one of these thorough-fares, near this particular business mart. Rows of suits are strung in front of the store and leather goods clutter the side-

# WILL TAKE ANYTHING

Then, if you feel as though an arm is being yanked from its socket and you pass through a mental convulsion in which dollars and cents and the great value you are receiving seem predominant, and finally you find yourself back on the sidewalk outfitted in an ill-fitting suit, you will know that you have been in a Capital

These dealers will offer to take from the prospective patron anything that an individual may use or wear, with the possible exceptions of false teeth and artificial limbs.

The shops are generally brilliantly lighted and gorged with household appliances, musical instruments, dice, jewelry, guns, scientific instruments, clothes, old coins, roulette wheels, etc.

### GOLF COURSES MISSING

The only utility which the observer failed to find was a second-

hand miniature golf course, and these have not yet fallen into disuse, although they may be expected to be found in due time. On the windows are generally found the three gold balls which denote a pawn shop. Merchandise is boldly marked "unredeemed pledges." These articles, so marked, are supposed to be jewelry which has been bought from legitimate pawnbrokers beyond the

Out of sheer benevolence, you may be told, this merchandise was purchased from some pawn dealer who was hard pressed for cash. Or they may become so mercenary as to tell you that it was bought at a bargain and intend to sell it in the District for a profit. If doubt is registered, they will point to the three gold balls on the window, surmounted by the sign "Pawnbrokers' exchange."

The persons who man these places are invariably of the type which compels one to look around for all the exits. When the

client timorously approaches the dealer and asks for money on his pawn, the dealer immediately tries to put the customer at

The impression is conveyed that he wants to be of great assistance to the penurious client. They will do right by you, they will say. Sure, they will hold the article as long as you want. Don't worry, we'll "do you good." And usually the credulous pawner discovers that he has been done well. Done to the extent of a valuable piece of jewelry and perhaps some interest for good

Further in evidence of existing conditions in the District of Columbia, I insert the report of a local grand jury under date of July 2, 1929, as follows:

During its deliberations the grand jury has heard much testimony and considered much evidence with reference to the local situation concerning the lending of money, and finds a great need for appropriate legislation to cover this field of activity. At present, according to our information, there exists no effective penal statute to enforce the needed problithing accounts. ent, according to our information, there exists no effective penal statute to enforce the needed prohibition against usury in the District of Columbia. The local statute, as set forth in section 1180 of the District code, contains no penalty for usury but the forfeiture of the whole of the interest. The prohibitive effect of the statute is very slight. The so-called loan shark law specifically excepts national banks, licensed bankers, trust companies, savings banks, building-and-loan associations, and real-estate brokers. excepts national banks, licensed bankers, trust companies, savings banks, building-and-loan associations, and real-estate brokers, and we find that by the very simple subterfuge of procuring licenses as real-estate brokers persons are able to evade the penalties of this law. The situation that permits this evasion of the

law should be remedied. This evasion is commonly practiced.

We find that there has arisen in this District a number of organ-

We find that there has arisen in this District a number of organizations which lend money on staple articles and finance the purchase of such articles. Rates much in excess of that permitted are charged for placing these loans and financing these purchases, and this is generally done under the guise of brokerage fees, which, by the admission of officials of these organizations themselves, are in no sense based upon service rendered in procuring the loans, but are based on the risks thought to be involved, and vary in many instances among the different loan organizations.

The grand jury also considered evidence concerning organizations lending money without security, the so-called character loans. These organizations, under the guise of being banks, are only in the business of lending money and this at excessive rates. The business of making small loans without security undoubtedly involves a great risk to the lender, and it would seem that the rate of interest permitted under the existing law is not sufficient to make this type of loan attractive as a legitimate business. The cost of making these small loans is too great to permit a profitable return if conducted in a legitimate manner, and money-lenders are forced to resort to subterfuge to make the business profitable, which practices too often result in extortionate charges.

We believe that in the matter of small loans to be made, with

which practices too often result in extortionate charges.

We believe that in the matter of small loans to be made, with or without security, and for financing purchases, a greater rate of interest than that permitted under the present law should be provided by appropriate legislation, and that adequate penalty be provided to deter violation. We also believe that such legislation should be made to apply to all persons and organizations and that no particular class or type should be excluded.

We also recommend that violations of such law be indictable offenses and enforcement thereof be had by the United States.

### EXISTING LAWS INEFFECTIVE

It may naturally be suggested that relief from these conditions is possible through more rigid enforcement of existing statutes. While, in my opinion, the mere prevention of illegal lending does not meet the undoubted need for smallloan services, it appears that there are serious difficulties in the way of adequate enforcement of existing laws against illegal lending. In this particular, I insert a letter addressed to Hon. F. N. ZIHLMAN, of Maryland, chairman of the Committee on the District of Columbia, written by Proctor L. Dougherty, president of the Board of Commissioners of the District of Columbia, under date of February 26, 1929.

### SMALL LOAN LAW APPROVED BY DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS

Hon. F. N. Zihlman, Chairman Committee on the District of Columbia, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

SIR: The Commissioners of the District of Columbia have the bir: The Commissioners of the District of Columbia have the honor to submit the following on House bill 16310, Seventieth Congress, second session, entitled "A bill to license and regulate the business of making loans in sums of \$300 or less, secured or unsecured, prescribing the rate of interest and charge therefor and penalties for the violation thereof, and regulating assignments of wages and salaries when given as security for any such loans, and for other purposes," which you referred to them for consideration and report. consideration and report.

This is a bill to license and regulate the business of making the rate of interest and charge therefor and penalties for the violation thereof, and regulating assignments of wages or salaries when given as security for such loans and for other purposes. A similar bill has been enacted in some 24 States. Its consti-

A similar bill has been enacted in some 24 States. Its constitutionality has been upheld on more than one occasion. It has the unqualified indorsement of the Russell Sage Foundation. Experience has shown that the present small-loan law in the District of Columbia, namely, the act of February 4, 1913 (37 Stat. 657), is ineffective. This is largely due to the fact that the rate of interest which is permitted thereunder is so small as to prohibit persons from functioning pursuant to its terms. This is best evidenced by the fact that not one license has been taken out under this law since it came into existence. Persons and organizations which have made an exhaustive study in the field of small loans unanimously conclude that the rate therein prescribed is such as to make it impracticable for any organization to survive under its strict letter. Philanthropic associations and organizations which have gone into the field with a view to remedying the evil existing, and with a view to operating on a minimum-cost basis and with only a slight profit, have found that they can not successfully operate without charging 2½ per cent per month. In addition to this, in the adjoining States of Virginia and Maryland there are small-loan laws which permit organizations to charge 3½ per cent per month, hence another reason is presented making it infeasible for concerns to operate in this jurisdiction under the act of 1913. The result is that "loan sharks" have sprung up in the field and function without regulation and in such a manner as to get persons who are caused by this or that necessity to borrow into such financial entangleregulation and in such a manner as to get persons who are caused by this or that necessity to borrow into such financial entangle-ments as to never be able to free themselves.

ments as to never be able to free themselves.

Persons who have given considerable study to the matter of small loans have likewise come to the conclusion that they are absolutely necessary. This being so, it becomes necessary to see that the needs of these borrowers are met with as little drain on their already straitened circumstances as possible. For this reason the proposed bill is highly desirable. By making the permissible rate 3½ per cent per month, it is believed that reputable persons will enter the field, as they will thus be enabled to make a reasonable profit on their efforts and for money advanced. It is only through such legislation that the unscrupulous money lenders functioning now, without regulations, can be elimposed. money lenders functioning now, without regulations, can be eliminated. This for the simple reason that persons will soon learn that by going to a duly authorized loan agency they will know exactly what they will be called upon to pay, and thus dispose of the hazard which they face under existing conditions when applying for a loan.

It might be suggested that prosecutions could be maintained against the present operators under the existing and similar acts, but experience here, as elsewhere, has shown this not to be effective. As a matter of fact, any such prosecution is not practicable for many reasons, the most important of which is the fact that the mentality of a great many of the victims, who through their unfortunate circumstances are compelled to borrow money, is such that they do not comprehend what the real transaction is, when called upon by a prosecutor for information are completely at a loss as to what actually transpired. Further than this, these persons are not willing to come out in the open and complain because of the fact that they fear such action would prevent them from obtaining loans at some future time, and that their inability to do so might mean the loss of their homes or inability to get funds with which to obtain the dire necessities of life.

The commissioners recommend the passage of the bill.

Very truly yours,

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, PROCTOR L. DOUGHERTY, President.

DOCTOR ROBINSON'S INVESTIGATION AND REPORT

The general situation which creates a vital need for legislation of the character proposed in H. R. 15982 is competently presented by Dr. Louis N. Robinson, of Swarthmore. Pa., a distinguished economist, whose observations and opinions are given weight in university circles throughout the country, in a report under date of December 15, 1929, addressed to Hon. Sidney F. Taliaferro, Commissioner of the District of Columbia. Doctor Robinson's complete report is too comprehensive for complete inclusion here. I insert only a digest of the said report prepared by Doctor Robinson for the District Commissioners.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN REPORT OF DR. LOUIS N. ROBINSON TO THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONERS

DECEMBER 15, 1929.

Hon. Sidney F. Taliaferro,
Office of the Commissioners of the District of Columbia,
Washington, D. C.

MY DEAR MR. TALIAFERRO: Under date of January 29, in response to your request, I presented a statement covering the "bootleg loaning" which is common in the District due to the legal limitations upon small loans, and outlining briefly the advantages of the Russell Sage Foundation's uniform small loan law in meeting such a situation, as proven by the experience of 24 States.

Since writing you I have taken occasion to make further investigation into conditions in the District, and am herewith presenting another side of the picture—the suffering and distress of families unable to secure funds needed in emergencies from any source.

When the legal interest rate prohibits the existence of finance companies making advances on the security of the family's chat-

tels, the family in need of funds, without bankable security and unable to obtain indorsers, must either patronize the high rate "bootleg lender" or do without. While the depredations of the illegal lender are serious, as pointed out in the former statement, the number of families willing or able to seek him out in his clandestine haunts is limited, and a far greater number suffer because they must do without financial aid of any kind. A report covering this additional information is attached.

covering this additional information is attached.

For your convenience the findings included in both communications may be summarized as follows:

First. Facing the high-pressure collection methods of creditors, families residing in States which have passed the uniform small loan law can borrow on the only security they possess—their chattels and wages—and make small repayments, adjusted to their financial ability, staggered over a period of 10 to 20 months. Thousands thus manage to pay bills and fight a way through calamity without loss of property or self-respect. This is not true in Washington.

Second Facilities in Washington are limited to indorsement.

Second. Facilities in Washington are limited to indorsement agencies; companies making advances on automobiles and home improvements, which conceal their real interest charges; and vest-pocket "bootleg lenders" charging over 100 per cent interest. Persons owning valuables may find pawn shops across the river in Virginia. Some Washington families find their way to loan companies in Maryland and Virginia, operating under the uniform loan law in those States, but the difficulties incident to this procedure limit the number to a very few.

Third. Therefore most small-income families in Washington are helpless in the face of high pressure debt collection agencies because unable to borrow. We do not assume that the small loan law with its maximum loan of \$300 would relieve all such pressure, but we know that it has proven beneficial to the most needy classes in many States. The extent of this debt-collection pressure in Washington is illustrated by the following facts:

(a) Over 100,000 accounts in the hands of commercial collection agencies. Second. Facilities in Washington are limited to indorsement

agencies

(b) Threats of garnishment and high-pressure collection methprevalent.

(c) Suits numbering 18.824 for amounts under \$500 filed in municipal court by grocers, bakers, clothiers, doctors, etc., last

(d) Several hundred suits by banks and discount companies against indorsers.

(e) Hundreds of families involved with so-called improvement finance companies which install needed home improvements on credit.

(f) Thousands of installment purchases repossessed and the

purchaser's equity lost.

(g) Seventy-six different pieces of real estate foreclosed and advertised for sale in one month.

(h) Seven thousand one hundred and sixty different pieces of real estate sold for taxes this year. One thousand and sixty owners still unable to redeem property sold for 1926 taxes.

(i) Over 2,000 families submit to having gas and light service cut off during year because unable to pay bills.

(j) More than 24,000 families evicted during 1928 because of failure to pay rent.

(k) Over 15 000 industrial insurance policies larged and not

(k) Over 15,000 industrial insurance policies lapsed and not reinstated.

Fourth. "Bootleg lenders" charging rates ranging above 120 per cent are active in Government departments and throughout per cent are active in Government departments and throughout the city. The small sums they lend—usually \$10 and \$25—are seldom sufficient to meet the debtor's need, but in a desperate situation people grab at straws and become seriously involved. These high rate lenders can be eliminated only by affording their victims access to legal supervised loan agencies charging reasonable rates, but high enough to attract capital into the business. Otherwise the person in desperate need of money forced by bitter necessity to borrow, will be served by underground agencies in spite of all efforts of the authorities.

Fifth. The underlying cause of family indebtedness is not improvidence, as might be surmised. Upwards of 65,000 families in the District have an annual income of less than \$2,000. The cost of living is such that these families can not save against the

rainy day.

Sixth. At least 30 per cent of Washington's families are affected to unduring each year by serious interruption of income due to unemployment and sickness. Other thousands are embarrassed by
large unexpected bills, particularly for medical service, funerals,
and moving. They are thus overwhelmed with debt through no
fault of their own.

Seventh. Charitable funds are insufficient to give adequate relief
even to the 3 per cent of families which these relief overprise.

even to the 3 per cent of families which these relief organiza

even to the 3 per cent of families which these relief organizations now serve. Hence charitable agencies are unable to care for the emergencies of the great majority of low-income families.

Eighth. The bill which was introduced in the last session of Congress by Representative Gilbert, of Kentucky, has the indorsement of the Russell Sage Foundation, a well-known philanthropic agency interested in bringing about better living conditions for the masses of the people.

Ninth. This law has been enacted by some 24 of the most important States in the Union, including among others Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, and Missouri.

Tenth. The constitutionality of the uniform small loan law has

been upheld by State courts.

Eleventh. There is no monopolistic feature in the law. Any properly qualified person can engage in the business, charging as

little interest as he deems wise. In other words, anyone who feels that large profits can be made under this law can, if he wishes to do so, start in business for himself, charging a rate less than the maximum and less than his competitors. The maximum rate will not be the sole rate charged if this bill becomes a law. One of the strongest concerns is now operating in a number of States at less than the maximum rate, and I am confident that it will enter the District of Columbia if the bill is passed.

Twelfth. The seemingly high rate allowed by the uniform law is not one-third what is charged by lenders who operate in the District and in States which do not have this law. The service rendered is appreciated by the customers. In a poll recently taken in Wisconsin by a neutral committee 93 per cent of 13,000 customers voted against the repeal of the law. My own investigations and others have proven conclusively that families have received great assistance through the uniform small loan law in paying their way out of emergencies.

Thirteenth. The maximum rate of 3½ per cent a month on unpaid balance is not \$42 a year on \$100, since principal is reduced each month, and the actual cost is only half that amount. The banks can charge a lower rate because they lend deposits and make loans only to depositors who lend them money at very low

make can charge a lower rate because they lend deposits and make loans only to depositors who lend them money at very low rates, while the bank checking system leaves much of the money loaned in the bank's possession so that the same dollar may be loaned several times. Also banks may rediscount their paper. But national and State banks are primarily for business men. They do not meet the needs of wage earners or those dependent on small salaries.

on small salaries Fourteenth. When conducted in a capable manner the losses in the small-loan business are not very great, but to conduct it "in a capable manner" costs heavily. Small losses are the result of

and costly management. Fifteenth. Under this law the transactions are all clear-cut open, and aboveboard. There is no concealment of the rate. Interest and aboveboard. There is no concearment of the rate. Interest is calculated on the unpaid balance for the exact length of time the customer has the money. Supervision of the loan offices is provided and adequate protection given to the borrower. Legalaid societies and charitable agencies will testify that the complaints of small debtors have practically ceased in those States where the uniform small loan law has been enacted.

Sincerely yours,

LOUIS N. ROBINSON.

### WIDESPREAD NEED FOR SMALL LOANS

The conditions disclosed in the District of Columbia are typical of those found in any metropolitan area throughout the country, according to reliable information furnished me by economists and students of this problem. And I may add that similar situations, perhaps less distressful, often exist in our smaller urban communities, with their constantly closer business relations and influence over the adjacent rural communities.

It was this national character of the evil which caused the Russell Sage Foundation to make a study of the problem. which eventually led to its draft and continuing sponsorship in the several States of legislation identical with or fully equivalent to the proposal under consideration at this time.

### THE RUSSELL SAGE FOUNDATION

I should emphasize that the Russell Sage Foundation is a philanthropic institution of outstanding character. It has no financial nor commercial interest in small-loan legislation. It has found that the excessive charge of "loan sharks" is one of the large contributing causes to poverty, and that the passage of regulatory legislation placing the lending of necessitous sums in the hands of decent lenders minimizes the family case work which is necessary on account of high-rate lending.

The Russell Sage Foundation promotes not only smallloan companies of the character which would be sanctioned in this legislation but it supports actively the organization, under appropriate statutes, of philanthropic remedial loan societies and of credit unions or similar mutual nonprofit savings and loan agencies. Mr. Leon Henderson, director of the department of remedial loans of the Russell Sage Foundation, made this clear in a communication to the Commissioners of the District of Columbia under date of January 30, 1929, in which he further pointed out the limitations of these agencies in meeting the great need for small-

"Both these types of agencies are doing immense good," said Mr. Henderson, "but they can not meet a tithe of the legitimate demand for small loans."

I may add that Evans Clark, director of the Twentieth Century Fund, an endowed institution which devotes itself to the promotion of credit unions, places the annual total of credit union loans at \$62,500,000; the annual total of

loans extended by philanthropic nonprofit remedial loan societies at \$60,000,000, while, as I have stated before, Mr. Clark declares that the "loan sharks" do an annual business of \$750,000,000.

It seems useful at this point to present to the House some of the history of the uniform small loan law, after which H. R. 15982 is patterned, and further to inform the House of the painstaking effort made by the Russell Sage Foundation with the full cooperation of the national organization of licensed lenders to perfect this legislation. I insert here an article from the February, 1931, issue of Personal Finance News, the official publication of the American Association of Personal Finance Companies. This article deals with the recent revision of the uniform small loan law to cure certain administrative deficiencies. Substantially all of these new provisions, I may advise, have been written into the pending bill which it is my privilege here to discuss.

UNIFORM SMALL LOAN LAW-THE 1931 REVISION RECOMMENDED BY THE RUSSELL SAGE FOUNDATION

The Russell Sage Foundation has just released its revised uniform small loan law (1931) in preliminary form.

This general form of the law differs widely in some respects from its predecessors, but is based upon the same essential philosophy. Since it is the most comprehensive revision since that of 1923, it deserves careful analysis and a full understanding of the significance of its new provisions.

### EXISTING LEGISLATION

Critical examination of this new form of the law requires a brief review of its history and development and, what is perhaps more important, of the underlying conditions which apparently have prompted the foundation to undertake this comprehensive revision.

The statute books contain numerous laws affecting various forms of consumer credit, and many such laws have been passed forms of consumer credit, and many such laws have been passed and repealed in the last hundred years. Nevertheless, there was no systematic or comprehensive plan of legislation on this difficult subject when the Russell Sage Foundation undertook a thorough study of the problem about 20 years ago. Out of its research came the first small-loan law founded on definite knowledge and carefully designed to accomplish definite results. It is difficult to say which State passed the first uniform small-loan law. In fact, all State small loan laws differ materially in their terms, and the uniformity is found principally in their common basic intent. The small-loan laws which may fairly be said to express the underlying intent of the foundation's uniform law were originally enacted in the States and in the years as here stated:

Massachusetts, 1911; New Jersey, 1914; New York, 1915; Pennsylvania, 1915; Ohio, 1915; Oregon, 1915; Illinois, 1917; Indiana, 1917; Maine, 1917; New Hampshire, 1917; Utah, 1917; Maryland, 1918; Virginia, 1918; Arizona, 1919; Connecticut, 1919; Georgia, 1920; Iowa, 1921; Michigan, 1921; Rhode Island, 1923; Tennessee, 1925; West Virginia, 1925; Florida, 1925; Missouri, 1927; Wisconsin, 1927; Luttstane, 1928. 1927; Louisiana, 1928.

### PERFECTING THE STATUTE

Many of these laws have been extensively amended since enact-

Many of these laws have been extensively amended since enactment. Of the laws first enacted, the original New Jersey and Pennsylvania statutes most closely approximated the form and substance of what is now known as the uniform law. Beginning with the laws passed in 1917, there appear very definite similarity of arrangement and identity of wording.

It is strikingly apparent from a close examination of all these State laws that each year's enactments incorporate the benefits of previous experience under prior laws. There has been consistent development based upon the lessons of the past. The advance toward perfection of detail has been steady and the application of accumulated knowledge has been general. Hence, it is easily possible to trace the constant influence of the purposeful is easily possible to trace the constant influence of the purposeful agency which has contributed to each new law the benefit of all earlier experience. Seldom in the history of remedial legislation has any movement been guided so wisely and effectively as has the development of State small-loan laws under the sponsorship of the Russell Sage Foundation.

# PURPOSE OF SMALL LOAN LAWS

Previous to the enactment of the uniform small loan laws the Previous to the enactment of the uniform small loan laws the industrial population was without any legal agency from which it might obtain loans on personal security to meet urgent obligations. A void had been created by usury laws which ignored the cost factors in making and collecting small loans "at retail." Such laws effectually prohibited the legal operation of agencies specializing in small loans based on personal character and daily earnings. Families unable to raise their own food or to make their own clothing, dependent upon wages for existence, suffered serious embarrassment when income was reduced by sickness or unemployment or when necessary expenses increased.

The legislation necessary to establish the means to meet this

The legislation necessary to establish the means to meet this social need was developed solely from the viewpoint of public welfare. As is well known, the Russell Sage Foundation has proceeded always with the interests of the borrower in mind.

The uniform small loan law is "the borrower's law." Its pur-

pose is to provide a commercial source of consumer credit at the

lowest feasible cost to the borrower under the most desirable conditions. The only permissive feature is in the section prescribing the maximum rate of charge allowed to the lender; all else is prohibitory or regulatory.

These State laws can accomplish their ultimate purpose only when sufficient commercial capital is attracted into the business to satisfy legitimate needs for personal finance service in each community, under conditions which are socially and economically sound. The personal finance company is the means to this end. Viewed in this way, the lender's interests are of vital importance to the borrower. The means must be created and maintained or the end will not be accomplished.

#### PROBLEM OF REGULATION

Small loan laws, after creating lawful agencies, must provide adequate means for regulating them. Lenders operating under this law, in the view of the lawmakers, divide themselves into three classes: (1) Those who attempt to violate the letter of the law, (2) those who view the law principally as an opportunity to exploit a commercial field, and (3) those who make sincere effort to discharge their obligations to society while conducting a reasonably profitable business along sound commercial

Fortunately the last class constitutes the very large majority in point of numbers, and, especially, in volume of business. Most of the licensees under small loan laws require little regulation because their personal and business purposes dictate sound policies and practices with far greater effectiveness than any written law could hope to enforce. Yet, as in many other fields,

written law could hope to enforce. Yet, as in many other fields, the few of different character require nine-tenths of the regulation and cause nine-tenths of the trouble.

To be effective, a small loan law must deal explicitly and definitely with the willful violator who can be relied on to find any loophole, no matter how small, to carry on his antisocial practices. The ingenuity and cunning of the usurer is axiomatic. They must be matched with an equal degree of care, knowledge, energy, and persistence in the framing of any usury law—more particularly in the field of small loans because the borrowers, whose interests it protects, are often the more necessitous and unskilled in financial affairs.

#### PROTECTING THE BORROWER

In addition to the provisions against intentional violation, any

In addition to the provisions against intentional violation, any workable small loan law must provide for the possible existence of lenders who will obey the naked letter of the law, but who will violate its spirit and thus defeat its ultimate purposes. Such persons may be ignorant or inexperienced, short-sighted or foolish, calloused or vicious. In any event, an adequate small loan law must deal with all as possible factors in the situation.

It has not been an easy task for the Russell Sage Foundation to evolve a law which checkmates the violator and regulates the exploiter without at the same time crippling the reputable lender whose operations are essential to the ultimate purpose of the act. The statutory net spread to catch an evil always hinders some wholesome activity. The balancing of these considerations must have been a delicate task. The foundation has proceeded with care, understanding, and resolution to accomplish these purposes.

# DEVELOPMENTS FROM EXPERIENCE

The new draft of the general form of the uniform small loan The new draft of the general form of the uniform small loan law is based upon the sum total of 20 years of experience in half the States of the Union. The regulatory features of the very first laws must have been largely "best guesses," even though based on considerable research and excellent judgment. They have worked admirably in the main and still do, even though to-day they are subject to the improvements cited in the present revision.

There have been abuses and evasions of these earliest laws. As these developed, means were found to convert them.

these developed, means were found to correct or prevent them. These developed, means were found to correct or prevent them. These means were incorporated into subsequent laws and the process of refinement continued. Old provisions developed vagueness or ambiguity as the problems became more complex. They were made clear, definite, and enforceable. Constitutional questions arose and were resolved for the benefit of future drafts of the law. Industrial and social changes took place and introduced new prob-lems; later laws contained provisions to meet the new conditions. While it is a far cry from the earliest to the latest forms of the uniform small-loan law, an interested observer can trace the growth and find a compelling reason for every change in its

development.

Perhaps the most striking illustration of the growth of effectual regulation is to be found in the experience underlying the reasons for section 16. About 1923 a group of illegal lenders who had grown rich and bold through the old device of "salary buying" invaded uniform law States causing great and provided in the control of the con nad grown rich and bold through the old device of "salary buying" invaded uniform law States, causing great oppression and
suffering. Hundreds of thousands of wage earners were paying
charges of 10 per cent every two weeks. Existing laws forbade
the practice, but practical enforcement was difficult and expensive. Section 16 was prepared by the foundation's attorneys to
eliminate this practice, and was first enacted by Maryland in
1924. Other States then adopted it. Thereafter the constitutionality of the section was successfully sustained against attacks in the highest courts of Maryland, Virginia, Louisiana, and Ohio; and in the United States Supreme Court in 1930. Thus the practice of purchasing salaries was successfully brought under the regulations of the uniform small loan law.

# THE REVISED LAW

Some years ago, to cite another illustration, a few licensees refused to accept from borrowers payments of principal in ad-

vance of the contract due dates. A new paragraph was promptly added to section 14. This was written into all subsequent laws and some prior ones. This required all licensees to accept any amount of principal from any borrower at any time. Thus exploitation of another kind was effectually prevented.

The constitutionality of small loan laws has been passed upon by the highest courts in eight States, and similarly many portions of the laws have been passed on or interpreted. From each of these legal decisions or opinions a lesson has been learned.

Out of all this accumulated experience the foundation has derived the 1931 revision of the uniform small loan law.

It is not intended here to dwell on the long-established and still

It is not intended here to dwell on the long-established and still unchanged provisions of the uniform small loan law. The general substance of existing laws, though not strictly uniform in the several States, is well known and needs no special elucidation. It is the purpose here to examine the new provisions in this revision.

The provision of the maximum rate of charge permitted, while of controlling importance, does not require attention in this analysis, which is to be devoted to the new regulatory and administrative provisions of the act.

ministrative provisions of the act.

The principal changes of substance fall into two classes: (1) The grant of wide discretionary powers to the supervising State official and (2) the addition of specific prohibitions and regulations. After general comment upon the nature of the first change, the new provisions will be taken up subject by subject.

Viewing the development of small loan laws and the personal finance business in a broad way, one fundamental change becomes apparent. The original problem was primarily to attract capital into the business to meet the urgent need of families for a reliable and reputable source of credit. Within the first few years of operation in every separate State the uniform law accomplished this purpose with notable success.

#### NEW SITUATION

Then, sometimes, a difficult situation arose. The lack of authority to limit the number of licensees in accord with the needs of communities, and even to apply standards of qualifications as to fitness and experience, became an apparent disadvantage adversely affecting the efficiency of supervision. Competition for business sometimes resulted in unfortunate practices. The problems of adequate regulation came to the forefront of attention.

The inevitable result has been a demand for flexibility in regulation. This means a measure of discretion vested in the super-

lation. This means a measure of discretion vested in the super-vising official. The very nature of the business minimizes the effectiveness of hard and fast rules or definitions. Some rigid requirements of the original laws have imposed hardships, and a few of them have tended to defeat the purposes of legislation. Experience has provided the gage by which such requirements have been tested and, in this revision, made more flexible or more binding, as the circumstances have required.

# SUPERVISORY CONTROL

The most important new provision is that which permits the supervisor to determine what applicants shall be licensed, where they shall be permitted to do business, and how that permission shall be terminated. Any agency which determines these questions has complete indirect control over all operations of all licensees. To accomplish this, full discretionary powers are vested in the supervising State official to grant and revoke licenses according to general rules or tests which combine wholesome social

considerations with adequate protection of the rights of licensees.

This is the only fundamental change in the 1931 revision; the others are collateral to it or mere refinements of the intent of

provisions long existing in the law.

The language of many original provisions has been revised for greater clarity and completeness, but such points are not of substance and would interest only attorneys. They will be disregarded below, as will be all matters of form only.

### OUTSTANDING REVISIONS

Application for license must be accompanied by an investigation ree of \$50, which is retained by the State whether the license is granted or not. This is to provide the officials with means for the thorough inquiry which the law contemplates.

The applicant must have liquid assets of at least \$25,000 available for use in the proposed office, and must maintain that amount

in use or available for use in the office thereafter. This requirement will eliminate mere promoters without serious intention to operate a sound business; and will tend to prevent the establishment of supernumerary offices, or of offices in rural communities which have little or no need for this specialized financial service. which have little or no need for this specialized financial service. It will help to concentrate responsibility for the proper conduct of the business in the hands of licensees whose personal investment is substantial enough to command earnest attention and to guarantee sound policies.

Granting of licenses: Under the old law it was mandatory to grant licenses to all applicants. Under the revised law no license shall be granted unless the supervising authority (usually the banking commissioner) shall affirmatively find that—

"The financial responsibility experience character, and general

"The financial responsibility, experience, character, and general mess of the applicant \* \* \* are such as to command the The mancial responsibility, experience, character, and general fitness of the applicant \* \* \* are such as to command the confidence of the community and to warrant belief that the business will be operated honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the purposes of this act, and \* \* \* that allowing such applicant to engage in business will promote the convenience and advantage of the community in which the business \* \* \* is to be conducted."

Each of these several tests explains itself. They are plainly calculated to insure the operation of the personal finance business by the kind of licensees who will not abuse their privileges, who are most capable of rendering the best service, whose activities will be of advantage to the communities. The purpose of these tests is to stabilize an essential industry, thus accomplishing the ultimate purposes of the law itself.

The license: It is made a continuing one until revoked, sus-

pended, or surrendered, contingent only on annual payment of the \$100 fee and continuance of the bond. This is in place of annual issuance of a new license, which plan can not be adapted to the plan of discretionary granting. In addition to the stated fee, the licensee pays the actual cost of all examinations of his books

and records.

Revocation of license: Upon proper opportunity to be heard and after due examination into the facts, the supervising authority shall revoke any license if the licensee has violated the law or any lawful regulation laid down by the supervisor or, what is most important, if "any fact or condition exists which, if it had existed at the time of the original application for such license, clearly would have warranted the (supervisor) in refusing originally to issue such license."

The importance of this is manifest. It is intended to guarantee continuous operation of the business on the same high plane that is achieved by the original selection of licensees without sacrificing

flexibility or adaptability to changing conditions.

Adequate provision is made for suspension pending investiga-tion for reinstatement of revoked licenses and for selective treat-ment of different licenses issued to the same licensee. Rules and regulations: The supervising authority is explicitly

empowered to-

make such general rules and regulations and such specific rulings, demands, and findings as may be necessary for the proper conduct of such business and the enforcement of this act in addi-tion hereto and not inconsistent herewith."

tion hereto and not inconsistent herewith."

This provision supplements and makes effective the underlying theory of flexible control of the personal finance business in the joint interests of borrower and lender.

Judicial review: To protect the borrowing public as well as those engaged in the personal finance business, adequate provision is made for a right of appeal to the courts from any whimsical, prejudiced, or arbitrary decisions of the supervising authority if made in exercise of his discretionary powers.

Visitorial powers: The supervising authority is given greater powers to investigate the records and business of both licensed and unlicensed lenders. He is required to make a full examination of the business of each licensee at least once annually.

Annual reports: All licensees are required to file annually under oath a report containing such relevant information as the supervisor may require, and the supervisor is required to make and publish an analysis and recapitulation of these reports.

The healthy results of gathering and publishing all the facts

The healthy results of gathering and publishing all the facts about the personal finance business can hardly be exaggerated. One of the handicaps to the development of scientific regulation, heretofore, has been the lack of detailed knowledge of exact facts.

### SUNDRY SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

(1) Licensees are not permitted to take liens on real estate as security for loans. This provision might be said to "average the probabilities." While many real-estate liens are of practically no value as security and many persons seeking to borrow on them may be highly necessitous and deserving of the benefits of the act, the average real-estate loan, probably, is of a class not within the intended scope of the small loan laws. It is submitted, however,

intended scope of the small loan laws. It is submitted, however, that this provision is subject to critical examination in the light of conditions in each individual State.

(2) The revised law provides that no licensed personal finance business may be carried on in the same office with or in conjunction with any other business unless the supervising authority determines that such joint operation will not facilitate evasions of the act. Such violations of the small loan laws as there have been have centered largely about the joint operation of the personal finance business with other forms of business. The temptation to evade and the facilities for evasion exist to a high degree under such conditions. The spirit of the small loan laws has always called for the operation of the personal finance business by itself, separate and apart from all other enterprises, where it can render its service and be judged on its merits without confusion or

separate and apart from all other enterprises, where it can render its service and be judged on its merits without confusion or obscurity. The new provision simply puts this into words.

(3) The section relating to monthly charges, or "interest," has been substantially refined and strengthened for clarity and ease of enforcement. The old provision permitting licensees to charge borrowers with the actual costs of recording papers in public offices has been eliminated. It occasionally permitted minor rigistic to the control of the control of

violations.

(4) Provision is made for the enforceability within the State of loans made outside the State under other regulatory small loan laws notwithstanding minor differences in maximums of the permitted monthly rate of charge.

# IN CONCLUSION

A full discussion of the many refinements and minor changes In the revised law would occupy much space. It is sufficient to note that the present law has been drawn with due regard to all existing court decisions and to the rulings of supervising authorities, and to the opinions of the attorneys general of the several States. In these respects it is a finished statute.

The 1931 revision of the uniform small loan law is a complex The 1931 revision of the uniform small loan law is a complex legal machine, every part of which has been tested and found efficient. The parts fit together with nicety, each contributing an essential element toward the functioning of the whole. It meets the tests of accumulated knowledge and experience. It is entitled to take its place among the relatively few remedial laws of this country, drafted with technical precision and, at the same time, reflecting the full complement of human experience gained in this field of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, the mere fact that more than half the States in the Union have adopted in some form the uniform small loan law should satisfy us here that we are not dealing with an experimental legislative project. It is, however, of significant interest in reaching our conclusions in respect of the pending measure that we examine the opinions of some of those who, after full investigation and experience with the statute in operation, have indorsed the uniform small loan law.

### VIEWS OF STATE SUPERVISORS

In my study of this legislation I sent a letter of inquiry to the supervising officials of those States which now have this form of legislation. In response I have received letters indorsing this legislation from Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

These communications are too extensive to justify their inclusion here. They may be found printed on pages 45 to 62 of the report of the subcommittee on judiciary of the Committee on the District of Columbia, House of Representatives, Seventy-first Congress, second session, H. R. 7628.

NATIONAL LEGAL AID ASSOCIATION APPROVES UNIFORM LAW

The National Association of Legal Aid Organizations was formed in 1923. Its membership includes all the established legal-aid offices throughout the country and these offices handle over 170,000 cases a year. This organization seeks to discover those laws which protect the less fortunate classes of our citizens from injustice, to make those laws known; or, when legislative safeguards do not exist, to urge their enactment. It has been interested in workmen's compensation, small-claims courts, wage assignment laws, wagepayment laws, statutes protecting the small investor, and so

The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States is honorary president of the National Association of Legal Aid Organizations.

From the nature of the work performed by this organization it was inevitable that it should examine fully the situation surrounding the uniform small-loan law. This it has done in substantially every important city throughout the country. In consequence, it has indorsed the uniform small-loan law, believing that legislation of the character proposed here safeguards the borrower through public supervision, and further, that the provision of credit in small sums is best served by attracting legitimate capital to do business under sanction of law and under the supervision of local administrative authorities.

### REPORT OF LEGAL AID COMMITTEE

The committee on small loans and investments of the National Association of Legal Aid Organizations for the year 1929-30 in its report to the annual convention of this association made this statement:

Six months ago a questionnaire was mailed to all of the legalsix months ago a questionnaire was malled to all of the legal-aid societies belonging to the national association. We received 23 replies. In 21 of them the societies reported that the loan companies were observing the small loan laws and were cooperat-ing with the societies in stamping out unscrupulous money lenders. In two of the questionnaires the directors stated that the loan companies were overcharging the borrower.

The small-loan business, as we observe it, serves the one major class, the wage earner. The wage earner is in constant need of the necessities of life. His cash resources are so small that it is essential for him to borrow money. In ninety-nine cases out of every hundred the wage earner does not have the credit standing and is not acceptable for a loan at any bank. He must therefore borrow money from a small-loan company. Such companies are financed by private capital. They are in business to make a profit. Naturally the wage earner's loan is subject to all charges on the invested capital. If there is to be any reduction in the interest rate, it must come from competition by the companies in this field. When capital can be borrowed at low interest

rates the cheaper will be the rate on loans to the wage earner.

The committee is informed that only 24 States have a law governing small loans. It is quite apparent that there is much to be done by the societies located in States where no such law exists.

We therefore recommend that the societies, located in States where no such legislation has been enacted, cooperate with the Russell Sage Foundation and induce local organizations to help in obtaining the enactment of such legislation.

We further recommend that the committee for the ensuing year

be composed of members whose States do not have a

loan act

OPINIONS OF DISTINGUISHED AMERICANS

Many men of distinction in both public and private life have indorsed the uniform small loan law. Obviously it would be impracticable to reproduce here such indorsements at length. I do wish, however, to insert at this point some brief excerpts from public statements made by distinguished Americans whose opinions merit the consideration of the House

Hon. Frank O. Lowden, former Member of this House and later Governor of Illinois, in a letter dated January 3, 1927, to the Hon. James S. Baldwin, judge of the circuit court, Decatur, expressed his opinion as follows:

OREGON, ILL., January 3, 1927.

My DEAR JUDGE BALDWIN: I am just in receipt of your letter of December 31, asking me for my views upon the small loan law which was passed in Illinois in 1917.

When the bill was first called to my attention my first impres-

sion was unfavorable because of the high rate of interest allowed under the law. However, upon examination I found that the class of borrowers the law was intended to serve was then paying from 15 to 25 per cent a month upon loans. It was represented to me that the only practical method for eliminating the loan sharks was to make available to the necessitous borrower a volume of decent capital at the lowest rate of interest consistent with a fair commercial return upon the investment.

commercial return upon the investment.

In other words, it seemed clear that it was better for the needy borrower without security to be able to borrow through legal agencies, even though he had to pay as high as 3½ per cent per month, than to have to resort to the loan sharks and pay from 15 to 25 per cent a month—and in some cases even more. I, therefore, favored the legislation and it was enacted into a law.

The operation of the law in Illinois has justified its enactment.

I am informed from reliable sources that the loan shark has practically disappeared from Chicago and from the smaller industrial cities of Illinois where he formerly preyed upon his victims.

The loan shark has confined his operations almost, if not quite, entirely to the large and middle-sized industrial cities. The prob-

lem is not a rural one. I am told that the operation of licensees under this law in Illinois are confined to the industrial cities. I do not know of any instances where the privileges given by the law are being abused by bankers in either the large or smaller cities. I know of no instance in which licensees under this law have any transactions with farmers.

Only the other day some one in Chicago in making some figures upon the operation of the law concluded that it was saving annually to this most needy class of borrowers something like four or five millions dollars a year in that city alone. With personal regards, I am always,

Faithfully yours,

FRANK O. LOWDEN.

Former Gov. James M. Cox, of Ohio, in an address in 1917

I make bold to say that the legalized loan business is more of a necessity in an industrial community than, perhaps, a bank, for this reason: It is the poor man who, when he needs money, needs

William Green, president of the American Federation of Labor, in a letter to an agent of the Legal Reform Bureau, March 1, 1927, wrote as follows:

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 1, 1927.

Mr. HAMILTON G. DEWEESE, Care of the Madison Club, Madison, Wis.

DEAR MR. DEWEESE: I herewith make reply to your letter of recent date. I was not a member of the eighty-first session of the Ohio General Assembly. I served as a member of the Ohio State Senate during the seventy-ninth and eightieth sessions of the Ohio Legislature. While I was not a member of the Ohio General Ohio Legislature. While I was not a member of the Ohio General Assembly when the Lloyd regulation act, passed for the purpose of eliminating the loan-shark evil, was enacted, I know something of its beneficial features. This law was operated to the great advantage of the mass of the people, those who have been compelled to borrow in small sums and who have been required to pay very large interest charges. The Lloyd Act marks a great step forward in this character of legislation. It is not a perfect law, nor does it meet the urgency of the situation in a complete and satisfactory way. In my onition it may be further amended so as to offer a way. In my opinion, it may be further amended so as to offer a greater degree of protection to the people against the loan-shark

evil. Public sentiment in Ohio will not permit it to be weakened or repealed.

As I understand it, the Russell Sage Foundation standard loan shark act embodies within it many of the essential provisions of the Lloyd Act. Besides, in many respects it is, as I understand it, an improvement over the Lloyd Act. There is certainly great need of the passage of legislation of this kind.

With every good wish, I am, Very truly yours,

WILLIAM GREEN President American Federation of Labor.

A. F. Whitney, president of the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, in an address before the American Association of Personal Finance Companies in Washington, on September 24, 1930, made the following statement:

The organization which I have the honor to represent favors legislation to afford relief from the evils of unconscionable money lending. It has generally accepted the uniform small loan law, under which the companies affiliated with your association operate, as the best present remedy for those evils. In so far as I am advised, the uniform small loan law, in principle and in legislative provision, is the best device thus far developed to combat the loan

At the same time it would be idle for me to say that this law, however well adapted to present conditions, is the final word in dealing legislatively with this matter.

I do not wish to convey the impression that I desire to take a I do not wish to convey the halpession that I desire to take a hedging position. My very presence here is assurance that I believe the members of this great association are devoting themselves honestly and purposefully to solving this problem of providing mass credit. I feel assured that when the time comes, and if it comes, for modifications in the provisions of the uniform small loan law, you gentlemen here will be found actively assisting such a project. (Note article from Personal Finance Newspreading)

Robert Fleming, president of the Riggs National Bank of Washington, in an address before the American Association of Personal Finance Companies on September 24, 1930, made the following statement:

Investigations which have been conducted in the many communities where the uniform small loan law is not upon the statute books reveals a pressing need for this class of credit and shows the demand for the sort of companies that comprise your national organization.

The Washington Post under date of February 7, 1931, expressed the following editorial opinion:

### PROTECTING SMALL BORROWERS

The Senate District Committee has made an agreeable compromise on the question of interest charges on small loans in Washington. It reported favorably on both the small loan bill and the credit union bill.

Legislation on this subject is urgent. At present the law does not allow a sufficient interest charge on small loans to attract capital into that business. As a result the people are victimized by unregulated loan sharks who charge outrageous interest rates.

Opinion seems to have been divided on the two measures before the Senate. Advocates of the small loan bill, which would legalize interest as high as 3 per cent per month and institute strict regulations for the small-loan business, contend that a lower rate will not attract reliable firms into this field of finance. It is better to allow reputable firms to charge that rate than to leave the people of Washington without small-loan facilities and therefore at the mercy of loan sharks.

On the other hand, advocates of the Capper credit unions bill to establish an improved method of securing small loans through cooperative financial groups. The operation of this plan would not interfere with legitimate small-loan establishments, since it would offer credit on a different basis. If the Capper plan should prove successful, lending firms would be compelled to compete with cooperative associations, and their rates would have to be attractive to secure patronage. But whether or not credit unions are formed, the provisions of the small loan bill should protect residents of the District from extortion.

Apparently the interests of the people can be best served by

enactment of both of these measures into law.

The Nation's Capital Magazine, published here in Washington and edited by Frank B. Lord, long a resident of Washington and a distinguished correspondent of metropolitan newspapers, in its February, 1931, issue indorsed this legislation in the following editorial comment:

### THE BOWMAN BILL

Before Congress adjourns it should enact the Bowman bill for the establishment of the uniform small loan law in the District of Columbia. Ever since it was introduced by the West Virginia Member it has been the object of secret and cunningly devised attack on the part of "loan sharks" in Washington, whose nefarious business it would destroy. The fact that this legislation is sponsored by the Russell Sage Foundation, which has not the slightest financial interest in its enactment, but is actuated solely by philanthropic motives, and the further fact that it has been written upon the statute books of 25 States, embracing more than one-half of the population of the country, during the past 10 years, and that nowhere has it been repealed, should warrant its favorable consideration by Con-

been repealed, should warrant its favorable consideration by Congress and its application to the District.

Where the law has been made operative it makes the small-loan business commercially possible to the lender, authorizes proper supervision, and imposes adequate criminal penalties upon both licensed and unlicensed lenders who violate its provisions.

The objection most frequently raised against the bill is that it fixes an interest rate of 3½ per cent per month. This is mere camouflage. Unlicensed lenders, "loan sharks," now demand and are getting from 10 to 50 per cent per month interest, and not infrequently a borrower pays in interest five and even ten times the amount he borrowed, and continues to owe the principal. The uniform small loan bill would make this impossible. Under its operation interest is figured only upon unpaid balances.

The rate, of course, is high when compared to commercial loans

The rate, of course, is high when compared to commercial loans made by banks, but the transactions are wholly different. In the case of the bank the borrower pledges ample security and the bank lends credit. In the case of the small loan the overhead expense involved in investigating the borrower is incomparably greater. It costs a bank nothing to determine that a bond has a certain market value and will warrant a loan of \$10,000, but it may easily cost a loan company \$10 to determine that a \$100 loan is a reasonable risk. The security offered is not such as is acceptable generally elsewhere, and installment repayment likewise calls for increased overhead. Moreover, the account must afford a fair return to the lender who is putting out his own or his company's money, whereas the bank is chiefly lending the money of its depositors depositors.

The following editorial from the Chicago Journal of Commerce, December 19, 1930, throws light on the customary methods employed by high-rate lenders throughout the country in opposing enactment of the uniform small loan law:

#### LOAN-SHARK TACTICS

The fate of the bills which are soon to be introduced in various State legislatures on behalf of loan sharks will depend on public information and the alertness of the legislators. As a general thing, any legislator who introduces one of these bills may be accurately classified as not very bright, unless he is really acting from an ulterior motive. Nor would his bill enjoy a chance to

from an ulterior motive. Nor would his bill enjoy a chance to win if the public understood it.

A flank attack is being prepared on the uniform small loan law, which has been adopted by 25 States on a model devised by the Russell Sage Foundation. The law permits small-loan companies to charge 3½ per cent a month, because the credit risks which they accept are extraordinarily high. Now 3½ per cent a month is far less than loan sharks charge, and they can not operate on a large and lucrative scale in any State where the small-loan companies with their 3½ per cent limit are giving them competition. Therefore they want to prevent the spread of the small-loan companies into the 23 States where the uniform law has not yet been enacted, and moreover they want to repeal the law in the other 25 States. In keeping with this purpose a bill has been framed that would limit the interest charge on small loans to 1½ per cent. If enacted, it would eliminate the small-loan companies and restore the market of the loan sharks, who would manage by numerous devices to charge 10 or 20 per cent a month, or even more, despite the law. or even more, despite the law.

The intention of the loan sharks is to incite public anger against the small-loan companies as profiteers at the expense of the poor; the small-loan companies as profiteers at the expense of the poor; and to a certain extent this can probably be done, since people do not love to pay as high as 3½ per cent a month on their loans. But so many of the credit risks necessarily accepted by the small-loan companies turn out to be bad, and the costs of collections are so high, that a 3½ per cent limit is necessary if the companies are to operate. A 1½ per cent limit would drive them out of business and turn the customers over to the loan sharks, who would not hesitate to evade the limit—for example, by purchasing a customer's future pay envelope outright, or by lending him \$100 for a month at 1½ per cent, but selling him a dollar watch for \$10 at the same time. The choice before the legislatures is simply between the uniform small loan law and the loan sharks. Instead of being repealed anywhere, the law should be adopted by the 23 of being repealed anywhere, the law should be adopted by the 23 States that have not done so yet.

## INVESTIGATION AND REPORT OF DR. WILLFORD I. KING

The most comprehensive study and report on the smallloan problem which has come to my attention is that pursued in the State of New Jersey, by Dr. Willford Isbell King, of New York University. Doctor King undertook this work, which embraced an examination of some 25,000 small loans made in 29 loan offices during the six months beginning April 1, 1929, at the invitation of the New Jersey Industrial Lenders' Association. The report which Doctor King published as a result of his survey is a volume of 100 pages. It is impracticable, of course, to reproduce this valuable docu-

ment in its entirety here. I insert, therefore, merely a synopsis of Doctor King's report containing the principal tables and a summary of the conclusions which he derived from his study, all reproduced in his own language.

It is worthy of note that, when Doctor King was requested by the New Jersey Industrial Lenders' Association to undertake this survey, emphasis was placed upon the "express understanding that the writer was to be entirely untrammeled in ascertaining the facts and in reporting on the same." regard to this matter Doctor King states:

This agreement has been carried out in spirit as well as in letter, and at no time has any suggestion been made that the investiga-tors should do anything except endeavor to find and present the facts as they exist

Any skeptic who is inclined to doubt the validity of any of the findings here set forth can readily convince himself of the truth of the statements made by looking into the facts personally. All records of the investigation are open to inspection by anyone interested.

The author wishes to express his appreciation of the splendid cooperation given by the managers and subordinates in the 29 loan offices selected as a sample for study. The arduous work of transcribing to cards records for all borrowers whose names have appeared on the books of these companies since March 31, 1929, was entered into with zest, and, in nearly all cases, the cards were was entered into with zest, and, in hearly all cases, the cards were turned in on schedule time. The books and records of the companies were thrown open to the investigation auditors, who were, in every instance, given carte blanche in verifying all returns. In no case was any obstacle placed in the way of the auditors; on the other hand, the personnel of the loan offices showed every disposition to aid the auditors in their work.

REPRESENTATIVE FACTS CONCERNING SMALL LOANS AND THE BOR-ROWERS-SYNOPSIS OF A STUDY OF 25,000 SMALL LOANS

(By Dr. Willford Isbell King, professor of economics in New York University and secretary of the American Statistical Association)

Who are the people that borrow from the small-loan companies? Are they few or numerous? How much income do they have? What leads them to borrow

What reads them to borrow?

How much do they borrow?

How long do the loans run before being paid off?

What are the small-loan companies like?

Are the men who operate them "loan sharks"?

How do they treat their customers?

How do the customers feel toward the companies from which

they borrow? Why do they not borrow from the Morris Plan Banks or Wimsett Thrift Cos.

Do the small-loan companies take business away from the commercial banks?

Do they aid or injure merchants and professional men? Where else can the poor man, without property or well-to-do friends, secure a loan when he is in dire need?

## THE STATISTICAL INVESTIGATION

A statistical study was made of the records relating to 24,846 borrowers who were patrons of 29 offices. These offices were carefully selected in such a way as to assure that they constituted a sample as typical as possible of all the 429 offices in operation in the State. The numerical facts stated hereafter are based upon the analysis of the data from the 29 sample companies. The records were carefully audited in order to avoid any possibility that, in any case, they might be fraudulent. No indication of any attempt at deception was discovered. The mechanical work of tabulating and analyzing the records was done by the L. B. Recording & Statistical Corporation of New York City. A statistical study was made of the records relating to 24,846

# PLACE OF SMALL-LOAN COMPANY IN THE LOAN MARKET

Before proceeding to discuss the results of the statistical study just mentioned it seems desirable to analyze briefly the forces giving rise to a demand for loans and to note the place of the small-loan company in the satisfaction of this demand.

Loans may, in general, be divided into two broad categories:

1. Those made for business purposes.

2. Those made to meet personal needs.

To ascertain to what extent the commercial bankers of New Jersey are meeting each of these demands, the writer, in November, 1929, personally made inquiries of officials in several banks in each of a number of cities, large and small, situated in different parts of the State. From these inquiries he learned that the commerof a number of cities, large and the state. From these inquiries he learned that the commercial banks of New Jersey specialize as a rule in loans of the first class mentioned. They do, it is true, make some loans of the latter type, but such loans form but an unimportant side line in their business. Few of the commercial banks are interested in making loans as small as \$300. Borrowers of \$300 or less must, therefore, under present conditions, depend in the main upon therefore, under present conditions, depend in the main upon sources of supply other than the commercial banks.

Would-be borrowers of small sums may be classified into two

divisions:

1. Those who have friends owning considerable real estate or readily marketable property, and who are not only willing to ask these friends to indorse their notes but are also able to induce these friends to comply with their request.

2. Those who do not have friends possessing property of the type mentioned, or if they do have such friends are either unwilling to ask these friends to indorse their notes, or are unable to secure such a favor.

### COMMERCIAL BANKS AND THE SMALL-LOAN MARKET

When commercial banks do make small loans they make them only to regular customers of the bank, to persons owning real estate, or readily marketable collateral, such as stocks or bonds, or to persons who present notes indorsed by property owners of standing. Furthermore, they rarely loan money on a personal note unless the borrower has been personally known to the officials of the bank and has an established reputation for character and integrity. The restrictions that mantioned make it entirely imposthe bank and has an established replication for character and integrity. The restrictions just mentioned make it entirely impossible for hundreds of thousands of perfectly respectable citizens of New Jersey to obtain a loan from a commercial bank.

In many of the cities of New Jersey, even though a man is both honest and industrious, if he has not accumulated any considerable of the cities of the commercial bank.

able amount of property, he will find no commercial bank willing to lend him a small amount of money in case of misfortune. Even in those cities in which commercial banks do make such loans, they invariably require that the borrower have his note indorsed by a property owner of standing. When this is done the bank evidently runs little risk, for it is loaning money not on the credit of the borrower but on the credit of the indorser.

Even though he is able to secure indorsement of his note by a

well-to-do friend, the commercial banks in most cities of New Jersey are extremely loath to loan any money to the ordinary workingman or salaried employee—in most cases, indeed, they will not give the slightest consideration to an application for such a loan coming from a nondepositor. When a man of the type mentioned finds himself in urgent need of a loan, where can he turn?

#### COMPANIES SPECIALIZING IN SMALL LOANS ON INDORSED NOTES

In a number of the cities of New Jersey there are in operation Morris Plan Banks. These banks specialize in small loans secured by personal notes indorsed by comakers who are property owners. The rates on such loans are very much higher than those charged by commercial banks but tend to be somewhat lower than the rates charged by the so-called small-loan companies. The same may be said of a chain of money-lending concerns known as the Wimsett Thrift & Loan Cos.

As matters actually stand, however, a very large proportion of respectable and diligent New Jersey citizens are either unable or unwilling to comply with the requirements necessary to obtain a loan under either the Morris or Wimsett plans. Many a workingman would seek in vain for any well-to-do person to indorse his note. Thousands upon thousands who might obtain indorsers note. Thousands upon thousands who might obtain indorsers feel that it is embarrassing, injurious to their interests, or humiliating to ask a friend for such a favor. Persons in this position much prefer, if it is necessary, to pay a higher rate of interest rather than to ask of friends favors which they feel sure will be granted only with great reluctance. They therefore turn by preference to the small-loan companies rather than to the commercial banks, the Morris Plan Banks, or the Wimsett Thrift &

The advantage of borrowing from the licensed small-loan com-The advantage of borrowing from the incensed sman-loan com-panies lies in the fact that the money can be obtained promptly with little red tape, that the loan can be paid off whenever funds become available, that interest is not taken out in advance but accrues only on unpaid balances and is not compounded, that no one but the borrower and his wife need to know that the money has been borrowed, and last, but by no means least, that it is unnecessary to ask favors of anyone outside the family. It appears that in the mind of the average borrower these considerations distinctly outweigh the disadvantage of paying an interest rate somewhat higher than that charged by the Morris Plan, Wimsett Thrift, or kindred companies.

### SMALL-LOAN OFFICES AND THEIR PERSONNEL

The small-loan companies must be thought of as being the The small-loan companies must be thought of as being the bankers of the common people. Their offices are unpretentious as compared to those of commercial banks but they are neat, orderly places of business. Their accounts are systematically kept. Their managers are, in general, a fine type of business men, drawn usually from the older American stocks. The subordinate employees are of about the same grade as those found in banks. Dealings with customers are, so far as observed, marked by courtesy and consideration.

## WHO THE BORROWERS ARE

Who are the people upon whom the small-loan companies de-Who are the people upon whom the small-loan companies depend for patronage? Are they the flotsam and jetsam of humanity—the ne'er-do-wells, the shiftless, and the down-and-outers? Emphatically, no! The small-loan company is not a charity organization. Like a bank, it loans only when it expects the loan to be repaid. While it is willing to exert more effort in making collections than would be feasible in the case of the commercial bank, it scrutinizes with care the financial status of each would-be borrower, and, if the surplus income from which the loan must be paid is not in sight, the loan is not approved. The small-loan be borrower, and, if the surplus income from which the loan must be paid is not in sight, the loan is not approved. The small-loan company officials refer to their business as the making of "character loans." While they take mortgages on household furniture as security, they have no desire to sell the furniture in order to liquidate the loan. Their aim is to loan only to persons who have both the necessary income and also a reputation for honesty and willingness to pay. Very rarely, indeed, are they compelled to seize the furniture. One manager reported he had been driven to this extreme but once in 10 years. As a rule, the furniture is

taken only in those cases in which the family is broken up and the furniture either placed in storage or abandoned to the loan company when the remnants of the family move to some other part of the country. As one manager put it, no intact family gives up its furniture unless payment of the loan is practically impossible, and in such cases the company prefers to postpone collection until the fortunes of the family have improved, no matter how distant that date may be.

Clearly, then, the chief security behind the loan is not the chattels offered as collateral, but rather the earning power and character of the borrower, and only those who have established a reputation for being both willing and able to pay are likely to be numbered among the clientele of the small-loan companies.

#### PERCENTAGE OF BORROWERS PAYING

Companies differ in the carefulness with which they scrutinize the qualifications of applicants for loans. Naturally, those with the most exacting requirements have the least difficulty in collecting the interest and principal. The most conservative companies report that 90 per cent of their borrowers are rarely late in their payments. With some of the less conservative concerns, on the other hand, as large a proportion as 30 per cent of the borrowers become delinquent at times. The borrowers who eventually pay out are reported to range from 94 to 99 per cent of the total number, most of the companies apparently being able to collect in full in more than 96 per cent of all cases.

### MAGNITUDE OF THE SMALL-LOAN BUSINESS

The best evidence that the borrowers do not represent the dregs The best evidence that the borrowers do not represent the dregs of the population lies, however, in their number. On November 30, 1928, according to the report of the State commissioner of banking and insurance of New Jersey, the small-loan companies of that State had outstanding loans totaling almost exactly \$19,000,000. Our sample study indicates the average size of the loan to be \$169.81. On this basis, there were, at the date mentioned, 111,900 borrowers. Since the loan companies make every effort to prevent one man from borrowing from several different companies at once this number of loans probably represents well over 100,000. at once, this number of loans probably represents well over 100,000 individuals. Now, most of the small-loan companies lend only to married men living with their wives. In the State of New Jersey, in 1928, there were probably not over 800,000 such married men. The obvious conclusion is that, at the particular date mentioned, one married man in eight was a patron of some small-loan com-

pany.

The ratio just specified applies to a single specified date. The number of persons borrowing at some time during the year would probably be at least one-fourth and perhaps one-third or even one-half larger. Evidently a very considerable portion of the entire population of New Jersey are indebted to small-loan companies at some time during the year. A business affecting so large a proportion of the total population is evidently of great importance to the people of the State. The number of borrowers is in fact probably far greater than the number borrowing from the commercial banks. We now see that if you are a citizen of New Jersey the customers of the small-loan companies are not all strangers to you, but include in all probability your immediate neighbors, the man who sits at the next desk, or your own employees. employees.

# THE OCCUPATIONS OF THE BORROWERS

In the statistical investigation of the 29 sample companies an

In the statistical investigation of the 29 sample companies an effort was made to classify all borrowers on an occupational basis. Reasonably accurate information on this point was obtained from 28 companies reporting for 23,716 borrowers. The occupational classification of borrowers appears in Table I.

The results of the investigation show that, of all borrowers, one-fifth were either employers or self-employed, the remaining four-fifths being employees. The entrepreneurial group, first mentioned, included 256 professional men, representing slightly over 1 per cent of all the borrowers. Those professional men and women who were employees accounted for 3.54 per cent of the entire number of persons securing loans. If we combine both those enterpreneurs and those employees who fall in the professional group, we find that 4.62 per cent of all borrowers, or about 1 in 24, were of the professional type.

The managerial class made up 5.69 per cent of the borrowers. It follows that entrepreneurs, including employers and those working on their own account, and professional and managerial workers made up three-tenths of all the borrowers. Manual workers, including servants, accounted for five-ninths of the number of borrowers, and nearly one-half of the total amount of loans. While, then, it is clear that the so-called upper classes are in the minority, it is nevertheless true that we find among the customers of the small-loan companies representatives of all the leading occupational groups constituting our industrial organization of society.

VARYING SIZES OF LOANS IN DIFFERENT OCCUPATIONS

## VARYING SIZES OF LOANS IN DIFFERENT OCCUPATIONS

As might be expected, the members of the different occupations As might be expected, the members of the different occupations do not, on the average, borrow identical sums of money. The professional classes take out the largest loans, the business men coming next in order, and the managerial group following close behind. At the end of the list are the servants, who borrow, on the average, only \$139 as compared to the \$217 making up the average loan of the professional entrepreneurs. The average loan of the manual workers is a little larger than that of the servants, amounting to \$152. The smallest size of loan recorded for any single occupation is \$119 for housemaids, while the largest loan for any occupational group went to two persons classified as "Army and Navy officers," who borrowed \$300 each. Table I.—Average size of loan compared with average family income for different occupational classes of horrowers [Both open and closed accounts included]

	Loans		Borre	owers	Monthly fami		
Status and occupation of borrower	Total for class	Per cent of total for all classes	Number	Per cent of total in sample	Of all families in class	Average for class	A verage sum bor rowed
All occupations.	\$4, 027, 194. 00	100.00	23, 716	100, 00	\$3, 995, 116. 00	\$168, 46	\$169. 8
I. Employers and self-employed	1, 000, 472. 00	24. 85	4, 965	20.94	927, 435. 00	186. 73	201. 5
A. Nonprofessional	945, 007. 00	23. 49	4, 709	19.86	875, 539. 00	185. 93	200. 6
Agents	16, 125. 00 31, 036. 00 44, 513. 00	. 40 . 78 1. 11	74 155 244	.31 .65 1.03	17, 217. 00 28, 466. 00 39, 315. 00	232. 66 183. 65 161. 13	217. 9 200. 2 182. 4
Building contractors, including employing carpenters, plumbers, painters, etc.  Dressmakers and milliners Electricians, etc. Farmers Fishermen and boatmen. Florists, ndrserymen, etc. Garage and filling-station proprietors. Merchants Hotel or restaurant keepers Jewelers (proprietors) Mechanics (proprietors) Realtors. Shoe repairers. Stock brokers. Tailor-shop proprietors. Taxi drivers. Truckmen. Unclassified.	215, 464, 00 13, 975, 00 14, 655, 00 46, 793, 00 8, 001, 00 20, 031, 00 162, 062, 00 44, 080, 00 3, 775, 00 16, 610, 00 26, 485, 00 1, 910, 00 22, 672, 00 19, 231, 00 161, 790, 00	5.35 .36 1.16 .09 .22 .50 4.03 1.09 .40 .66 .39 .05 .57 .49	1, 103 89 76 251 25 44 84 748 182 15 84 104 76 8 113 96 296 842	4.65 .38 .32 1.06 .19 .35 .77 .06 .35 .44 .32 .03 .48 .41 1.25 3.55	215, 679, 00 12, 149, 00 15, 925, 00 38, 315, 00 38, 315, 00 7, 340, 00 13, 862, 00 140, 299, 00 41, 466, 00 2, 330, 00 17, 363, 00 14, 126, 00 2, 700, 00 22, 140, 00 17, 650, 00 154, 803, 00	195. 54 136. 51 209. 54 152. 65 153. 32 166. 81 165. 02 187. 56 227. 86 227. 86 227. 87 192. 15 185. 87 337. 93 183. 85 170. 87 183. 85	195.5 157.6 192.8 186.4 152.2 204.5 242.2 251.6 242.2 251.6 200.3 197.2 200.6 200.3 186.3 192.1
B. Professional	55, 465. 00	1.36	256	1.08	51, 896. 00	202.72	216. 6
Accountants and auditors Architects, etc Artists, designers, etc Authors, editors, etc. Dentists Druggists and chemists Educators Engineers, surveyors, etc Entertainers Nurses, etc. (independent) Physicians, osteopaths, etc Lawyers, abstractors, notaries, etc Undertakers Unclassified	2, 875. 00 3, 414. 00 530. 00 3, 700. 00 4, 450. 00 4, 665. 00 1, 900. 00 3, 660. 00	.08 .07 .08 .01 .09 .11 .12 .05 .09 .28 .20 .11	12 10 14 2 13 17 26 9 18 69 36 18 4	.05 .04 .06 .01 .05 .07 .11 .04 .08 .29 .15 .08	3, 640, 00 2, 061, 00 3, 430, 00 4, 625, 00 3, 590, 00 4, 815, 00 1, 680, 00 4, 150, 00 9, 248, 00 2, 240, 00 1, 375, 00	303. 33 206. 10 245. 00 150. 00 355. 76 211. 17 185. 19 186. 67 230. 56 143. 80 256. 89 124. 42 205. 00 171. 90	268. 4 287. 5 243. 8 265. 0 284. 6 261. 7 179. 4 211. 1 203. 3 166. 1 229. 1 240. 2 207. 5 272. 5
II, Employees	3, 026, 722. 00	75, 15	18, 751	79.06	3, 067, 681. 00	163, 52	161. 4
A. Professional	176, 244. 00	4. 37	838	3. 54	182, 771. 00	218, 10	210. 3
Accountants and auditors. Actors and entertainers. Architects. Army and Navy officers. Artists, designers, soulptors, etc. Chemists, assayers, etc. Clergymen. Editors, reporters, etc. Engineers, technical Judges, lawyers, etc. Musicians. Officials, governmental and institutional Secretaries and organizers. Statisticians. Teachers. Unclassified.	13, 580, 00 1, 085, 00 2, 205, 00 600, 00 5, 270, 00 6, 582, 00 10, 845, 00 4, 535, 00 22, 285, 00 2, 680, 00 6, 785, 00 4, 735, 00 1, 205, 00 71, 477, 00 13, 220, 00	.34 .03 .05 .01 .13 .16 .27 .11 .55 .07 .17 .23 .12 .03 .1,77 .33	58 7 12 2 22 34 58 24 104 11 41 42 22 6 322 73	.24 .03 .05 .01 .09 .14 .25 .10 .44 .05 .17 .18 .09 .03 .1 36 .31	15, 745, 00 3, 127, 00 2, 776, 00 565, 00 3, 895, 00 7, 036, 00 8, 209, 00 5, 722, 00 28, 241, 00 1, 635, 00 8, 162, 00 10, 281, 00 5, 088, 00 1, 457, 00 66, 968, 00 13, 804, 00	271. 47 446. 71 231. 33 282. 50 177. 05 206. 94 141. 55 148. 64 199. 07 244. 79 231. 27 242. 84 207. 98 189. 92	234. 1 155. 0 183. 7 300. 0 239. 5 193. 5 186. 9 214. 2 243. 6 165. 4 217. 9 200. 8 221. 9 181. 1
B. Managerial	258, 599. 00	6. 42	1, 350	5, 69	275, 945. 00	204. 40	191. 5
Superintendents and managers. Foremen, floor walkers, etc. Officers of vessels. Others.	79, 400, 00 113, 369, 00 4, 755, 00 61, 075, 00	1. 97 2. 81 . 12 1. 52	356 639 26 329	1.50 2.69 .11 1.39	92, 410, 00 120, 392, 00 5, 183, 00 57, 960, 00	259. 75 188. 41 199. 35 176. 17	223. 0 177. 4 182. 8 185. 6
C. Agents, solicitors, and commercial travelers.	229, 542, 00	5. 70	1, 132	4.77	228, 065, 00	201. 47	202.
D. Sales people.  E. Clerical employees.	55, 344. 00	1. 37	341	1.44	49, 382, 00	144. 82	162.3
Accountants, bookkeepers, and cashiers Post office clerks and carriers Shipping clerks Stenographers, typists, etc Telephone operators Telegraphers Other clerical	34, 447, 00 19, 355, 00 6, 480, 00 5, 605, 00	5.90 1.04 .86 .48 .16 .14 .14	1, 385 221 199 130 40 41 29 725	5.84 .93 .84 .55 .17 .17 .12 3.06	220, 283. 00 39, 212. 00 31, 591. 00 18, 805. 00 5, 643. 00 4, 922. 00 5, 261. 00 114, 849. 00	159. 05 177. 43 158. 75 144. 65 141. 08 120. 05 181. 41 158. 41	171.4 189.3 173.1 148.8 162.0 137.8 190.6
F. Guardians of public safety	115, 221. 00	2. 86	682	2.88	116, 890, 00	171. 39	168.5
Firemen Policemen and watchmen Soldiers, etc.	29, 055. 00 73, 645. 00 885. 00	.72 1.83 .02	178 426 6	.75 1.80 .03	33, 378. 00 70, 311. 00 903. 00	187. 52 165. 05 150. 50	163.1 172.8 147.
Others	11, 636. 00	42.34	11 220	.30	12, 298, 00	170.81	161.
Bakers Building workers	1, 704, 951, 00 14, 542, 00 330, 209, 00	.37 8.20	11, 229 82 2, 051	47. 35 . 35 8. 65	1, 766, 233. 00 14, 063. 00 375, 590. 00	157. 29 171. 50 183. 13	151. 177. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This tabulation includes data for those borrowers only for whom records of occupation, size of loan, and family income were all reported.

TABLE I.—Average size of loan compared with average family income for different occupational classes of borrowers—Continued

	Loan	3	Borr	owers	Monthly fami	ly income	
Status and occupation of borrower	Total for class	Per cent of total for all classes	Number	Per cent of total in sample	Of all families in class	A verage for class	A verage sum bor- rowed
All occupations—Continued.  II. Employees—Continued. G. Manual workers—Continued.  Electricians. Expressmen and truckmen. Factory workers, skilled and semiskilled. Factory workers, unskilled. Fishermen and sailors. Garage employees and mechanics. Gardeners, agricultural laborers, etc. Messengers. Miners. Shovelers and miscellaneous laborers. Telephone linemen, etc. Railway trainmen and yardmen. Road and street builders. Stevedores, car loaders, etc. Street-car conductors and motormen. Street cleaners. Printing trade. Unclassified.	180, 262, 00 290, 994, 00 188, 903, 00 5, 457, 00 23, 270, 00 1, 170, 00 353, 00 121, 328, 00 87, 438, 00 5, 675, 00 10, 225, 00 3, 702, 00 36, 105, 00	1.01 4.48 8.96 4.64 1.37 .03 .01 3.01 .42 2.17 .14 .19 .25 .09 .89 .83	231 1, 221 2, 303 1, 427 38 363 1662 9 9 3 3 9 223 108 540 32 57 71 31 206 961	0. 97 5. 15 9. 71 6. 02 1. 66 1. 53 1. 68 0. 04 0. 01 3. 89 4. 46 2. 28 2. 13 2. 24 3. 30 3. 87 7. 4. 05	\$12, 315, 00 174, 177, 00 375, 471, 00 182, 651, 00 5, 203, 00 19, 779, 00 1, 392, 00 120, 851, 00 17, 509, 00 4, 699, 00 8, 905, 00 10, 982, 00 3, 783, 00 152, 723, 00	\$183, 18 142, 65 163, 04 128, 00 136, 92 155, 52 122, 09 154, 67 166, 00 130, 93 162, 12 178, 61 146, 84 156, 23 154, 68 122, 03 186, 78 186, 78 188, 78	\$175. 4' 147. 6' 156. 7' 130. 9' 143. 6' 151. 8: 143. 6' 130. 0' 116. 6' 131. 4' 161. 9' 174. 2' 132. 2' 144. 0' 121. 3' 175. 2' 160. 4'
H. Servants	249, 334. 00	6. 19	1, 794	7. 55	228, 112. 00	127. 15	138. 98
Barbers and hairdressers. Chauffeurs, etc. Cooks. Elevator operators, bell boys, etc. Housemaids, etc. Janitors, firemen, etc. Launderers and cleaners Nurses and midwives (unskilled). Porters Waiters, etc. Unclassified.	16, 232, 00 26, 780, 00 6, 675, 00 41, 209, 00 44, 655, 00 28, 916, 00 9, 165, 00 20, 649, 00 24, 417, 00	.44 .40 .66 .16 1.02 1.11 .72 .23 .51 .61	102 105 176 54 346 302 231 59 166 150	. 43 . 44 . 74 . 23 1. 46 1. 27 . 97 . 25 . 70 . 63 . 43	15, 064, 00 14, 627, 00 25, 925, 00 7, 264, 00 37, 642, 00 38, 515, 00 27, 581, 00 8, 302, 00 19, 377, 00 21, 630, 00 12, 185, 00	147. 69 139. 30 147. 30 134. 52 108. 79 127. 53 119. 40 140. 71 116. 73 144. 20 118. 30	170. 6: 154. 5: 152. 1: 123. 6: 119. 1: 147. 8: 125. 1: 155. 3: 124. 3: 162. 7: 128. 4:

### SIZE OF LOAN VARIES DIRECTLY WITH SIZE OF INCOME

Table I also brings out the fact that the amount of money Table I also brings out the fact that the amount of money borrowed, on the average, by the various occupational groups depends, to a large extent, upon the average income of the respective groups. The larger the income, the larger, on the average, is the size of the loan. When all classes are taken into consideration, the average size of the loan is almost exactly equal to the average monthly income. Employing classes, however, tend, on the average, to borrow slightly more than a month's income, while employees, on the whole, borrow slightly less than a month's Income.

### SIZE OF LOAN AND INCOME OF BORROWER

Table V shows what close correlation there is between the size of the loan and the monthly family income of the borrower. Although there are a few irregularities in the plotted line, there is a most definite tendency for the size of the loan to increase as the income gets larger. However, the growth in the loan is not quite as rapid as the growth in the income. For instance, families having incomes around \$100 tend to borrow a sum considerably larger than \$100, while families having incomes in the neighborhood of \$300 borrow, on the average, only slightly more than \$200.

Table V also brings out the very interesting fact that the bulk of the loans issued by the small-loan companies are made to persons having monthly incomes between \$100 and \$220. The most common or model income is found to be represented by the class \$140-\$159.99. This is probably not very far from the model income figure for all the people of New Jersey. Borrowers can not, then, be said to be particularly nonrepresentative as regards income.

CONSUMERS' CREDIT—ITS GOOD AND BAD FEATURES

# CONSUMERS' CREDIT-ITS GOOD AND BAD FEATURES

Consumers' credit—its good and bad features

The small-loan companies of New Jersey undoubtedly play an important part in determining the amount and character of consumers' credit available and utilized in New Jersey. As we shall see, a large proportion of the money loaned by these companies goes directly or indirectly to pay for goods used for direct consumption. It seems wise, therefore, to devote some attention to the merits and demerits of credit of this type.

The question of consumer credit has recently been dragged from obscurity into the spot light, and students of economic science have been discussing it freely. While in the past most economists have been agreed that borrowing for business purposes is essential for industry, as it is at present organized, many of them have felt that it is socially undesirable for consumers to borrow extensively for personal needs. They have held that such borrowing leads to extravagance and overspending, thus preventing the borrower from accumulating the resources necessary to ing the borrower from accumulating the resources necessary to enable him to enter business or to tide him over in periods of

Recently, however, certain leading authorities have expressed the view that it is distinctly beneficial to the public to have credit extended freely to consumers. Advocates of the latter idea contend that abundant consumer credit is useful in tiding over

emergencies, including unemployment; that it enables the newly established family to enjoy the comforts of life instead of skimping for years before it can furnish a home, and that, by keeping up spending in times of unemployment, it lessens the severity of business depressions.

TABLE V.—Classification of borrowers 1 on the basis of the estimated monthly family income and the size of the loan

	Borre	owers	Loans				
Estimated monthly family income	Number	Per cent of all	Total for class	Per cent of aggre- gate	Average size of loan		
All incomes	22, 939	100.00	\$3, 859, 571	100.00	\$168, 25		
Under \$100	1,214	5.29	137, 860	3.57	113.56		
\$100-\$119.99 \$120-\$139.99	2, 141 3, 164	9, 33	269, 402 441, 654	6, 98 11, 44	125. 8 139. 5		
\$140-\$159.99	3, 799	16, 56	588, 862	15, 25	155. 0		
\$160-\$179.99	3, 166	13, 80	518, 964	13, 45	163, 9		
\$180-\$199.99	1,718	7.49	292, 762	7.58	170. 4		
\$200-\$219.99	3, 296	14. 37	651, 609	16.88	197. 7		
\$220-\$239.99	695	3. 03	132, 999	3, 45	191. 3		
\$240-\$259.99	1, 348	5.88	275, 998	7. 15	204. 7		
\$260-\$279.99	435	1.90	87, 160	2. 26	200. 3		
\$280-\$299.99 \$300 and over	247 1, 716	1.08 7.48	51, 698 410, 603	1.34	209, 3 239, 2		

<sup>1</sup> This table classifies the records of those borrowers only for whom information is available concerning both family income and the amount of money borrowed.

Presumably the truth lies somewhere between these two divergent views. While most of us probably feel that it is bad policy to buy luxuries on credit, there is no agreement as to what articles are to be classed as luxuries. Nearly everyone thinks it is sound policy to purchase a home without paying all cash. There are few people who have never been compelled to seek credit in the grave emergencies of life. This does not mean, however, that it is the part of wisdom to buy fine clothing, jewelry, and planos on the installment plan.

Though in every community there are many persons to whom

and pianos on the installment plan.

Though, in every community, there are many persons to whom the idea of purchasing consumers' goods "on time" is anathema, the fact remains that, without regard to what may be the correct theory, a host of people habitually buy on credit. And this state of affairs is nothing new. In ancient Rome, the church fathers condemned interest, partially, doubtless, because the money lenders were the hated Jews, but mainly because most of the borrowers were poor people who had gone in debt to meet their dire needs. Buying on credit is, then, a practice which has been common for centuries. Certainly the oldest inhabitants can not re-

member a time when their local merchant did not have on his |

member a time when their local merchant did not have on his books a large fraction of the families of the neighborhood.

Recently, the chain store has popularized the idea of paying cash for groceries and many other types of merchandise. To a considerable extent, then, mercantile book credit has diminished in amount. The shrinkage in this field has, however, been accompanied by a great increase in installment buying. The advertisements in the magazines lead one to believe that the whole business world is engaged in an attempt to entice the consuming public into buying on credit. If purchasing consumers' goods on credit is unwise, we must, then, indict the great majority of the population for indulging in this foolish practice. If encouraging consumers to go into debt is a moral crime, practically the whole business world must be charged with unethical conduct.

### WHY THE BORROWERS DESIRE LOANS

That consumers' credit plays an important part in the small-loan business of New Jersey has already been stated. However, the reason why people borrow from the small-loan companies have not yet been analyzed in any detail. The facts are brought out by Tables XIII and XIV.

It should be understood that the reasons for borrowing tabulated are those stated by the borrowers. In some cases, of course, the borrower may have concealed from the loan company the real reason for making the loan. In most cases, however, the reasons are given are probably approximately correct. as given are probably approximately correct.

Table XIII.—Absolute number and per cent of total number of borrowers assigning specified reason for making of loan

(Classification includes data only for those 20 companies having adequate information concerning the reason of borrower for de-siring loan, both open and paid-up accounts included)

	Numb	er of bot	rowers	Per cent of all borrow- ers				
Reason assigned for making loan	All bor- rowers	White	Colored	All bor- rowers	White	Colored		
All reasons	16, 079	13, 977	2, 102	100, 00	100.00	100.00		
I. Expenses arising from ill- ness or death	1, 766	1, 571	195	10. 99	11. 24	9. 28		
A. Funeral expenses.	114	84	30	.71	.60	1. 43		
B. Confinement expenses. C. Other payments to physicians,	69	66	3	. 43	. 47	. 14		
dentists, and hospitals	1, 583	1, 421	162	9. 85	10.17	7. 71		
II. Liquidation of other debts	5, 203	4, 528	675	32. 36	32, 40	32. 1		
A. Consolidation of several debts B. Payments on	2, 525	2, 240	285	15. 70	16. 03	13. 56		
c. Payments on home or home	403	370	33	2. 51	2. 65	1. 5		
site	521	447	74	3. 24	3. 20	3. 5		
D. Insurance	83	74	100	. 52	5. 27	. 43		
F. Others	929 742	737 660	192 82	5. 78 4. 61	4.72	9. 13 3. 90		
III. Business expenses	1,612	1, 468	144	10.03	10. 50	6. 8		
IV. To assist friends or rela- tives	250	224	26	1. 55	1.60	1. 2		
V. Current expenses	5, 860	5, 022	838	36. 44	35. 93	39. 83		
A. Christmas gifts	246	201	45	1. 53	1.44	2. 14		
B. Clothing	312	277	35	1.94	1.98	1.6		
C. Coal. D. Furniture and house furnish-	317	285	32	1. 97	2.04	1. 50		
ings	347	301	46	2.16	2.15	2. 19		
E. Moving expenses and rent F. Repairs on auto-	515	382	133	3. 20	2, 73	6. 3		
mobile	119 600	102 521	17 79	.74 3.73	. 73 3. 73	3. 7		

TABLE XIII .- Absolute number and per cent of total number of borrowers assigning specified reason for making of loan-Contd.

	Numb	er of bor	rowers	Per cent of all borrow- ers				
Reason assigned for making loan	All bor- rowers	White	Colored	All bor- rowers	ers White 9 1.57 3 .24 5 19.32	Colored		
All reasons—Continued.  V. Current expenses—Con. H. Travel and vacation I. Wedding expenses. J. Household and miscellaneous	255 37 3, 112	220 33 2, 700	35 4 412	1. 59 . 23 19. 35	. 24	1. 67 . 19 19. 59		
VI. Combination of pur- poses- VII. Miscellaneous	1, 290 98	1, 080 84	210 14	8. 02 . 61	7. 73	9.99		

### RACE DIFFERENCES IN REASONS FOR BORROWING

Table XIII differentiates the reasons for borrowing on the basis of the race of the borrower. The table shows clearly that the of the race of the borrower. The table shows clearly that the most important reason leading to the negotiation of a loan is to meet current household and miscellaneous expenses. Nearly one-fifth of all the borrowers gave this as their reason for desiring a loan. The percentages were approximately the same for the two races. The second reason, from the point of importance, was to consolidate and liquidate several debts. About one-sixth of the white borrowers and one-eighth of the colored borrowers assigned this as their reason for exemplate the house of the colored borrowers assigned this as their reason for the second of the colored borrowers as a second this as their reason for the colored borrowers as the colored borrowers as the colored borrowers as the colored borrowers as the colored borrowers are considered to the colored borrowers as the colored borrow rowers assigned this as their reason for desiring to borrow. how these various debts arose, the investigation tells us nothing. If we had the facts, the 2,525 entered under this heading could all be distributed to other categories. The main reason for inall be distributed to other categories. The main reason for inserting this subtitle is that it assists in arriving at some idea of the total volume of loans made to pay off debts.

### PROPORTION OF LOANS MADE TO LIQUIDATE EXISTING DEBTS

Our information indicates that approximately one-third of all loans made are for this purpose. It may, indeed, be said that the proportion of the total amount of loans going to pay debts is even greater, for, presumably, the larger proportion of the loans negotiated to pay expenses arising in connection with illness or death are also used to liquidate debts already incurred. Under the general heading of debt payments, it would also be legitimate to include a fraction of the loans of borrowers entered under the title "Combination of Purposes." The evidence, then, indicates that, of the total number of loans, not much fewer than one-half are made for the purpose of paying off other creditors, Clearly, then, the existence of the small-loan companies is a matter of marked importance to these creditors, for, otherwise, they would have to carry these claims on their books for a longer period of time and suffer all the expense, annoyance, and loss of good will incident to collecting from debtors. good will incident to collecting from debtors.

# PROPORTION OF LOANS MADE TO MEET OTHER EXPENSES

PROPORTION OF LOANS MADE TO MEET OTHER EXPENSES

It is of interest to note that 1 colored borrower in 11 and 1 white borrower in 19, needed the money to pay taxes. Six per cent of the colored borrowers and nearly 3 per cent of the white borrowers borrowed the money in order to meet moving expenses and rent. These purposes play, then, a larger rôle in the case of the colored borrowers than they do in the case of the white borrowers. The colored people also borrow the more frequently to pay for funeral expenses. On the other hand, members of the white race borrow the more commonly to pay fees to physicians, dentists, and hospitals. More of the white borrowers secure the money to make payments on an automobile than is the case with the colored borrowers. Borrowing to meet business expenses is also a more important item for whites than for negroes.

### OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DIFFER IN REASONS FOR BORROWING

In Table XIV we find the borrowers divided, not on the basis of 

Table XIV .- Absolute numbers and percentages of all borrowers 1 in different occupational groups classified according to the reason assigned for desiring loan (Classification includes data only for those companies having adequate information concerning the reason of borrower for desiring loan)

Reason assigned for making loan  All reasons	Number of borrowers					Per cent of all borrowers					
	Employers or self-employed			Employees		Employers or self-employed		Employees			
	Nonpro- fessional	Professi	ional	Clerical	Manual	Nonpro- fessional	Profess	sional	Clerical	Manual	
	3, 257	172	541	2, 753	9, 107	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
I. Expenses arising from illness or death	147	9	52	355	1, 172	4. 51	5. 23	9. 62	12.89	12.8	
A. Funeral expenses	8 .		1	18	85	. 24		.19	. 65	.93	

Both open and paid-up accounts included. Borrowers for whom occupations were not reported are not included in this tabulation.

Table XIV.—Absolute numbers and percentages of all borrowers in different occupational groups classified according to the reason assigned for desiring loan—Continued

The tar Ake as to show the second		Numl	ber of borr	owers		Per cent of all borrowers					
Reason assigned for making loan  All reasons—Continued.  I. Expenses arising from illness or death—Continued.  B. Confinement expenses.  C. Other payments to physicians, dentists, and hospitals.	Employers or self-employed			Employees		Employers or self-employed		Employees			
	Nonpro- fessional	Profes	sional	Clerical	Manual	Nonpro- fessional	Profes	sional	Clerical	Manual	
	3 136	9	1 50	11 326	53 1, 034	0.09	5. 23	0. 19 9. 24	0.40	0. 58	
II. Liquidation of other debts	957	58	179	957	2, 979	29. 38	33. 71	32. 16	34. 77	32.71	
A. Consolidation of several debts. B. Payments on automobile. C. Payments on home or home site. D. Insurance. E. Taxes. F. Others.	41 99	8 2 10 8	84 13 22 3 27 25	487 80 98 16 129 147	1, 454 266 287 44 542 386	13. 26 1. 26 3. 04 . 46 6. 45 4. 91	17. 44 4. 65 1. 16 5. 81 4. 65	15. 53 2. 40 4. 07 . 55 4. 99 4. 62	17. 69 2. 91 3. 56 . 58 4. 69 5. 34	15. 97 2. 92 3. 15 . 48 5. 95 4. 24	
III. Business expenses	1,070	40	17	143	324	32.85	23. 26	3. 14	5. 20	3. 56	
IV. To assist friends or relatives	40	1	9	45	153	1. 23	. 58	1. 66	1, 64	1. 68	
V. Current expenses.	799	55	249	1,012	3, 655	24. 53	31. 99	46. 03	36. 76	40. 13	
A. Christmas gifts B. Clothing C. Coal D. Furniture and house furnishings E. Moving expenses and rent F. Repairs on automobile G. Repairs on home H. Travel and vacation I. Wedding expenses J. Household and miscellaneous	36 38 74 15 124 17	2 1 1 2 4 3 6 3 3 33	7 8 3 8 12 2 2 30 41	28 52 38 57 70 23 100 54 7 583	178 225 226 235 351 72 330 138 22 1,878	.95 .58 1.10 1.17 2.27 .46 3.81 .52 .22 13.45	1. 16 . 58 . 58 1. 16 2. 33 1. 75 3. 49 1. 75	1. 29 1. 48 . 56 1. 48 2. 22 . 37 5. 55 7. 58	1. 02 1. 89 1. 38 2. 07 2. 54 . 84 3. 63 1. 96 . 25 21. 18	1. 95 2. 47 2. 48 2. 58 3. 86 . 79 3. 62 1. 52 . 24 20. 62	
VI. Combination of purposes	227 17	9	34 6	215 26	780 44	6. 98 . 52	5. 23	6. 28 1. 11	7. 80 . 94	8. 58 . 48	

Loans to cover expenses connected with sickness or death play a distinctly less frequent rôle among employers and self-employed than they do among employees. This reason is also less common with professional than with nonprofessional employees, Professional employees, more than any other class, borrow to meet current expenses, this type of loan being least common among nonprofessional men in business on their own account. The greatest degree of similarity among the various classes of borrowers appears in the case of loans made to liquidate existing indebtedness. About one-third of the loans of each class are reported as going for this purpose. Were a fraction of the loans reported as made for a combination of purposes, and most of the loans to pay expenses incurred in connection with illness and death, added to this percentage—a process necessary if we are to approximate the actual percentage going to pay debts—the proportion assignable to this cause would be found to account for between two-fifths and one-half of all cases of borrowing from the small-loan companies of New Jersey.

# SERVICE RENDERED TO BORROWERS BY SMALL-LOAN COMPANIES

Reference to Table XIII shows us that nearly 11 per cent of all amounts borrowed were secured in order to meet hospital, medical, and funeral expenses. Nearly 10 per cent of all loans were negotiated in order to make payments due on the home or homesite, to meet insurance premiums or to cover taxes—all expenses which it is imperative to meet. Practically 2 per cent of the number of loans went to buy coal, a supply of which also frequently constitutes an urgent necessity. Nearly 3 per cent of the loans were used to cover moving expenses and rent, and nearly 4 per cent to pay for repairs on the home. All of the reasons just mentioned are obviously to be listed among necessities. Presumably a very large proportion of the 16 per cent of loans which were used to consolidate several debts, and the 19 per cent of the loans incurred to meet household and miscellaneous expenses also represented necessities rather than luxuries.

eent of the loans incurred to meet household and miscellaneous expenses also represented necessities rather than luxuries. It is, of course, impossible for a statistical tabulation to show what percentage of these cases represented, for the families concerned, real emergencies. The probabilities are, however, that this percentage would be by no means small. Clearly, then, it is highly desirable from the standpoint of the poorer half of our population that there be at hand some source from which it is possible to obtain loans promptly in cases of emergency.

## SMALL-LOAN COMPANIES SERVE BUSINESS AND THE PROFESSION

Popular discussion seems to indicate that, if small-loan companies render any service at all, it is to the poorer sections of the population who are obliged to borrow from them. While undoubtedly it is this type of service which meets the most urgent needs of the community, the fact should not be overlooked that the operations of the small-loan companies often are highly beneficial to the merchants, professional men, and other well-to-do classes of citizens. Not infrequently, of course, members of these classes are numbered among the borrowers. From the

standpoint of the wealthier classes as a whole, however, the chief service of the small-loan companies is of a different type.

Reference to Table XIII shows that, of all loans made, 32 per

Reference to Table XIII shows that, of all loans made, 32 per cent were specified as being secured in order to pay off debts. Part of the 9 per cent listed under subheads VI and VII went for the same purpose. This means that of the \$19,001,151 of loans outstanding in New Jersey on November 30, 1928, probably some \$7,000,000 of the proceeds went to liquidate outstanding debts. Furthermore, the 11 per cent borrowed to pay for medical, hospital, and funeral expenses, or some \$2,000,000, prevented the dragging along of these accounts for long periods. What does all this mean?

The answer is that, to no small extent, the small-loan companies are relieving the physicians, hospitals, lawyers, and merchants from the necessity of carrying accounts for long periods of time without any agreed-upon rate of interest. The carrying of such charge accounts obviously necessitates higher fees and higher charges for merchandise. These higher rates are as much a burden upon the man who pays cash as upon the man who is always a year behind. It follows that the custom of borrowing from the small-loan companies to pay the butcher, the baker, and the candlestick maker enables these worthies to keep down their prices and hence is advantageous not only to them but also to those of their customers who habitually pay cash for their purchases.

### ATTITUDE OF BORROWERS TOWARD SMALL-LOAN COMPANIES

One of the best tests of the merit of a service is to ascertain how well it satisfies the person served. With a view to finding out just how the borrowers feel toward the small-loan companies of New Jersey, Mr. Arthur Brown visited, in their homes, 107 such borrowers, selected at random at my office, and Miss Emily Majer interviewed 13 such borrowers privately at the offices of the loan companies and 176 other borrowers, whom I selected at random, at their respective homes. Both Mr. Brown and Miss Majer find that, in no single instance, did a borrower cite any specific instance of unfair treatment by the loan companies and that, although some of them felt the rates charged to be too high, there was practical agreement that the small loan companies are rendering a service essential to the well-being of the community.

### THE LOAN RATE

Commercial banks are wholesalers; small-loan companies are retailers of credit. The latter contend that their expenses of doing business are very heavy in comparison to the amount of money loaned.

The cost to the lender of making and collecting a loan is almost the same whether the loan is \$50 or \$300. Evidently, then, the expense per dollar loaned is much greater in the case of small than of large loans.

The cost of loaning and collecting money varies inversely with the reliability of the borrower.

It follows that if the lenders receive a high interest rate, they can afford to loan small amounts and to loan to persons who are fairly well down on the credit-risk scale. On the other hand, if the rate charged is low, they can not afford to loan small sums and they can not accept applications for loans from persons not having a high credit rating. The legislative policy in fixing the legal interest rate, therefore, determines how far down on the credit-risk scale of borrowers loans are to be available.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE

The Members of this House are usually responsive in some degree to the observations and experience in the several States of legislative bodies dealing with similar problems. At this point, therefore, I insert passages from the report of the interim committee of the House of Representatives of Minnesota on small-loan legislation issued in 1929:

#### FINDINGS

1 That all classes of people, rich and poor alike, from time to time have not sufficient money to meet their immediate needs and must, therefore, resort to borrowing.

2. That for the purpose of protecting the borrower against extortionate rates of interest, laws have been enacted limiting the rate

of interest which the lender may charge and receive.

3. That the general usury laws of this State and of other States are such as to render it impossible for the average consumptive borrower to obtain money at a rate of interest within the limit fixed by law.

4. That the necessitous borrower, unable to borrow at banks or elsewhere at legal interest rates, will and does borrow from other sources at higher rates.

5. That to meet the needs of this large class of borrowers who can not obtain money at legal rates of interest the loan shark has appeared, supplying the need, but at unreasonable and extortionate rates of interest.

6. That in the large industrial centers of Minnesota, as in similar centers of population in other States where effective legislation has not been enacted, loan sharks do a thriving business based upon rates of interest which range from 120 to 400 per cent per annum and higher.

That the loan shark by threats and intimidation preys upon

7. That the loan shark by threats and intimidation preys upon the weakness and ignorance of the borrower with whom he deals.

8. That laws such as the general usury law of this State are ineffective in curbing the loan-shark evil, because they are arbitrary and unsound in principle, in that they do not make proper allowance for the various types of loans, the difference in credit and security possessed by borrowers, and the elements of expense involved in the making of loans.

9. That in theory there are four possible methods of dealing with the loan-shark evil:

(a) To repeal our general usury law, thereby lessening to some extent the risk assumed by the lender and leaving the matter of interest rates to unrestricted competition.

(b) To make the 8 per cent usury law more effective by prescribing that violation thereof shall constitute a criminal offense, punishable by imprisonment and by rigidly enforcing such a law.

(c) To provide for the making of small loans as a semiphilan-

thropic, noncommercial enterprise.

(d) To legalize commercial lending of small sums at prescribed rates in excess of the general maximum interest rate, fair both to lender and borrower, with provision for State licensing, inspection,

and supervision.

10. The first method above suggested—i. e., the repeal of our general usury law-is impractical and would prove ineffective in operation.

11. The second method above suggested-i. e., that of attaching

a criminal penalty to our general usury law—is inexpedient.

12. That the third method suggested—i. e., lending by semi-philanthropic and noncommercial agencies—has been tried and

found inadequate.

13. That the fourth method suggested—i. e., to legalize the lending of small sums of money at prescribed rates in excess of the general maximum interest rate, fair both to lender and borrower, with provision for State licensing, inspection, and supervision of the lender—offers the only practical method of exterminating the loan shark.

14. That the necessity of allowing upon small loans a rate of 14. That the necessity of allowing upon small loans a rate of interest in excess of the general maximum rate has been recognized in this State and provision therefor made by statute.

15. That a rate of 3½ per cent per month on unpaid balances to cover interest and expenses, with no fees in addition thereto

on loans of \$300 or less, offers the only practicable solution of this problem.

16. In addition to the authorization of this higher rate upon

loans of this type adequate provisions must be made for State supervision of the business, and adequate penalties must be provided to make the enforcement of this law effective.

17. That the enactment of legislation allowing a charge of 3½ per cent per month, with proper safeguards to the borrower, has brought legitimate capital to the small-loan business and has elevated this business to a plane of decency and respectability where it property belongs

where it properly belongs.

18. That while it is probably true that the average loan of the licensed lender is larger than the average loan of the loan shark, this does not indicate that the licensed lender will not make the

small loans. 19. That the legalization of a rate of 3½ per cent per month on loans of \$300 or less does not interfere with or jeopardize the bor-

rower who now is capable of obtaining a loan at a bank or else-

where at banking rates of interest.

20. That legislation of the character embodied in the uniform law has received the approval and indorsement everywhere of organizations and individuals who have given the matter conapproval and indorsement everywhere of

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Upon the foregoing findings the committee submits the following recommendations:

That the extension of credit unions as authorized by chapter

206, laws of 1925, should be encouraged.

As hereinbefore stated, the committee finds that credit unions are capable of rendering valuable assistance in the small-loan field. Adequate provision for the organization of such unions having been made by statute, there is no further legislation which we can recommend along this line. Our existing credit union law has the approval and indorsement of the committee.

has the approval and indorsement of the committee.

Some progress in the development of credit unions has been made in Minnesota. In the three years since the enactment of the statute about 38 such organizations have been formed. Doubtless many more will be formed, and this movement should be encouraged in every possible manner. Groups eligible under the law should be fully advised as to the manner of organization and the conduct of the business. The Russell Sage Foundation has made an extensive study of credit unions and is prepared to give full information regarding their establishment and operation. The credit union being a cooperative rather than a commercial enterprise, and the overhead expense being relatively small, these organizations are able to lend money to members at a rate of 1

organizations are able to lend money to members at a rate of 1 per cent per month. Certainly a man who can obtain a loan at that rate should not pay 3½ per cent per month. However extensive may be the development of the credit-union idea, there will always be borrowers who can not or will not become members of any credit union. For this class the only source of borrowing will be the commercial agency, with its higher rate. But the credit union can take care of many who would otherwise find it necessary to pay the higher rate necessarily charged by the commercial lender.

In just what manner the development of credit unions can be furthered in this State the committee is not at this time prepared to say. However, it is our opinion that welfare organizations can to say. However, it is our opinion that weither organizations can accomplish a great deal of good by obtaining and disseminating such information among those groups which are eligible under the law to form credit unions. Perhaps also provision can be made whereby the State will likewise assist in furthering the establishment of credit unions by giving publicity to the possibilities of this form of expanization.

bilities of this form of organization.

2. That the bill hereinafter set forth, being the uniform small loan law with slight modifications, be enacted by the Legislature of the State of Minnesota.

### REPORT OF CITIZENS' COMMITTEE OF WISCONSIN

During 1929 a citizens' committee secured in the State of Wisconsin a referendum vote by the customers of the smallloan companies operating under the uniform law in that State. This document is too lengthy for complete inclusion here. I insert as follows only the body of the report and a few typical samples of the testimonial letters received by this committee in answer to the inquiry as to whether or not the customers of small-loan companies wished to have this small credit facility continued in that State:

## To the President Wisconsin Industrial Lenders Association:

The undersigned, members of the Citizens' Committee on the Uniform Small Loan Law, herewith submit their report of the result of the referendum vote by customers of the small-loan companies now operating in this State. The question was submitted by mail to all of the persons who have made loans of the companies included in your association. There are about 40,000 such borrowers in all.

The question presented to them was whether they want the existing law which authorizes these loans continued, or repealed as proposed in a bill now pending in the legislature. The form of ballot used follows:

CITIZENS' COMMITTEE ON THE UNIFORM SMALL LOAN LAW,
Milwaukee, Wis., July 8, 1929.

This committee wants to know whether or not the customers of small-loan offices want the law to be changed so these offices must go out of business.

We have asked the company from which you have borrowed money to write your name at the top of this letter.

We ask you to send us your answer at once in the stamped and addressed envelope which is inclosed.

addressed envelope which is inclosed.

You should sign your name and address, but you may be perfectly sure that your name will not be made public.

It is our purpose to find out from the customers of this small-loan business whether they think it is a useful and necessary business, or whether they think this business as now conducted should be stopped entirely.

Please answer the question below by making a cross after the word "Yes" if you believe the business should continue; or by making a cross after the word "No" if you think the business night to be stopped.

ought to be stopped.
This is the question:

Do you want the legislature to retain the small loan law and to keep your chance to borrow money as you are now able to do at the office where you have made a loan?

Yes I want the business to be continued.

No I want the business to be stopped.

We thank you for sending your answer, which will help the people of the whole State to know whether the small loan law has helped or whether it has harmed those who, like yourself, have borrowed money at small-loan offices.

FRED D. GOLDSTONE, Secretary of the Committee.

Your street and number \_\_\_ Your city or town\_\_

The canvass has been conducted from July 8 until noon of to-day, July 19. The results of the poll are as follows:

	Against repeal	For repeal	Total	Per cent against repeal
Votes from Milwaukee County Votes from rest of State	3, 937 8, 640	209 701	4, 146 9, 341	95. 0 92. 5
Total votes cast	12, 577	910	13, 487	93, 2

Accompanying the ballot on which these votes were cast were more than 300 voluntary expressions of opinion of which the great majority were in favor of the maintenance of the small-loan business. We have appended to this report representative copies of

The practical unanimity of these votes is all the more sig-ificant in view of the circumstances and conditions prevailing nificant

nificant in view of the circumstances and conditions prevailing during the referendum.

First. The State senate had recently passed, by a vote of 23 to 5, a bill to repeal the small loan law here in question.

Second. In the course of the discussion of this measure in the senate, the law was bitterly assailed on the ground of authorizing usury and of permitting exorbitant profits to the lender. These statements were widely quoted in the public press.

Third. The business of loaning money, from Biblical days down to the present time, has never been a popular one.

Whatever others may think about the small loan law, the people who have taken advantage of it by borrowing from the agencies licensed in this State—the people, after all, most concerned—are unmistakably in favor of it.

Respectfully submitted.

FRANCIS E. McGovern, Chairman. WM. L. PIEPLOW. FRED D. GOLDSTONE, Secretary.

### TYPICAL VOLUNTARY LETTERS

These letters are printed here as they were written. not been edited except as it has been necessary to eliminate words that would serve to identify the writers.

There are times when a man of independent character prefers paying a high rate of interest to asking a friend to go security for him at a bank. Such a company affords a legitimate oppor-

tunity to get money and to pay for the loan.

There are times when a man has borrowed all that his credit There are times when a man has borrowed all that his credit will properly stand at the bank where he does business. Then sickness in his family or the necessities of a son or daughter in school make a demand upon him which must be met. Under this "small-loan" system he may meet the emergency without embarrassment and in a wholly legitimate way, and pay when the

Also any man of independent character despises any plan which savors of charity or patronage; he would not consider a "charity" loan under any circumstances. The "charity" loan proposition under whatever disguise labeled is an insult to any self-supporting man or woman, and will not be used.

Again, there are many who do not have bank credit and who are entirely honest, and who get "up against" tragic conditions where some small amount as \$25 or \$50 will save happiness and perhaps life itself; this sort of a company gives them their chance. They get what they need, and they pay and pay gladly for what

when I read of the extreme concern of some of the people who are worrying in the public prints about the sad, sad case of the poor borrower I am somehow reminded of good Ben Franklin's story of the man who had an ax to grind.

When I first went to make my loan I was just about as green in the business of loaning money as perhaps hundreds of other people were. Yet I have this to say, that every bit of information I asked for, and a great deal more besides, was given to me before I made my loan. I understood distinctly the way this business is transacted, the interest rates, and everything else.

The rate of interest charged—although a little high, in my opinion—is just a slight obstacle when one is in strained circumstances. It can easily be overcome when the party making a

stances. It can easily be overcome when the party making a loan will, in the season when he fares a little better, make as large a payment on his loan as is possible. It is well understood that everybody at some time can use a little extra money. There is sickness, no work, and hundreds of other reasons why a person will be down and out at some time. I personally would rather make a loan than to charge a bill to a doctor, and that goes for a lot of other things also.

I sincerely believe that whoever is on the verge of making a lon knows just exactly how the situation is handled. It is really up to that party to decide whether he can afford it. Here is another thing I noticed. The people I deal with always discouraged me from making a large loan. They always were sincere about it, and made me understand I must be sure whether I could offered it. could afford it.

I hope these few lines will help you to decide to keep the small loans act.

I have found this company a great help to me when I was in need, although the interest is high. But where can a nonproperty owner go and get money without a signer?

When I made a loan with these people I was out of employment; my house rent went on; I was too proud to let my own folks know I hadn't my rent, and furthermore they need everything they have for themselves. How could I tell my landlord I didn't have the rent? I knew I could not go to a bank and make a loan without a signer. Well, then, I would have to tell some one, and that I didn't want to do. I have always kept up my credit, yet I was in a position I couldn't get a loan unless I went to some one to sign for me. I knew when winter was over I would have employment again. And with the loan I got from the loan company I could get by without letting anyone know my business. And so there are lots of poor people too proud to tell their friends the position they are in, and are willing to pay for a little help over a rough place. I read the ad in the paper. I went to these people; they gave me a loan without a lot of red tape, and I for one can say I thank them.

I most certainly do not think this system of loaning money should be discontinued. It is the best way the middle class has of borrowing money without having the fact made public property. I personally am very much in favor of keeping our local firm of this kind in existence. It has done much for me.

One reason I am in favor of the loan office continued is that I personally know of men who, if it were not for being able to borrow, would have been in very great trouble, garnisheed, and so on. I borrowed money to pay off a grocer who was charging me from 3 to 10 cents more on every article I bought of him.

My principles are to never quit a man owing him. So I borrowed in self-defense, one might say. And have saved many times the interest charged since getting the loan.

I have found it a boon for a small-wage-earning man. The only way to get taxes, hospital money, interest, etc., is to borrow it, and then hurry to pay it in larger amount than the required amount. For those poor folks that get tough breaks so often there is nothing like it; better any time than asking relatives. You borrow the money, pay your interest, and need feel under no obligations to more fortunate relatives or friends.

## THE UNIFORM ANNUAL REPORT BY SMALL-LOAN COMPANIES

H. R. 15892 provides that the commissioner of insurance of the District of Columbia shall have the responsibility to issue licenses to small-loan companies operating in this jurisdiction and to supervise their business. Wide latitude is given in this matter of supervision.

The uniform small loan law generally imposes responsibility upon some official department in each State, usually the State department of banking, to grant licenses and to supervise licensees. This supervision is designed primarily to protect the borrower through rigid enforcement of the safeguards written into the law. In the several States in which the uniform small loan law is operative there has been active supervision of the business. Moreover, recognizing the public interest to be served, personal finance companies have diligently cooperated with the official supervisors, both individually and directly, and through the action of their national and State associations. I may further add that such other agencies as the Russell Sage Foundation, legal-aid associations, better-business bureaus, and local chambers of commerce have engaged cooperatively in seeing to it that the provisions of the uniform small loan law are being fully observed.

The small-loan business has been publicly commended on numerous occasions which have come to my attention by official supervisors in the several States by reason of its attitude of cooperation in assisting the enforcement of the administrative provisions of the law. The small-loan business, however, has voluntarily proceeded beyond mere compliance with legislative and administrative provisions and during the year 1930 has undertaken to make of public record comprehensive economic and social data concerning the business. The national and several State associations of this industry have recommended to the respective supervising State officials that a uniform annual report be required from each licensee in each of the several States, the object being not only to secure an official record of all pertinent economic and social facts bearing upon the business but to secure this information in such form as will make possible its uniform compilation and comparison.

A uniform report of this character drafted by the American Association of Personal Finance Companies and indorsed by the Russell Sage Foundation has already been adopted with slight local modifications in more than a dozen States. Its general use will assure the collection of a body of information of authentic and official character such as no other industry, in so far as I am advised, has voluntarily placed at the disposal of the public.

### MINORITY VIEWS

Minority views on this proposed legislation have been prepared and reported from the Committee on the District of Columbia by Messrs. Hull of Wisconsin and Tarver of Georgia. I am never disposed to brush aside lightly the opposing views of my colleagues of the House. They usually represent serious, painstaking study and are always worthy of respectful consideration.

I beg leave, however, to point out that the minority views reported from the Committee on the District of Columbia are based upon misapprehensions which constitute two of the principal difficulties encountered by the small-loan industry in establishing its position with the public. I refer primarily to the confusion between commercial banking interest rates and the charges for small-loan services. These are not properly comparable. Again, there is the belief that the loan-shark evil can be readily eradicated through vigorous enforcement of existing laws. The latter point has been covered already in these remarks. The former I will discuss briefly at this point.

### HIGH COSTS OF SMALL-LOAN BUSINESS

The natural reaction to a law allowing a much higher rate to be charged for small loans of short duration than is paid by the substantial borrower is unfavorable, yet the very nature of the transaction so requires. To believe that a secured loan of \$5,000 to 1 person is as costly to make and collect as 50 poorly secured or unsecured loans of \$100 each to 50 persons is obviously fallacious, the latter requiring 50 times as much bookkeeping and more than 50 times as much investigation and collection effort and cost practically 50 times as much for overhead.

The cost factors per dollar loaned in making small loans at "retail" are quite different from those incident to large wholesale" loans. In the first place, the licensed lender must pay the bank rate or more to investors for the money it lends; it has no depositors' funds. It then has heavy operating costs incident to making loans and collecting thousands of small monthly payments. The applicant for a small loan is not only a stranger but is usually facing a financial emergency. His character, income, habits, financial condition, employment, stability of his family relations, and general conditions must be ascertained without offending him or embarrassing him in his employment. Collection can not be effected by recourse upon indorsers or other good security, or lawsuits, foreclosures, or any of the col-lection methods employed by commercial collection agencies. The cost of collections is many times higher per dollar loaned than in any other type of credit agency.

Experience has demonstrated that even the small loans which are indorsed by two acceptable comakers can not be made and collected unless investigation fees are charged and interest for a full year is discounted in advance, although the principal is repaid in equal monthly payments during the year; the true interest charged by such agencies runs from 20 per cent a year up. Some commercial banks make small indorsed loans at a low rate, but such loans are few in number and are made without profit to accommodate present or potential depositors. The great majority of wage earners are unable to borrow from the most liberal banks because they can not furnish the required security of two acceptable indorsers.

However, the agencies which operate under the small loan laws do not compete with the banks or the Morris Plan agencies, all of which require comakers or indorsers. They lend to that large group of people who can not obtain acceptable indorsers, and who can only offer security with a cash value which is a small fraction of the amount loaned.

Such loans can be made on a noncommercial basis when workers are associated in a cooperative credit union whose officers serve without pay, lending the members' deposits to other members. Though such credit unions are valuable and desirable, especially for Government employees, they are limited in scope. Over half the gainfully employed in the District are not employed by the Government and most of these are not so situated as to be able to take advantage of credit unions.

#### RATE OF CHARGE

The uniform small loan law describes the rate as interest. This language has been found necessary in the pending measure. It should be stated that this frequently spreads and strengthens the misapprehension suffered by Messrs. Hull and Tarver, who signed minority views, i. e., that the rate of charge is real interest.

"Interest," as used in the language of this measure, is a legal fiction found necessary as a matter of legislative language. The rate might more fairly be described as the "rate of interest and charges." The permitted rate is, in fact, a gross charge for this small-loan service, out of which must be deducted many costs peculiar to the business as well as ordinary operating expenses before the remainder resembles interest in the sense of compensation for the use of money.

The common practice cited above to confuse the gross charges for this small-loan service with commercial banking interest rates has created much misunderstanding regarding the sanctioned maximum rate. It is commonly stated that the small-loan business charges from 30 to 42 per cent interest per annum. This statement obviously spreads public misconceptions. First of all, the permitted rate is levied only against unpaid balances, without other charges or fees whatever. It should be clearly understood that the permitted rate is a gross charge for the financial service rendered out of which must be deducted all operating costs before the remainder even approximates the accepted concept of interest, or develops that profit to the lender which is necessary to sustain the business as a commercial enterprise.

### COST OF MONEY FOR LOAN PURPOSES

It is impractical to discuss here with any completeness the cost factors in the small-loan business. However, it seems permissible to point out to those who habitually confuse the rate of charge in the small-loan business with banking interest that a single item of cost in the small-loan business is usually greater than the customary regular bank interest rates. I refer to the cost of procuring capital for the business. In procuring capital for loan purposes commercial banks enjoy a wide advantage over other lending institutions. The bank, in exchange for its guarantees of security and its other accommodations and services, attracts the surplus capital of the individual, and thereby accumulates great reserves of deposit funds. Under banking regulations and subject to deductions for operating costs, these deposits are available for loan purposes without the intervention of customary charges for the use of money. The commercial bank with only nominal capital may possess, by reason of the accumulated deposits of its customers, a vast reservoir of loanable resources.

It is even possible for commercial banks, after all customary cost deductions are made, to employ the aggregate funds of their depositors within the general usury statutes and yet develop an income far in excess of returns from simple transactions under the so-called legal rate of interest. It is impractical here to trace in detail the life history of commercial-bank assets. However, through such common and accepted practices as discounts, maintenance of compensating balances, the mobile use of loanable funds in call money markets, and so forth, it is possible for the commer-

cial bank to realize gross returns not at all reflective of the simple legal-interest rate.

The commercial or industrial enterprise requiring capital must usually procure it from the banker, and it must pay the banker's charge for the use of the money. The banker's charge in such transactions can not be uniform, although the visible rate necessarily must not exceed the legal maximum. The old-established business, with every reasonable evidence of stability and permanence, gets the low rate. The new or unfamiliar enterprise usually pays the maximum charge.

While the small-loan business has achieved a business status which has already gained the favorable attention of substantial investment bankers, when compared with many other enterprises it is a relatively new business, the magnitude and economic influence of which is only now being discovered and appraised. It is not strange then that the loanable funds of personal-finance companies, or small-loan companies, which under this proposed measure can not be accumulated through deposits, must be procured from banks or other equivalent agencies at relatively high costs for the use of the money.

It seems a fair statement, based upon all the information available, to say that the average cost of money for loan purposes in the personal-finance business equals, or exceeds, the legal maximum bank interest rate in the District of Columbia.

### A GRADUATED-RATE PLAN PROVIDED IN THIS LAW

While convinced that a maximum interest rate of 3 per cent a month on the unpaid balance is necessary to insure the provision of complete small-loan service, the committee has developed a graduated-rate plan which gradually reduces the rate permitted as the amount of the loan or loan balance increases.

The rate of 3 per cent is not to be permitted on all loans under \$300, as in most of the States, but only on the loans of \$100 and less, which experience and cost accounting has proven can not and will not be made at a lower rate. Persons borrowing the larger amounts from \$100 to \$300 are to obtain a lower rate; 3 per cent a month can be charged on only that part of the unpaid principal balance not exceeding \$100, and 2½ per cent a month can be charged on the remainder of the principal balance of the loan. This results in a graduated reduction of the rate charged on each dollar from \$100 to \$300, and a substantial saving to borrowers. It discourages lenders from making only the larger loans, and assures the making of the smaller and more necessitous ones.

The actual cost of loans when interest is charged only on unpaid balances is not as great as it might seem at first thought. A loan of \$100 repaid in three equal monthly payments would cost an average of \$2 a month; if repaid in 10 equal monthly payments it would cost an average of \$1.65 a month. A full examination of the books of 30 loan companies made by Dr. Willford I. King, the statistician and economist, showed that borrowers were paying the income from only three or four days' labor on their loans, which averaged \$170 and were discharged, on the average, in 280 days. Many of the victims of illegal lenders in the District of Columbia are paying from one-fourth to three-eighths their income in interest and other charges. Doctor King's survey showed that the interest costs to borrowers from legal loan companies amounted to the moderate sum of 10 cents per day.

### EXPERIMENTS WITH LOWER RATES WHERE ATTEMPTED HAVE FAILED

In Missouri, which has a rate of 2½ per cent a month, the loan shark is active in spite of strenuous efforts to curb him; various schemes to charge higher rates have been adopted; new capital is not coming into the business; reputable agencies are declining to make loans under \$100, and all are charging the maximum rate.

In West Virginia, which has a rate of 2 per cent a month, no money is offered at this rate and the State is overrun with loan sharks.

In New York a rate equivalent to 21/4 per cent a month leaves all but a small fraction of the wage earners without small-loan facilities or at the mercy of loan sharks.

New Jersey last year reduced the rate to  $1\frac{1}{2}$  per cent a month which has resulted in eliminating most sources of decent personal credit. Only a few companies have remained in business, in the hope that the rate will be restored under which they can operate, and these are confining their loans to people who can secure indorsers or who borrow the largest sums.

#### EXISTING SMALL LOAN LAW INEFFECTIVE

I would respectfully call the attention of the House to the fact that the law passed in 1913 to regulate small loans in the District of Columbia is ineffective.

When President Taft signed the law in 1913 which now regulates the making of small loans in the District, he expressed doubt regarding the practicability of the maximum rate of 1 per cent a month prescribed by the law, but stated that in case this rate turned out to be too small Congress in its wisdom might increase it. Although the maximum fixed in the law of 1913 made it impossible for legitimate agencies to operate in the District, and deprived necessitous persons of desirable loan facilities, the situation is unchanged.

On many occasions since 1918, grand juries, District Commissioners, and citizens' groups have suggested the passage of a law which would provide legitimate loan service under strict regulation—the only practical means of defense against the horde of outlaw money lenders infesting the District. The nefarious practices of these loan sharks have frequently been exposed, and fruitless efforts expended in attempting to bring them to prosecution.

Yet the prosecution of loan sharks does not supply a dollar of money to a single family that must have emergency funds. Such families deserve assistance in meeting their bills, preserving their credit, and running their own affairs. The only remedy is to provide legal facilities for borrowing.

A legal rate of interest that is too low to attract capital into the business not only deprives the most necessitous persons, who need small amounts, of desirable loan facilities, but permits the loan shark to remain active with little or no competition. This is what the present 1 per cent a month law has done.

In this connection it is interesting to note the present conclusions of the author of this small-loan legislation adopted in 1913, the Hon. Leonidas C. Dyer, of Missouri, who is still a Member of this body. On Friday, January 23, 1931, Mr. Dyer appeared before the Committee on the District of Columbia of the Senate, which is considering legislation identical in its provisions with H. R. 15982 here under discussion, and there made the following statement:

My interest in this matter dates back to 1913, when I was the author of the legislation touching loan companies, which became a law in that year, and we reported the bill to the House with a rate of interest of 2 per cent. The "2" was stricken out in the House and I per cent was inserted. As the result all those engaged in the loan business in the District of Columbia left, and, so far as we know, quit the business entirely because of the fact that they could not operate under that rate of interest.

Since that time I have not been in close touch with matters of that kind in the District of Columbia. I have not been a member of the Committee on the District of Columbia for some 18 years.

Since that time I have not been in close touch with matters of that kind in the District of Columbia. I have not been a member of the Committee on the District of Columbia for some 18 years, but I do know from the fact that I had this contact with the subject that people have come to me from time to time and said there was need in the District for a law governing the loaning of money to people who wanted to borrow in small amounts. So I feel that something ought to be done and it should be done now. It should have been done long ago, because there has been no law in the District other than the one to which I have referred that would enable anyone to borrow money in small amounts and be protected.

I have received many complaints from time to time of there being still in the District people who have been lending money, not openly, of course, but surreptitiously and otherwise, at high rates of interest. It is a matter that must be regulated strictly, and there must be a law governing it that will prevent injustice being done and exorbitant rates being charged.

A great many injustices are possible under the lending of money. When we had it up at that time, some 18 years ago, conditions in the District were intolerable in that respect. The companies were charging all kinds of exorbitant, usurious rates of interest. The bill which is before your committee, Mr. Chairman, I think is a

very fair and reasonable measure. I do not wish to go on record as saying that the rates of interest in that bill are the ones that ought to be adopted, because, as I say, I have not been in close touch with the subject for some years, but the rate ought to be around the amounts mentioned in this bill. That is the rate that is usually fixed in the various States, and one which it has been found has been necessary to be fixed in order to enable people to honestly loan money to people of small means and for short periods

honestly loan money to people of small means and for short periods of time. You gentlemen will, no doubt, from the witnesses you have before you, determine just what is the proper rate. It ought to be around, I think, somewhat near the figures fixed in this bill. I come only, Mr. Chairman, to say that I think legislation of this character is badly needed for the District. We have had nothing since the legislation to which I referred, and of which I was the author, was enacted into law, and which has never been workable. I understand from the corporation counsel and others that no license has ever been taken out under that act of mine, so I have no pride of authorship in it any more since it is not work. I have no pride of authorship in it any more, since it is not working, and I would be glad to see some substitute that will be workable and will take care of the situation which I have indicated.

#### H. R. 15982 SHOULD BE APPROVED

Mr. Speaker. I believe there is real need for this legislation in the District of Columbia not only as a remedial measure to deal effectively with the loan-shark problem but further as an affirmative piece of legislation providing a necessary business facility to the individual citizen. After considerate attention to the views in opposition to this legislation I feel justified in stating that in my opinion this opposition largely rests upon misconceptions of the problem to be solved and unfounded misapprehensions regarding the remedy we here propose. The Committee on the District of Columbia held comprehensive hearings on this measure. They have reported overwhelmingly in favor of its passage. I desire to commend it to my colleagues of the House as a forward-looking legislative proposal, in harmony with progressive, economic, and social thought, and worthy of their favorable consideration and support. I respectfully urge upon the House early passage of this measure.

## ADJUSTED-SERVICE CERTIFICATES

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD by printing a statement prepared by three Members of Congress, the gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. RANKIN], the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Connery], and myself.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Texas asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the RECORD by printing a statement prepared by the gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. RANKIN], the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. CONNERY], and himself. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include the following statement of John E. Rankin, of Mississippi; William P. Connery, of Massachusetts, and Wright Patman, of Texas, all Members of Congress; members of the Veterans' Committee in the House, ex-service men, and members of the American Legion, and three of the House Members, who have been leading the fight in Congress for the payment of the adjustedservice certificates in cash now protesting against statements reputed to have been made at Boston, Mass., Saturday, February 7, 1931, by John Thomas Taylor, temporary legislative representative of the American Legion in Washington, and Neal D. Williams, national vice commander of the Legion:

The rank and file of the American Legion desire the payment of the adjusted-service certificates in cash now. If paid, the \$1 and \$1.25 a day for services they rendered as of the time the services were rendered, with reasonable interest from that time, the face value of each certificate will be due this year.

The temporary legislative representative of the American Legion in Washington, John Thomas Taylor, is not only refusing to help the cause, although cash payment of the certificates was indorsed by the American Legion, at Indianapolis, Ind., recently, but is actually blocking the efforts of the proponents of the measure to get this payment made.

Mr. Taylor's activities here in Washington are injurious to the cause of the veterans of the World War, the disabled as well as those who are asking for the payment of this honest debt that has already been confessed by Congress.

Mr. Taylor is quoted as saying:

"The proposal is not a part of the program of the American Legion, that it was something it didn't want, and would not be tolerated.

This statement is made at a time when the Hon. Ralph T. O'Neil, This statement is made at a time when the Hon. Ralph T. O'Neil, National Commander of the American Legion, is ill and can not speak for the Legion, and also at the close of the session of Congress when such a statement will be most injurious.

A local paper states that Mr. Taylor is being considered for an appointment as Secretary to the President.

His statement appears to be a part of the scheme of the predatory interests to deny the veterans of the World War the payment of a just debt.

Several hundred thousand veterans are now suffering by reason of the lack of sufficient food and clothing for themselves and

of the lack of sufficient food and clothing for themselves and families; they are unemployed and can not find jobs; they are being thrown into the streets with their wives and sick children being thrown into the streets with their wives and sick children because of their inability to pay house rent. Yet the Government owes these men a sufficient amount of money which, if paid now, would tide them over in their distress, but Mr. Taylor has refused to turn his hand to obtain justice for them.

We are at a loss to know by what authority Taylor and National Vice Commander Neal D. Williams state that the proposal for immediate cash payment of adjusted-service certificates is not part of the program of the Legips and demands for it would not

part of the program of the Legion and demands for it would not be tolerated by the American Legion.

### THE AMERICAN DIESEL-ENGINE INDUSTRY AND THE NAVAL CON-STRUCTION BILL

Mr. COCHRAN of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, a few days ago I placed in the Congressional Record a statement opposing the paragraph in the naval construction bill which would permit the Navy Department to spend \$500,000 for the purchase of Diesel engines abroad. The Secretary of the Navy under date of February 2 has written me at length in reference to my opposition to this paragraph and I have replied to his communication.

As my remarks seem to have excited considerable interest I am inserting at this point the letter I received from Secretary Adams as well as my reply. The letters follow:

> THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY, Washington, February 2, 1931.

The Hon. John J. Cochran, M. C.,

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.
MY DEAR CONGRESSMAN COCHEAN: I have noted in the Congress SIGNAL RECORD of Wednesday, January 28, 1931, a speech delivered by you on the subject of the naval construction bill and the pro-vision for a sum of money for experimental purposes to enable the Navy Department to determine the best type of Diesel engine for

naval purposes.

In view of the probable replacement of our submarine tonnage, it is incumbent upon the Navy Department to determine as far as possible the best and most reliable machinery to place in such vessels. Owing to the cost of such vessels it is not deemed wise to place experimental types of machinery in them until their reliability has been demonstrated.

The Navy Department fully concurs in the statement as to the necessity of encouraging Diesel-engine builders in this country to produce Diesel engines suitable for naval use. It is entirely true that in time of war the Navy must depend upon the com-mercial companies for such engines.

There are to-day in the United States a number of builders of

Diesel engines for shore and merchant marine use whose product is noted for reliability and excellence of construction.

It is believed, however, that it is not clearly understood by those unfamiliar with the technical details of Diesel engines of the great variations that exist in the types for different purposes. In great variations that exist in the types for different purposes. In a Diesel engine built for shore power plants, conditions of weight and space are practically unlimited, and the engines designed for this purpose are entirely unsuited for naval use. In engines built for the merchant marine the considerations of weight and space apply to a far less extent than they do in those designed for naval use. The Diesel engines installed in the Shipping Board ships weighed from 250 to 350 pounds per horsepower, while those for naval purposes can not exceed 40 or 50 pounds per horsepower, and if they are to compete with present naval installations will have to be reduced to about 17 pounds per horsepower.

The main requirement for Diesel engines in the United States Navy is for submarine propulsion. In this field the Navy has had experience extending over a period of 20 years. An engine for submarine purposes must be very light, very reliable, and capable of operating over a wide range of speed for extended periods. The space in which it is installed is exceedingly limited and facilities for repair are difficult. The design of such an engine involves the

for repair are difficult. The design of such an engine involves the greatest skill and experience if it is to result in a reliable engine. Reliability is undoubtedly the most important factor in such engines. The skill in design and increased cost of manufacture of such engines do not make them suitable for commercial use where

such engines do not make them suitable for commercial use where a cheaper engine will generally serve the purpose.

The engines in our submarines built up to and including the World War were in some cases satisfactory and in other cases less than satisfactory. This was due to a number of causes, but the primary one was probably the fact that in each new type of submarine it was necessary to install an experimental type of engine. Also, the bulk of our submarines were built from 1914 to 1918 mainly under war conditions. mainly under war conditions.

After the war several German submarines were turned over to our Navy, and it was found that they had developed an extremely

reliable and efficient type of engine considerably superior to those used in our service. Duplicates of these engines were built at the New York Navy Yard and have been installed in submarines V-4, V-5, and V-6, built since the war, and have rendered most satisfactory service. They are the most reliable submarine engines in convict to down service to-day.

I have tried to make it clear that a Diesel engine built for shore purposes and which may be capable of running for long periods of time without stopping is an entirely different engine from that required by the Navy, and the performance of such an engine is no criterion as to the performance of one which is required for

Great advance has been made abroad in recent years in the design or lightweight high-speed engines, and it is the desire of the Navy Department to obtain the latest possible information, plans, and samples of such engines for test with the view of advancing the knowledge of design and construction of lightweight engines in this country suitable for naval use.

in this country suitable for naval use.

The clause in the proposed bill permitting the expenditure of not more than \$500,000 abroad was for the purpose of obtaining plans and models of the best type of engine that has been developed in Europe. It is quite possible that the expenditure for this purpose will be considerably less, \$500,000 being set as an outside limit. The proposed law was based on the existing law which permits experimental types of aviation material to be purchased abroad. This law has been of much service to naval aviation and to the commercial industry.

The department is of the belief that the acquisition of such models will be of benefit to the Diesel industry in the United States, and it is earnestly desirous of promoting in any way possible the development of the art of construction of Diesel engines suitable for naval purposes by our own makers.

suitable for naval purposes by our own makers.

Since the proposed bill has not yet come up for discussion in the House, I am taking the liberty of sending a copy of this letter to the chairman of the House Naval Committee.

Sincerely yours,

C. F. ADAMS.

FEBRUARY 7, 1931.

Hon. Charles F. Adams, Secretary of the Navy.

MY DEAR MR. SECRETARY: I have read with intense interest your letter of the 2d instant referring to my remarks dated January 28, 1931, in the Congressional Record on the subject of the naval construction bill, with particular reference to the provision authorizing the purchase in Europe of Diesel engines for use in the Navy. I am especially impressed with the third paragraph of your letter, which reads as follows:

"The Navy Department fully concurs in the statement as to the necessity of encourseing Diesel engine builders in this coun-

the necessity of encouraging Diesel-engine builders in this country to produce Diesel engines suitable for naval use. It is entirely true that in time of war the Navy must depend upon the commercial companies for such engines."

rue that in time of war the Navy must depend upon the commercial companies for such engines."

You state that after the war German submarines were turned over to the Navy and were found to contain extremely reliable and efficient types of engines; that your department has built duplicates of these engines at the New York Navy Yard; that you have installed them in the V-4, V-5, and V-6, and that they have rendered most satisfactory service.

It was this phase of the matter which resulted in my comments concerning the \$500,000 appropriation. These engines may have been satisfactory engines at that time, but the request of your department for authorization to go to Europe to purchase an up-to-date engine establishes the fact that the department has not been able to keep pace with naval-engine development in Europe, and if you continue the policy which your department has pursued during the last 10 years you should be able now to get the most modern, up-to-date engines and duplicate them for submarines. How long will it be before you will have to come back to Congress for authorization to again go back to Europe and buy an engine which will be up to date then?

I am inclined to agree that the builders of Diesel engines for

I am inclined to agree that the builders of Diesel engines for naval use in Europe have made more progress than in this country, but clearly that is due to the fact that the private Diesel industry in Europe, with all of its trained designers, mechanics, and workmen, has had the full cooperation and support of the governments in the various countries both for navy and merchant marine Diesels.

Diesels.

You state that certain Diesel engines built by private American builders were installed in some of our submarines up to and including the World War and that they were successful and satisfactory. During the intervening 15 years our American Diesel builders have certainly made a vast amount of progress in spite of lack of support of marine Diesel orders. The great advance in Diesel-engine design has occurred only during the past few years, and several American builders have kept fully abreast of Europe by purchasing technical collaboration from European builders who have been more fortunate in receiving substantial supporting have been more fortunate in receiving substantial supporting orders from their governments. It is therefore unreasonable to condemn present American Diesels on the basis of performance of obsolete designs of 10 or more years ago.

If the Navy Department by inference places the stamp of inferiority on American-built Diesels by going abroad for Diesel engines and Diesel designs, it must be apparent that this will work a great handicap on the American Diesel industry. Certainly our shipowners do not want to buy "inferior Diesels," and European Diesel builders would be quick to take advantage of the value of a

United States Navy Diesel order to claim superiority of their engines over American-built engines, not only in American merchant marine circles, but even for American stationary Diesel

For your information, one German Diesel builder is now very actively competing in the American stationary Diesel engine field. It will certainly be more difficult for American builders to meet this German competition if the Navy Department advertises to the world that it must go to Germany to get a satisfactory Diesel engine.

am utterly opposed to the Navy Department plans for strength-

I am utterly opposed to the Navy Department plans for strengthening the European Diesel industry with United States Government funds, which will react to further weaken the American Diesel-engine industry, already suffering from lack of past normal Government support. The American Diesel-engine industry should receive the advantage of all the money appropriated for supplying United States Navy Diesel requirements, and the only way this can be accomplished is to place your orders for the contemplated work with American Diesel-engine builders.

As stated in my remarks in the Record, some of our American Diesel engine builders are as well equipped to build engines of all types as any of the builders in Europe.

Several of these American builders have acquired rights from the outstanding European Diesel engine builders for building in America the latest design of Diesel engines produced abroad. These American license arrangements include such firms as M. A. N. and the great German General Electric Co., which is associated with the General Electric Co. in this country. The German General Electric Co. during the war built in large numbers the same type of engine that the Navy Department has recently been building at the New York Navy Yard for installation in our latest submarines, V-4, V-5, and V-6, which engines are, of course, of an obsolete pre-war design.

All of this combined vast engineering experience, including not only lightweight Diesel-engine construction but modern fabricated welded framing. use of special light allow materials and

All of this combined vast engineering experience, including not only lightweight Diesel-engine construction but modern fabricated welded framing, use of special light alloy materials and improved solid injection features, is entirely at the disposal of the Navy Department for producing suitable Navy Diesel engines. It is not necessary at all, as I see it, for the Navy Department to pick out some particular late design of European Diesel and copy it. it. To-day there is no great mystery in weight reduction of machinery; and certainly in the production of machinery requiring technical knowledge, ingenuity, skill, workmanship, and special materials America is the leader of the world.

Suppose our Diesel engine builders, who built these successful

cial materials America is the leader of the world.

Suppose our Diesel engine builders, who built these successful submarine engines before the war, had been given the continuous support of the Navy Department since that time, American engineering skill would have enabled them to have kept pace with Europe in the building of modern Diesel engines suitable for naval use and it would not now be necessary for your department to be requesting authority and funds to go to Europe to buy up-to-date Diesel engines.

Your request for funds and authority to go to Europe indicates that you feel that the American Diesel-engine industry is totally unfit to build engines for the Navy. You have been building Diesel engines in your Navy Department for 10 years or more. Suppose war should be declared to-morrow. Who would build the Diesel engines for the Navy? Our Government during recent years has not cooperated in the training and equipping of private Diesel-engine builders to meet the requirements of the Government if a conflict should come, and if you continue during the next 10 or 20 years to carry out the policy which your department has pursued during the past 10 years, the private Diesel-engine builder in this country will continue to be totally unequipped to meet the naval needs in time of war. That is why I am especially impressed with your statement:

"It is entirely true that in time of war the Navy must depend upon the commercial companies for such engines."

Our Government has been trying to mobilize industry for mili-

"It is entirely true that in time of war the Navy must depend upon the commercial companies for such engines."

Our Government has been trying to mobilize industry for military use in emergency. Certainly any policy of the Navy which does not train and equip the Diesel-engine industry in the United States to the highest degree of efficiency to insure its ability to produce engines suitable for the Navy in case of conflict is directly opposed to Federal mobilization of industry for war pur-

rectly opposed to Federal mobilization of industry for war purposes and such a policy is not sound.

If it is possible for this Government to obtain information and designs of latest European navy Diesels more advantageously than such information and designs can be obtained by private American builders. I would not oppose the authorization, provided the purpose is to place this technical data at the disposal of the American Diesel builders who would build the engines and thereby American Diesei builders who would build the engines and thereby become proficient in the construction of suitable Navy Diesels; but I am convinced that no time should be lost in changing the past policy of the Navy Department of developing and building its own naval Diesels. In order to equip private industry for emergencies, the department should place orders with qualified American Diesel builders covering special Navy Diesels for both submaring and surface vessels.

American Diesel builders covering special Navy Diesels for both submarine and surface vessels.

In direct contrast to the present Navy Department policy of building in Government plants duplicates of German war prize submarine engines for all of its requirements in recent years, the Bureau of Aeronautics has avoided development and manufacture of aircraft engines in Government plants and substantially aided private manufacturing plants in developing the wonderfully successful American designs of airplane engines, which could not have been developed without substantial governmental support. By placing this Diesel development work with private builders in America, the Navy Department would encourage, support, and

build up Diesel development in this country. If American engineering skill, with Government aid and encouragement, can keep fully abreast with the rest of the world in building light, serviceable airplane engines, what reason is there to assume that the American Diesel industry could not keep abreast with the rest of the world in building engines for naval use if given governmental aid and support?

As my remarks have excited considerable interest among the Members as well as the American industry, I am taking the liberty of inserting your communication as well as my reply in the Con-

GRESSIONAL RECORD. Sincerely yours.

JOHN J. COCHRAN.

Mr. Speaker, in my remarks of the 28th of January I mentioned Hooven, Owens, Rentschler Co., of Hamilton, Ohio; Busch Sulzer Diesel Engine Co., of St. Louis; Nordberg Manufacturing Co., of Milwaukee, Wis.; New London Ship & Engine Co., of New London, Conn.; Sun Building Co., of Chester, Pa.; and MacIntosh-Seymour Corporation, of Auburn, N. Y., as leading Diesel manufacturers of the United States. Since then I have been informed that other large companies are Fairbanks-Morse Co., of Chicago; Cooper-Bessemer Corporation, of Grove City, Pa., and Mount Vernon, Ohio; Winton Engine Corporation, of Cleveland, Ohio; Hill Diesel Engine Co., of Lansing, Mich.; Worthington Pump & Machinery Co., of Harrison, N. J.; Fulton Iron Works, of St. Louis; the Atlas Imperial Diesel Engine Co., and the Union Diesel Engine Co., both of Oakland, Calif.; and the Western Enterprise Engine Co., of Los Angeles, Calif., all manufacturers of Diesel engines.

#### HON. PLEAS T. CHAPMAN

Mr. PARSONS. Mr. Speaker, an honored former Member of this House, Hon. Pleas T. Chapman, has just fallen at his home at Vienna, Ill., and I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record by printing a brief statement of his life and services.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Illinois asks unanimous consent to extend his own remarks in the RECORD. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. PARSONS. Mr. Speaker, Hon. Pleas T. Chapman is no more. The Grim Reaper called on him January 31, 1931, and he answered the summons bravely and serenely, as all

Pleas T. Chapman was the fourth generation of that name, a descendant from the revolutionary patriot, Daniel Chapman. His father and mother, D. C. and Mary Rose Chapman, were tillers of the soil, and it was on a farm 8 miles north of Vienna, Ill., where our hero opened his eyes. to the light of day on October 8, 1854.

Pleas, as he was familiarly known, received his early education in the public schools of Johnson County, and afterwards attended McKendree College, where he graduated in 1876. Following the habit of most of the midwesterners. he taught school for several years and studied law between terms. He was admitted to the Illinois bar in 1879, and began the practice of law in his home county of Johnson.

Mr. Chapman possessed an active and analytical mind in the field of Republican politics, and his profession brought him in contact with the people, which quickly developed his political sagacity. He was elected county superintendent of schools of Johnson County in 1876 and served for five years, and later was its county judge, serving two terms, from 1882 to 1890. In 1890 he was elected to the State senate, where he served with distinction until 1902. In 1904 he was his party's choice for Congress from the twenty-fourth Illinois district, being elected to the Fiftyninth Congress and successively to the Sixtieth and Sixtyfirst Congresses. During his six years' service in the National House of Representatives he was a member of the Committee on Invalid Pensions, Manufactures, and Agriculture. This was during the time that another Illinoisan was at the height of his career, Hon. Joseph G. Cannon, who was Speaker of the House, and there are many here today who served with Mr. Chapman and who remember his services to his country and are saddened by his passing.

He was a member of the Masonic Lodge, Knights Templar, and Mystic Shrine, and belonged to the Methodist Church and had served on the official board of that church for many years. He was a successful business man, possessing large holdings in real estate, and at the time of his death was president of the First National Bank, which he had helped to organize many years ago.

The body laid in state at his home, where a great concourse of friends from all sections of southern Illinois came to pay their last tribute and respect to one who had been mighty in their political councils, successful in the financial strength of his county, and honored as a citizen.

Funeral services were held at the home Monday afternoon. February 2, 1931, conducted by Dr. J. W. Cummins, of Marion, Ill., and assisted by Rev. A. A. Hagler, Vienna; C. S. Tritt, Litchfield; J. M. Clayton, Collinsville; and J. H. Morphis, of Creal Springs.

Fraternal Cemetery, located in the midst of his earlier triumphs, contains his last remains. People of all walks of life from great distances came to pay their last respects to their friend.

An editorial appearing in the Vienna Times, whose editor is Harry T. Bridges, sr., under date of February 5, 1931, has the following to say which more aptly describes the beautiful life of P. T. Chapman:

### P. T. CHAPMAN

It is not too much to say of P. T. Chapman that in his passing Johnson County and southern Illinois have lost the greatest citizen in their history. Born in Johnson County, we see him as schoolboy, farm lad, teacher, county superintendent, lawyer, judge, mayor, legislator, Senator, Congressman, banker, financier, Christian, public-spirited citizen, and man among men.

We have had to witness his passing for our minds to grasp how big he was. It has been so with other men down through the ages, and so it was with him. So history will have to tell the generations that follow what P. T. Chapman was to Vienna, to Johnson County, to Illinois, and to the Nation. He served them privately, politically, and financially with honor and distinction to himself and to our own community. We are proud of his achievements during his busy, active life.

He was plain, modest, and unassuming in personality and manner, with a keen perception for the judging of men, and for those he trusted would go the limit and stand by them so long as his faith in them was not shattered. We who are left will never know the number of young men and women he helped to acquire an education nor those he helped financially to start and meet life's battles.

life's battles.

Not without faults and imperfection, nor without personal and political foes and jealousies. Every man who does things must have them. He met every issue of life pleasantly and peaceably if he could, but if he could not he had the courage and the ability to carry the fight even into the lion's den. We honor the memory of such a one.

Others will carry on where he left off, and we trust there are others undeveloped and unborn who will be able to bring again to Vienna, Johnson County, and southern Illinois records equal to that left by P. T. Chapman when he quit the walks of men.

### WICHITA GAME PRESERVE

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record by printing a concurrent resolution from the Legislature of the State of Oklahoma.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Oklahoma asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the RECORD by printing a resolution from the Legislature of the State of Oklahoma. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, under permission granted me to-day by the House, I am submitting a concurrent resolution passed by the Oklahoma Legislature which relates to making certain improvements in the Wichita game preserve, which is a national playground for the people residing in the southwestern portion of the United States. Senator Elmer Thomas and Congressman Jed Johnson have sponsored certain legislation in this connection, and every member of the Oklahoma delegation is anxious to see Congress grant this relief at the earliest date possible.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

To all to whom these presents shall come, greeting:

I, R. A. Sneed, secretary of state of the State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the following and hereto attached is a true copy of enrolled senate concurrent resolution memorializing Congress to pass Senate bills 2350 and 2351, the original of which is now on file and a metter of resolution. now on file and a matter of record in this office.

In testimony whereof I hereto set my hand and cause to be affixed the great seal of State.

Done at the city of Oklahoma City this 5th day of February,

A. D. 1931.

[SEAL.]

Secretary of State. WM. LEE ROBERTS Assistant Secretary of State.

Enrolled Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 3 (by Boyer and Garvin, of the senate, and Reinwand and Weaver, of the house)

A concurrent resolution memorializing Congress to pass Senate bills 2350 and 2351

Whereas in 1905 the Government of the United States set aside the Wichita National Forest and Game Preserve of 61,500 acres, embracing a major portion of the Wichita Mountains in south-western Oklahoma; and

Whereas an effort is being sponsored by the Oklahoma division of the Izaak Walton League of America to enlarge and improve said preserve and park so as to make it a real sanctuary for wild

life and national playgrounds; and

Whereas there are now pending before the Congress of the United States S. 2350 and S. 2351, providing for appropriations for the purpose of increasing the size of said preserve, fencing the same, building a number of artificial lakes, and making needed improvements to properly take care of the wild life in the preserve: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the Thirteenth Legislature of the State of Oklahoma:

SECTION 1. That we do hereby approve the effort of the Oklahoma division of the Izaak Walton League of America in its efforts to enlarge and improve said preserve and to secure the necessary appropriations from the United States Congress.

SEC. 2. That we do hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States to pass said S. 2350 and S. 2351 at an early date. SEC. 3. That we request that a copy of this resolution be sent to the members of the Oklahoma delegation in Congress.

Adopted by the Senate this the 30th day of January, 1931.

ROBERT BURNS, President of the Senate.

Adopted by the house of representatives this the 2d day of February, 1931.

CARLTON WEAVER Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Correctly enrolled.

DAVE BOYER

Chairman Committee on Engrossing and Enrolling.

# DROUGHT RELIEF

Mr. GASQUE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record by printing a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of South Carolina regarding relief for the drought-stricken areas.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from South Carolina asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the RECORD by printing a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of South Carolina. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The resolution is as follows:

Whereas the House of Representatives of South Carolina recalling the generosity of the United States in appropriating \$100,000,000 to help the war-stricken countries of Europe after the World War, and of that amount \$80,000,000 was found sufficient. This we feel a just satisfaction in having helped those who were in distress. It is also with just commendation we recall the large appropriations and supplies furnished by the United States to the Porto Rico island people when the calamity laid siege these unfortunate people in the great storms of a few years ago; and Whereas it is also gratifying when calamity of volcanic eruptions occurring in Italy laying in waste the country and killing many people, that the United States rushed considerable aid with the Navy transports to relieve the sore distress; and

Whereas, with these deeds in view, we wish to commend the Democrats and the Progressive Republicans in the United States Senate for their manly and just fight to secure \$25,000,000 to relieve the drought-stricken section of our own people in the United States, believing that we should think and care as much for the welfare of our own people as we did for suffering people around the world. We know these Democrats and Progressive Republicans know that it is almost adding insult to injury to call on people who are suffering themselves from depression and great loss everywhere, and who need help themselves, to contribute amounts that will be necessary to relieve the distress: Now therefore he if fore be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives of South Carolina, That the Democrats and the Progressive Republicans in the United States Senate are hereby commended for their fight to secure aid from the United States by an appropriation, and if the Red Cross will not accept and distribute the appropriation, that this amount be disbursed from some organization competent to get relief to the people who so sorely need it.

Resolved further, That the house deems our own people as worthy of help as any people on earth, and we think as much of our people's welfare as extended to others across the seas and deplore the unjust discrimination against our own people.

Resolved further, That copies of this resolution be sent to all Congressmen and United States Senators from South Carolina

and to the Hon. JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, the Democratic leader in the United States Senate.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Columbia S. C., February 4, 1931.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a resolution adopted by the House of Representatives of South Carolina.

J. WILSON GIBBES, Clerk of the House.

#### H. R. 15934, THE OLEO BILL

Mr. GLOVER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD by printing a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Arkansas, advocating the passage of the bill (H. R. 15934) relating to oleomargarine.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Arkansas asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the RECORD by printing a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Arkansas. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The resolution is as follows:

Resolution memorializing the Congress to enact that certain bill now pending, known as H. R. 15934, relating to the manufacture and sale of oleomargarine and restricting the use of palm oil in the manufacture thereof

Whereas there is now pending before the Congress of the United States a certain bill known as H. R. 15934, the purpose and intent of which is to prohibit the use of palm oil in the manufacture of oleomargarine and its products; and Whereas the enactment of such bill by Congress will be of great benefit to the dairying interests of the State of Arkansas: Now, therefore he it.

therefore be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives of the State of Arkansas (the Senate concurring herein), That we do hereby respectfully petition and urge the Congress to pass and enact said H. R. 15934.

Be it further resolved, That the chief clerk of this house be instructed to forward a copy hereof to each of the Senators and Representatives of the State of Arkansas in the Congress of the

United States.

I. R. ROTHROCK. JAS. K. ADKINS. J. ED THOMPSON. W. J. BULLOCK. W. H. TOLAND. C. D. EWELL. GUY BOYETT

J. I. PILKINGTON. W. B. GRAHAM. TOM NEWTON. CHARLES FLEMING. JOE THOMAS. W. D. WALDROP.

February 6, 1931. Read and adopted.

W. H. PHIPPS, Chief Clerk. W. C. BLACKWELL, Chairman.

### NOMINATION OF EUGENE MEYER, JR., TO BE GOVERNOR OF FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD

Mr. McFADDEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD by printing two statements I recently made before a subcommittee of the Committee on Banking and Currency of the Senate.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Pennsylvania asks unanimous consent to extent his remarks in the RECORD by printing two statements made by him before a subcommittee of the Committee on Banking and Currency of the Senate. Is there objection?

Mr. BLANTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, and I shall not object, I would like to ask the chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency what chance there is, if any, to get any relief through the various bills pending before his committee to stop wholesale foreclosure of farm mortgages by Federal land banks?

Mr. McFADDEN. I will say to the gentleman from Texas there are several bills pending before the committee upon which quite extensive hearings have been held, as the gentleman well knows.

Mr. BLANTON. The press indicates that the matter is at a standstill and that there will not be any action taken at this session, is that correct?

Mr. McFADDEN. The committee is waiting now on additional information which we are seeking from the Federal Farm Loan Board.

Mr. BLANTON. Does the gentleman expect his committee to abide by whatever the Federal Farm Loan Board may say about it?

Mr. McFADDEN. Oh, no; not at all.

Mr. BLANTON. They have already had their say, and

it is a matter now, as to what the people say.

Mr. ALMON. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee a question. Is it not a fact that bills pertaining to the question of extension of loans by the Federal farm loan banks has been pending before the committee since early in December?

Mr. McFADDEN. I think since the opening of the ses-

sion; yes.

Mr. ALMON. And is it not the fact that the committee has a report from the Secretary of the Treasury opposing the legislation?

Mr. McFADDEN. We have; yes.

Mr. ALMON. And the Farm Loan Board, which the gentleman has called on for information, has its headquarters in the city of Washington?

Mr. McFADDEN. Yes.

Mr. ALMON. And up to this time the gentleman has not secured any recommendation or report from that board?

Mr. McFADDEN. Oh, yes; we have had members of the board before the committee who have dealt with this subject both in open session and in executive session. The committee has been giving very careful and thoughtful consideration to this most unfortunate situation.

Mr. ALMON. I was informed that no hearings had been held, and that is the reason I asked the question.

Mr. McFADDEN. Extensive hearings have been held by the committee.

Mr. ALMON. I will say to the gentleman that I am very pleased that the committee is giving the matter consideration, because it is a question of very great importance to the farmers of the country, especially in the South, where the farmers are unable to meet their payments on mortgages to the Federal land banks on account of the drought, the slump in the price of cotton and other agricultural products, and the financial depression. I hope the committee will report a bill in time to have it passed at this session.

Mr. McFADDEN. I realize that, and the committee is giving the matter its attention.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. McFADDEN. Mr. Speaker, under the leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I include my statements before the subcommittee of the Committee on Banking and Currency, United States Senate, under dates of January 27, 1931, and February 6, 1931, on the consideration of the nomination of Eugene Meyer, jr., to be governor of the Federal Reserve Board.

The statements are in part, as follows:

UNITED STATES SENATE, SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE ON BANKING AND CURRENCY, Washington, D. C., January 27, 1931.

The subcommittee met at 10 o'clock a.m., in room 212, Senate Office Building, Senator Robert D. Carey presiding.

Present: Senators Carey (chairman), Goldsborough, Brook-

HART, WAGNER, and FLETCHER

Senator Carer. The committee will please come to order. Senator Brookhart, do you want the witnesses sworn?

Senator Brookhart. Yes, sir. Senator Carey. I will call Congressman McFadden first.

TESTIMONY OF HON. LOUIS T. M'FADDEN, A REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

(The witness was duly sworn by the chairman.)
Senator Carey. You may proceed, Mr. McFadden.
Representative McFadden. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, the statement that I am about to make I am submitting from information that has come to me as a Member of Congress and in connection with the work that I have had relating

Congress and in connection with the work that I have had relating to matters that have come before the Banking and Currency Committee of the House.

I am not speaking as chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency, but I am referring to information and knowledge which have come to me in the position to which I have been assigned in the House. It covers a period of approximately 16 years and for about 11 years as chairman of the Committee on Banking and Currency. During this period of time the com-

mittee have had many hearings and have been in a position to observe the operations of the Federal reserve system, the Federal

observe the operations of the Federal reserve system, the Federal farm loan system, and the intermediate credits act, because of the fact that the committee has the responsibility of passing on legislation pertaining to all of these systems; this also included the operation of the War Finance Corporation act.

As I say, the committee have held hearings at various times and have made a study of Federal reserve operations, Federal farm loan operations, intermediate credits operations, and War Finance Corporation activities. Much of what I shall say to you this morning, as I have previously said, has been gained from a careful study of these problems which have been presented to the committee.

the committee.

I would like to say at the outset that I have no personal ani-mosity toward Mr. Eugene Meyer and never have had; but I have come into possession of information and have formed some ideas in connection with these observations of the activities of the va-rious organizations with which he has been connected here, beginning with the War Finance Corporation, and the Federal farm loan system, and as these activities have affected the Federal reserve system.

Mr. Meyer was a member of the board of the War Finance Corporation during its early days, and later, when the War Finance Corporation activities began to wane, Mr. Meyer made a trip out through the agricultural sections of the South and Middle West with Senator Calder observing agricultural conditions. through the agricultural sections of the South and Middle West with Senator Calder, observing agricultural conditions, and worked up considerable sentiment in favor of the continuance of the War Finance Corporation. This activity on his part was largely responsible for the revival of the War Finance Corporation, and he was appointed manager-director.

It would appear from Mr. Meyer's activities from the time he came to Washington that he has been a seeker after public office. It was noticeable in the revival of the activities of the War Finance Corporation that he was looking toward leadership as the

Finance Corporation that he was looking toward leadership as the head of that institution. I do not care to go into more than one phase of the operations of the War Finance Corporation.

phase of the operations of the War Finance Corporation.

When again the activities of the War Finance Corporation began to decline it appeared that Mr. Meyer was seeking his next connection, and it so developed that this next connection was with the Federal farm-loan system.

The first acts which synchronized with earlier activities in securing his connection with the War Finance Corporation were apparent in the appointment of a Mr. Williams, of Texas, on the Federal Farm Loan Board at the instance of Mr. Meyer. Mr. Williams was a former employee of the War Finance Corporation in the State of Texas. At the inception of Mr. Williams into the Federal farm-loan system more or less turmoil was evident. Questions arose as to the administration of its operations. The other members of the board became exercised and alarmed with apparently no consultation back and forth except of an antagonistic nature, and it was readily understood among the people who knew of the operations there that Mr. Williams was Mr. Meyer's man and that there was to be brought about a reorganization of the Federal farm-loan system.

Senator Carey. Whom did Mr. Williams succeed in that posi-

Senator Carry. Whom did Mr. Williams succeed in that position?

Representative McFadden. I do not recall whom he did succeed,

Representative McFadden. I do not recall whom he did succeed, but subsequently there was a reorganization of the Federal farmloan system; there were three resignations or dismissals from the Federal Farm Loan Board and members of the War Finance Corporation were made members of the board of the Federal farmloan system. Mr. Meyer was made farm-loan commissioner, or head of the Federal farm-loan system.

These men who came in from the War Finance Corporation besides Mr. Williams were Mr. Harrison, Mr. Cooksey, and Mr. Meyer, a majority of the board. It was generally understood in and around Treasury circles that the old organization of the War Finance Corporation was taking over the operation of the Federal farm-loan system. Again you had an indication of Mr. Meyer's desire to hold public office in the operation of the Federal farm-loan system. loan system.

Almost immediately after the new board was organized a good deal of publicity was caused through the failure of the Kansas City Joint Stock Land Bank and the Ohio Joint Stock Land Bank. I am not going into any angle of that but it would be well. I think, for your committee, in connection with these hearings, to closely analyze the operations that I am referring to here, with particular reference to the failures of some of these joint-stock lead hearing. land banks.

Now, I want to pass from this to the situation in regard to the Federal reserve system, and to invite your attention to what appears to me to be a synchronization of effort to arrange a distribution of important financial positions in connection with these various bodies.

Senator Brookhart. Before you go to that, would it be proper to inquire something of the intermediate credits acts? Representative McFadden. The intermediate credits acts were passed and were operated by the Federal Farm Loan Board as a separate agency under the management for each of the 12 districts where the banks are located. I do not care to go into any of those

where the banks are located. I do not care to go into any of those operations at this time.

Senator Fletcher. The membership of the Farm Loan Board was increased by two, making the membership of the board seven instead of five, as originally provided for in the act.

Representative McFadden. Yes; you are quite correct about that. Senator Fletcher. I think Mr. Williams went on at that time. Senator Wagner. Who increased it, Congress or Mr. Meyer? Senator Fletcher. Congress.

Senator Wagner. I thought that Mr. Meyer did all of these things

Senator Fletcher. I do not know whether Mr. Meyer recom-mended it or not, but the board was increased from five to seven when the intermediate credits acts were passed.

Representative McFadden. I think you will observe also that about that time, when this reorganization took place and the members of the board of the War Finance Corporation were moved into the Federal farm loan system, there was a large increase in the operating and general expenses of the Federal farm loan sys-tem. I am not criticizing that. It may have been necessary, but tem. I am not criti these costs doubled.

Senator Brookhart. Did not the business of the association decline?

Representative McFadden. I think it is fair to state that because of the situation that was created at that time the Federal farm loan system was tightening up all along the line and paying more attention to the collection of its obligations than to the extension of its facilities to the public. There are many statements that have been issued to indicate that. I have not made a detailed examination of it, but I think it is fair to say that at or about that time they began to tighten up on their loans and became more drastic on collections.

Senator Brookhart. That is one thing that needs to be examined into by this committee in connection with this matter, is

Representative McFadden. I would presume so; yes.

Now, I want to place in the record at this time a copy of my letter to Hon. Peter Norbeck, chairman of the Senate Committee on Banking and Currency, under date of January 5, 1931; and, in addition to that, I want to place in the record, with the permission of the committee, two speeches that I made in the House on December 16 and also on the 20th, which have a bearing on certain things to which I have referred here this securities in tain things to which I have referred here before this committee in

regard to the international relationship of our Federal reserve system with foreign countries and banks.

Senator Carey. If there is no objection, they will be admitted.

Representative McFadden. My letter to Hon. Peter Norbeck, under date of January 5, 1931, is as follows:

" JANUARY 5, 1931.

"Hon. PETER NORBECK,

"Chairman Committee on Banking and Currency,
"United States Senate, Washington, D. C.
"DEAR SENATOR NORBECK: In connection with the confirmation

DEAR SENATOR NORBECK: In connection with the confirmation of the pending nomination of Eugene Meyer, jr., to be a member and governor of the Federal Reserve Board which was some days ago reported favorably by your committee and is now, I understand, by agreement to be voted on by the Senate on January 9:

"Before this matter is finally passed upon, should not your committee and the other members of the Senate ascertain the

"Before this matter is finally passed upon, should not your committee and the other members of the Senate ascertain the circumstances leading up to this appointment and to the resignation of Roy A. Young as governor of the Federal Reserve Board and Edmund Platt as vice governor of the board?

"At the time of the resignation of Governor Young he was appointed governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. Simultaneously with the resignation of Vice Governor Platt from the board he was made vice president of the Marine Midland group of banks, a new position created by this institution to fit the employment of Mr. Platt. I have been informed that the negotiations leading up to Mr. Platt's resignation and his appointment as vice president in charge of public relations of the Marine Midland group were largely conducted by Mr. Alfred A. Cook, a New York City lawyer, located at 20 Pine Street, who, I am told, is the brother-in-law of Eugene Meyer, jr., and Mr. George Blumenthal, who has long been a member of the international banking house of Lazard Freres & Co. I have already pointed out Mr. Blumenthal's activities with the French Government and J. P. Morgan & Co. Mr. Cook, I understand, is also attorney for the New York Times. It is perhaps, needless for me to explain that the New York Times is probably the strongest exponent in this country of the type of internationalism which is leading gradually to our involvement in international Settlements and its use of our Federal reserve system through J. P. Morgan & Co., who are and represent the American stockholders in this bank.

the Bank for International Settlements and its use of our Federal reserve system through J. P. Morgan & Co., who are and represent the American stockholders in this bank.

"I should like to restate here the expressed official position of this Government as set forth in the statement of Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of State, under date of May 16, 1929, in regard to participation by officers of the Federal reserve system in the Bank for International Settlements. I quote:

"In respect to the statements which have appeared in the press in regard to the rarticipation of any Federal reserve officials in the

"'In respect to the statements which have appeared in the press in regard to the participation of any Federal reserve officials in the creation or management of the new proposed international bank, I wish to make clear the position of this Government:

"'While we look with interest and sympathy upon the efforts being made by the committee of experts to suggest a solution and a settlement of the vexing question of German reparations, this Government does not desire to have any American official, directly or indirectly, participate in the collection of German reparations through the agency of this bank or otherwise. " " It does not now wish to take any step which would indicate a reversal of that attitude and for that reason it will not permit any officials of the Federal reserve systems either to themselves serve or to select American representatives as members of the proposed international American representatives as members of the proposed international bank.

"Notwithstanding this definite prohibition, officers of the Federal reserve system and of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York

are continuing conferences and apparently collaborating with the officers of the Bank for International Settlements.

officers of the Bank for International Settlements.

"Under these circumstances, the Senate and the country are entitled to have the full facts.

"The position of governor of the Federal Reserve Board, as you know, at this time is one of the greatest positions of trust in the United States, and the procurement of an important position of trust like this should not be acquired in any doubtful manner.

"If this appointment has been secured through such methods, the country is entitled to know it, and these facts, if established, are sufficient basis for the rejection of this nomination.

the country is entitled to know it, and these facts, if established, are sufficient basis for the rejection of this nomination.

"Do you not think the way to ascertain the truth is to call before your committee, prior to this confirmation, the following persons: Hon. Roy A. Young, governor Federal Reserve Bank of Boston; Hon. Edmund Platt, vice president of the Marine Midland group of banks; Mr. George F. Rand, president of the Marine Midland group of banks, Buffalo, N. Y.; Mr. Alfred A Cook, 20 Pine Street, New York; and Fivene Morey, in 2

Midland group of banks, Buffalo, N. Y.; Mr. Alfred A Cook, 20 Pine Street, New York; and Eugene Meyer, jr.?

"In further substantiation of what I am saying, I am closing copy of an address which I delivered in the House of Representatives under date of December 16, 1930.

"I should also like to make it clear to you that in the delivery of this speech and in the writing of this letter I am not assuming to lecture or direct your committee or the action of the United States Senate. I am thoroughly aware of the impropriety of such a course. What I am saying in this letter and what I have said in the inclosed speech is on my own responsibility as a Member of the House of Representatives. Because of the fact that I am so much concerned about the future welfare of the Federal reserve system, on account of its effect on the people in the United States, I am forced to resort to the only method at my command to bring this to the attention of those who have the responsibility now before them of the confirmation of this appointment. before them of the confirmation of this appointment.
"Respectfully yours,

"L. T. McFadden." (Signed)

The speeches to which I referred are as follows:

SPEECH OF HON. LOUIS T. M'FADDEN, OF PENNSYLVANIA, IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, DECEMBER 16, 1930

Mr. McFadden. Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentlemen of the committee, this country is in the midst of a serious business, economic, and financial depression. Several times during the last session of Congress I took occasion to direct the attention of the House and of the country to the possible serious involvement of

House and of the country to the possible serious involvement of our Federal reserve system in not only international finance but in international politics.

I am now taking the time of the House to further direct the attention of this House to international finance, and I hope that the few remarks that I may make will be heard somewhat in the other end of the Capitol, because of the fact that before the Senate at this time are matters which tend to further involve the Federal reserve system in internationalism, particularly as regards

ate at this time are matters which tend to further involve the Federal reserve system in internationalism, particularly as regards such financial activities.

The seriousness of this situation is perfectly apparent. You Members heard several of the things that I said during the closing days of the last session of Congress about the involvement of the Federal reserve system, or possible involvement of it, in the organization of the Bank for International Settlements. Those people who attempted to answer my remarks in regard to this, attempted to minimize the importance of the operation of the Bank for International Settlements. Subsequent events, however, have confirmed everything that I said last spring as regards the magnitude of this important financial institution. We are now beginning to get the facts pertaining to the important part which this institution is to play with regard to international financial and political operations. I need only cite in this connection the recent visit to the Capitol and to the White House, to the Treasury, the Federal Reserve Board, and to the Department of State of the head of the Bank for International Settlements, Mr. Gates W. McGarrah.

I also call attention to a speech which that gentleman made in the city of New York only 10 days ago, in which he pointed out the important part which this institution is to play in the future in regard to world finances. He said it was the purpose to mobilize, and the reports indicate that mobilization of the world's gold is beginning. They propose to deal with international operations. He also told us in this New York speech of the important part which the Bank of International Settlements played in upholding the plan of the finance minister in Germany, Mr. Bruening, in the last session of the Reich. I want to point out to you just prior to that an important step that was taken by the same international banking group; that is, they financed and sold in this country \$300,000,000, or a part of it, of the commercial reparation loan. You gen

bonds have declined from a value of 91½ to a low of 68. The market on Saturday was 70, representing a loss to American investors in these particular securities of over \$20,000,000. The particular reference which I make to this financing is that it was a private undertaking. The syndicate was headed by Lee Higginson & Co., which is really the back door of J. P. Morgan & Co., who financed an additional loan of \$125,000,000. This is a new loan that was given Germany subsequently to the sale of the \$100,000,000 commercialized reparations loan—that was not even advertised locally in this country, but taken by nearly the same

group of banks that handled the first loan—but this time instead of the J. P. Morgan firm heading the syndicate it was headed by Lee Higginson & Co. This makes a total of apparently \$225,000,000 given to Germany since the Young plan was adopted, and we do not now know how much more was granted by the Bank of International Settlements.

That last loan of \$125,000,000 was made for the purpose of aiding Mr. Bruening in organizing the Reich, in order to put through his financial plan for the stabilization of Germany. Imagine, if you please, any financial house in Europe coming into this body and granting loans and bringing pressure to bear on the organization of the House of Representatives. Yet that is almost exactly what the Bank of International Settlements has done, and our banking houses here have been placing this tremendous financial power in the hands of the Bruening financial leader of the Reichstag.

In connection with what I have further to say here this morning, I want to point out to you the serious additional step that is about to be taken.

about to be taken.

Mr. Stafford. Will the gentleman yield?
Mr. McFadden, I yield.
Mr. Stafford. Do I understand the gentleman is criticizing American banking institutions for loaning money to the accredited representative of the Germany Government?

Mr. McFadden. No; I am not suggesting that. I am suggesting that American financiers who are international financiers—
Mr. Stafford. And properly so.
Mr. McFadden. Are using American money to help organize the Reichstag's financial operations.

Mr. Stafford. That was a governmental function—loaning money to the recognized representatives of the German Government. What is wrong with that?

Mr. McFanden. I am speaking of the possible involvement of our Federal reserve system in internationalism. I would like to point out further to the gentleman, and to the Members of the point out further to the gentleman, and to the Members of the House, that that which I am referring to here is a possible further involvement in this situation. There is pending before the Senate at this time, having been reported favorably by the Senate Banking and Currency Committee, the nomination to be a member of the Federal Reserve Board and its governor, the name of Mr. Eugene Meyer, Jr. This appointment should not be confirmed by the United States Senate, and I want to make that just as positive as it is possible for me to make it. If you want to turn the Federal reserve system over to international financiers, place Mr. Meyer in that particular post at this time.

positive as it is possible to the to make it. It you want to thin the Federal reserve system over to international financiers, place Mr. Meyer in that particular post at this time.

A careful analysis discloses the fact that Mr. Meyer has been very closely connected during his whole financial career with banking houses of international reputation. He has a very close connection with J. P. Morgan & Co., and as the head of the War Finance Corporation, and in carrying on its activities those close relationships were actively disclosed. He is a Wall Street man. I want to point out just what has happened in order to make that nomination and appointment possible. Gov. Roy Young, from Minnesota, was the governor of the Federal Reserve Board. His resignation was secured by appointing him as governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, and because of that clause in the Federal Reserve Board from one Federal reserve district, Mr. Edmund Platt, the vice governor of the Federal Reserve Board, with eight years yet to go, was likewise removed by giving him a with eight years yet to go, was likewise removed by giving him a position with the Marine Midland Bank in New York. I understand that a new position of vice president was created, and two operations had to be performed in order to create a vacancy which would permit of the appoinment of Mr. Meyer.

The Senate of the United States should not confirm his appointment without going into the details as to why these changes were made and why the appointment of Mr. Meyer was made

necessary.

The Charman. The time of the gentleman from Pennsylvania

has expired.

Mr. Dickinson. Mr. Chairman, I yield the gentleman five additional minutes.

Mr. McFadden. He is recognized as an international financier; he is a Wall Street banker and closely affiliated with these inter-

national banking groups.

I want to point out in connection with that that at the present time we have a particularly pertinent and interesting situation as regards the mobilization of the world's gold. Over 60 per cent of the world's gold is now in France and in the United States, conthe world's gold is now in France and in the United States, controlled by the Bank of France and the Federal reserve system. I want you to understand that situation because of what I am going to say to you next. I want to point out the close relationship which exists between this proposed member of the Federal reserve system and the French at this time.

Mr. O'CONNOR of New York. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McFadden. Yes.

Mr. O'CONNOR of New York. I have seen many contradictory statements as to where the gold reserve is throughout the world. The last figures I saw were that there was about \$4,000,000,000 here and about \$2,000,000,000 in France, but that there was about \$4,000,000,000 or \$5,000,000,000 distributed throughout the rest of the world. What are the gentleman's figures with respect to the

gold reserve?
Mr. McFadden. Between 60 and 62 per cent is deposited in the

United States and in France.
Mr. O'CONNOR of New York. What are the figures in dollars? Mr. McFadden. Well, the total world's gold is something like \$10,000,000,000, and 62 per cent would be something over Mr. O'CONNOR of New York. In both the United States and

France, the gentleman means?

Mr. McFadden. Yes. The gold in the United States is principally under the control of the Federal reserve system and the gold in France is largely under the control of the Bank of France, and

that has an important bearing on this whole situation.

I want to point out that Mr. Meyer is a brother-in-law of Mr. George Blumenthal, a member of the firm of J. P. Morgan & Co., who, I understand, represents the Rothschild interests, and that he is liaison officer between the French Government and J. P. Morgan & Co. That has a very important bearing on this particular situation.

I want to make it perfectly plain that in placing Mr. Meyer at the head of the Federal reserve system you are turning it over completely to this international financial group. I do not believe that the people of the United States want this thing to happen. This is an unpleasant duty for me to perform. But, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I am interested in our country and its institutions, as is a very man woman and child in the United institutions, as is every man, woman, and child in the United States

The Federal reserve system controls the credit system not only of the United States to-day but is the dominating factor in finance throughout the whole world.

I could not let this opportunity pass without at least directing this to the attention of this House, and, I hope, the attention of the Senate, before they take this additional step.

There is no question that the Federal reserve system is playing with international financial operations through the Bank for International Settlements. Otherwise, why would Mr. McGarrah be here reporting to the President of the United States, the Treasury Department, the governor of the Federal Reserve Board, and the State Department? Why would he be making statements with regard to the operations of the Bank for International Settlements? ments?

I am simply throwing out these thoughts to you to show how extensively we are becoming involved and how our financial system

at the opening involved in the affairs of international finance.

At the opening of my remarks I pointed to the fact that we are in the midst of a terrific business and financial depression in the United States, and it is just at such times that deals of this

United States, and it is just at such times that deals of this character are put over.

Gentlemen, I do not want to be too pessimistic with regard to our financial situation, but the fact remains that this year practically 1,100 banks will have failed in the United States. It is a serious financial crisis, and I am hoping that before this session is over the committee over which I preside as chairman may have an opportunity to look into the causes of the failure of these particular banks.

The Charman The time of the contlement for the contlement of the series of the se

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Pennsylvania has expired.

Mr. Dickinson. Mr. Chairman, I yield the gentleman five additional minutes.

Mr. McFadden. I may say to you that the analysis I have already made of the causes of the failure of some of these banks indicates clearly that these failures are not due entirely to agricultural depression in the country districts. An examination of the portfolios of these banks will disclose that in many instances the impairment in the capital of these banks is caused by the investments in their portfolios. ments in their portfolios.

Ments in their portfolios.

You are going to find that these large big-city financial institutions—that in the past few years have been floating and financing these various consolidated enterprises that we have been in a mad rush to put together, where practically every business throughout the country that has gained any standing or any basis of earnings has been merged or mobilized and financed in New York and elsewhere—have been emitting securities, after pulling out the cream of the values in securities and unloading the remaining worthless. of the values in securities, and unloading the remaining worthless securities not only on the banks but on innocent investors throughout the country; and in mentioning this I put at the top of the list the international banks who are financing these domestic operations and the large amount of foreign securities as a whole, many of which have depreciated in this country over 50 per cent. The falled banks are full of these worthless securities, and they have been sold to the banks and to the innocent investors in this country by banking houses of the type which I have just mentioned.

Mr. O'Connor of New York. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. McFadden. I yield. Mr. O'Connor of New York. Does not the gentleman also think that one of the underlying causes for the condition of some of the banks is that they have left the strictly banking business and

banks is that they have left the strictly banking business and have gone into the financing business with their side companies, called finance, and so forth, companies?

Mr. McFadden. The gentleman is quite correct. That is one of the serious phases of this question. Banks have not been content to do a legitimate banking business, but they have organized affiliated companies under State laws that have permitted them to do those things which are prohibited directly under the law. There is no doubt but that the matter which the gentleman has referred to is responsible for a lot of things that have been going on and for a large part of the losses that have been sustained; in fact, they are responsible for a lot of the speculation which occurred last year, where affiliates of some of these large houses were the violators.

They have been the sources from which hundreds of millions

of dollars' worth of these fancy securities have been unloaded on the innocent public. This resulted in the wide speculation of last year; in fact, the very thing that caused the crash of last

October was the fact that early in the summer these reorganization and financing houses that had all of these reorganizations and financial operations in process became aware of the fact that pressure was on from the Federal reserve to reduce credit lines and that an economic depression was imminent, and they all tried to get rid of their securities at one and the same time. What happened? It was just like the meeting of two locomotives. The excess amount of the issues of these new securities to which I have referred and the tightening of the credit of the financial system brought them together exactly as two locomotives come together, and there was nothing to prevent a crash.

Mr. Black. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McFadden. Yes. Mr. Black. Does the gentleman's committee intend to do any-

Mr. McFadden. So far as the chairman is concerned, I will say the committee is going to take up very actively a study of this particular phase of the financial troubles that confront this country. [Applause.]

### WHY NOT AN AMERICAN POLICY?

[Speech of Hon. Louis T. McFadden, of Pennsylvania, in the House of Representatives, December 20, 1930]

"Mr. McFadden. Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, at the outset I want to make it perfectly clear and reiterate what the gentleman from Maine has already said about iterate what the gentleman from Maine has already said about the remarks I am about to make. I make them on my own responsibility as a Member of the House, as I always do, and I am not speaking for the Banking and Currency Committee, nor am I attempting to speak for anyone else who is a member of this body, nor have I in the past in any remarks I have ever uttered in this House attempted to convey that thought.

"Mr. Jones of Texas. Will the gentleman yield?

"Mr. McEnnyay Yes.

"Mr. McFadden. Yes.
"Mr. Jones of Texas. Has the gentleman agreed to delete any-

thing from his proposed remarks?
"Mr. McFadden. I have not. They are going to be delivered exactly as I intended to deliver them when the objection was made. "Mr. Speaker, there are times when it is peculiarly necessary for the Congress of the United States to consider from a broad and comprehensive standpoint the situation in which the country

"At such times patriotic legislators will diligently examine the "At such times patriotic legislators will diligently examine the multiplicity of forces that are at work and study the effect of their influence upon the general welfare and the state of the Union. It is precisely at such times that the spirit of controversy will be subordinated and partisan prejudice set aside. It is light that is needed, and its beneficent rays may be depended upon to bring satisfactory solutions and point the way to national policies upon which all parties and factions who are actuated by love of country may units.

country may unite.
"Such, Mr. Speaker, are the times in which we are now meeting. Their problems are not bounded by our frontiers, as they frequently were in an older day. On the contrary, the forces which are now making themselves felt in our daily lives come in large measure from without, and these distant though powerful influences are sometimes obscure and baffling because cause and effect are not clearly visible and the motives which set them in

motion are not clearly visible and the motives which set them in motion are not understood.

"These world forces are primarily political. They fall within the purview of this body, and it is the Congress of the United States that must cope with them, and legislate intelligently with reference to them, if the United States is to control them consciously and not be drawn unwittingly into the sweep of policies determined for it from without or privately by the Government's executive.

utive branch alone.
"Since the New Haven address which Secretary Hughes made in "Since the New Haven address which Secretary Hughes made in 1921, and increasingly with the passage of the years, we have been exhorted to leave the settlement of foreign problems to the economic experts. There is a school of leadership, very vocal and insistent, which points to the failure of political leadership everywhere, and asks that the financiers and economists in private life be permitted to do well what the politicians can only do badly.

"So urgent have been their exhortations that they have, in fact, when given earth blanches by successive administrations to represent the problem."

been given carte blanche by successive administrations to represent the United States abroad, where they have wielded enormous power because the Europeans thought that they spoke for the American Government, and where they have put their mark upon the Europe of to-day as effectively as any overlord could do.

"Where did the idea come from that American financiers and

where did the idea come from that American financiers and economic experts could properly adjust the political relationships of the European States among themselves or of those States with the United States? What type of man is there who has less interest in political principles, and less regard for political sensibilities, than the international financier?

"The particular gantlemen who in the international financier?

"The particular gentlemen who, in regard to postwar Europe have represented American financial prestige in the treaty settlements there, have succeeded in leaving that distracted continent

in a worse condition than that in which they found it.

"They proceeded on the assumption that the settlements of the treaty of Versailles were final and were to be put into integral execution, notwithstanding the fact that their own country had refused to become a party to that treaty.

"In their financial negotiations the treaty of Versailles became their bible, and in their interpretations of the reparation obligations of Germany they have shown themselves more English than the English and more French than the French.

"It has been their endeavor, through the successive steps of the London ultimatum, the Dawes plan, and the Young plan, to commit their own country to settlements which in their essence are no whit different from the treaty of Versailles itself. This would have been an enormous service to the allied states of Europe, for without the support of the United States they are not strong enough to enforce the unjust treaty, and a radical revision becomes necessary. They have sought by economic means to guarantee the political settlements made at Versailles, instead of inquiring whether political readjustments were not a condition precedent to economic improvement.

to economic improvement.

"For this reason their activities in Europe have been worse than useless. They have without doubt complicated and entangled the international situation and perpetuated the fatal errors of the peace settlement to such an extent that no recovery has been possible in Europe.

"There is not a single political wrong to whose solution they have contributed. They have assumed that there were no political wrongs in the peace treaty that must first be corrected, and they have proceeded to capitalize the blood money of a vast war tribute into billions-of-dollar bonds which they have brought home with them and offered for sale to the American people with the assurance that Europe's political troubles have been healed by their masterly statesmanship and, incidentally, that the bonds are an excellent investment for the American purchaser.

"But the bonds are not an excellent investment for the American purchaser."

can purchaser, and the American purchaser does not want them. Some other treatment must be found for the invalid than this comprehensive transfusion of American financial blood.

"Mr. Speaker, the financiers and economic experts have had their turn. It is time for them to retire and relinquish the responsibility of determining the policy of the United States Government to the Representatives in Congress where it belongs. [Applause.] It is time that the control of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York be taken out of their hands and that, by legislation, its activities be brought into line with a national policy which the Congress of the United States will fix.

Congress of the United States will fix.

"The war in Europe profoundly altered the relationship of that Continent to the rest of the world, and particularly its relationship to our own country. This fact did not become visible until some years after the war. It was consciously concealed by the European negotiators in the peace conference, and the treaty of Versailles recited the obligation of the vanquished in that war to pay \$30,000,000,000 to the victors. Were the makers of that peace treaty under the spell of an illusion that Europe's pre-war wealth. treaty under the spell of an illusion that Europe's pre-war wealth remained intact? That the financial settlements of that treaty as between the European States had any connection with reality?

"Knowing the undoubtedly high intellectual quality of the minds of the European negotiators we can not believe it. They knew that all Europe must begin the new postwar era in dire poverty and burdene with debt; that the power of stored-up capital had passed from it.

"Why, then, did they saddle upon the enemy an utterly impossible war tribute of \$33,000,000,000 to be paid in annual installments over a period of 37 years?

"It is a strange fact that it is only in recent years that public attention in the United States has been drawn to that provision in the treaty of Versailles which permits the allied states to offer their respective shares of the \$33,000,000,000 indemnity to private purposeers in the form of bonds. purchasers in the form of bonds.

"Even if discreet statesmanship did not desire to stress this provision publicly, it bears so directly on the interests of the

provision publicly, it bears so directly on the interests of the American people, and it was such a powerful means of new postwar adjustments which concerned them, that it would seem that the American mind would have been alive to its significance.

"It has, however, remained the most obscure feature of the treaty of Versailles, although its influence runs like a red thread through all the postwar negotiations.

"The financial illusions upon which the treaty of Versailles were based in 1919 were accepted as realities here. Because of the energy and enterprise of the allied statesmen in presenting their case, we accepted their version of the postwar situation which followed the cessation of hostilities. As a consequence, the information coming to the Congress and to the American public was not sufficient to enable them to understand the situation in Europe.

"When, therefore, Secretary Hughes in 1921 accepted the German indemnity of \$33,000,000,000 as a reality and approved of the London ultimatum which imposed it upon Germany, there was no realization that a mere war mirage was being written into

world law.

"The fact that the London ultimatum provided that \$12,000,-000,000 in reparation bonds were to be immediately commercialized did not attract the slightest popular attention in the United

"The suggestion that the settlement in Europe be left to emi-nent American financiers followed, and the era of the economic expert in politics was ushered in.

"They proceeded to bend their efforts to making the United States a party to settlements which would permit of the repara-tion bonds in their billions being offered, almost exclusively, to

tion bonds in their billions being offered, almost exclusively, to the American investor.

"It was not, however, until this year that the economic experts by the Young plan succeeded in getting into the United States with the reparation bonds. With their sale actually imminent in the United States I undertook in this House last spring to examine in the light of reality what had actually transpired in Europe at the end of the war.

"It was necessary to examine the events from the preliminary agreement which brought hostilities to a close in November, 1918, to the settlements of the definitive treaty seven months later. It was found that the terms of the preliminary agreement, which in international law were binding on both sides, had afterwards been almost wholly discarded; that their terms did not permit of punitive damages, afterwards called reparations; but that, nevertheless, the most crushing tribute ever imposed after war was incorporated later in the settlements of the treaty of Versailles.

"It was also found that in order to effect this the real conquest of Germany was attained in the six months following the armistice by means of a ruthless food blockade which was maintained

stice by means of a ruthless food blockade which was maintained

stice by means of a ruthless food blockade which was maintained throughout the period during which the peace conference sat. All this was done in violation of the preliminary peace agreement.

"Undoubtedly, under international law, the German State today is not morally or legally bound to carry out the provisions of the treaty of Versailles. It is a pity that this was not perceived by our State Department in 1921 at the time of the London ultimatum, when it entangled us in the postwar mirage and implanted deeply in the minds of the European statesmen fond hopes that Europe might be reestablished through the commercialization of the German indemnity in the United States.

"Even if Germany had in fact been conquered on the field of battle before the armistice, in which case the settlement would have had some elements of honesty, it would not have been a

have had some elements of honesty, it would not have been a rational act for the United States to have permitted the com-mercialization of the indemnity among its citizens. But when, mercialization of the indemnity among its citizens. But when, as actually happened, an unconquered enemy, giving up his arms in reliance upon the good faith of an armistice agreement, is afterwards tricked into the power of his adversary, who thereupon reduces him to unconditional surrender by the pressure of starvation and compels him to accept the burden of a colossal war tribute for 37 years, the obligation is fraudulently imposed, and the bonds which are afterwards issued are tainted with illegality.

"Such are the reparation bonds of the treaty of Versailles, and it is inconceivable when the real facts of the peace settlement are known that the Government of the United States could open its doors to the sale of those bonds among the American people.

known that the Government of the United States could open its doors to the sale of those bonds among the American people.

"That it has now done so is wholly due to the influence through the years that a small and powerful group of our international bankers, and through them the allied governments, have been able to exercise continuously upon the policy of our executives.

"Cunning, grapevine methods have placed willing agents at the head of great executive departments and in important subordinate governmental positions, and financial control of newspapers and magazines has made it possible to lead public opinion far astray.

"The tangled web of deception in the treaty of Versailles was directed against the American people, who were to become the victims of the clever reparations clauses. To carry out the financial settlements it was a necessary condition that this state of deception be not disturbed; that it be made permanent and allowed to tion be not disturbed; that it be made permanent and allowed to dominate the postwar policy of the United States Government. The hypnotic trance in which the paid American publicists and the political college professors have lived for a decade has enabled the international financiers to use their voices and pens to keep

the political deception alive.

"Because the definite allied postwar policy has been to secure the quick return from America of the gold stock lost by Europe in the war, and to secure it through application of the provisions of annex 2 to the reparations clauses of the treaty of Versailles, the perpetuation in America of the illusions of 1919 has been essential.

perpetuation in America of the illusions of 1919 has been essential.

"Systematic deception, therefore, has characterized this period, and it is because this deception is not being unmasked that the futility of the Versailles settlements are at last becoming apparent.

"Before taking up the financial and economic condition that confronts us to-day, which is a part of the direct result of the Versailles settlements, I wish to review briefly the unfolding of allied policy in Europe during the past 10 years.

"In 1921, when allied exasperation was wreaking senseless vengeance upon Germany because the latter refused to acquiesce in the financial settlements of the treaty, Gustav Stresemann, not yet in office, conceived of a policy which might at once save Germany and unify Europe.

"Lord D'Abernon, who was then British ambassador at Berlin,

"Lord D'Abernon, who was then British ambassador at Berlin, has with some naivete disclosed the beginning of the 'Stresemann policy.' He says that Stresemann called on him in 1922 and requested him to put four blunt questions to Lord Curzon, who was then British Foreign Minister.

"With some hestitation D'Abernon did so, and, to his surprise, he received frank answers to them, which he transmitted to Stresemann. Thereupon Stresemann set to work with unflagging persistence to found a German policy upon these answers, to attain public office, and as German Minister of Foreign Affairs to put this policy into effect. There is little doubt that these four

questions were as follows:

"First. If a way could be found for the economic rehabilitation of Europe by means coming from outside Europe, would Britain be

willing to see Germany recuperate?
"Second. Would Britain restrain France from aggressions against

Germany?

"Third. If the commercialization of the German reparation bonds outside of Europe could be effected, would Britain accept this as such a means?

"Fourth. Would Britain see to it that Germany should receive a substantial share in the proceeds?

"Stresemann did not attain office until 1925. The German governments which preceded him fought stubbornly against com-mercialization of the German reparations. The Dawes plan,

effected in 1924, provided for the commercialization of \$4,000,000,000 worth of the bonds, but necessary cooperation failed to come from Germany. The international bankers made repeated efforts to obtain the consent of the Coolidge administration to commercialize the bonds here, but relations on the opposite sides of the Rhine were so threatening that their appeals had little force. "The next year, 1925, witnessed the inauguration of the Stresemann policy. Reconciliation in Europe was announced. On board the Orange Blossom, floating on the shimmering waters of Locarno, the personal friends, Stresemann and Briant, reached an understanding for their respective countries. The treaty of Locarno was signed in which France and Germany made peace and Britain agreed impartially to support either party in case of military aggression by the other.

"The publicity given this treaty in the United States was thorough and complete. There was not a town or village to which the news was not carried that peace had now come in Europe, and that that continent was bathed in the sunshine of Locarno. With stable peace effected in Europe, why should there be any further hesitation about American investments in European securities?

"Evidencies the new accord Germany a few months leter in

securities?

"Evidencing the new accord Germany, a few months later, in September, 1926, was duly admitted to good standing as a member of the League of Nations. Only one step more at the European end of the stage was now needed to bring the Stresemann policies to fruition.

policies to fruition.

"This step was taken immediately after Germany was admitted to the League of Nations. The two personal friends, Stresemann and Briant, met, not unostentatiously, at the rustic inn at Thoiry in the Vosges. Here it was agreed—

"First. That Germany would agree to the commercialization of the reparation bonds outside of Europe.

"Second. That France would cease the pin-pricking policy, evacuate the Rhine, and agree that Germany should receive a substantial share of the proceeds from commercialization—one-third, as it afterwards became known

third, as it afterwards became known.

"The next year, 1927, Poincaré promised the French people that

"The next year, 1927, Poincaré promised the French people that if he were returned to power the 'bankers' plan,' which was to put the Thoiry agreement into execution, would be accepted by France. Stresemann continued to mold policy in Germany in accordance with this purpose. The movement had the support of the British Foreign Office.

"In September, 1928, the powers met at Geneva and in solemn conclave took measures for the 'final and complete settlement of the reparation question' by the method already adopted in the French elections the preceding year. To this end the appointment of a committee of 'experts' was provided for in the following December. This was the Young committee, which assembled in February, 1929, and completed its labors the following June, bringing forth the Young plan, which to-day lies at the heart of any discussion of the world-wide depression which is now with us.

"So much for the winding course of postwar diplomacy as it disclosed itself on the other side of the Atlantic. It will be seen that this entire conception presupposes the justice, the ade-

disclosed itself on the other side of the Atlantic. It will be seen that this entire conception presupposes the justice, the adequacy, and the permanency of the settlements of the Versailles treaty in their entirety. The part that the United States is to play under the Young plan is to guarantee by its preponderating power the settlements of the Versailles treaty and to dig deeply into its superabundant financial resources to rehabilitate the

into its superabundant financial resources to rehabilitate the depleted treasuries of Europe.

"But Stresemann has passed away and a new spirit is coming over the German dreams. The sunshine of Locarno was artificially produced and Briand is discredited in France. Last July marked reduced and Briand is discredited in France. Last July marked a rapid and profound change in the political scene in Europe. New combinations are forming, of which I will speak later. The Stresemann policy, universally discarded, has vanished almost overnight. Its disappearance coincides with the rejection by the American investing public of the German international 5½ per

cent bonds.

"Mr. Speaker, let us return to the United States and observe, so far as we can, the impact of these forces from abroad upon our

so far as we can, the impact of these forces from abroad upon our domestic economy.

"Simultaneously with the collapse of the Stresemann policy in Europe comes the depression in the United States. When the Young plan was drawn up it was intended that it should go into operation by putting the bonds on sale in the United States not later than November 1, 1929. Their successful flotation, followed by new slices of the loan, would, it was hoped, draw billions out of America's plentiful investment capital into Europe. This would at once give Europe a new purchasing power of billions and stave off its threatening industrial collapse. Languishing trans-Atlantic trade and world trade would revive, production for export in the United States would increase, and the threatening industrial recession here would be checked. The waning confidence of the American public in New York financial leadership would be revived and psychological causes, or in plain words, lack of confidence in financial leadership, would not supervene to cause domestic industrial depression.

"But the American investing public have declined to absorb the Young-plan bonds and Europe has abandoned the Strese-

"But the American investing public have declined to absorb
the Young-plan bonds and Europe has abandoned the Stresemann policies. Foreign purchasing power came to an end last
year because new loans in America, which would have permitted
its continuance, could no longer be made. The fall in investment values took place in the autumn of 1929 and the industrial
depression, which is still with us, began.

"Mr. Speaker, the liquidation of the war in accordance with
the principles of the treaty of Versailles has failed. It is time to
discard them and make new adjustments.

"Simultaneously with the abdication by Washington of its governmental powers in favor of 'economic experts' several years ago it practically abandoned control of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to the private international financiers. Last February I called attention here to the ambitious policies of that bank, to the frequent visits here of Mr. Montague Norman, governor of the Bank of England, for conferences with the head of that bank, to the advance of a credit of \$200,000,000 from the Federal reserve system to the Bank of England, made in 1923. "And right here I desire to digress and call attention to the

"And right here I desire to digres" and call attention to the fact that another one of these conferences has just taken place, when the governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York was abroad conferring in London, Paris, and Berlin with J. P. Morgan, Owen D. Young, and the heads of the various central banks of issue of the countries of the world, and upon their return to New York a meeting was held in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, where a report was made by Mr. Owen D. Young, Mr. George L. Harrison, and Mr. J. P. Morgan as to the results of their con-ferences abroad. The public, however, know nothing about the changes that have been decided upon in connection with these various conferences. All we know is the fact that after the other various conferences. All we know is the lact that after the other conferences held in this country in 1927 a change in the discount policy was made and money was made cheap, which resulted in the shipment out of this country of \$500,000,000 worth of gold, which, at the same time, released a superabundant amount of credit, which resulted in stimulating the beginning of the stock

speculation which ended so disastrously last year.
"Since that date it has more and more been the practice of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to make gold loans to the central banks of Europe and to buy foreign bills on a large scale. The extensions of the powers of this bank have appeared to be a matter of indifference to the Federal Reserve Board in Washington, who profess to have but little knowledge of what it is doing.

ton, who profess to have but little knowledge of what it is doing.

"These loans have all been made to the national banks of the allied governments whose policies have been the integral execution of the provisions of the treaty of Versailles, and the proceeds have been used exclusively to that end. The United States Government has never avowed the policy of upholding the treaty of Versailles. There is a discrepancy here between the financial policy of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and the policy of the United States Government. The policy of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York has been that of upholding and furthering the Stresemann policy in accordance with the wishes of the 'economic experts' to whose control that bank has been abandoned. to whose control that bank has been abandoned.

"This is not as it should be. America's foreign financial policy should be fixed for the Federal reserve banks by the Federal Reserve Board at Washington and not by one of the 12 coordinate Federal reserve banks. And the Federal Reserve Board in Washington should mold its policies in accordance with the foreign policy of the United States Government, whose creature the Redeval Reserve Reard is Federal Reserve Board is.

"What is the foreign policy of the United States Government at this time? Is it a policy primarily directed to the maintenance of the national interests, to the protection of the Nation's wealth, and to the conserving of the Nation's strength, or is it a policy of timidity and drift which follows the line of least resistance? of timidity and drift which follows the line of least resistance? In view of its tolerance of the London ultimatum and the Dawes plan, and of the hospitality which it now gives to the Young plan and the World Bank, with the implication which these acts carry of choosing sides in Europe and throwing the support of the United States Government to the integral execution of the treaty of Versailles, while at the same time assuring the public that it is having nothing to do with political quarrels in Europe and takes no interest in German reparations, it is to be questioned whether its policy has been in the interests of the American people and whether it has shown that ingenuous quality which ought to characterize the government of a republic.

and whether it has shown that ingenuous quality which ought to characterize the government of a republic.

"At the end of the last session I introduced a bill which is intended to prohibit traffic in German reparation bonds in this country. The Congress should pass this bill as a first step in the establishment of a definite policy. Its passage will prevent any possible revival of the Stresemann policy in some other guise and bring to an end the dangerous financial heresies of the treaty of Versailles.

of Versailles.

"We must recognize that a 12-year policy for the financial settlement of the war in Europe has falled and has come to an end. tlement of the war in Europe has falled and has come to an end, It depended for its success upon the rapid shifting of a large part of the American gold stock into Europe. In spite of the weakness of our executive branch we have succeeded in preventing this. But to protect our gold in future legislation is needed here to guide the Secretary of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board in Washington.

"We must admit that it has been the velled support by successive admits that the treasure of allied reliefs to Europe

"We must admit that it has been the veiled support by successive administrations in Washington of allied policies in Europe that has concentrated power in the hands of the French and is now bringing the entire Continent into revolt against her military dominance. We must admit, too, that the primary purpose of the French power, which we were supporting, was to rivet the reparation obligation upon Germany, so that the Stresemann policy could be carried out and the reparation bonds disposed of in the United

"Events in Europe since last July indicate clearly that combinations are forming against Anglo-French dominance. Simultaneously with the failure of the German international 5½'s on the American investment market Mussolini called for a revision of the treaty of Versailles and led Italy out of the allied ranks. Italy is making alliances with the countries who were defeated in the war, and an Italian-Russian-Germany understanding is taking form, which might become formidable in certain eventualities.

"And only yesterday my attention was called to a speech by Mussolini in Italy in which he dealt with the financial and economic depression in the United States, and to a great extent laid the economic and financial troubles of Italy to the stock crash in the United States, and to the development of the plan crash in the United States, and to the development of the plan of mass production in this country which has resulted in creating such enormous surpluses. I was asked to comment upon this, and in this connection I recall that on July 3 last in this House I had something to say on this same question, and also in my remarks in the House last Tuesday I confirmed my previous statement as regards this speculation and mass production and its effect in this country and the whole world.

"Reports have recently emanated from Washington to the effect that the State Department has refused to have anything to say

that the State Department has refused to have anything to say in regard to any foreign loans. Only yesterday, at the other end of the Capitol, one of the leading Senators introduced a resolution and is pressing for action upon it, forbidding the State Department from having anything to say concerning the placing of foreign loans in the United States.

"Under these circumstances the chronic weakness of our execu-

tive policy is making itself manifest again. It is obviously being

led by Anglo-French suggestion.

"By what right has Ambassador Hugh Gibson, sitting in meeting of the disarmament conference, recently signed the agreement on behalf of the United States:

agreement on behalf of the United States:

"'The present convention shall not in any way diminish the obligations of previous treaties under which certain of the high contracting parties agreed to limit their military, naval, and air armaments and thus fixed in relation to one another their respective rights and obligations in this convention.'

"Is this to become a treaty settlement by which the United States compels Germany to remain disarmed?

"And along with this comes press reports that the United States Government has frowned upon a loan to Italy in order to bring pressure upon that country to follow certain policies.

"The tendency of these things, Mr. Speaker, is to draw us into alliance with France and Britain to maintain the status quo of the treaty of Versailles. All the indications point to the fact that unless the treaty of Versailles is radically revised there will be war in Europe. Does the administration contemplate with equanimity

unless the treaty of Versailles is radically revised there will be war in Europe. Does the administration contemplate with equanimity the fact that what we are doing to-day will inevitably draw us into that war on the side of the allied States?

"It is possible and logical for the United States Government to follow a policy which will keep us out of these entangling alliances, but it is quite obvious that the intervention of the Congress is necessary if this is to be done. The intervention of the Congress is necessary to undo the work of our economic experts in blindly acquiescing in the Stresemann policy, going halfway into the World Court, and accepting the Young plan and the World Bank. Rank

the World Court, and accepting the Young plan and the World Bank.

"All of these devices were measures to strengthen and bolster the treaty of Versailles and insure French domination of the Continent of Europe under the provisions of that treaty. They fall and crumble into dust if the moral support of the United States is not believed to be behind them.

"The industrial stagnation in this country to-day is largely due to the distrust of the people in the foreign policy of our Government and in the financial policies of the Federal reserve system. They do not want entangling alliances, but they have seen an increasing tendency toward entangling alliances as the years have passed. They do not want to see their financial system weakened by too great extensions in its commitments abroad, but that is exactly what they fear is happening to-day.

"As I said a little while ago, the economic experts have had their turn and they have led us upon the search for a will-o'-thewisp. They have bogged us deep in the slough of German reparations. Let them return now to their true field of strictly private activities. Let them cease to dream of fixing the foreign policies of the United States. Let us set ourselves to the fixing of fundamental principle and to the formulation of legislation which is made in America and will conserve and safeguard the interests of the American people. [Applause.]

"Mr. Ramseyer. Will the gentleman discussed the morality and legality of the Versailles treaty, and I think more and more informed people are taking the view that the gentleman remembers a speech on the Versailles treaty made by the late Senator from his own State, Senator Knox, soon after that treaty was laid before the Senate, in which he analyzed the treaty very carefully and came to the conclusion that the treaty would not maintain peace, but on the other hand would provoke wars.

"Now, as to the worthlessness of these reparation bonds, I

peace, but on the other hand would provoke wars.

"Now, as to the worthlessness of these reparation bonds, I have grave doubts myself as to the advisability of Americans investing in those foreign bonds. It is true that since the war we have lent each year a great deal of money to Europe, something around \$1,000,000,000 annually, is it not?

"Mr. McFadden. It is a total of more than they have paid on the war debts."

the war debts.

"Mr. RAMSEYER. Yes at any rate we have lent each year more to Europe than the balance of trade in our favor amounted to; is not that true?

"Mr. McFadden. The records of that show.

"Mr. RAMSEYER. And that has helped stimulate our trade and helped a great deal in keeping up the prosperity in this country and in the world that we enjoyed during that period, and undoubtedly our failure last year to continue making loans in the

same volume had something to do with bringing about this world depression. That is the gentleman's view, is it not?

"Mr. McFadden. And the loss of money by foreigners in the

New York stock market

New York stock market.

"Mr. Ramseyer. The two combined. Now, the gentleman stated at some place in his address that the Versailles treaty and the other settlements had to be scrapped and something else substituted for them, and I thought the gentleman was going to discuss what should be substituted. I listened for that very carefully. If the gentleman said anything in that connection to convey the idea there was something he wanted to substitute, I did not get it. Does the gentleman care to elaborate on that phase?

"Mr. McFadden. I did not make such a suggestion, because that will only come after conferences between the interested parties.

"Mr. McFaden. I did not make such a suggestion, because that will only come after conferences between the interested parties, when a plan will be worked out that will more appropriately fit the case than does the carrying out of the now obsolete Stresemann policies, evidenced by the treaty of Versailles and subsequent Dawes and Young plans.

"Mr. Ramseyer. Is it the gentleman's opinion that before we can get peace in the world and restore prosperity in the world we have to scrap the Versailles treaty and the Young plan and substitute something else in the place of them?

substitute something else in the place of them?

"Mr. McFadden. I do not think we will get a proper solution of this whole matter in Europe until we go back to the very founda-

tion.

"If the foundation is faulty, you can not build a safe structure on top of it.

"Mr. RAMSEYER. What is the foundation, the Versailles treaty?

"Mr. McFadden. The Versailles treaty. [Applause.]"
In connection with this letter to Chairman Norseck——
Senator Wagner. May I interrupt a moment?
Representative McFadden. You may.
Senator Wagner. I want to ask whether or not the speech that you made was a discussion of the qualifications of Mr. Meyer for this office?
Representative McFadden. No: it was not but it had to do

Representative McFadden. No; it was not, but it had to do with the international connection of the Federal reserve system referred to in this letter. It is part and parcel of this argument that I will present to this committee and I thought it would serve the purpose and save time to insert it in the record.

Senator Wagner. I do not want to be technical about this, Mr. Chairman, but I was hopeful that to some extent at least we

would confine this inquiry to the qualifications of Mr. Meyer rather than have a discussion of the whole system. I do not think this committee should go into the whole Federal reserve system. There are other subcommittees doing that now.

Senator Brookhart. I agree with that, but the question of Mr. Meyer's administration of these institutions is certainly material

Meyer's administration of these materials of the first in determining his qualifications.

Senator Wagner. That is why I asked the Congressman whether this is a criticism of Mr. Meyer in that administration of his

Representative McFadden. No; it is not, but it shows what we are coming to in Federal reserve operations, which Mr. Meyer, if he is confirmed, will administer. I believe it is material to this particular subject.

Senator Wagner. You mean as to whether it should be Mr.

Meyer or somebody else?
Representative McFadden. Yes.

Representative Mcraden. Yes.

Senator Wagner. You are going to connect that up, are you?

Representative Mcraden. I think I have connected it up already. It is connected up at this time.

Because of the common reports, and because of the things that I have just stated to you about the various movements of Mr.

Meyer since he came to Washington in connection with these positions which he has held, it is rather interesting to note the positions which he has held, it is rather interesting to hote the matters to which I have referred in this letter to Senator Norbeck, in which I have pointed out the fact that Governor Roy A. Young, who came to Washington from Minneapolis as governor of the Federal reserve bank at Minneapolis, retired as Governor of the Federal Reserve Board just at the particular time that Mr. Meyer was to be appointed.

I call your attention to and emphasize the fact of this vacancy t that time and also the resignation of the Vice Governor of the at that time and also the resignation of the Vice Governor of the Federal Reserve Board, Mr. Edmund Platt, because there is a provision in the Federal reserve act that only one member of the Federal Reserve Board may be appointed from any one Federal reserve district. Mr. Platt was representing the same Federal reserve district in which Mr. Meyer has his official residence, and, of course, even with the resignation of Governor Young, it did not make a vacancy so that Mr. Meyer, if he were appointed, could be Governor of the Federal Reserve Board. In fact, he was prohibited from being a member of the Federal Reserve Board because of the residence restriction in the law.

from being a member of the Federal Reserve Board because of the residence restriction in the law.

My attention was directed to the fact that Mr. Platt was appointed by President Coolidge a member of the Federal Reserve Board to succeed himself about two years ago for a term of 10 years. He had eight years yet to serve as a member of the Federal Reserve Board; and it is a well-known fact in Federal reserve circles—and I do not care to have you feel that I am reporting rumors in this connection—that Mr. Meyer was after the job on the Federal Reserve Board.

The Federal Reserve Board.

I had personal knowledge of his ambitions in that respect several years ago. In a conversation with Mr. Meyer he expressed the desire to be Governor of the Federal Reserve Board and to sell this board to the country, stating that it never had been properly sold to the country. And in connection with this whole matter these

notices which appeared in the press at that time are of particular

isignificance.

I want to call attention to a notice in the New York Times, under date of September 5, 1930, reading: "Meyer to get post; Platt will resign. Selection of New Yorker for Governor of Federal Reserve Board announced."

Then an item in connection with that says:

"The law creating the Federal reserve system provides that no two members of the board shall be from the same reserve banking

"Officials explained informally that Mr. Platt had been contemplating resigning from the Federal Reserve Board for a long time, with a view to accepting an important position with what they described as an organization having headquarters in New York, but which was not a New York organization. The understanding obtained was that only within the past few days Mr. Platt had decided to accept the position mentioned. decided to accept the position mentioned.

### " PLATT ON VACATION

"It was said at the Treasury that Vice Governor Platt was on vacation in Connecticut. The identity of the organization with which he is expected to become affiliated could not be ascertained." Here is a clipping from the New York Times under date of September 3, 1930. It is headed: "Hoover for Meyer on Reserve Board. Appointment of ex-war finance chief hinges on a legal point. Way likely to be cleared. Difficulty lies in fact that another New Yorker, Edmund Platt, is also a member." It goes on to say: "Eugene Meyer, jr., of Mount Kisco, Westchester County, N. Y., will be appointed governor of the Federal Reserve Board if a legal difficulty offered by the fact that another New Yorker is a member of the board can be overcome.

difficulty offered by the fact that another New Yorker is a member of the board can be overcome.

"While on the surface this legal difficulty appears to be insuperable, it is significant that the present vacancy will remain unfilled pending an effort on the part of the administration to clear the way for Mr. Meyer's appointment to this important position if it is possible to do so. What is known of the situation indicates that the ultimate appointment of Mr. Meyer appears to be assured."

Then under date of September 4, 1930, this item appears in the Washington Post:

Then under date of September 4, 1950, this term appears in the Washington Post:

"Meyer Will Head Federal Reserve. Hoover Reported Decided on Selection of New Yorker.

"Edmund Platt, vice chairman of the board, like Mr. Meyer, is from New York district. However, without disclosing what steps are to be taken to meet this legal restriction, Government authorities indicate that they believe a solution can be found."

It would be interesting to the committee to know what Government authorities are referred to in a statement like that. I have

ment authorities are referred to in a statement like that. I have suggested that a plan was introduced here for the deliberate removal of Mr. Platt from the board. I do not believe that Mr. Platt voluntarily resigned from the Federal Reserve Board. I think this committee should ascertain just what the circum-

think this committee should ascertain just what the circumstances were that led up to that.

I know something of the pleasant affiliations that Mr. Platt had with the board from many conversations I have had with him, and I know how highly he regarded his opportunity to serve the country on the board; he liked his job.

Then in the United States Daily of September 5, 1930, there appeared this item:

"Mr. Meyer selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, When I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, when I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, when I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, when I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, when I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, when I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, when I'm the property of the selected for Reserve Board, which is the property of the selected for Reserve Board, which is the property of the selected for Reserve Board, which is the selected for Reserve Bo

appeared this item:

"Mr. Meyer selected for Reserve Board. When Mr. Platt retires, according to the information which the Treasury has, Mr. Meyer will be given a recess appointment as a board member and will immediately be designated by the President as governor under the procedure regularly followed heretofore. The formal nomination to the board then will be forwarded to the Senate when Congress convenes again in December."

convenes again in December."

Then, under date of September 6, 1930, this additional statement appears in the New York Times, headed: "Names Meyer Head of Reserve Board. President States Resignation of Vice Governor Platt Removes Obstacle." The article says:

"Following the announcement earlier in the week that the appointment would be made if certain legal obstacles could be overcome \* \*.

overcome "These

These were surmounted through the resignation of Edmund

Platt of Poughkeepsie from membership on the Reserve Board,

also announced to-day.

"Mr. Meyer will succeed Roy A. Young, who retired from the governorship of the Federal Reserve Board to become governor of the Federal reserve bank at Boston.

"Under the law greating the reserve system no members of

of the Federal reserve bank at Boston.

"Under the law creating the reserve system no members of the board may be from the same reserve district. Shortly before President Hoover gave out the news of Mr. Meyer's appointment it was announced in Buffalo that Mr. Platt, who was vice governor of the Federal Reserve Board, would become a vice president of the Marine Midland Corporation of that city."

Under date of September 15, 1930, in the United States Dally, there is this heading: "Mr. Platt explains resignation in letter."

The letter from Mr. Platt is as follows:

"Dear Mr. President: In submitting my resignation as a member of the Federal Reserve Board, effective September 15, and of the vice governorship, which I have had the honor to hold under four Presidents, may I say that it is not easy to sever the pleasant relationships that have continued for more than 10 years. While it is true that the salary of members of the Reserve Board is not in purchasing power as much as was expected when the Sixtythird Congress, of which I was a Member, passed the Federal reserve act, and probably should be increased, there are compensations which to some of us have more than made up for the deficiency.

# "INTERESTING WORK

"Interesting work

"The participation in conferences and in important decisions on matters of credit policy, the study of banking and economic problems, of domestic and world-wide business conditions, and of the policies of the central banks of other countries, involved in the board's work, have been to me most interesting and inspiring. Since my reappointment about two years ago by President Coolidge I have not given much consideration to propositions that involve resignation from the board; but comes now an offer to take some part in the development of a system of banking in which I have been greatly interested, a system which gives promise of solving some of our most serious banking problems.

"I have long studied branch and group banking with special reference to preventing bank failures, believing that only by some extension of branches beyond city limits from strong institutions, or by some grouping together or consolidation of small banks in rural communities so as to form larger corporate entities, can

rural communities so as to form larger corporate entities, can anything substantial be done toward giving adequate and safe service to the smaller centers.

#### "SECOND RESIGNATION

"The offer of a vice presidency of the Marine-Midland Corpo-"The offer of a vice presidency of the Marine-Midland Corporation, one of the largest and strongest of the recently formed group systems, appealed to me as an opportunity for useful service in the practical operation of branch and group banking, and I have, accordingly, accepted it, having received assurance that you are prepared to appoint my successor and that my resignation following so closely upon that of Governor Young will not cause you embarrassment."

The President's letter in reply is as follows:

The President's letter in reply is as follows:

"MY DEAR MR. PLATT: I have received your letter of resignation in confirmation of information previously conveyed to me."

It is important to know what that previous information was, if it is possible for this committee to obtain it. Apparently this resignation of Mr. Platt's was a matter of discussion between Federal reserve authorities, perhaps the Treasury Department, the White House and Mr. Mover White House, and Mr. Meyer.

I have intimated in this letter to Senator Norbeck that in addition to the calling of Mr. Meyer and Mr. Platt before this committee Governor Young, of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston might also be called. Governor Young is a very able banker and is experienced in Federal-reserve operations, coming from a section of the country which has indicated that representation on the Federal Reserve Board for a man like-minded to him was impor-tant rather than a man who is interested in Wall Street finance

and international financial and business operations.

In that connection I would like to point out also that Mr. Meyer, In that connection I would like to point out also that Mr. Meyer, before he came to Washington, was a stockbroker in the city of New York. His associations indicate that the type of business he did was confined largely to the business of brokerage. He was a very active man in the market. So far as I have been able to learn, that is his only qualification to be termed a banker, except the experience which he has gained since he came to Washington in the operations of the War Finance Corporation and the Federal farm loan system.

It is pertinent to know in that connection what some of these

is pertinent to know in that connection what some of these stockbrokers engaged in New York do. I would make particular reference to some of Mr. Meyer's early operations in stocks in New York, because it has considerable bearing on his operations as manager-director of the War Finance Corporation and as the farm loan commissioner. The policies carried out in previous operations indicate to me that they will be carried into the Federal reserve system.

I want to point out that his mind is more that of a stockbroker than that of a banker. I am led to this conclusion because of the fact of his operations as a stockbroker before he came to Washington and the operations incident to the purchase and sale of Government securities in the War Finance Corporation, where carried on what is well known in stock-brokerage circles maintaining a market

maintaining a market.

In connection with the hearings which the subcommittee of my committee carried on we had ample opportunity to look into the operations of the War Finance Corporation's activities.

Senator Вроокна

am referring now have not been printed.

Senator Wagner. The Senate committee went into this whole question, as I recall, when Mr. Meyer's nomination was then up for a member of the Farm Loan Board.

Senator Brookhart. It only made a very casual inquiry into it.

As far as getting at the bottom of it is concerned, we never did.

Senator Wagner. Everybody was at liberty to ask any questions in connection with it. I am wondering what our function here is, whether we are going to rehash all these matters which the committee passed on before and so did the Senate.

Senator Brookhart. There are some important phases of this that were not brought out that I want to bring out at this time. I do not think there is a lot of detail, either, when we get to it. Senator Fletcher. The subcommittee, in connection with the Farm Loan Board membership, did not undertake to go into any

great detail

Senator Wagner. We did not go into the operations of the War Finance Corporation at the time of the hearing.

Senator Fletcher. To a considerable extent.

Senator Wagner. Were not you and Senator Brookhart at liberty to ask any questions and call any witnesses?

Senator Fletcher. We had a subcommittee appointed and the subcommittee gave very little attention to it. The fact is, that I

had to move as a member of the subcommittee that two of the

nad to move as a member of the subcommittee that two of the members be excused from attendance because they protested against an inquiry of these almost disgraceful performances.

Representative McFadden. I say to the Senators that apparently what is being referred to here now is the inquiry into the supposed duplication of Government bonds. That is not the part of this particular hearing to which I am referring, and from my observation of the conduct of the hearings before the committee pre-

viously I do not believe that the matter I am referring to here has ever been before a committee of the Senate.

Senator Brookhart. I am afraid it is new to me.

Senator Fletcher. What the committee inquired about was the War Finance transactions, the purchase and sale of Government bonds by the War Finance Corporation, of which Mr. Meyer was the head, through Eugene Meyer's office in New York. We went into that to some extent. That was the purpose of the inquiry there. Mr. Meyer was doing business not as a broker, I believe, but as an investment banker. That is the term he used instead of broker; and as an investment banker his house in New York bought and sold, at his instance here, Government securities from time to time. That was the extent of our inquiry at that time.

Senator Wagner. As I recall it, Senator Flercher, that was gone into very thoroughly, including an audit which was presented, and everything that was established at that time was very creditable to Mr. Meyer.

Senator Flercher. Those hearings were printed.

Senator Fletcher. Those hearings were printed.

Representative McFadden. During the closing days of the Wilson administration the then Secretary of the Treasury presented to the Ways and Means Committee a request to give the Treasury the right to buy and sell Government securities below par. The Ways and Means Committee very properly refused that authority to the Treasury. The War Finance Corporation being organized, and in order to give it legal status and make it constitutional, was given the right to set in a fiduriery capacity, and to have and sell Committee. the right to act in a fiduciary capacity and to buy and sell Govern-ment securities.

ment securities.

It so happened that under the administration of Mr. Meyer—I do not want to prolong this—Government securities were somewhat below par. The Treasury, instead of placing orders direct with the bankers and investment dealers for the purchase and sale of bonds for the sinking fund, saw fit to place these orders for purchase and sale by and through the War Finance Corporation. These transactions were handled almost exclusively by Mr. Meyer as manager of the War Finance Corporation.

ager of the War Finance Corporation.

During a period of six months to a year our hearing disclosed, as I recall it, that they ran into, I am safe in saying, I think, \$2,000,000,000 worth of these securities that were bought and sold. I mention that because of the fact that it was well known, and I think so stated from time to time, that this was a stabilizing of the market program on Government securities.

Senator Carey. Did I understand you to say that Mr. Meyer handled this business through his own offices in New York?

Representative MCFADDEN. I have not said that; but the hearings

in the committee disclosed, very much to the surprise of the committee, that Mr. Eugene Meyer, as manager of the War Finance Corporation, engaged in these important Treasury operations placed those orders, many of them, if not all of them, first, with the banking house in New York located at 14 Wall Street, in the name of Eugene Meyer.

When the committee's activities were stopped, because of the When the committee's activities were stopped, because of the ending of the session of Congress, Mr. Meyer was engaged in explaining to the committee the fact that Eugene Meyer, jr., got no commissions on these transactions. He had not at that time convinced the committee of that fact, but had convinced the committee of the fact that commissions were paid in the usual manner on transactions of that kind coming about because of the purchase and sale of securities.

and sale of securities.

Those hearings should have been completed, but they were not completed; they were stopped at that very interesting phase of this undertaking.

Senator Wagner. Are you speaking of the Senate hearings now?
Representative McFadden. No. I am speaking of the hearings of
the Subcommittee of the Committee on Banking and Currency of

I would like to mention in this respect that I have no knowledge, except by hearsay, of the hearings that were held by the Senate committee, which have been referred to here, but I do not believe that any members of the subcommittee of the House that had that particular matter in charge were heard before the Senate com-

mittee in regard to this particular proposition.

I mention this because of the fact that it carries through the same kind of operations which stock brokers in the market in New York often carry on. It is a practice with people who have securities that they want to float on the market to go to brokers and brokers help them to make a market. Separations we have years York often carry on. It is a practice with people who have securities that they want to float on the market to go to brokers and brokers help them to make a market. Sometimes we have wash sales. But they organize a group of brokers and they begin to send out favorable reports in regard to securities, making the matter attractive to the public. I do not need to go into detail because the Senators are familiar with those transactions.

Those same practices were largely introduced in these transactions that were carried on with Mr. Meyer under the Treasury undertakings, the purchase and sale of these securities. That is a matter which the Senators who are to pass on the fitness of Mr. Meyer to be Governor of the Federal Reserve Board should have explained fully and in detail.

It seems to me to be most unethical for a man holding such a

It seems to me to be most unethical for a man holding such a Government position to carry on Government transactions, even with the consent and approval of the Treasury, to use his own private brokerage house in New York for the purpose of carrying on these transactions. It is fair to say that in connection with these orders his house in New York distributed to other brokerage houses; as I recall it, six were favored in that connection, and they passed out these orders to something like 75 or 80 houses.

passed out these orders to something like 75 or 80 houses.
Senator Brookhart. Can you name those six?
Representative McFadden. I can not now. These unfinished hearings will disclose that full information.
Senator Wagner. My recollection is that the evidence established clearly that the hearings to which you refer showed that the board had no accommodations in New York, and Mr. Meyer gave over to them his old office space and charged nothing to the Government for the use thereof. That is how the transactions happened to take place in that office.
Representative McFadden. Even if that were the case, I am sure that the Senator is not attempting to defend the ethics of that.
Senator Wagner. If he did not occupy them himself and gave his own offices to the Government free of charge, I should call that a contribution.
Representative McFadden. I would say that it was very unethi-

a contribution.

Representative McFadden. I would say that it was very unethical for the manager-director of the War Finance Corporation to carry on transactions, either gratuitously or otherwise, with his own brokerage house in New York.

Senator Brookhart. Would not any other brokerage house have welcomed the Government coming in in that sort of way, and would it not be improper for a Government officer to go into any brokerage house in that way?

Senator Wagner. Where the offices are used exclusively for the use of the Government?

Senator Brookhart. They were not used exclusively for the use

Senator Brookhart. They were not used exclusively for the use of the Government.

Senator Carey. I think we can question Mr. Meyer on that part of it.

Senator Fletcher. Mr. Meyer's office in New York was not known as the War Finance Corporation office at all. It was Eugene Meyer's office.

Representative McFadden. In connection with these operations the unprinted minutes will show that millions of dollars' worth of these securities were bought and sold through this channel daily; transactions were recorded of sales in the morning of millions of dollars' worth of these securities and repurchased in the afternoon at different prices. The whole thing was a clear indication of the process of manipulating the Government bond market, and the hearings show that losses were frequently sustained and profits were made. It is fair to Mr. Meyer to say that in whatever profits were made or losses incurred the Treasury of the United States was the beneficiary or the loser. I mentioned that because of the fact that it is a manipulation of securities markets, this time for the benefit supposedly of the United States Treasury, but, nevertheless, it shows that the facilities, the activities, and the knowledge of Mr. Meyer were put to work in this particular case. Representative McFadden. In connection with these

Particular case.
Senator Brookhart. I believe you said that the Treasury had asked authority to do this from the Ways and Means Committee

of the House.

Representative McFadden. And it had been directly refused to

Senator BROOKHART. Then they carried it out through Mr.

Mever?

Meyer?
Representative McFadden. Through the War Finance Corporation that provided capital out of the Treasury of \$500,000,000, which was used to carry on practically the same operations that the Ways and Means Committee had refused to the Treasury. Senator Carey. Mr. McFadden, did you not state that the Treasury asked the Ways and Means Committee for consent to sell securities below par?
Representative McFadden. Yes.
Senator Carey. This effort on the part of Mr. Meyer and the

Senator Carey. This effort on the part of Mr. Meyer and the Treasury Department was to keep the Government securities above par, was it not?

Representative McFadden. That was the effort; yes. Senator Carey. And the Treasury Department was back of what-

Senator Carey. And the Treasury Department was back of whatever Mr. Meyer did in this particular instance?
Representative McFadden. Yes. The point that I am making in this connection is that his knowledge and acquaintance with the manipulation of the securities market was utilized in this particular transaction. The record of the hearings, which have not been printed, will disclose the details of these various transactions to which I am referring here.

Senator Brookhart. It is your theory that the Treasury should not go into that gambling up and down the market at all?

Representative McFadden. I am not expressing here an opinion as to what the Treasury should do or should not do. I am at

as to what the Treasury should do or should not do. I am attempting to deal with the kind of service that Mr. Meyer has rendered and is finding readily available to carry on these transactions

Senator Carey. Was not the Government attempting to stabilize Government securities?

Representative McFadden. Apparently so; yes, Senator.

The Whaley-Eaton Service, which is a news service, on September 6, 1930, had a very interesting article which I desire to read. It referred to the matter under consideration here before the committee at this time. It follows:

"New York, on the other hand, needs help and was embarrassed when Mr. Platt, representing that district, did not, for a period, see eye to eye with the metropolis."

Then, on page 4 of this same letter of September 6, 1930, this significant statement occurs; and it has a bearing on that which I have just been saying:

"Mr. Meyer knows the security markets; he is one of the best bond men in America; he is intimately acquainted with

international finance; he has learned to love public service and he mixes well. He is independently wealthy and salary considera-tions do not weigh with him."

That checks up with what I have been saying about his quali-

That checks up with what I have been saying about his qualifications for carrying on the well-known stabilization processes. In the Whaley-Eaton Service letter which came out under date of January 17, 1931, on page 3, there appears the following:

"The way is being made ready for some substantial international financing. If it is in the power of financiers to do so, therefore, the bond market is going to be strengthened. International bank elements continue to discuss the possibility of stabilizing the commodity markets, and they may be able to get somewhere."

That is a clear indication that in the future operations of the Federal reserve under Mr. Meyer these previous practices are going to be put into play and the Federal reserve system is going to be operated largely as a securities bond market, and the international situation rather than serve the great business interests of the country and the rank and file of the people of this country. I mention this because it has a bearing on the future operations of the Federal reserve system.

Among the gentlemen that I suggested that you call before the committee was Mr. George F. Rand, the president of the Marine

committee was Mr. George F. Rand, the president of the Marine Midland group of banks, and Mr. Alfred A. Cook, a lawyer of Midland group of banks, and Mr. Alfred A. Cook, a lawyer of New York, who I understand is attorney for the Marine Midland group. They might be able to give you additional information pertaining to the suggestion that Mr. Platt's resignation as vice governor of the Federal reserve board was an arranged affair.

Regarding this whole matter of these various connections with the international situation, I desire to make a few observations here referring to some of my previous statements.

I desire to call attention to the fact that under the emergency of war, which demanded the extension of almost unlimited credits

of war, which demanded the extension of almost unlimited credits to the allied governments, the Government at Washington permitted the growth of power in the Federal reserve system until it became almost autonomous. This power was not reduced or curbed after the war by Government action. So far as its exercise has been restrained, it has been restrained only by the influence of public opinion.

A year ago I invited the attention of the Congress to this fact and to the need for supervision of the policy of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Last spring I called attention to the developments of the Stresemann policy in Europe, which disclosed itself primarily as an Anglo-French political policy having for its object the floating of over \$3,000,000,000 worth of German reparation bonds chiefly on the American investment market.

I showed that circumstances plainly indicated that the Federal Reserve Bank of New York was entirely willing to see the consummation of this policy and that the private international bankers of New York, who were in intimate association with the bankers of New York, who were in intimate association with the board of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, were among the chief movers in the development of the Stresemann policy, and were strenuously endeavoring to float the reparation bonds on this market. It was quite clear that under the guise of an economic transaction this was an attempt to commit the United States Government to a political policy which it had avoided taking up when the treaty of Versailles was signed. It was an attempted usurpation of the prerogatives of the Congress.

I also called attention to the progressive use by the Federal reserve bank since 1923 of credits to the Bank of England, and the Bank of Italy, and to its practice of buying bills abroad in order to enable foreign banks to avoid shipment of gold to the United States.

Every transaction of this kind ought to come before the Congress for its action because the political elements involved are invariably paramount.

gress for its action because the political elements involved are invariably paramount.

Unchecked by the political Government at Washington, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York has extended its commitments in Europe progressively over a period of 10 years and is now following a course where the political status quo in Europe is dependent upon its will. It deals with political considerations in Europe upon a vast scale.

Undoubtedly Europe can make use of colossal credits. It is expecting them to come from the Federal Reserve Bank of New

Undoubtedly Europe can make use of colossal credits. It is expecting them to come from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. It is the inclination of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to make them. Following the stock collapse of 1929, the bulk of the liquid wealth of the country has been drawn into the New York banks. As the situation is to-day, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York has the power to pour this great fountain of credit into Europe instead of pouring it back into the interior of this country.

of this country.

Mr. Eugene Meyer belongs to the school of financiers who would make liberal use of this fountain of credit for the states of Europe. His training and associations are such that he could follow no other school of financial thought. The confirmation of this appointment by the Senate would mean more than approval of the personal and professional qualifications of the nominee. It would fix a national political policy.

The decision of the questions involved ought not to be made indirectly, off-hand, and without consideration of their merits, as it would be in taking favorable action in this case.

No man of the school of finance to which Mr. Meyer belongs ought to be appointed Governor of the Federal Reserve Board at this time. The Federal Reserve Board ought now to await the settlement of certain questions by the Congress before seeking to determine its future policy. A governor of that board should be appointed at this time who is willing to await congressional action upon questions which will determine the future policies of that board.

The question 'What are the purposes of the Federal reserve system?" calls for decision by the Congress now. What use is to be made at this time of the bulk of the country's liquid wealth which has been drawn into the Federal Reserve District of New York? Is it to be sent out of the country, reducing industrial activity here in order that industrial activity may be revived abroad, or is it to go back to revive trade in the interior? This is a political question to be decided by the Congress of the United States.

Now I want to point out in this connection that there was Now I want to point out in this connection that there was observed during the hearings had by this subcommittee in the House the close business relationship by and between Mr. Meyer and these various brokerage houses in New York, and particularly the association and connection with J. P. Morgan & Co. All through the study of these transactions that were carried on in connection with this so-called stabilizing of the bond market, the relationship between the managing director and the house of Morgan was very intimate and close in that when certain issues of Morgan was very intimate and close, in that when certain issues of securities that were designated by letter were desired to be called by the Treasury, invariably these bonds were always ready and available by and through the cooperation of the Morgan firm and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

In this connection there has already gone before the Senate I think it was referred to in Senator Brookhart's statement on the floor of the Senate—a clipping from the New York Times of December 5, 1930, in which Harrison reports conditions abroad, and states that the governor of the Federal reserve bank meets the directors after a trip to Europe; that J. P. Morgan makes a call, and that Owen D. Young joins Harrison in telling of a conversation

with foreign bankers.

I would like to suggest right here that along with other things that should be gone into in connection with Mr. Meyer's qualifications, or his business connections and his affiliations at the present time, is the report that Mr. Meyer is one of the large stockholders of the Allied Chemical Co. and that the Morgans are also very largely interested.

The qualifications of the governor of the Federal Reserve Board, The qualifications of the governor of the Federal Reserve Board, so far as his business relationships, directly or indirectly, are concerned, are vitally important to the Federal reserve system and to the people of this country, particularly so when we review the experiences of the past two years in which great readjustments have taken place in regard to transactions of New York financial houses and the stock market and the debacle of October, 1929.

I want particularly to direct the attention of the committee to this item in the Times to which I have just referred. This news item avoids very carefully any reference to what Mr. Morgan said at this meeting. Mr. Morgan had no more business attending a meeting of the board of directors of the Federal reserve bank in New York than one of these newspaper boys present here at this

New York than one of these newspaper boys present here at this meeting. I mention this to show how completely that affiliation

Mr. MEYER. Mr. Chairman, may I interrupt for just one second?
Mr. Morgan was not at a meeting of the board of directors of the
Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
Representative McFadden. I am very glad to know that. This
newspaper article indicated that he was present.
Mr. Meyer. I was at the meeting and Mr. Morgan was not present. If Mr. Morgan was in that bank that day I did not see him.

He was not at the meeting and the only information I have is what is in the newspaper item to which Mr. McFadden referred. I might say for the benefit of the committee that I have not seen Mr. Morgan since 1921, to my knowledge, when I called him down to Washington to the office of the Secretary to organize a cattle livestock loan pool of \$50,000,000 for the benefit of the stock raisers in the West.

Senator Вкоокнакт. Was that what ruined the stock raisers in

the West?

Mr. Meyes. I do not know what ruined them. It saved a great many that would have been ruined. Representative McFadden. I want to call the committee's attention to a colloquy that appears on page 273 of the hearings on H. R. 7895 relative to a loan which was made to Great Britain by J. P. Morgan & Co. contributing \$100,000,000 and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York \$200,000,000. I desire to read for your benefit this information.

"The CHAIRMAN. Are you of the opinion that it is the spirit of the Federal reserve act that there might be invested these legal reserve deposits or the capital of the Federal reserve system to the extent of \$200,000,000 in foreign securities such as is provided in this agreement?

"Mr. SEAY. Beyond any question; we have that right to deal at home or abroad.

The CHAIRMAN, Under section 4?

"Mr. SEAY. Yes, sir; at home or abroad in foreign exchange, and no limit is placed on that.

"The CHARMAN. The newspaper reports of this loan indicate that when this credit was granted the Federal reserve banks participated to the extent of \$200,000,000 to either the Bank of England or Great Britain, and it indicated at the same time there was a credit of similar nature granted by J. P. Morgan & Co. The newspapers also reported that J. P. Morgan & Co. was getting a commission on that transaction, so far as their loan is concerned, but that the Federal reserve system was getting no profit from the transaction; and I have here a newspaper clipping from a recent issue of the New York Times which I want to read to the committee [reading]:

"" MORGAN SILENT ON FEE-REFUSES TO TALK ON \$1,125,000 CHARGE FOR \$100,000,000 CREDIT TO BRITAIN

"'Officials of J. P. Morgan & Co. refused to comment yesterday on the statement of Winston Churchill, British chancellor of the exchequer, that the cost of the \$100,000,000 credit which Great Britain obtained from the Morgan firm at the time of the return

exchequer, that the cost of the \$100,000,000 credit which Great Britain obtained from the Morgan firm at the time of the return to the gold standard was \$1,125,000 to the end of the first year.

"'The interest charge in connection with the credit never has been announced here, but it was said yesterday that it was a matter of public record in London and was published there when the credit was obtained last April. The Bank of England obtained a \$200,000,000 credit from the New York Federal Reserve Bank at the same time the British Government arranged the Morgan credit. Neither credit has been drawn upon. Both were obtained as a precaution against exchange pressure when Great Britain resumed gold payments."

"That naturally raises the question as to who negotiated this \$200,000,000 credit; whether J. P. Morgan & Co. or whether it was arranged jointly with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York; and if the Federal Reserve Bank of New York arranged their part of it why didn't they make a charge for this service, the same as J. P. Morgan & Co.? Is there any agreement as regards payment for this service?

"Mr. Strang. Because J. P. Morgan & Co. got all the traffic would bear; there would be nothing left."

Now, since this loan was made by the Federal Reserve Bank of

Now, since this loan was made by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, which tied each one of the other 11 banks into a participation in this, the Federal reserve, prior to this date, was carrying on conferences with the central banks of Europe in regard to gold movements, international exchange, and so forth, a part of which was probably all right. This relationship, however, was not contemplated in the law and much of it is being

ever, was not contemplated in the law and much of it is being carried on without considering a strict interpretation of the law. I am not attempting to criticize or to say that the Federal reserve system should not have relationships with these central banks of issue in the major countries of the world. There is much that this country has to do financially and in a business way with these countries, and relationships of a financial nature are

sary

I do say this, and I want to stress this to the committee, that there is plenty of evidence to show that all international financial transactions, many of which have a bearing on the political angles of the disputes in the various countries of Europe, are carried on by and through these international banking houses, principally J. P. Morgan & Co., who are not under the law in any respect whatsoever authorized to act for this Government or any of its

I want to point out clearly to you that J. P. Morgan & Co. constitute a private banking house engaged in the business of financing and investment banking, both domestic and international, for the purpose of making money. They have at times rendered great

public service to this country.

It has been repeatedly asserted that they were also the fiscal agents of Great Britain, France, Belgium, and Italy. They have connections both in London and in Paris, and I presume in other central financial markets of the other countries of Europe. I do say this, however, that in many of these financial transactions there is involved financial, economic, and political issues, and oftentimes, and practically at all times, when this firm appears in Europe on financial operations they are speaking, maybe by acquisition or by deference, for the combined financial resources of the entire United States. That is the way they are regarded by the financial interests and the countries abroad. I want to point out the danger of the tendency of those kinds of relation-ship and the carrying on of them clandestinely.

If those relationships are essential to the best interests of the

ship and the carrying on of them clandestinely.

If those relationships are essential to the best interests of the people of the United States they should be authorized by law, and if they are authorized by law they should be supervised.

This question of foreign loans, this question of these great financial operations, has a bearing and effect on the Federal reserve system. They have a bearing and an effect on other international financial transactions and a direct bearing on the welfare of 120,000,000 people living in the United States.

I have no quarrel with J. P. Morgan & Co. as such in this matter, but I do think it is of the utmost importance to the people who have regard for the maintenance of this country's welfare and the welfare of the Federal reserve system that these kinds of financial operations, which involve the shipment of gold, the political determinations of the countries of the world, the determinations of the welfare of the people of this country, should have some supervision. They can not be carried on without effective operations of the Federal reserve system and the business structure of this country, and I point out that they are being conducted to-day without that authority.

It has a direct bearing on the particular financial and economic operation which confronts this country to-day because of the fact that the savings and the equities of probably 20,000,000 people have been taken from them through this orgy of speculation which to those who are best qualified to speak is indicated as having been brought about, to a very large extent, by the mistakes in the operations of our financial system.

tion which to those who are best qualified to speak is indicated as having been brought about, to a very large extent, by the mistakes in the operations of our financial system.

I do not need to go any further than to point out to you the evidence that was submitted to the Glass committee last week when Mr. George L. Harrison admitted these mistakes.

I have repeatedly pointed out how in the summer of 1927 the heads of the foreign banks of Europe came over here and persuaded the heads of the Federal reserve bank to the idea of lower

discount rates which stimulated the stock speculation which resulted so disastrously in the fall of 1929.

That is now admitted by the Federal reserve. They have also confirmed the statements which I have repeatedly made, and which have been disputed from time to time, as to the other change of policy in the spring of 1929, in February, when they announced a complete change, which was the beginning of the decline, not only in business but in the prices of securities, that

led up to the catastrophe in 1929.

The influences of the international group and the manipulation of securities in the so-called well-known plan of the stabilizing

of securities in the so-called well-known plan of the stabilizing of securities are important in connection with this very matter here, because you have here the question as to the type of man who is going to guide the future of the Federal reserve system. My observation of Mr. Meyer is that he is a dominating influence. The experience of the different boards on which he has served has been that he is a strong power in all of these operations.

I want to go a step further in connection with this particular situation in which the country and the people of the country find themselves in regard to the present financial position; and that is, that during this period of inflation, which started back in 1922, and gradually worked up to a period of extreme height when the credit was released in 1927, these financial houses located in New York and elsewhere, principally in New York, under the system that had been developed by mass production saw an when the credit was released in 1927, these financial houses located in New York and elsewhere, principally in New York, under the system that had been developed by mass production saw an opportunity to consolidate and reorganize thousands of institutions of this country, and they did not lose their opportunity; they reached out all over the country, and every concern practically that had arrived at a point where it had an earning power and ability was picked up at a price. They took them over and reorganized them into new institutions and financed them through these houses in New York, issuing, oftentimes, twice the amount of securities of the value of the property. They developed this well-known basis of the value of securities depending on the number of so many times their net earnings, and they took out the cream by their operations and sold the residue to the investing public of this country at the high prices.

We now find that the banks of the country are holding the bag and are holding these investment-adulterated securities. It is a situation with which the Congress or some authority should have something to do, because it has deflated the purchasing power of the public to the extent of billions of dollars.

Now, I want to make this suggestion that has a bearing on this whole matter: There has to be some kind of regulation over that angle of things, and I want to ask you whether the type of man that is being suggested here as governor of the Federal Reserve Board is the type of man to direct this situation?

My own thought is that we should have a re-creation of a board in this country along the lines of the old Capital Issues Board, which was a part of the War Finance Corporation act. That board should have supervision over the issuance and sale not only of domestic but of foreign securities in the United States. I do not

should have supervision over the issuance and sale not only of domestic but of foreign securities in the United States. I do not believe you are going to correct this situation in the United States until there is re-created some supervisory board or power over the sale in this country of not only domestic but foreign securities.

Perhaps I am taking altogether too much of the committee's

time, Mr. Chairman.
Senator Carey. There are several witnesses here out of town that

would like to be heard to-day.

Representative McFadden. If it is agreeable to you, then, after these other witnesses that I have suggested have been heard, perhaps I can complete other phases of this statement. If that is agreeable to the committee, I will take my leave at this moment.

Senator Goldsborough. I would suggest that Mr. McFadden

proceed.

Representative McFadden. In this international relationship I want to point out that the firm of Morgan & Co. have been interested in all of these great international problems which are interested in all of these great international problems which are so vital now to a solution of the world situation, and they have a bearing and effect on our own domestic, economical, and financial situation. They financed and sold in this country one-third of the reparations loan a year ago. Those bonds were floated at 90, and they are now selling in the 70's. Subsequently to that Lee Higginson & Co., closely affiliated with the Morgan house, with foreign connections, floated a loan to Germany of \$125,000,000, and now the same house is in negotiation to grant an additional loan of \$40.000.000 to Germany.

\$40,000,000 to Germany.

These relationships are made possible by the assent of the management of the Federal reserve system, and they have a vital bearing, as I have said previously, on all of these international rela-

tionships.

Mr. MEYER. Under what authority has the Federal reserve system control of the floating of bonds of banking houses?

Representative McFadden. They have not any authority.

Mr. MEYER. But you said they had.

Representative McFadden. When did I say that?

Senator Brookhart. I did not understand that. I understood him to say that there should be a board that should have such authority.

Mr. Mayer. That is a different question. Will the reporter made

Mr. MEYER. That is a different question. Will the reporter read

Mr. Meyer. That is a different question. Will the reporter read the remarks of the Congressman on that subject?

(The reporter thereupon read from the record as follows:)

"These relationships are made possible by the assent of the management of the Federal reserve system, and they have a vital bearing, as I have said previously, on all of these international relationships."

Mr. MEYER. That is what I am talking about.

Representative McFadden. I do not mean to infer that they have the legal authority vested in the law.

Mr. MEYER. You used the word "assent." That permission is

asked and given.

Representative McFadden. Not necessarily. Mr. Meyer. What does "assent" mean? Representative McFadden. It means that the Federal reserve are sympathetic to the carrying on of these transactions by this group.

Mr. Meyer. Assent does not mean sympathetic. Assent means authority. It implies authority.

Representative McFadden. I do not care to get into an acrimonious discussion on this, but I would point out, however, that the Federal reserve system is first charged with the responsibility of maintaining a gold standard and supervision of the total volume of credit in the United States. Those are two main functions of the Federal reserve system.

Federal reserve system.

Senator Brookhart. Would it not be worse if the Federal reserve assented to this without authority than if they had authority?

Representative McFadden. Yes. The point that I do want to emphasize here is that during all of this inflationary period the operations of the Federal reserve system synchronized in such manner with all of these houses that were handling international operations and domestic flotations that they had access to the credit facilities of the Federal reserve system, and Federal reserve credit was made readily available to this class of financing or dealcredit facilities of the Federal reserve system, and Federal reserve credit was made readily available to this class of financing or dealing. I reiterate that statement now, and I can say to you that under the change of policy that was recently announced by the Federal reserve system an inflationary policy is now again being established and those in authority are being admonished to use it for purposes of improving the market; so that these houses that are engaged in these transactions are synchronizing their operations now to use these Federal reserve credits which are available at this time. It may be worthy and it may not. I am not saying that it is not.

I want to see business stimulated in this country and put back to normal, but at the present time the policy of the Federal reserve is that all inflation and all of the powers are to be used to improve the bond market. I am not saying that it is detrimental, but I am showing how under the well-known plans of stabilizing that policy is being carried out at the present time.

Senator Wagner. Are you criticizing it, although you do not know whether it is good or not?

Representative McFadden. I am not criticizing it. I am just

pointing out that the administration is admonishing the invest ment houses to do everything they can to improve the bond

Senator Brookhart. Are you familiar with the fact that these stocks in New York on Prof. Irving Fisher's Review are still 208 per cent above the level of 1914?

Representative McFadden, I have not made that comparison. Senator Brookhart. And that the 1914 level was 33 per cent above the 1904 level, all of which would indicate that we are still

on top of a volcano, so far as this stock booming is concerned.

Representative McFadden. In connection with the examination which the committee are to make, inasmuch as I have suggested the calling of these various witnesses, may I outline to the committee certain phases of this on which I think it would be proper to question these witnesses? I do not want to attempt to suggest to the committee, but there are certain angles on which I think it would be well to have information.

think it would be well to have information.

I have already referred to the possible business connections, financial connections, of Mr. Meyer, and have stated that they should be set forth fully to this committee as having a bearing on the future operations of the Federal reserve system.

I will take these up in order: First, there is Mr. Alfred A. Cook. I know that Mr. Cook was special counsel of the War Finance Corporation in railroad matters here in 1919. He is now attorney for the Marine Midland Corporation, formerly with the Fidelity Trust Co., which was merged with the Midland, and is attorney for the brother-in-law of George Blumenthal, Lazard-Freres & Co., referred to in my speech, and is attorney for the New York Times, and is a brother-in-law of Mr. Meyer.

Senator Wagner. Are you now suggesting questions that should

Senator Wagner. Are you now suggesting questions that should

be asked?

Representative McFadden. I was giving an outline here. Senator Wagner. Those questions should be submitted to the chairman.

Mr. Cook. I should like to submit to the fullest examination, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Meyer. I do not know whether it is out of order or not, but Mr. Strong just happened to come into the room. I did not ask him to come, but he was a member of the subcommittee that studied bond matters about which Mr. McFadden expatiated so much, and I would like to ask the chairman to let Mr. Strong

Senator Carey. I would rather defer calling Mr. Strong, if I could, because some of these men who have been brought here are very busy and would like to get back.

are very busy and would like to get back.

Senator Wagner. I think we owe it to a Congressman, who is a busy man, and I suggest that we call Mr. Strong after we get through with Congressman McFadden.

Representative McFadden. I was going to suggest that you not only call Representative Strong, but that you call Representative Streagall and Representative Streagall and Representative Streagall and Representative Struenson, who are also members of the committee. If you are going into that matter, these other gentlemen should be here to make their statements as well.

Senator Carey. I would like to go ahead with these hearings to-day, if the other members can sit here, on account of these witnesses from out of town, and at least get through with them to-day.

Senator Goldsborough. That is agreeable to me, sir.

Senator Goldsborough, That is agreeable to me, sir.

Senator Brookhart. Mr. Chairman, I am interested in a matter before the Senate to-day.

Senator Carey. The Senate convenes at 12 o'clock noon, but these gentlemen are here, and they would like to get away. I think we should hear them to-day, if possible.

Senator Brookhart. Suppose we go as far as we can.

Senator Goldsborough. Suppose we let the witness proceed and

then we can hear Mr. Strong.

Representative McFadden. To ascertain what part Mr. Cook took in the employment of Mr. Platt by the Marine Midland you should know whom he saw and what connections and what conversations were had either between Mr. Platt or Mr. Cook or the Marine Midland people, or anyone else connected with the Federal research the Treasury or envoye who had enviting whetcomer. reserve, the Treasury, or anyone who had anything whatsoever to do with these negotiations.

The question of salary and consideration should also be fairly known in this matter, because in Mr. Platt's letter he refers to the fact that the Federal reserve members are drawing a small salary, and that one of the considerations of his change was that he was paid a much larger salary.

You should also understand and know whether any bonuses were given to Mr. Platt, or whatever other inducements were given to him to retire from the membership of the Federal Reserve Board, which to my mind is one of the outstanding positions in the country, almost equal to a membership on the Supreme Court ed States. of the Unit

of the United States.

You should also know what stock holdings are held or what changes took place in connection with stock holdings, if any.

You should also know if the resignation of Mr. Young was discussed with Mr. Meyer and Mr. Platt. It might be interesting to know, although I realize how difficult it would be to know, whether a suggestion of the retirement of Mr. Platt was made by the President or whether it was a voluntary matter. The president or whether it was a voluntary matter. by the President or whether it was a voluntary matter. The press reports indicate that it was a matter of consideration in the Treasury and the Federal reserve and the White House. It should be known also to the committee how long this resignation had been in contemplation, or whether it was a decision promptly arrived at and executed.

In connection with Mr. Young's appointment it might clarify the situation to know whether or not when Mr. Young left the position of governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis at twice the salary he was drawing and came here, he had any arrangement as to future salary after he retired from the Federal

Reserve Board.

This all has a tendency to show whether the Federal reserve system is being used other than for purposes of carrying out their

functions under the law.

In that connection I am reminded that the governorship of the Federal Reserve Bank at Boston is being largely used for retirement positions of the Federal Reserve Board. It may be all right and it may not.

and it may not.

I think it is important to know what conversations took place between Mr. Platt and Mr. Meyer or Mr. Rand or anyone else who may have had anything to do with this matter. I think that covers all I have to say this morning, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Brookhart. Mr. Chairman, there are some questions that I would like at some time to ask Mr. McFadden.

Senator Carey. Can you return, Mr. McFadden?

Representative McFadden. Yes; I would be very glad to. May I be excused now?

be excused now?

Senator Carry. Yes.

UNITED STATES SENATE, SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE ON BANKING AND CURRENCY. Washington, D. C., Friday, February 6, 1931.

STATEMENT OF HON. LOUIS T. M'FADDEN, A REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FROM THE FIFTEENTH DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Representative McFadden. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, supplementing what I said when I appeared before the committee, supplementing what I said when I appeared before the committee last week, in my letter to Senator Norbeck under date of January 5, I said of Mr. Cook that he was a lawyer and a brother-in-law of Eugene Meyer, jr., and George Blumenthal. These relationships he admitted, and he admitted that he was attorney for Lazard-Freres and that he was attorney for the New York Times. All of these he confirmed by his testimony before your committee, and admitted that he was a director of and at-

torney for the Fidelity Trust Co. on a retainer up to December 30, 1930, and is retained without salary until July 1, 1931.

He told you that he organized the Fidelity Trust Co., and that the purchase of the control of the stock of that company by the Marine Midland Corporation was consummated in his office, and that the Fidelity Trust Co. is now a subsidiary of the Marine Midland Corporation, and that he was a stockholder in the Marine

Midland Corporation.

He denied only that part pertaining to activity in the appointment of Mr. Platt as vice president of the Marine Midland Corporation.

I am making this clear to you now because of the statement made by Mr. Cook when he appeared before your committee as

"All of the statements made in this letter by Mr. McFadden are founded on whole cloth and are untrue so far as any reference to me is concerned directly or indirectly in any way, shape, manner, or form.

I feel that I should correct that reference in his testimony which tended to indicate that he was in the employ of J. P. Morgan & Co. in the colloquy that took place between Senator Brookhart and Mr. Cook. If this supposition came from my letter, it is entirely without foundation. I never stated that Cook was in the employ of or connected with J. P. Morgan & Co.

Senator Brookhart. I think that was my mistake in asking the

Representative McFadden. What I did say was that his brother-in-law, Mr. George Blumenthal, was connected with Lazard-Freres, and was the liaison between J. P. Morgan & Co. and the French Government. Mr. Cook made no reference whatsoever in his testimony in regard to this, and it is for the purpose of correcting a wrong impression that might be gained from this colloquy that I make this statement.

I feel also that I should say that my reference to Mr. Cook's employment as counsel for the War Finance Corporation did not embody any question of ethics whatsoever. It was simply a recital of the fact that he had had experience with the War Finance Corporation here in Washington when it was being managed by his brother-in-law, Mr. Meyer. It was more for the purpose of identifying Mr. Cook that I made this reference than for anything else.

I am glad, however, that Mr. Cook disclosed to the committee his and Mr. Meyer's connections with Kuhn, Loeb & Co. and other affiliated brokerage houses in New York in this sale of \$200,000,000 worth of equipment-trust notes which the Government was desirous of financing for the Director General of Railroads. This relationship disclosed by Mr. Cook is important in connection with the future operations of the Federal reserve system under the management of Mr. Meyer; and I will have more to say concerning it leter.

management of Mr. Meyer; and I will have more to say concerning it later on.

Referring to the statement before this committee of Mr. George F. Rand, Arthur A. Cook, and Roy A. Young as regards their participation in any of the negotiations or incidents leading up to Mr. Edmund Platt's resignation as a member of the Federal Reserve Board and vice governor and his employment as vice president of the Marine Midland Corporation at a salary practically double that which he was receiving as a member of the Federal Reserve Board whose term of office would not expire for eight years, it is a well-known fact that since the death last March of W. P. G. Harding, who was governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, this position was being held open for Roy A. Young if and when he resigned as governor of the Federal Reserve Board, which resignation was being withheld until such time as Board, which resignation was being withheld until such time as his successor could be named and could be qualified. It was also equally as well known that if Mr. Platt's resignation could be secured Mr. Eugene Meyer would be appointed a member of the Federal Reserve Board and designated its governor.

The obstacle to this was Mr. Platt

Federal Reserve Board and designated its governor.

The obstacle to this was Mr. Platt.

The testimony given by these men to your committee conveys the information that on September 1 Mr. Platt was on vacation in Connecticut; that Governor Young had not seen him since July. Mr. Platt says he came to Washington September 2 to attend a meeting of the Federal Reserve Board for the purpose of fixing Governor Young's salary as governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. Governor Young disclaims having seen Mr. Platt at this time and knew nothing of his resignation

I would point out that Governor Young's resignation was fol-lowed immediately by the resignation of Mr. Platt, and that on September 3 an item appearing in the New York Times, in which it was definitely stated that Eugene Meyer, jr., "would be appointed governor of the Federal Reserve Board" and that "the legal difficulty offered by the fact that another New Yorker was a member of the board could be overcome." proves conclusively that negotiations were pending to eliminate Mr. Platt and evidently had been successful.

I would call your attention to an article appearing the follow-

I would call your attention to an article appearing the following day, September 4, in the Washington Post, which says: "Government authorities indicate that they believe a solution can be found, and acting in this belief President Hoover is said to have eliminated all others than Mr. Meyer for consideration."

It is apparent that Mr. Platt came to Washington for other reasons than to attend the meeting of the Federal Reserve Board for the purpose of fixing Mr. Young's salary. It is perfectly clear from the testimony before your committee that Mr. Platt's definite assurance of resignation and acceptance of Mr. Rand's definite assurance of resignation and acceptance of Mr. Rand's offer was secured while he was in Washington between September 2 and September 5, the definite date of the announcement, and the Government authorities who had this matter in charge were at this time properly informed and did report that the impediment had been removed. The final result of this is that Mr. Platt resigned as vice governor and a member of the Federal Reserve Board and accepted a position at practically double the salary (besides employment of his secretary) as vice president of the Marine Midland Corporation a newly created position to fit his particular employment. As to who or how negotiated, this still remains undisclosed.

It is significant, however, that the man who could furnish the best information on this particular matter, Mr. Edmund Platt, has not been called before this committee.

It is significant also that while Mr. Meyer has been before your committee two days explaining his own activities in the administration of the War Finance Corporation as regards loans to co-operatives and cattle loan companies and his administration of the Federal farm loan system, he has made no statement or explanation as to his own activities in securing this appointment. Why is he silent? He is the one man who could tell you

ment. Why is he silent? He is the one man who could tell you about the incidents leading up to this appointment.

Senator Carey. As to why Mr. Platt was not called: The reason was that the afternoon that I went to the House to see you regarding this hearing, which was before this committee met, I asked you the names of witnesses that you thought should be called, and you did not include Mr. Platt among those that you mentioned. I wired all the others. That is how that happened that Mr. Platt was not called.

Percentative McEnney It was mentioned in my letter to

Representative McFadden. It was mentioned in my letter to Senator Norbeck.

Senator Carey. I had not seen your letter to Senator Nor-BECK; but I went to see you in the cloakroom of the House and made a list of the names that you suggested. For some reason

or other you failed to include Mr. Platt. or other you failed to include Mr. Platt.

Representative McFadden. I want now to call your attention to the fact that the Marine Midland Corporation is a public utility bank. It is interested, and its board of directors and stockholders are to a large extent engaged, in the development of water power, chemicals, and public utilities, and I refer for my information in this respect to a statement made by George F. Rand, the president of the Marine Midland Corporation, before the Committee on Banking and Currency of the House in connection with House Resolution 1451, on April 23, 24, and 25, 1930. The Niagara power interests are closely identified and affiliated with this institution.

institution.

institution.

The New York Times of February 3 gives some very interesting information in this respect. This article is headed "big depreciation for Niagara share. Asset value off \$29,001,834 in 1930 to \$9.75 a share, against \$13.07 in 1929."

Then it goes on and says that the balance sheet shows investments in stock and bonds costing \$141,634,245, with a market value on December 31 of \$78,283,208. It states that the net income for the year, after all charges, was \$3,075,388, "which includes income from assets acquired from Marine Union investors"—

Marine Union Investors is a subsidiary of the Marine Midland

Corporation—
"And the Union Rochester Corporation."

The Union Rochester Share Corporation I do not know about. It refers also to the income from Schoellkopf, Hutton & Pomeroy, closely affiliated with the Marine Midland Corporation. The article says further:

"The portfolio of investments reveals holdings of 347,774 shares of the Marine Midland Corporation, making Niagara Share the largest stockholder in the bank-holding company. The trust also owns 3,602,183 shares and 1,000,204 class A warrants of the Niagara Hudson Corporation."

The balance sheet shows the other assets.

The balance sheet shows the other assets.

The common-stock holdings as printed in this article are what I desire particularly to call your attention to. Among them is Consolidated Gas of New York, 29,100 shares; Electric Bond & Share, 7,330 shares; Stone & Webster, 7,120 shares; Du Pont de Nemours, 1,500 shares; General Electric, 4,400 shares; St. Regis Paper, 35,000 shares; Standard Brands, 22,000 shares; National Investors Equity, 17,200 shares; Marine Midland, 347,774 shares; Niagara Securities, 48,000 shares; Bank of Manhattan Trust, 4,300 shares; Public National Bank & Trust, 3,800 shares—

Senator Brookhart. I did not quite get the connection there with the Marine Midland Corporation. What is this?

Representative MCFIDDEN. This is the annual report of the Niagara Share Corporation. It shows that the Niagara Share Corporation is a controlling interest in the Marine Midland Corporation.

Corporation.

Senator Brookhart. It has a controlling interest in the Marine Midland, or the Marine Midland has a controlling interest in the Niagara Sha |? Which is that? That is where I am con-

Representative McFidden (reading). "The portfolio of investments reveals holding: of 347,774 shares of the Marine Midland Corporation "-

Senator BROOKHART, Oh, I see.

Senator Broothart. Oh, I see.
Representative McFadder (continuing). "Making Niagara Share the largest stockholder in the bank-holding company."
Then it goes on with the other interests.
I want to point out clearly to you, Senators, that the Marine Midland Corporation is a public-utility bank. It is interested, and its board of directors and stockholders are to a large extent engaged, in the development of water power, chemicals, and public utilities; and I refer for my information in this respect to a statement which Mr. Rand made, in addition to what I have already read to you here from the clipping from the New York Times. His statement, which is fully set forth in hearings before our committee on April 23, 24, and 25, 1930, shows that the Niagara power interests are closely identified and affiliated with this institution.

These same interests are closely allied and affiliated with the United Corporation, which is the superpower creation of J. P. Morgan & Co., which organization ties in with the Mellon group, the United Gas Improvement group, the Consolidated Gas-Brookthe United Gas Improvement group, the Consolidated Gas-Brook-lyn Edison and Brady group, Niagara & Hudson Power Co., the Carlisle group, and the Du Pont interests. It was these interests that gave Mr. Platt his new job. It is these interests which have prevailed upon Mr. Platt to retire from the Federal Reserve Board, which created a vacancy in the only district from which Mr. Meyer could be appointed. They want Mr. Meyer to be Governor of the Federal Reserve Board. He is their man—he is one of them

one of them.

Senator Wagner. Congressman, on what do you base that? Is there any statement made by any responsible source that any such arrangement was made between all these groups and the President of the United States? You must base this statement upon some evidence, I take it, or you would not make it.

Representative McFadden. I want to follow that up, Senator. Senator Wagner. Is it of the same type?

Representative McFadden. I want to call your attention to the fact, as Mr. Meyer told you yesterday, that he is still interested in the Allied Chemical Co.

Senator Wagner. Is that your basis? Senator Wagner. Congressman, on what do you base that? Is

Senator Washer. Is that your basis?

Representative McFadden. That is part of my basis; yes.

It is interesting also to bear in mind that I have already called your attention to the fact that you should ascertain Mr. Meyer's

your attention to the fact that you should ascertain Mr. Meyer's connection with big business and big finance and with Allied Chemical Co. You should know how he became interested initially in the Allied Chemical Co. Yesterday he disclosed the fact that he was a stockholder in that company.

We have a provision in the law that forbids the Secretary of the Treasury being identified in any manner whatsoever with financial institutions or business undertakings that in any wise contact the Government. You are all familiar with that, and the oath the oath.

There is also a provision forbidding a member of the Federal Reserve Board from being connected with a national bank or member banks. Here is an instance where power and chemical interests control a bank.

interests control a bank.

It is important that you know what private bank affiliations, if any, Mr. Meyer has. This is particularly pertinent because during his career in the Government service he has maintained these close relationships with important private financial interests as well as with the big important groups of banks. I want to call your attention now to the fact that the Allied Chemical Co. is a consolidation of several important chemical companies. The principal companies that went into this Chemical combination were the Niagara Falls companies owned and controlled by the Schoellkopfs, who control the water power at Niagara Falls and are directors of the Marine Midland group of banks, and the Du Pont chemical and water-power interests, who are tied in with Du Pont chemical and water-power interests, who are tied in with the United Co.

Du Pont chemical and water-power interests, who are tied in with the United Co.

I also want to call your attention to the close relationship of the General Electric Co., the Electric Bond & Share Co., the Niagara & Hudson Power Co., American Super Power, and of their affiliated groups, both foreign and domestic, as a part and parcel of this water power and chemical control all under this same financial control. And, then, also, the Aluminum Co. of America is affiliated with the Marine Midland group, which is controlled by the Mellon group, of which the present Secretary of the Treasury, Andrew W. Mellon, is the head and dominating influence, and Mr. Mellon is chairman ex officio of the Federal Reserve Board.

Senator Carer. The Marine Midland is a member of the Federal reserve system, is it not?

Representative McFadden. Yes.

Now, to make more clear what I am saying to you, I want to point out the names and connections of the directors of the Marine Midland Corporation as proof of what I am saying to you, and I am placing in the record at this point a list of the board of directors of the Marine Midland Corporation, which was furnished me by Mr. Rand when he appeared before our committee.

(The list of board of directors of the Marine Midland Corporation, which was furnished me by the per privated in the least of blazer.

(The list of board of directors of the Marine Midland Corpora-tion is here printed in full, as follows:)

George G. Allen, president Duke Power Co.
John L. Clawson, chairman of the board, Clawson & Wilson Co.
Walter P. Cooke, chairman of the board, the Marine Trust Co. of Buffalo.
Arthur V. Davis, chairman of the board, Aluminum Co. of

William C. Feathers, president the Manufacturers' National Bank Troy.

of Troy.

Seymour H. Knox, president Marine Union Investors (Inc.).
Edward H. Letchworth, director and general counsel, the Marine
Trust Co. of Buffalo.
Raymond V. V. Miller, White, Weld & Co.
George O. Muhlfeld, vice president, Stone & Webster (Inc.).
Bayard F. Pope, president Stone & Webster & Blodgett (Inc.).
George F. Rand, president the Marine Trust Co. of Buffalo.
Faris R. Russell, White, Weld & Co.
J. F. Schoellkopf, jr., vice president, Schoellkopf, Hutton & Pomeroy (Inc.).

eroy (Inc.). Paul A. Schoellkopf, president Niagara-Hudson Power Corpora-

tion.

Eustace Seligman, Sullivan & Cromwell.

Ernest Stauffen, jr., chairman of the board. Charles Winslow Smith, treasurer Sherwood Shoe Co.

Harral S. Tenney, vice president, Marine Midland Corporation. Thomas A. Wilson, president Peoples Trust Co., Binghamton,

Frederick W. Zoller, president Union Trust Co. of Rochester. These are important circumstances, to say the least, when it was so desirable for Mr. Platt to resign, and instead of having to go out

so desirable for Mr. Platt to resign, and instead of having to go out and hunt a position that one comes to him out of a clear sky from a group which is so largely controlled by friends of Mr. Meyer, both in and out of the administration.

I point to the interests in the Marine Midland group of the Mellons through representation on the board of Arthur V. Davis, chairman of the Board of the Aluminum Co. of America, the Bank of Manhattan interests now represented on the board by George Murnane, who is also a partner in Lee, Higginson & Co. and a

director of the American & Continental Corporation, owned and controlled by the Warburgs and the Bank of Manhattan Co., and the stockholding interest of the Public National Bank of New York in the Marine Midland group of banks, on which board of directors is Mr. Meyer's brother, Walter E. Meyer, and Alfred A. Cook, a stockholder and attorney for an affiliate of the Marine Midland Corporation. These family relationships I am emphasizing and would call your attention to the further fact that Lazard-Freres, the international banking house of New York and Paris, seems to have been a Meyer family banking house, and it is important now because of the fact that whether Mr. Meyer personally is still in-terested in this banking house, the family relationship is, and I am stressing the importance of this connection because of the important position that this international house occupies in French finance in Paris. It is a well-known fact in financial circles in the city of New York that this is one of the most important international financial houses in New York, whose international transactions are very carefully guarded at all times. This house frequently figures in imports and exports of gold, and one

of the important functions of the Federal reserve system has to do with gold movements in the maintenance of its own operations.

In looking over the notes of the hearing which was held last Tuesday I desire to refer to the testimony, on page 413 of the stenographer's note, when Mr. Meyer was questioned by Senator

Prior to the question that Senator Flercher had asked Mr. Meyer, to wit, "Do you think that the Federal Reserve Board can have much to do with foreign financing and international financing." financing?

To which Mr. Meyer answered:

"The Federal Reserve Board has not anything to do with it under the law, so far as I know, Senator."
Senator Fletcher asked Mr. Meyer:
"Have you any connection with international banking?"

Mr. Meyer answered:
"Me? Not personally."
This last question and answer do not appear in the stenographic transcript. I have consulted with Senator Fletcher with reference to this situation, and Senator Fletcher remembers asking question, and the answer. It is an odd omission; and this

omission——
Senator Fletcher. I have not seen the transcript.

Senator Carey, I have not seen it.

Representative McFadden, I was furnished a copy of it from Senator Norbeck's office.

Senator Fletcher. I do not know how the question was omitted unless the stenographer just left it out.

Representative McFadden. It may have been left out in the correction of the record. I do not think a thing like that ought to be left out in correcting the record.

Senator Carry. You can put it in the record now.
Representative McFadden. I have seen the stenographic re-

Senator Brookhart. I understand that Mr. Meyer looked over

it for correction.

Mr. Meyer. You are saying that the uncorrected copy is what you saw, and, therefore, it is not a question of its being corrected. It is the uncorrected copy as shown from the notes of

the stenographer.

Representative McFadden. Of course, it may have been an oversight on the part of the stenographer, but the incident should

be clarified.

Senator Goldsborough. Somebody left it out?

Representative McFadden. Yes. Senator Goldsborough. Who is the somebody?

Representative McFadden. There is nobody but the stenographer

that makes the record, Senator.

Senator Carry. The question was, "Have you any connection with international banking?"

Representative McFadden. Yes; and Mr. Meyer's answer was: "Me? Not personally."

Mr. MEYER. The conversation had been about various other people. I have no interest in international banking, and I have never had except when I was an employee, a clerk in the firm that my father resigned and retired from in 1901. Senator Carry. That firm was what?

Mr. Meyer, Lazard-Freres. My father retired from business 30 years ago.

senator Carry. Did you ever have any interest in that firm?

Mr. Meyer. I never had any. Senator Carey. Since your father died?

Mr. MEYER. I never had any then. I was a salaried employee. The maximum salary I received up to the time I left, was \$2,500 a year, and I did not think I was getting enough, and that was one of the reasons why I left. You don't get enough from your own relatives—that is what I thought. My brother-in-law and my father were there, and I did not think I was getting a square deal. But they both retired from the firm and from business, Senator. My father retired from the firm and from business, senator. My father retired permanently from business in 1901, and he died in January, 1925. My brother-in-law retired five or six years ago on account of the health of his wife, who died a few months ago, and he has been out of business since then. Representative McFadden. And has no interest in Lazard-

Freres?

Mr. Meyer. Absolutely none, and has not had for five years. It has been stated already in these hearings. I told the committee that the reason I got out, among other things, was that I did not want to be interested in international banking because

I thought, when I was a young man, the future of banking was going to be in America and not anywhere else.

Representative McFadden. And you have no interest, directly

or indirectly, in the firm of Lazard-Freres at this time?

Mr. Meyer. No; and never had, other than my salary check, which I got regularly twice a month when I was working for them.

Representative McFadden. On April 24, 1930, Mr. Rand appeared before the House Banking and Currency Committee and explained to the committee the character and type of interests which con-stitute the Marine Midland financial group. Included in his list of directors as given during his testimony before the committee are the following:

George G. Allen, president Duke Power Co. The Duke Power Co. is one of the power companies developed in the South. This is the only one connection that I have been able to see between the Duke power interests and the northern power interests that I am referring to here. I have already mentioned to you that Arthur V. Davis, chairman of the board of the Aluminum Co. of America, is a director also of the Mohawk Hudson Power Co. Aluminum Co. of America is controlled by the so-called Mellon group, and he is also a director of the Mellon National Bank.

Paul A. Schoellkopf, president Niagara Hudson Power Corpora-tion, is also a director of the Duke Power Co., also Allied Chemical Co., also associated with J. P. Morgan & Co. in the United Cor-poration, representing combination of power and utility interests in which are merged the Mellon interests, the Du Pont interests, the Brady interests, etc.

The Aluminum Co. and the Allied Chemical Co. are among the heaviest users of water power in the United States. As I have pointed out previously, Mr. Meyer is one of the large stockholders of Allied Chemical Co. He told you yesterday of his stockholding interests.

George O. Muhlfeld and Bayard F. Pope are president and vice president of Stone & Webster and Stone, Webster & Blodgett Inc.), one of the largest operators and financiers of public utilities

in the United States

Raymond V. V. Miller and Faris R. Russell are of the firm of White, Weld & Co., New York City, an investment banking house engaged, together with Stone, Webster & Blodgett, in the sale and distribution of the stock of the Marine Midland Corporation

and distribution of the stock of the Marine Midland Corporation and other public-utility securities.

J. F. Schoellkopf, Jr., of Schoellkopf, Hutton & Pomeroy (Inc.), and Seymour H. Knox, president Marine Union Investors (Inc.), are distributors in a like manner.

This power and bank group control or largely dominate many of the important distributing houses and banks in the United States, and, of course, the house of J. P. Morgan & Co.; Lazard-Freres; Kuhn, Loeb & Co.; International Manhattan Co; Lee, Higginson & Co. head this list.

And what I am saying here not only applies to the distribution of the securities herein referred to but applies as well to the issuance of foreign securities in which this group is interested and in which their banking groups are more materially interested.

The best illustration of this affiliation is gained from the list of banks which handled the last issue of commercialized reparation loans in the United States. I will insert that without reading it. (The list of banks referred to and submitted by the witness is

Danks which handled the last issue of commercialized reparation loans in the United States. I will insert that without reading it.

(The list of banks referred to and submitted by the witness is here printed in full, as follows:)

Kuhn, Loeb & Co.; Guaranty Co. of New York; Harris, Forbes & Co.; Dillon, Read & Co.; International Manhattan Co. (Inc.); Corn Exchange Bank Trust Co.; Bonbright & Co. (Inc.); Field, Glore & Co.; Stone & Webster & Blodget (Inc.); Ladenburg, Thalmann & Co.; Kountze Bros.; Hornblower & Weeks; J. P. Morgan & Co.; First National Bank; Bankers Co. of New York; Lee, Higginson & Co.; Halsey, Stuart & Co. (Inc.); The New York Trust Co.; Bancamerica-Blair Corporation; Spencer Trask & Co.; Hayden, Stone & Co.; E. H. Rollins & Sons; Edward B. Smith & Co.; J. G. White & Co. (Inc.); Callaway, Fish & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co.; W. A. Harriman & Co. (Inc.); The National City Co.; Chase Securities Corporation; Kidder, Peabody & Co.; Brown Bros. & Co.; Chemical National Co. (Inc.); Chatham Phenix Corporation; J. & W. Seligman & Co.; White, Weld & Co.; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; Chas. D. Barney & Co.; Kean, Taylor & Co.; Dominick & Dominick; Lazard-Freres; Clark, Dodge & Co.; Hallgarten & Co.; Hemphill, Noyes & Co.; A. Iselin & Co. Redmond & Co.

Mr. Rand is a director of the American Studebaker Corporation. The interlocking situation in that respect is that another director of the American Studebaker Corporation.

Mr. Rand is a director of the American Studebaker Corporation. The interlocking situation in that respect is that another director of the Studebaker Corporation and a close associate of Mr. Rand is Matthew Brush, president of the American International Corporation, who is a director of the bank of the Manhattan Co., of which Paul M. Warburg is chairman. Before the merger he was a director of the International Acceptance Bank, of which Paul Warburg was chairman.

The page of George Muyrene was recently added to the list of

The name of George Murnane was recently added to the list of the directors of the Marine Midland Corporation on November 10, 1930, shortly after Mr. Platt became an officer of the Marine Mid-land. He is also a director of the following companies:

land. He is also a director of the following companies:

The Bankers Trust Co., a Morgan company; Swedish-American Investment Trust; American Steel; Rockefeller Medical; Lee, Higginson & Co. Lee, Higginson & Co., I have pointed out to you, was closely associated with J. P. Morgan & Co. and, I understand, is the representative of the Rothschilds of Paris in this country. Just at this time this morning's papers are saying that they are about to float a loan, or to join with the syndicate to float a loan to Germany, and a portion of that is to be sold and distributed in the United States with the French bankers. The other connection of Mr. Murnane is the American & Continental Corporation.

The Swedish-American Investment Trust is a holding corporation for the Kruger & Toll interests which own the Swedish match monopolies and have various foreign governments for their partners. Lee, Higginson & Co. have distributed their securities in this

The American & Continental Corporation is a subsidiary of the Bank of the Manhattan Co. of which Paul M. Warburg is chairman. The International Acceptance Bank and the Bank of the Manhattan Co. merged in 1929.

Herman A. Metz, a director of the Bank of Manhattan is also, I believe, heavily interested in Allied Chemical.

Senator Fletcher has called your attention to a group of 25 of Senator Fletcher has called your attention to a group of 25 of the so-called Morgan stocks that have, during the panic, depreciated over \$17,000,000,000. The names of these companies, the number of shares outstanding, the highs of 1929 and the recent lows, loss per share, and gross shrinkage, I am placing in the record at this point. These figures are taken from an authoritative source and I believe are correct in every particular. Senator Fletcher did not put the whole story in in regard to it.

(The list of stocks referred to and submitted by the witness is here printed in full, as follows:)

Name of company	Shares out- standing	1929 highs	Recent	Loss per share	Gross shrinkage
Alleghany Corporation	4, 152, 500	56	8	\$48	\$199, 300, 000
American Super Power 1 American Telephone & Tele-	8, 243, 000	71	10	61	502, 800, 000
	17, 635, 000	310	179	131	2, 309, 600, 000
Bendix A viation	2, 007, 000	104	15	89	186, 600, 000
Case Threshing Machine 1	194, 000	467	104	363	70, 400, 000
Cerro de Pasco	1, 123, 000	120	26	94	105, 500, 000
Columbia Graphophone	2, 565, 000	89	10	79	203, 000, 000
Commonwealth Southern	34, 000, 000	29	8	21	714, 000, 000
Congoleum Nairn	1, 641, 000	36	8	28	46, 000, 000
Consolidated Gas 1	11, 460, 000	183	80	103	1, 180, 000, 000
Continental Oil	4, 473, 000	38	10	28	124, 700, 000
Electric Bond & Share 1	9, 500, 000	180	39	141	1, 339, 500, 000
Erie R. R.	1, 511, 000	93	27	66	99, 700, 000
Erie R. R	28, 846, 000	101	45	56	1,589,000,000
General Motors	43, 500, 000	92	32	60	2, 610, 000, 000
Gold Dust 2 International Telephone & Tele-	1,795,000	82	30	52	93, 300, 000
graph	6, 572, 000	149	25	124	815, 000, 000
Johns-Manville	750, 000	242	62	180	135, 000, 000
Kennecott Copper	9, 391, 000	105	25	80	751, 200, 000
Montgomery Ward 1	4, 600, 000	156	16	140	644, 000, 000
Nevada Copper	4, 850, 000	63	10	53	257, 000, 000
Radio Corporation of America 3	13, 160, 000	115	13	102	1, 342, 300, 000
Standard Brands	12, 634, 000	44	14	30	379, 000, 000
United States Steel (common)	8, 560, 000	261	138	123	1, 053, 000, 000
United Corporation	7, 000, 000	75	16	59	413, 000, 000
Total					17, 162, 900, 000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Curb Exchange stocks, floated through Bonbright.
<sup>2</sup> Joint sponsors with First National.

Above is of Dec. 1, 1930.

It would be equally interesting to have similar comparisons of the securities sponsored and sold by these other affiliations with whom Mr. Meyer is so closely affiliated.

whom Mr. Meyer is so closely affiliated.

Showing Mr. Meyer's views and connections, Mr. Meyer wrote an article which is published in the Yale Review of May, 1909, entitled: "The Stock Exchange and the Panic of 1907." This is a special pleading for the New York Stock Exchange. He defends the practice of short selling. He says speculation on the stock exchange did not cause the panic of 1907 because the banks had quietly liquidated months before. He avers that the New York Stock Exchange is an indispensable adjunct of American business life, and so forth. He says it is better than other (foreign) stock

life, and so forth. He says it is better than other (foreign) stock exchanges because it is less regulated than they are.

I recall his testimony before this committee in which he had no idea of what caused the panic of 1929. It is rather strange that Mr. Meyer seemed competent to offer a diagnosis of the panic of 1907 and can not even guess what caused the crash of 1929. Your committee should know whether Mr. Meyer still holds the opinions he expressed in this article. It has a bearing on the future conduct of the Federal reserve system and has to do with

his qualifications.

his qualifications.

Referring to the stock crash of 1929, the report to the stockholders of the International Acceptance Bank (Inc.), for the year 1928, under date of March 7, 1929, by Paul M. Warburg, chairman of the board of directors, is significant and was a large factor. Congress should know the details of the causes of this crash in order to guard against a future recurrence. The way to get the facts is for Congress to call upon the New York Stock Exchange for full facts and records of brokers who were placing big orders to sell short or actually selling short and know definitely from whence these tremendous orders for sale of important securities came. This is a matter which is easily obtainable and goes to the crux of this whole situation, disclosure of which will involve the associations of Mr. Meyer and his friends that I am referring to here, and I am stating this as further evidence to you, gentlemen, as to why Mr. Meyer should not be placed in this responsible position at the head of the banking and credit system of the United States.

Senator Brookhart. I would like a little more detailed explana-

Senator Brookhart. I would like a little more detailed explanation of that, if you can make it orally.

Representative McFadden. I will put in the record, Senator, the report that I have referred to by Mr. Warburg, in March of 1929, and I will also call your attention to and put into the record an editorial in the New York Times commenting on that report.

Those two things together were a tremendous factor in the beginning of the crash in 1929.

Senator Brookhart. The two particular items referred to are what?

Representative McFadden. The report of the chairman of the board of the International Acceptance Bank for the year 1928, which was published, I think, on March 7, 1929——

Senator BROOKHART. The idea being that the report

Representative McFadden. If you would like to have me read some of it, Senator, I would be glad to do so.

Senator Brookhart. No; I want to get the bearing of the report on the panic. Did it help cause the panic? Is that the idea you suggest?

Representative McFadden. It was one of the most potential influences in creating in the public mind a feeling of insecurity, and you will find that tremendous selling orders immediately followed the issuance of this statement and the editorial in the New York Times of the same date.

Senator Brookhart. Is the report of such a nature as to cause

great selling orders?

great selling orders?

Representative McFadden. I would say so; yes. I mention that to show that this has a bearing on the whole situation of Mr. Meyer's fitness to serve as governor of the Federal Reserve Board because of these close associations.

(The report to the stockholders of the International Acceptance Bank for the year 1928, referred to and submitted by the witness, is here printed in part, as follows:)

["From report to the stockholders of the International Acceptance Bank (Inc.)—for the year 1928—p. 3]

Bank (Inc.)—for the year 1928—p. 3]

"In order fully to understand the considerations moving your officers and directors in recommending the amalgamation, one has to analyze the conditions and tendencies prevailing to-day in the broad field of banking. It is a fact that, not only in industry but also in finance, we are living in the age of the horizontal and vertical trusts. Branch banking (and chain banking) express the horizontal tendency; while 'a complete service,' including domestic and foreign banking, acceptance facilities, the origination and distribution of securities, as well as the services of fiduciary departments, evidence the vertical tendencies corresponding to the industrialists' ambition to cover the whole reach from the raw material to the finished article, from the producer to the consumer. I believe it is safe to say that the evolution in the industrial field, to a certain degree at least, is directly responsible for the similar development in the field of banking, because the gigantic form assumed by industrial corporations on both sides of the Atlantic render their banking requirements so large and so all-encompassing that only banks with gigantic resources of their own are able to offer them commensurate facilities. Whether or not one may regret the abandonment of 'specialized banking,' the trend toward 'departmental banking' seems irresistible at the present time."

# "GENERAL REMARKS

"We have already advanced so far into 1929 that it is too late to offer you my customary review of the past year. Suffice it to say that writers upon economics and finance are likely to record the following four events as the outstanding of occurrences of

"First. France's return, not only to complete exchange stability but to a dominant position amongst the financial powers of the world.

"Second. The absorption of the British currency notes by the Bank of England, accompanied by a far-reaching reconstruction of the latter's issuing department.

"Third. The loss of control by the Federal reserve system over

the American money market.

"Fourth. The agreement by the nations concerned to convoke a conference of experts for the purpose of developing a plan for the final settlement of the reparations problem.

The first two events will require no further elaboration; with regard to the third and fourth some brief observations may per-

haps be opportune.

haps be opportune.

In aeronautics the public is generally inclined to look upon the art of rising into the air as the sole accomplishment. The layman is apt to overlook the fact that the mastery of the art of descending is of equal, if not greater, importance. No central banking system may safely permit its facilities to expand unless it is certain of its determination and ability to bring about contraction when the circumstances require. If Doctor Eckner had not been possessed of the unrestricted authority to shape the course of his Zeppelin according to the meteorological conditions he would meet and to give his orders for landing within the fraction of the second that circumstances required, if he had not been certain of the prompt response of his engines and his crew, he never could have ventured to rise. Had his maneuvers been dependent upon the directions of 120 men, acting through 12 separate boards of directors, and operating "subject to the review and determination" of a central board of 8 men, who may be wide apart in their views and bewildered by political influences and attacks, his ship would have been wrecked.

<sup>1</sup> Joint sponsors with other houses.

Now, while it is obvious that we could not and should not give to one man or to one single set of men the wide autocratic powers that would assure the greatest efficiency in the administration of our central banking system, it is equally clear that as at present constituted, the machinery of Government, its steering apparatus is too complicated to be either safe or efficient. The Federal reserve system, pursuing a well-conceived and far-sighted policy, rose to a position of world leadership. Yet within the short span of a year it lost that leadership owing to its failure promptly and effectively to reverse the engines at the critical moment. The rudder then passed into the hands of stock-exchange operators, who have now for many months governed the flow of money, not only in the United States but in the principal marts of the world. History, which has a painful way of repeating itself, has taught mankind that speculative overexpansion invariably ends in overcontraction and distress. If a stock-exchange debauch is quickly arrested by prompt and determined action, it is not too much to hope that a shrinkage of inflated spot prices may be brought about without seriously affecting the wider circle of general business. If orgies of unrestrained speculation are permitted to spread too far, however, the ultimate collapse is certain not only to affect the speculators themselves but also to bring about a general depression involving the entire country.

From the economic lessons taught by the aftermath of the great war we learned that the excessive creation of money or

From the economic lessons taught by the aftermath of the great war we learned that the excessive creation of money or bank credit without an equivalent production of assets spells inflation. Yet the public mind does not appear to realize that the creation of an inflated purchasing power is not a monopoly enjoyed by governments. When we consider that the market enjoyed by governments. When we consider that the value of the 50 industrial stocks, the 20 public stocks, value of the 50 industrial stocks, the 20 public stocks, and the 20 railway shares, which are used in computing the Standard Statistics Co.'s index of the prices of stocks, has grown within two years from approximately \$17,500,000,000 to \$33,000,000,000, we find an accretion of approximately \$15,500,000,000, an accretion, in the majority of cases, quite unrelated to respective increases in plant property or earning power. Yet this stupendous bulge in "value" covers only a limited number of corporations, and it does not include bank stocks or some of the subtlest elements of inflation—incorporated stock pools, called "investment trusts." Nor does it comprise the gigantic enhancement of real-estate values. One can only leave it to the imagination to guess the amount of which the inflation of values such as these exceeds the entire war debt of the United States. In order to grasp the vastness of the sums involved it way be well to rememgrasp the vastness of the sums involved it way be well to remember that the total value of our cotton, wheat, and corn crops combined would amount to approximately \$4,000,000,000. There are those who claim that the increase in the market value of our securities is warranted by their intrinsic value. One might be more inclined to agree with that view if the present level of our stocks were not sustained by a colossal volume of loans carrying unabsorbed securities, of which \$6,000,000,000 of brokers' loans form only a part; and if the banking structure carried this inflated inverted pyramid did not rest upon a basis of Federal reflated inverted pyramid did not rest upon a basis of Federal re-serve credit, which within these last two years has been stretched by an increase in the earning assets of about half a billion dolby an increase in the earning assets of about hair a billion dol-lars over what used to be their approximately normal size. Con-ditions such as these recall to our minds the painful events of the years 1919–1921. Yet the parallelism between that period and the present does not seem to be properly appreciated by the general public on account of the fact that billions of dollars poured into the stock exchange by domestic corporations and from across the seas are not revealed by the barometer indicating the Federal reserve system's condition and because the index does not register the same striking rise of commodity prices shown in the inflation period of 1919 to 1920. It should be remembered, however, that in those years there prevailed a shortage of commodities and a passionate demand for them, while at present the world is craving for the ownership of shares and for the satisfaction of new wants.

Nobody would object to a fulfillment of these desires so long as the necessary funds were provided from savings. But when the savings of the masses are deposited as margins for stock exchange speculations, and when the extravagant use of funds for speculative purposes absorbs so much of the Nation's credit supply that it threatens to cripple the country's regular business, then there does not seem to be any doubt as to the direction in which the Federal reserve system over the country is the statement. which the Federal reserve system ought to exercise its influence quickly and forcefully. People who express the fear that in-creases in the Federal reserve bank's rediscount rates might hurt business overlook the far greater hurt the country will have to suffer if their advice to permit the situation "to work itself out" were followed. Moreover, for approximately the last six months, we have had, in effect, a bank rate of 7 or 8 per cent; for it is that rate which during that period has directed the flow of gold to our shores and which has exercised a decisive influence in the fashioning of our domestic rate structure. When commercial paper commands 5% per cent, and when bankers acceptances sell at 5% per cent, rediscount rate of 4½ and 5 per cent seem grotesquely impotent and out of line. Procrastination seem grotesquely impotent and out of line. Procrastination in bringing such rediscount rates into a proper relation to actualities, hesitation in taking effectual means to reassert the Federal reserve system leadership, place a grave responsibility on those in charge of its administration. It is true that our inability to develop a country-wide bill market and our failure to establish on our stock exchange a system of term-settlement dealings aggravate the difficulties of our problem. But these defects of our system render the need for determined leadership all

the more imperative. That the country's banking system is tossing about to-day without its helm being under the control of its pilots gives cause for deep concern. Yet the fault does not seem to lie so much with the men in charge of it as with the structural defect of its administrative organization. The banking fractarists would be well administrative organization.

structural defect of its administrative organization. The banking fraternity would be well advised to anticipate radical congressional proposals by taking the lead in seeking the lines along which reform may be brought about.

With regard to the fourth of the principal events of 1928, the calling of the conference of experts for the final settlement of the reparations problem, one might well say that in the realm not only of economics and finance but also, indeed, of international relations, nothing could prove of greater benefit than the finding of a fair and permanent solution of this puzzling question. The exceptional qualification of the representatives delegated by the countries involved warrants the highest hopes and expectations for a favorable outcome of their deliberations. On the other hand, we must remain mindful of the appalling difficulties of the task.

a favorable outcome of their deliberations. On the other hand, we must remain mindful of the appalling difficulties of the task. It would not be surprising if in the end the experts should find that, aside from "deliveries in kind," "Germany's capacity to pay" will largely depend upon "Germany's capacity to borrow." In that case, the experts' principal problem would resolve itself into gaging the ability and willingness of international markets to absorb German loans for a given number of years and into estimating the German loans for a given number of years and into estimating the amount up to which Germany, from year to year, by borrowing for productive purposes, might increase her foreign indebtedness without destroying the credit which obviously forms the basis of her "capacity to borrow."

In spite of the wide gap which, in the public mind, separates hopes from realities, the character and ability of the men struggling with the problem encourages the belief that a proper solution will be found and that the prestige of its sponsors may enable the governments concerned to carry it into effect.

[The New York Times, Saturday, March 9, 1929] " MR. WARBURG'S PLAIN WORDS

"When speculation in stocks had lately reached a pitch of exceptional violence, and the money market appeared to be raising danger signals, it was often asked why financiers of light and leading did not speak out and say frankly what was happening and what its consequences were likely to be. The absence of such declarations, with the mild circumlocutions on the question, even in last October's bankers' convention, were largely responsible for the speculative markets' attitude toward the Federal reserve. If the reserve board's warnings actually reflected the belief of the great body of serious and enlightened men of affairs, why did not those men come into the open and lend their personal support

to the reserve board's contentions?

"Under such circumstances the plain talk of Mr. Paul M. Warburg to the shareholders of the International Acceptance Bank comes like a breath of fresh air. He is among our highest practical authorities on finance. His judgment is cool and his financial content of the high effective process. cial experience wide; he was one of the high officials who steered the Federal reserve through the perilous waters of war-time finance. Probably he was reluctant as any company manager could have been to utter public warnings which might indirectly

make more difficult the path of enterprises which might indirectly make more difficult the path of enterprises with which he is himself identified. But he speaks with candor and courage.

"In the present phenomena of speculation Mr. Warburg finds disquieting reminders of 1919 and 1920. The parallel has been commonly overlooked because there has been no such rise in commodity prices as occurred in the markets of that time. But the merely means that the focus of speculation has shifted from common the contraction of the co merely means that the focus of speculation has shifted from commodities to stocks. Mr. Warburg does not deny that 'craving for the ownership of shares and for the satisfaction of new wants' is legitimate, but he shows that another question must arise, 'when the savings of the masses are deposited as margins for took archemost appearance when the savings of the masses are deposited as margins for stock-exchange speculations, and when the extravagant use of funds for speculative purposes absorbs so much of the Nation's credit supply that it threatens to cripple the country's regular

"We learned 9 or 10 years ago, he proceeds, that creation of excessive bank credit 'without an equivalent production of assets spells inflation." But to-day we have the picture of a stock market in which the average valuation of 90 typical shares has risen \$15,500,000,000 in two years, or something like 88 per cent, 'an accretion, in the majority of cases, quite unrelated to respective increases in plant, property, or earning power.' Moreover, the list increases in plant, property, or earning power. Moreover, the list of stocks which shows this stupendous marking-up of prices does not include bank stocks or some of the subtlest elements of infla-tion—incorporated stock pools, called 'investment trusts.' To those who argue that this increase is market valuation is warranted by enhancement of intrinsic worth, Mr. Warburg replies that the high-price level is 'sustained by a colossal volume of loans carrying unabsorbed securities, of which \$6,000,000,000 of brokers' loans form only a part.'

form only a part.'

"He expresses the positive judgment that reserve-bank rates ought to have been raised higher; that a 4½ or 5 per cent rediscount rate is 'impotent and out of line' with commercial paper bringing 5¾ per cent and bankers' acceptances 5¾. Under existing circumstances it is the stock exchange operators 'who have now for many months governed the flow of money, not only in the United States but in the principal marts of the world.' If what Mr. Warburg frankly describes as 'the orgies of unrestrained speculation' are permitted to spread too far, he considers that 'the ultimate collapse is certain not only to affect the speculators themselves, but also to bring about a general depression involving the entire country.'

"These are serious and weighty words. Coming from so eminently practical and highly conservative a source, their account of the existing situation and its meaning can hardly fail to have some effect in clearing up the financial mind?"

Senator Carey. You referred to these allied companies that Mr. Meyer was connected with. How do you connect him with them? On account of his being a stockholder of the company he mentioned the other day, the Allied Chemical Co.?

Representative McFadden. That is one; and on account of his close associations of the past. I am going to cover that as I proceed.

proceed.

Again I desire to emphasize that which I have previously stated, that is, the manner by which the Federal reserve credit is utilized by this particular group, which group controls the largest financial institutions in the United States. Their controlled companies and banks are the borrowers and lenders, and now they want to and banks are the borrowers and lenders, and now they want to make sure that they absolutely control the Federal reserve system and its operations. They were defeated in their attempt to put a man on the Supreme Court, by the United States Senate, and now these same interests, always alert and this time "with a most willing subject," are trying to put a man on the Federal Reserve Board. It is the duty of your committee and the United States Senate to see to it that this is not done.

This financial crowd—and I am referring to them in this manner because sometimes they work together and sometimes separately—it is my observation that they always have their key men in key positions in banks and industry and in the various departments here that can and will carry out their bidding.

In the twilight zone in this respect are Mr. S. Parker Gilbert, Mr. Roscoe C. Leffingwell, and Mr. Paul Warburg. Messrs. Gilbert and Leffingwell were the undersecretaries of the Treasury during Mr. Meyer's government bond operations in the War Finance Corporation to which I have previously referred. Both of these men poration to which I have previously referred. Both of these men came to the Treasury from, and when they left the Treasury returned to, the firm of Cravath, Henderson and so forth, attorneys for Kuhn, Loeb & Co. They are now both members of the banking house of J. P. Morgan & Co. At or about the time when they were undersecretaries of the Treasury, Mr. Paul Warburg was a member of the Federal Reserve Board. He resigned May 12, 1918.

I am quoting from the New York Times of that date, which

"In his resignation to the President, Paul Warburg tenders his resignation on grounds of kinship with two brothers, one with German International Bank, and one in Switzerland, supposed to be in Secret Service."

be in Secret Service."

Paul Warburg has always been a unique influence in Federal reserve circles. I invite you, Senators, to read the two books he has recently published on the Federal reserve system. These books will open your eyes. It is plain from Warburg's public criticism of the Federal Reserve Board's policies, and his views and recommendations that there should be bank representation on the board, etc., that he is the person who wishes to dominate the Federal reserve system. I believe that he would prefer it to be a central bank in the European sense, with reserve districts consolidated to increase concentration of reserve funds. This would be fatal.

I read with a great deal of interest yesterday the statement that was made by Owen D. Young. Mr. Young is certainly an outstanding man in this particular group. He suggests that all banks of the United States should be made members of the Fed-

reserve system.

Senator Brookhart. I have been reading Warburg's books. Representative McFadden. I do not care to comment further but it has a bearing upon the centralization of the finances

on it, but it has a bearing upon the centralization of the mances of this country within the control of this particular group.

Senator Brookhart. That was the idea, no doubt.

Representative McFadden. It seems to me there is coming to be altogether too much concentration. If concentration is increased, access to the reserve funds will be made easier for banks and individuals who wish to use the system as a whole; that is, as a central bank, a supergovernment.

Now to show the activities of Mr. Werburg in record to these

Now, to show the activity of Mr. Warburg in regard to these various companies during the period of Mr. Meyer's activities here in the War Finance Corporation which led up to that period which Mr. Meyer described to you the other day, the period of 1920, when he retired from the Federal Reserve Board because of differences with the Secretary of the Transpury and the Corporation differences with the Secretary of the Treasury and the Governor of the Federal Reserve Board—

of the Federal Reserve Board—
Senator Brookhart. You mean, the War Finance Corporation. Representative McFadden. Yes; I said, War Finance Corporation—the New York Times of December 5, 1919, said: "P. M. Warburg opposes money plan, New York Stock Exchange."

New York Times, November 5, 1919: "P. M. Warburg returns from Europe."

New York Times, September 27, 1920: "P. M. Warburg returns to New York on board the Rotterdam."

May 1, 1920: "P. M. Warburg at meeting of Academy of Political Science pleads for sound banking principles."

April 15, 1917: "P. M. Warburg suggests allowing savings banks to join Federal reserve system."

New York Times, February 7, 1919: "P. M. Warburg, chamber of commerce speech, favors Government control of railroads."

New York Times, March 14, 1919: "P. M. Warburg heads American Acceptance Council."

The various amendments in the organization incident to the

The various amendments in the organization incident to the financing of acceptances were a most interesting part of this whole situation. I will deal with certain phases of that a little

The New York Times reports on April 3, 1919, that Mr. Warburg addresses Council of Foreign Relations at Metropolis Club, New

May 24, 1919, discussed problems of railroads before Bar Club, York

June 10, 1919, Senators call J. P. Morgan, J. H. Schiff, P. M.

Warburg and Thomas Lamont.

New York Times, April 19, 1917. At the suggestion of P. M. Warburg, move is made by Federal Reserve Board to take over financial direction of New York Stock Exchange.

I mention that to show the activities of Mr. Warburg. He has

written and published many speeches and volumes of books, and recently the two new books which he has published are of particular importance and show his continuing interest in Federal reserve operations.

To show the foreign relationship of this group it is interesting

Senator Brookhart. In a recent book of his he quotes somebody as saying that Congress has no more to do with making the laws than a bookbinder has to do with making a book; it is outside influences altogether that make our laws. That is one of Mr.

innuences altogether that make our laws. That is one of Mr. Warburg's philosophies.

Representative McFadden. To show the foreign relationship of this group, it is interesting to note an article in the New York Herald, July 15, 1921, entitled, "Foreign Relations Council Launched." Among the incorporators we find the following names listed: George W. Wickersham and Paul M. Warburg. This article tells of the incorporation of this council and among the petitioners' names mentioned are: Paul D. Cravath, Oscar S. Strauss, Hamilton Holt, Mortimer L. Schiff, Otto H. Kahn, Henry L. Stimson.

ers names mentioned are: Faul D. Cravath, Oscar S. Strauss, Hamilton Holt, Mortimer L. Schiff, Otto H. Kahn, Henry L. Stimson.

The day before, July 14, in the same paper appears an item: "German credit is plan of bankers." From this article we learn of negotiations for \$49,000,000 to cover purchase of grain, etc. The American negotiators of this loan are given as: International Acceptance Bank, Paul M. Warburg, chairman, and the First National Bank of Boston, which is closely affiliated with the International Acceptance Bank, Paul M. Warburg, they interesting note of this national Acceptance Bank. Among other interesting notes of this article, we read: "A recent reserve board ruling permits the purchase by reserve banks of six months paper required by overseas

That connects up with this particular situation which Mr. Meyer has related to you in the beginning of these hearings, incident to his resignation in 1920.

The New York Herald of July 22, 1921, tells of another financial syndicate operation in which the International Acceptance Bank, First National Bank of Boston, and N. M. Rothschild & Sons, London, participated.

Here is a quotation from the report to the stockholders of the International Acceptance Bank dated March 7, 1929:

"The activities of the bank in the issuing of securities have further developed during the past year, exceeding by a very satisfactory margin the volume of such business undertaken in 1926 and 1927. In 1928 the bank participated in the public offering of 22 issues, totaling approximately \$300,000,000, and originating in the following countries: 11 in Germany, 3 in Scandinavia, 3 in South America, 1 in Japan, and 4 in the United States. \* \* \* In addition to the issues in which the bank appeared publicly during 1928, there were also a large number of syndicates in which the bank had a silent interest."

bank had a silent interest."

The history of the International Acceptance Bank is a most interesting one. Some years ago the American Bankers Association, after having made a careful study of international trade relationships, concluded that it would be helpful to have an international acceptance company owned and operated by the larger banks of the association who were located in the principal cities of the country, with \$100,000,000 capital, and they started to organize such an institution. Notwithstanding this fact, the organization was delayed, and finally the plan was headed off and died, what was supposed to have been, a natural death. I have been reliably informed that this project was headed off deliberately by Mr. Paul Warburg, and shortly thereafter he established his own company under the title "International Acceptance Bank" which institution now is one of the most important international acceptance banks in the world and is a part of the Manhattan group which is controlled by Mr. Warburg and his brother, Mr. Felix Warburg, and those associated with him. Acceptances of this institution were a long time ago made eligible for rediscount directly with the Federal reserve banks. An interesting connection of the New York Warburgs is their partnership in Warburg Bros., a German banking house of many years standing house of

esting connection of the New York Warburgs is their partnership in Warburg Bros., a German banking house of many years standing belonging to the Warburg family.

Now, I want to enumerate, as I have just enumerated to you Mr. Warburg's activities, the activities of Mr. Meyer during this same particular period of his operations, which will give you a bearing on the kind of activities with which Mr. Meyer has been associated and which have a direct bearing on his fitness to be a member of the Federal Reserve Board and its governor.

The New York World, under date of December 3, 1910, page 11, column 2, has this heading:

"Market demoralized by heavy liquidation—bear attacks upon Steel (common), Union Pacific, and Reading accompanying it."

Then the article proceeds:

"Heavy liquidation and an attack on United States Steel, Union Pacific, and Reading, the pool specialties, demoralized the stock market yesterday. Steel broke to 72%, the lowest point since October, Union Pacific declined to 168¼, and Reading to 144%. Over 256,000 shares of steel were dealt in. There was a widespread report that the pool was liquidating. Near the close

bankers steadied the market with buying orders. The break was

purely speculative.

Whether the so-called steel syndicate has made losses in its campaign is a matter of conjecture. The men credited with being the syndicate deny that there is one. The report for nearly six weeks has been that Eugene Meyer, jr., George Blumenthal, and Bernard M. Baruch, with a French syndicate, bought an enormous block of Steel below 70, with a determination to put the price to par. This alleged pool carried about 600,000 shares and about the time its speculations began a bet of \$20,000 was said to have been made that Steel (common) would sell at par before January 10 next."

Senator Brookhart. What date was this?
Representative McFadden. December 3, 1910.
Senator Goldsborough. Who made the bet?
Representative McFadden. It does not say. Did you want to

cover it, Senator?

cover it, Senator?
Senator Goldsbrough. You would not expect a Senator to cover it, would you? It takes international bankers to do that.
Representative McFadden (reading further). "Among those credited in Wall Street rumors with being active in the pool is George W. Perkins, of J. P. Morgan & Co., but this is not generally believed. Wall Street was filled with gloom yesterday and all hope of a big Christmas market seemed to have been dissipated for the time being at least."

On September 14, 1910, Meyer gave a dinner for leading copper men of the United States and J. P. Morgan for the purpose of planning a copper consolidation similar to the United States Steel Corporation.

Corporation.

The New York Times February 28, 1919, stated that Meyer started advocating a policy of unloading Europe's troubles on the United advocating a policy of unloading Europe's troubles on the United advocating a policy of unloading Europe's troubles on the United advocating a policy of unloading Europe's troubles on the United advocating a policy of unloading Europe's troubles on the United advocating a policy of unloading Europe's troubles on the United Europe's troubles on the United Europe's Europe' States; explains the need of a section of foreign trade in the Victory loan bill. December 14 he proposes alien loan markets for foreign investment in the United States. On January 4, 1920, he urges a world market for foreign bonds. On October 9, 1920, he said excess-profits tax was unfair. That was said at a Senate

hearing.

The New York World May 28, 1911, said:

"George W. Perkins, who retired last January from the firm of J. P. Morgan & Co., is credited in Wall Street gossip with having reorganized the steel pool which put the stock up two years ago from 41 to the neighborhood of 95. Among the other members of the pool are said to be Frank A. Munsey, who made millions out of the last big rise in steel, and Eugene Meyer, jr. The new syndicate is said to be closely allied with a French banking interest and there is renewed talk of an attempt to list the stock on the Paris Bourse. In view of the great prostration in the steel est and there is renewed talk of an attempt to list the stock on the Paris Bourse. In view of the great prostration in the steel industry many Wall Street men are skeptical of the pool's ability to induce public support to a bull campaign in steel common. The last movement did distribute a very large amount of the stock among the public. After the campaign was over it trans-pired that Wall Street brokerage houses owned about one-third of the entire outstanding common stock of the United States Steel Corporation. There has been very little opportunity during the last two years for these firms to decrease their commitments in the stock."

Mr. Meyer was a member of the New York Stock Exchange from 1901; also a member of the New York Cotton Exchange. The Boston stock exchange firm of Eugene Meyer & Co. was organized in May, 1912. His partner was Charles I. Thurnauer, a French

On February 18, 1920, he resigned as a director of the National Aniline & Dye Co. This is one of the companies taken over by Allied Chemical Co.

In 1918 he was a director of Consolidated & Utah Copper Co.
On January 30, 1919, he was made managing director of the
War Finance Corporation.
The New York World, August 24, 1917, page 4, column 6, carried

this heading:
"Baruch sells his seat on exchange.

"Well-known operator may be made Federal purchasing agent, so quits trading."

so quits trading."

I mention this because Mr. Baruch was closely associated with Mr. Meyer during his activities in the New York market. The article then proceeds:

"Bernard M. Baruch has sold his seat on the stock exchange to Francis W. Welch for \$58,000 and will retire from Wall Street activities—at least during the war. Mr. Baruch will devote himself entirely to the duties of his position on the buying committee of the War Industries Board and then may become the purchasing agent of the Federal Government, if such a position is created.

"It is expected that Eugene Meyer, jr., who was a big operator on the exchange and close associate of Mr. Baruch in his specula-

on the exchange and close associate of Mr. Baruch in his specula-

tion, will become assistant purchasing agent of the Government.

Mr. Meyer recently retired with a big fortune."

New York Times, December 10, 1919: "Mr. Eugene Meyer, jr., advocates history of foreign international loans in American

New York Times, December 14, 1919: "Eugene Meyer, jr. New York Times, December 14, 1919: "Eugene Meyer, jr., would make foreign investments easy here as means of stabilizing international commerce and finance."

New York Times, May 25, 1920: "Eugene Meyer resigns over differences with Secretary of Treasury on account of foreign financing."

New York Times, March 27, 1920: "E. Meyer, jr., wants action to fix policy on German trade by political parties. Sees great opportunities."

Senator Brookhart. Who was the Secretary of the Treasury at that time?

Representative McFadden. March, 1920? Mr. Mellon. Senator Brookhart. No; he went in in 1921. Representative McFadden. That is right. Carter Glass was Sec-

retary of the Treasury, then.
Senator Brookhart. When did Houston go in?
Senator Carey. It must have been Houston at that time.
Representative McFadden. It was either Houston or Glass. I do not recall which one.

I stated when I was before the committee the other day that Mr. Meyer was a professional office seeker. I call your attention to the fact that Mr. Meyer contributed \$25,000 to the Republican National Committee in 1928, in the Hoover campaign; \$5,000 as a resident of Washington, and \$20,000 as of 14 Wall Street, where

his offices had been located for many years—
Senator Brookhart. I have a list showing most of these other
Wall Streeters contributed a great deal to the Republican cam-

paign fund.

Representative McFadden. Here is a quotation from the New ork World of April 28, 1918, page 12, column 6, headed "Ticker York Talk ":

"In connection with the purchase of the remainder of the Treasury bonds of the Chile Copper Co."——
Senator Goldsborough. Bernard Baruch did not give anything

to that fund, did he?

Senator Brookhart. I do not know whether he contributed to

Senator Brookhart. I do not know whether he contributed to both of them or not. Most of them do, to get in on both sides. Representative McFadden. This article in the New York World of April 28 is of particular interest. It says:

"In connection with the purchase of the remainder of the Treasury bonds of the Chile Copper Co., amounting to \$1,500,000 of the par value of the bonds, Eugene Meyer, jr., & Co., and B. M. Baruch have purchased from Daniel Guggenheim and associates 150,000 shares of Chile Copper Co. stock, all of which has already been placed."

Mr. Meyer at one time, I am told, had joint offices in New York. Mr. Meyer still has, I understand—at least he did have two years

Mr. Meyer still has, I understand—at least he did have two years ago—offices at 14 Wall Street, New York, where he carried on in his own personal name the Government bond operations for the United States Treasury, with the name of the War Finance Corporation on the door.

war Finance CorWhy, Senators, how long would you permit the present able
Secretary of the Treasury to carry on the purchase and sale of
Government bonds for the bond purchase and sinking fund
operations of the United States Treasury in his private office in
Wall Street, in his own personal name, even though he did donate
the rent and have the name "Treasury Department" on the door?
I venture to say to you he would not do such a thing nor would
you permit it.

As disclosing further constitutions

As disclosing further operations of Mr. Meyer during this particular period of his activities in the stock market in New York, the New York World of November 24, 1904, discloses:

"No city bonds for small bidders.

"Issue of \$25,000,000 goes to syndicates at premiums of 102.4.

"When the bids for \$25,000,000 of 3½ per cent New York City bonds were opened yesterday by Comptroller Grout, it was found that banks and syndicates had offered a price beyond the bids of

that banks and syndicates had offered a price beyond the bids of the citizens who had been invited to invest in '\$10 lots.' The loan was oversubscribed eight times at an average price of 102.4.

"Exactly eight private citizens got small lots of the bonds. Nearly \$24,000,000 was awarded to William Salomon & Co. and Lazard Freres, conjointly at 102.401, and \$750,000 went to Eugene Meyer & Co. There were 167 bidders, and the issue was divided among 18 of them."

among 18 of them."

Among this list of bidders I notice that the Meyer name appears, also William Salomon & Co., and Lazard Freres, \$23,837,750.

"It was said in Wall Street after the sale that Kuhn, Loeb & Co. and the National City Bank had arranged with Lazard Freres to take over the \$23,800,000 allotment and divide it between

them."

Now, to point out to you some of the further activities of Mr. Warburg, I want to mention the American I. G.

This is the so-called American branch of the great German chemical trust, otherwise known as the Farben Industrie and commonly called the I. G.

The American I. G. came into being in 1929. Bonds to the amount of \$30,000,000 were distributed for this company late in the spring of 1929. These bonds were unsecured by mortgage. At that time, so far as we know, the company had no real estate in this country. The purpose of the issue was to buy up American companies, dealing in chemical and allied lines (including medicines), and to foster and finance, and so forth. A queer

The placing of these bonds on the New York Stock Exchange was denounced and charges were made to the authorities, but so far nothing has come of the complaint. Joseph Choate, attorney for the American Chemical Foundation, has the facts at his command and will tell you about it. See New York Times, January

29, 1930

Paul M. Warburg is a director of this company. I believe he one of the incorporators, and that he participated in the distribution of the bonds.

I will place in the Record some further statements with regard to this company, including the quotations for its stock.

(The matter referred to is as follows:)

"Am IG Ch 5½s '49 . . . 14 100 99½ 100"

[The German Chemical Trust, commonly known as the I. G.] TRUSTS CREATED TO SAVE INDUSTRY

Applied individually by various separate manufacturing enterprises, however, even these radical reforms proved insufficient to meet the stern requirements of the financial situation. The industrial overlords, under their bankers' lash, soon saw that, to escape the catastrophe that had befallen Hugo Stinnes's sons and heirs, they would have to get together and regulate both produc-

tion and prices.

Thus there came into being such mighty conglomerations of industrial productivity as Vereinigte Stahlwerke A. G., commonly called the Ruhr Steel Trust; I. G. Farbenindustrie, its equivalent in the field of dyes and other synthetic chemical products; and in the field of dyes and other synthetic chemical products; and the Potash Syndicate, a sales organization embracing all German potash mines. In the electrical industry the A. E. G. (General Electric Co.) and Siemens & Halske, both of which had working arrangements with American interests—the former with the American General Electric Co. and the latter with the Westinghouse Co.—virtually formed an electrical trust.

In the machinery, textile, and other lesser industries similar amalgamations of capital took place. Official estimates placed the total number of German cartels in 1925 at some 3,000, of which 2,500 were industrial and the remainder commercial.

From the international standpoint, Vereinigte Stahlwerke (United Steel Works), with a capital of more than one billion marks, was the most important at the start, for its creation paved the way for the coming of the European steel and iron cartel that established a rational partnership between Lorraine ore beds and Euhr collieries.

ore beds and Ruhr collieries.

Framed after the model of the United States Steel Corporation, this huge concern was founded in January, 1926. It comprised seven of the most important steel companies in the Ruhr and Rhineland, including the powerful Deutsch-Luxemburg, Thyssen, and Phoenix groups. In its first year Vereinigte Stahlwerke produced 7.4 million tons out of the total of 15.8 million tons of raw steel produced in Germany, or 46.8 per cent of that total. It also mined 22 per cent of the total coal production and close to one-half of the iron production.

The influence of the Ruhr trust on international steel relations has been far-reaching. It is through its efforts chiefly that the steel and iron production of most of the Continent has been aligned on a common front ostensibly directed against nobody in particular, but obviously threatening to the British and American steel industries. Framed after the model of the United States Steel Corpora-

#### INTERNATIONAL STEEL COMBINE ASSESSES PENALTIES FOR OVERPRO-DUCTION

Germany, with her Vereinigte Stahlwerke, provides the lion's share of the European steel cartel's annual output, 43 per cent. The Germans were most active in organizing this cartel, which came into existence in September, 1926, with France, Belgium, and Luxemburg as its other members. Primarily it is an organization for the international control of steel production. Representatives of the member States, which now also include Czechoslovakia, Austria, and Hungary, and which may shortly be joined by Poland, meet every three months to fix the total production for the ensuing three months.

Originally the first productive quotas were fixed on the basis of each members State in the first quarter of 1926. The national industry of each member State obligates itself to limit its output to the quota assigned it. Each nation whose product exceeded its quota originally contributed \$4 to the cartel's common fund for every excess ton of steel produced. Any nation whose production fell below its quota received from this fund \$2 a ton. This scale is modified from time to time. The cartel's quarterly output now Germany, with her Vereinigte Stahlwerke, provides the lion's

is modified from time to time. The cartel's quarterly output now aggregates about 30,000,000 tons.

It is interesting to note that Germany thus far has consistently produced more steel than the quota assigned to her by the cartel. The cartel exercises no control over prices, either domestic or Thus competition in the export market exists normally among its member nations.

## MORE THAN 20 TRUSTS NOW OPERATING INTERNATIONALLY

The other mutual benefit organizations internationally uniting the European industry in general follow the principles of the steel cartel. There are more than 20 such trusts in official existence

cartel. There are more than 20 such trusts in olicial existence to-day.

They cover the following trades: Raw steel, steel rails, tubes, roll wire, rayon (artificial silk), chemicals, potash, linoleum, borax, white lead, quinine, calcium carbonate, zinc, ferromanganese, tanning extracts, activated carbon, aluminum, enameled ware, glue, plate glass, bottles, superphosphate, incandescent lamps, and copper. Germany belongs to all of them with the exception of Plate Glass and Copper Trusts. Incidentally, the former and the bottle cartel are the only ones that were founded before the war.

France is a member of most of them, but Great Britain of only

bottle cartel are the only ones that were founded before the war. France is a member of most of them, but Great Britain of only seven of the lesser ones. The United States thus far has joined only the copper and incandescent-lamp organizations.

Like the British, American industry has held aloof from the more powerful cartels, such as steel and chemicals. The former of these two offers the most direct competitive danger both to Britain and to America.

As regards the chemical cartel, the backbone of which is the formidable I. G. Farbenindustrie, or German Dye Trust, there have been numerous negotiations between the Germans and Imperial Chemical Industries (Ltd.), the foremost British concern in that trade; but thus far they have been inconclusive.

#### GERMAN AND FRENCH CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES COMBINE

American policy, at least as interpreted by European observers, appears to consist of establishing contacts with individual members of the cartels while holding aloof from membership in them. Prior to the war, Germany was in virtual control of the world's dyestuff markets. But during the war dye industries were built

up by other great powers, including the United States and Great Britain. The consequence of this was that Germany's exports of this product dwindled dangerously. The total export of aniline dyes, for instance, dropped from 64,000 tons in 1913 to 17,000 in

In that year German dye manufacturers saw the rocks looming ahead. They lost no time in organizing a united national defense against the economic catastrophe confronting them.

against the economic catastrophe confronting them.

Under the leadership of the former Badische Anilin-und-soda-Fabrik, whose poison-gas plant at Ludwigshafen was the objective of so many allied air raids in the World War, and of the equally prominent Friedrich Bayer Co., internationally known as the maker of aspirin, a merger of the eight foremost chemical concerns was carried through and the German Dye Trust, capitalized at 1,000,000,000 marks, came into being.

In the year after its formation Germany exported 21,000 tons of aniline dyes, and in the first 11 months of 1927, 26,000 tons. During the latter year negotiations were begun in Paris for the organization of the International Chemicals cartel.

In 1927 the signing of a Franco-Germany dyestuffs entente as the basis of a billion-dollar European cartel was reported.

Since the value of American chemical exports is almost \$200,-

Since the value of American chemical exports is almost \$200,-000,000 per annum, the menace of a pan-European combine can readily be perceived.

#### THE GERMAN CHEMICAL TRUST

# [From The Saturday Evening Post, November 1, 1930]

The limitations of space prevent any detailed account of German industry. One significant advance this year must be emphasized, however. It is the working partnership between the North German Lloyd and the Hamburg-American Lines. The pact, which at the start is a comprehensive pooling agreement, is on a strict parity basis and runs for 50 years. Each concern gets half of the profits. The arrangement will end the costly rivalry between the two leading German shipping companies and will make for reduction of overhead in the shape of single offices everywhere.

Two final details have a distinct bearing on American exports.

Two final details have a distinct bearing on American exports. The first grows out of the continued expansion of the German Dye Trust, the vast corporation called "I. G." for short, whose production in 1929 amounted to the equivalent of \$1,000,000,000. No phase of its work is more significant than the progress made in the manufacture of synthetic gasoline. From 100 tons a day the output has grown to more than 300 tons. The process has long since reached the commercial stage. You can see tank wagons hauling the synthetic gasoline on the streets of Berlin and other cities. Though the price is not yet lower than that of gasoline made from real crude petroleum, it is giving Germany an increasing independence of American juice.

Now, Senators, I want you to recall Mr. Meyer's statement to you last week concerning his resignation from the War Finance Corporation on May 31, 1920.

It will be remembered that the Victory loan was being raised in the last months of the war. The armistice came somewhat unexpectedly, and the billions raised in the Victory loan were utilized as a postarmistice loan to the Allies, supplementing the war loans previously made to them. This vast postarmistice credit temporarily supported their financial structures and their exchanges did not begin to fall until the latter part of 1919. Their credits from America then became exhausted, and their growing adverse trade balance would have to be settled in the normal way by the shipment of gold unless new credits were received from America.

Such new credits were not made. The allied governments

America.

Such new credits were not made. The allied governments continued to maintain embargoes on the exportation of gold. Therefore, through most of 1920, the adverse trade balance resulting from their importations from the United States grew by leaps and bounds and by the middle of 1920 nearly reached the enormous figure of \$5,000,000,000. American exporters and manufacturers could not have continued their exportations without bank continued the exportations without bank provides the continued their exportations without bank provides the continued their exportations without bank provides the continued the exportations without bank provides the continued their exportations without bank provides the continued the exportation without bank provides the continued the continued the exportation without bank provides the continued the continued the continued the continued the continued the con credit here. The banks continued to extend more and more credit and the Government increased the inflation through the

operations of the War Finance Corporation. In 1920 we were on the high road to national ruin if the \$5,000,000,000 trade balance were not settled in gold.

There is no reason why in 1920, or even in 1919, high Government officials should have been misled about the situation. We possessed, in the middle of 1920 less than \$2,000,000,000,000 in gold. possessed, in the middle of 1920 less than \$2,000,000,000 in gold. The Treasury knew that the world's gold stock was seven or eight billion dollars at least. Europe owed but little gold to South America or Asia, because its pre-war credits there were more than sufficient to meet these debts. No gold shipments from Europe had been made during the war. The allied states, after the armistice, acquired the gold stocks of the central Empires. They were amply able to pay their postwar trade balance to America in gold. It was imperative, if the American people were not to be ruined, that this gold be shipped.

But those who dominated financial policies in the United States denied all these facts and were able to influence Government policy throughout 1919 to enter upon the unlimited and ruinous extensions of credit to finance exports to Europe regardless of

its effect upon our credit structure. In the same breath in which they advocated continued inflation of American credit, they de-

chered that Europe could not pay in gold.

In a speech which Mr. Meyer delivered he said that Europe could not pay in gold, and he advocated that we take note and extend further credits to Germany. That is the basis of his resignation which he stated to you the other day.

Yet it is obvious that if in 1920 the policy of credit inflation were to be continued with no expectation of settlement of the foreign trade delts in gold the gold value of the American dellar.

foreign trade debts in gold, the gold value of the American dollar would be wholly destroyed. The unit of measurement for deter-mining the amount of foreign debts to the United States would

mining the amount of foreign debts to the United States would no longer exist. European indebtedness to the United States could virtually be wiped out.

There probably has never been a period in history in which confusion of thought was so complete as in the two years following the armistice. This was due to the rapidity and magnitude of the happenings and was enormously increased by the misrepresentation of facts by those who were responsible for the treaty of Versailles. This misrepresentation of facts completely deceived public opinion in America, but there is no reason why it should public opinion in America, but there is no reason why it should have deceived the responsible officials of government. They were deceived throughout 1919, but awoke in the nick of time in 1920.

The financial fallacies which were preached throughout 1919 stampeded the American business world into a strenuous cam-

paign of production for export. Everybody who was producing for export expected to be paid in due course.

The vague optimism of the financial leadership which marshaled the industrial forces of the country into action at the beginning of 1919 can not be better illustrated than in the address

beginning of 1919 can not be better illustrated than in the address which Mr. Eugene Meyer, jr., made to the National Council of Foreign Trade at Chicago on April 24, 1919.

The substance of this address was as follows:

"If the war has taught us anything, it is the conviction that our country's welfare is inextricably bound up with the welfare of the last and least of the other peoples, that both politically and economically we are no longer the detached unit we formerly thought ourselves, but an important power in the constantly interacting forces of the life of the nations.

"I urge economic liberalism, not from the sentimental point of view but from the point of view of self-interest.

"America as the world creditor, instead of the debtor nation of before the war, must fill a new and broader rôle in future economic developments.

economic developments.

"Events have thrust leadership upon us. We must meet our enlarged responsibilities toward our fellow nations and toward ourselves.

"England depleted and stagnant, as are the other continental

"What, then, are the methods of resuming trade relations? They can not exchange goods and services. Our former international business associates have used their resources so freely during the long struggle that they now temporarily lack the means to pay in the ordinary way. With their tremendous obligations, and with their depleted reserves, they can spare no gold in exchange for goods which we might sell."

That is the basis of the reasons for the resignation of Mr. Meyer in 1920.

in 1920.

Senator Goldsborough. May I ask a question? Did I understand you to say that the article written in the Yale Review by

Mr. Meyer was in 1909?
Mr. McFadden. Yes.
Senator Goldsborough. He spoke of the panic of 1907, but the

article was written in 1909.

Mr. McFadden. Yes; about the same distance away from that panic as we are at this time from the 1929 panic. To quote him further:

The indebtedness they held against us in 1914 has been liquidated by the sale of their holdings of our securities as part of the purchase price for the munitions and materials we supplied be

purchase price for the munitions and materials we supplied before we came into the war. Great debts have been piled up with our Government by England, France, and Italy. Their industries are still very far from being restored to the pre-war condition of production that would enable them to pay us in goods.

"It is to our advantage promptly to restore our export trade in the lines of war materials and manufactured goods. I believe it is to their advantage to acquire these materials except such as may be classed strictly as luxuries, so that they may restore their plants, equip their transportation systems, and obtain our raw materials which their industries need for domestic consumption and for export. tion and for export.

"Mutual interest determines the right policy. From our point of view it is in our interest to market our products and to restore the commercial standing and prosperity of our customers. It is in their interest to restore their normal economic operations and their employment of labor."

I wonder what position we would be in at this time if we had followed Mr. Meyer's advice and shipped eight or ten billion dollars' worth of goods over there. They have not paid us anything on that old debt, except that which they have borrowed from us, and they have borrowed in excess of what they have paid.

I will not bother you, Senators, to read the balance of this, but it is all very interesting, and I direct your attention to the balance of the

(The balance of the matter referred to is as follows:)
"Obviously it is in our interest to sell, and theirs to buy, and if
they are unable to pay in the ordinary way, unusual and extraordinary methods must be devised to suit the emergency.

"With this in mind the Treasury Department asked, and Congress passed, an amendment to the War Finance Corporation Act whereby the corporation is authorized to lend \$1,000,000,000 to American exporters to enable them to sell American goods abroad

American exporters to enable them to sell American goods abroad on long-term credits, or to bankers financing such exporters.

"The possible application of the funds can easily be seen to lie along a few well-defined lines—large enough credits to give the foreign nations time to restore their productive organization and to renew their normal selling connections. This may take one year or it may take several. \* \* \*

"Obviously the goods which can be purchased and paid for in the ordinary way within a year will be small compared with the needs of the situation. Later on there will be the transfer of large holdings of securities to our investment market.

large holdings of securities to our investment market.

"These transfers of securities may be either in the form of European holdings of investments in neutral countries or in the flotation on our investment market of large foreign industrial issues or national loans. The old-fashioned and well-known financial trust of England and Scotland is a form of organization that may

aid. \* \* The amendment by Congress has served to stimulate the consideration of the necessary credit mechanism on the part of private interests. It will stimulate our merchants and bankers to greater

courage and prompter action in offering credits to foreigners. \* \* "I hope that every facility that has been proved suited to the conditions may be provided by private enterprise, but if these methods can not be made effective the War Finance Corporation stands ready to support any plan that it considers sound and within the provisions of the act. \* \* \* We must create

· · \* We must create specially trained organizations which look to the future. The great trading countries of Europe had institutions and had people with a record of years of gradual development and training to equip them for their tasks. Our problems have been thrust upon us in an instant. With a few exceptions our bankers may be described as national and not international. The foreign languages are known to only a few. Knowledge of the various countries, of their methods of industry and finance, is now necessary for the direction of our industry and finance, is now necessary for the direction of our industry and finance, but at present our knowledge is theoretical rather than practical when it exists at all. It behooves us, then, to give immediate attention to the training of men who will be able to carry on this economic leadership. We must study the economic structure and social conditions of other countries. Without such knowledge we shall not be able to act effectively.

"The present crisis finds us with an economic organization in which the highest rank of 'captains of industry,' and we need promptly to proceed to the training of majors, colonels, and generals of industry and finance and a general staff capable of conceiving large policies and directing great operations in the spirit of world economics."

Senetar Processor I do not understand set why that become

Senator Brookhart. I do not understand yet why that became

the basis of his resignation.

Mr. McFadden. I will make that clear to you in a moment.

This estimate of international relationships dominated policy throughout 1919. The inevitable crisis culminated in 1920. Stagnation set in in the United States, and the banking and currency structure was tottering. The Government reversed its policy and

began restricting credit.

When exportation to Europe suddenly ceased the unsatiated demand of the European populations for American goods was so great that the European Governments were forced to consent to the export of gold in payment in order that importations might

begin again.

I want to say here that great credit is due Senator Carter Glass. Senator Carter Glass was in opposition to the views of Mr. Meyer at that time, and he went as far as he could go to notify Europe that they had got to begin to pay, and it was as a result of his edict conveyed to them that \$2,000,000,000 worth of gold came into this country. I think that answers your question.

Mr. Meyer. I would like to correct the chairman of the committee on that It was Secretary Houston who was Secretary of

mittee on that. It was Secretary Houston who was Secretary of the Treasury, and not Senator Glass.

Mr. McFadden. Secretary Houston will be given the credit, then. Mr. Meyer. Senator Glass resigned early in 1920.

Mr. McFadden. The gold importations into the United States during the next two years saved the American banking structure and the integrity of the American dollar, and permitted industrial

When the Government adopted the new policy in 1920 Mr. Meyer was director of the War Finance Corporation. He strenuously opposed any change from the policy of 1919. So determined was his opposition that he refused to cooperate in the new policy,

and, as I have said, resigned.

Senator Brookhart. That is different from the reason he gave

Senator Brookhart. That is different from the reason he gave us for his resignation.

Mr. McFadden. Mr. Meyer, in his testimony, I will say to you, Senator, has opened up a line of questioning which is well worth following up. It is connected with these statements which he made then before your committee.

He said that differences with the Wilson administration over the policy of stimulating European credit led to his resignation as managing director of the War Finance Corporation in 1920.

He said that he had wished to continue the practice of making

He said that he had wished to continue the practice of making loans to American bankers and exporters in order to give credit to foreign interests, because he feared a collapse of prices, due to a drop in foreign exchange, and that the Treasury disagreed.

"Most people on Capitol Hill," he said, "thought the danger was inflation, but I thought the danger was a fall in prices as a result of the drop in European buying, and I resigned. In the autumn the fears I had of collapse were rapidly realized. Foreign exchange dropped."

When Congress attempted to check the inflationary tendency in 1920 he disagreed because he had "a profound conviction that we were facing a collapse in prices and that inflation was shortlived."

As stated here, there was no reason why men in a position to

lived."

As stated here, there was no reason why men in a position to know the facts, as Mr. Meyer was, should have been deceived about the conditions and about the forces that were at work. In the continuation of the policy of 1919 the United States was facing the destruction of its entire monetary wealth. The above statement shows that Mr. Meyer was wholly and completely identified with this policy in 1920.

The significance of the fact should not be overlooked that since 1920 Mr. Meyer has not changed his views. Although 10 years

1920 Mr. Meyer has not changed his views. Although 10 years have passed, a period of time permitting ample reflection, his testimony on January 28, 1931, indicates the same state of mind

timony on January 28, 1931, indicates the same to-day.

Just at this period of 1920, about which he was talking to you, many people were beginning to wonder why the international financiers were urging, and stimulating others to urge, American financial and economic unity with bankrupt Europe. The answer to this in the language of that day, which still holds good, was that financial and economic unity meant America's absolute partnership, with all she had and all she was, with bankrupt Europe. It involved the pooling not merely of America's credit but all of America's land, food, wares, and merchandise with bankrupt Europe. And this pooling, or financial and economic communism, was to be under bankrupt Europe's and Asia's majority control. Suppose all the war bonds of bankrupt Europe had been canceled, was to be under bankrupt Europe's and Asia's majority control. Suppose all the war bonds of bankrupt Europe had been canceled, the debt they represented would remain, and America would be severally liable for that just as she would have been severally liable for all of the European legal tender war paper money, all of which the United States would have been bound to have stabilized had she gone into partnership with bankrupt Europe. This is the situation that Mr. Meyer told you the other day is the reason why he differed with the policy of the Secretary of the Treasury, who by an order forbade further loans to Europe, directly or indirectly, and issued the ultimatum that Europe should pay their trade balances to the United States.

It is interesting to note also the comments of Mr. Paul Warburg on this situation.

on this situation.

on this situation.

Mr. Paul Warburg and other international financiers were entirely in accord with Mr. Meyer's views at that time. It is interesting to note, however, that he succumbed to the inevitable, which is indicated by the comment he made in December, 1920, upon the action of the Government which he then indorsed. Mr. Warburg said in an article in the Political Science Quarterly, in 1920:

"We all know the cruel sufferings and the social unrest that follow in the wrete of a prolonged unrescentable and unhealthy.

"We all know the cruel sufferings and the social unrest that follow in the wake of a prolonged, unreasonable, and unhealthy increase of prices. For six years the consumer has been trying in vain to catch up with the rising cost of living, while the producer and trader have had their innings.

"We have now entered upon a period when the producer and trader will have to try to catch up with falling prices and when the consumer—particularly the person with small fixed income—will come back more nearly into his own.

"As the rise was painful, so must be the fall. To have been the first to arrest this crazy and destructive rise in prices before it took still grayer forms, was a real contribution on the part of

it took still graver forms, was a real contribution on the part of the United States, for which the world owes us a debt of grat-

the United States, for which the world owes us a debt of gratitude, even though our farmers and producers may find it hard to reconcile themselves to that view.

"I think we are most fortunate at this time in having a Secretary of the Treasury and a governor of the Federal Reserve Board who are courageous and conscientious enough to disregard the political point of view and to hold to the course that clearly is best for the country, even though it may be unpopular and subject them to bitter and unfair attacks."

While Mr. Mever went quite into detail in his voluntary state-

While Mr. Meyer went quite into detail in his voluntary state-ment to the committee as regards his attitude of mind in this particular situation, explaining how he differed with the Treasury policy to the extent that he resigned, his memory, day before yesterday, when he was asked pertinent questions, the correct answers of which would have been at variance with his statement, he was evasive. I refer to Senator Brookhart's question,

as follows:

"Senator Brookhart. There are a few general questions on international matters, before I take up the matter of the rem-

edy of this situation.

"How much money did Europe owe the United States on trade balances in 1920?

"Mr. MEYER. Senator, you do not want me to walk around with those figures in my head, do you?
"Senator Brookhart. I thought probably you would know that

big figure.

"Mr. Meyer. I could not tell you offhand how much it was.

How much did they owe on trade balances?

"Senator BROOKHART. You kept track of the trade balances, did you not, all the time?

"Mr. MEYER. I used to, and whenever I want to know, I look it. up; but I can not give you the balance of trade for years back. I feel very highly flattered at your asking me.

"Senator Brookhart. I did not mean to hold you to any abso-

lutely accurate statement.
"Mr. Meyer. I have no idea what it was, Senator, in 1920. "Schator Brookhart. Was Europe in a position to pay that?

"Mr. Meyer. The Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce publishes figures on that point. Of course there are many other items in the balance besides the merchandise trade balance.

"Senator Brookhart. Was Europe in position to pay this bal-

ance in gold?

"Mr. MEYER. In 1920?

"Senator Brookhart. Yes.
"Mr. Meyer. I do not know what the balance was, so I can not discuss it.

"Senator Brookhart. You know the general situation with reference to gold, do you not?
"Mr. Meyer. Yes, but I can not talk about the ability to pay

an amount that I do not know.

"Senator Brookhart. Should it have been the policy of the Government to demand that this trade balance be settled in gold?

"Mr. MEYER. Our Government does not settle trade balances mr. Meter. Our Government does not settle trade balances in gold. The Government does not control the settlement of trade balances. I will put it that way.

"Senator Brookhart. So they should say nothing about it?

"Mr. MEYER. They can not do very much about something that

Mr. Mayer. They can not do very much about something that they do not have any control over.

"Senator Вкоокнаят. The Federal reserve system has something to do with that. What about it?

"Mr. Meyer. The Federal reserve system settles merchandise trade balances?

"Senator Brookhart. It might. Doesn't it handle some of those things? Should it require the payment in gold? "Mr. Meyer. Everybody who owes money to the United States— I mean by that, the merchants and business men, and farmers, indirectly—has to pay in United States money ultimately, and that is the equivalent of gold. Would you want a cotton farmer to take his money in marks or lire? What would he do with it? He would have to sell it and turn it into dollars."

I do not think that kind of testimony before your committee, on a pertinent question like that, needs any further reference by me when taken into consideration with the statement of the reasons heretofore set forth by Mr. Meyer to your committee. It

is absolutely evasive.

is absolutely evasive.

What policies will Mr. Meyer put into operation if he is confirmed as governor of the Federal Reserve Board in 1931?

If attention be given to what was happening both in the United States and in Europe in 1920, it will be seen that Mr. Meyer was advocating a policy which would have been permanently ruinous for the United States. He wished to continue the practice of making loans to American bankers and exporters in order to give credit to foreign interests. He would have taken this means to prevent a drop in the foreign exchanges.

But in 1920 the only salvation for the United States was a drop in the European exchanges resulting from a settlement of

drop in the European exchanges resulting from a settlement of their adverse trade balances to us. The enormous industrial ac-tivity in the United States in 1919 and the first part of 1920 went into the production of goods and commodities for export to Europe. The unpaid trade balance in the middle of 1920 was nearly \$5,000,000,000. During that year and a half, nothing had been paid for. Our entire banking structure, if it were to continue to be related to gold, was hopelessly ruined unless that trade belonce were paid

An important incident like that must have been known to Mr. Meyer, and certainly, if he remembered all the details of these other things, he should have been able to tell Mr. Brookhart what that trade balance was at that time.

that trade balance was at that time.

The United States Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board acted in time. They began withholding credit from industries exporting to Europe. The public speeches of Governor Harding of the Federal Reserve Board at that time will show this.

He made a statement before our committee in the House, reaffirming his position at that time. Probably no statement Governor Harding has made has had so many favorable and unfavorable comments and criticisms as that particular speech.

The evidence all indicates that the United States Government had for months been urging the European governments to lift

The evidence all indicates that the United States Government had for months been urging the European governments to lift their embargoes on the shipment of gold and that they had refused. It was widely stated that they did not have the gold to ship. A financial congress was called to meet at Brussels in September, 1920, at which the presence of the United States was urged, to effect some means of settlement of international debts

without the shipment of gold.

It was at this point that the then Secretary of the Treasury

acted and acted very wisely.

The United States Government refused to attend. Roland W. Boyden in a roundabout way was directed to inform that congress at Brussels that if Europe wanted more goods from America, Europe would have to settle its trade balance in gold.

As a result of Government policy, exportation to Europe on credit was brought to a sudden end in 1920. The European population of the control of

lations felt a compelling necessity for more importations from America, and in order to have exportation from America resumed, the governments had to lift their embargoes on gold exportation.

Europe did have the gold. Beginning with the autumn of 1920, t poured into the United States in an unprecedented continuous flow for two years. It came just in time to save the American people from ruin. The hard times lasted only through 1921. The receipt of two billions in gold saved the Federal reserve system and the banks of the country and brought the postwar welath

and the banks of the country and brought the postwar weight which the country enjoys to-day.

This is a statement of economic phenomena only. It is only a part of the picture of 1920. Economic forces were being artificially controlled by political forces. The political settlements of the war had been made in the treaty of Versailles which had created a financial obligation on the part of Germany to pay the Allies \$33,000,000,000,000. dollars that they contemplated paying their obligations to America,

dollars that they contemplated paying their obligations to America, including their unpaid postwar trade balance.

If the European politicians could have staved off the American demand in 1920 that the unpaid trade balance be settled in gold until financial collapse had come to the American banking system, they might then have succeeded in forcing the war settlement upon America which the treaty of Versailles contemplated, and could have permanently retained the \$2,000,000,000 and more of gold which remained in Europe after the war.

Mr. Meyer has testified that in 1920 he differed so radically from the policy of the United States Treasury and the Federal reserve system that he resigned his position. If the Government had followed his leadership at that time the European policies in their fullness would have prevailed and the United States would never have attained the economic power which it now possesses.

have attained the economic power which it now possesses.

Mr. Meyer also stated to your committee the other day that he had no opinion on the subject of branch banking. He did not hesitate to express his favorable opinion of branch banking a few years ago when he appeared before the House Committee on Banking and Currency over which I presided as chairman, at which time he offered as a solution of the stressed banking situation in the Southern, Middle, and Western States branch banking in county-wide form, suggested that the county-seat bank should be a member of the Federal reserve system, and it did not matter whether the other banks of the county were members or not—they would have access to the Federal reserve system through the county-seat bank.

This is somewhat along the line of the plan that is now advo-cated by the Comptroller of the Currency Pole, in his proposal

of trade-area banking.

This was clearly an indication that Mr. Meyer was in favor of a centralized banking system. His opinion and his future ac-tion on the subject of centralized, unit, or branch banking are

now of the greatest importance.

Banking in the United States at the present time is in an undetermined condition. We are in the midst of a conflict, the basic elements of which are whether we shall have a centralized banking system dominated and controlled by one or two groups in the city of New York, or whether we shall have an independent banking system. Both banking committees of the House and Senate are engaged now in a study of this situation. The factions are at the present time glaring at each other and struggling for a determination.

The larger city banks, principally in New York, have their friendly contacts with, if not control of, many of the larger banks in the larger cities, and we are witnessing now the building up of trade-area groups of banks in which we are beginning to notice a stockholding ownership by some of these larger groups in New York which will eventually mean beyond all question of a doubt that these trade-area groups will be controlled from one or two

sources in New York.

sources in New York.

In confirmation of that, I again invite your attention to the fact that the Marine Midland group is just one of those groups. That is trade-area banking. It has its main office in Buffalo, N. Y., and its territory comprises the seven northwestern counties of Pennsylvania and the State of New York. In taking over the Fidelity Trust Co. in the city of New York, they have a clearing house member bank, and it presents in this respect a little different situation than probably any of the other groups.

I might cite also that the organization of the Melion Bank Corporation in Pittsburgh is another establishment of trade-area banking in Pittsburgh. In Minneapolis we have two of these

banking in Pittsburgh. In Minneapolis we have two of these chains or groups. We have one in Milwaukee. We have them on the Pacific coast. We have the Trans-America group on the Pacific coast with its New York connections, and we have the Caldwell group in Tennessee.

Senator Brookhart. It has blown up, has it not?
Mr. McFadden. Yes; it has blown up. In that blow-up there are probably some observations which would be beneficial to Congress if they should go into it, because the disclosures which have come about since the failure of the Caldwell group indicate a monopolistic control over banking, finance, insurance, newspapers, the governor, and both branches of the legislature.

As a great aid to this centralization of banking assets which is

As a great aid to this centralization of banking assets which is taking place, we have witnessed the weakening of the independent banks of the country through the various processes of defiation which have been taking place over a period of the past 10 years. This comes about through readjustments since 1920, the development of mass production, consolidation, mergers, and construction of industrial plants and railroads. The larger the units the more accessible is the credit of the Federal reserve system and less is the credit of the Federal reserve to the individual or less is the credit of the Federal reserve system to the individual or small operator in any line whatsoever he undertakes, all of which tends to the creation of classes of master and servant in this

country, a case of the survival of the fittest.

The spirit of craftsmanship has already passed out and been The spirit of craftsmanship has already passed out and been superseded by mass production. There is nothing to stimulate individual initiative in any new line. All of this has resulted in the vast unemployment situation which we are now witnessing. In addition to this, we are in the midst of a similar situation so far as banking is concerned in our international relationships. In this respect, the Bank for International Settlements at Basle, Switzerland, has been organized.

There is a very interesting situation in regard to—
Senator Brookhart. Who owns the stock in that bank?

Senator Brookhart. Who owns the stock in that bank? Mr. McFadden. Mr. Mellon, the Secretary of the Treasury, when Mr. McFadden. Mr. Mellon, the Secretary of the Treasury, when he was before the House Banking and Currency Committee last summer, when we were holding hearings in regard to the sale of German reparation bonds in this country, stated that the stock in this bank was privately held. So far as my information goes, the stock was taken by the group headed by J. P. Morgan & Co. and the First National Bank of New York and Chicago. I presume the list of bankers I have inserted here in the record as being the sponsors of the sale of the \$100,000,000 part of the commercialized German reparation loan that was floated here last year are the stockholders. I do not know definitely, nor have I been able to find out who the stockholders are.

year are the stockholders. I do not know definitely, nor have I been able to find out who the stockholders are.

In that connection I want to call to the attention of this committee—because it has a serious bearing on the very matters that are now before you—the fact that the Department of State assumed an attitude in regard to this quite unexpectedly.

Those who have been watching the situation, as I have been and some others because of matters that

Those who have been watching the situation, as I have been and some others because of matters that had come before our committee in connection with the various hearings and studies we had made of the Federal reserve system and its operations, had observed, since the close of the war, a tendency to involve the Federal reserve system in these international financial affairs, to the extent that conferences were taking place between the governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and the heads of the central banks of the various major countries of the world, which finally culminated in the setting up of a log of world, which finally culminated in the setting up of a loan of \$200,000,000 or gold credit to the Bank of England. Simultaneously with that was the loan granted by J. P. Morgan & Co. to England of \$100,000,000, negotiations for which were conducted, as was shown by the hearings before our committee, by J. P. Morgan & Co. Morgan & Co. Since that occurrence members of our committee Morgan & Co. Since that occurrence members of our committee have been actively interested in observing the further contacts which the Federal reserve was making. Some of us felt at that time that the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, in negotiating and carrying through these negotiations with these banks, had exceeded their rights under the law. We found, however, that exceeded their rights under the law. We found, however, that that permission had been given, as interpreted by clever lawyers, under section 14, I think it is, of the Federal reserve act, and it was under this interpretation that they acted. Of course, you Senators who were here during the formation of that act, I know full well, did not expect that, hidden in that particular section, was the authority to grant loans of the sacred reserves of the Federal reserve banks to foreign banks or countries. This particular loan to the Bank of England was guaranteed by an act of Parliament, and was the obligation of the British Government. of Parliament, and was the obligation of the British Government. I am not questioning whether or not a loan should have been made, but I am questioning the usurpation of authority, or the interpretation of the Federal reserve act in assuming to loan abroad the gold reserves of the member banks. It is true that abroad the gold reserves of the member banks. It is true that the 12 Federal reserve banks were consulted, and were a part of this group, but the banks who are the member banks themselves were never asked whether their reserves should be loaned to Great Britain or not. Since that time these relationships have been growing closer and closer, and at the time of the adoption of the Young plan, which was later put into operation, the committee in charge of that was J. P. Morgan, Thomas N. Perkins, Thomas W. Lamont, and Owen D. Young. In that plan that was formulated as the Young plan was the outline for the Bank for International Settlements, and when the Young plan went into operation the Bank for International Settlements was established. As an organizing committee there went over from the United As an organizing committee there went over from the United States Mr. Jackson Reynolds, president of the First National Bank of New York, and Mr. Melvin A. Traylor, of the First National Bank of Chicago, banks closely affiliated with the American group and a part of the underwriting syndicate who drew up the rules and by-laws for the operation of this bank.

Just when these appropriements were being made everbody have

Just when these announcements were being made everbody here who knew anything about it—although it was carried on quite under cover by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and its officers—knew that this plan had largely grown up in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and was patterned somewhat along the lines, so far as mobilization is concerned, of the gold settlement fund of the Federal reserve system.

Collaborating with Mr. Young in the formulation of that plan was Dr. Randolph Burgess, deputy governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. He is the economist of the bank, and has been with it for a good many years. He was with Mr. Young in Paris at these various sessions, and it was generally understood that this was a Federal reserve move.

It was a very interesting period about that time, and it was very difficult to get any information from anybody pertaining to it. Senator Carey. Mr. McFadden, I do not want to interrupt you, but are we not getting a little beyond Mr. Meyer in this matter

Mr. McFadden. I think we are not, Senator.

Senator Carry. What is the connection here? Mr. McFadden. As governor of the Federal Reserve Board, he is to have a very vital connection with this, which is very going material.

Senator Carey. You said something about the State Depart-

Senator Brookhart. Senator Glass has claimed that the State Department was exceeding its authority in putting its O. K. on these foreign loans.

Mr. McFadden. I was going to read that order of the State Department. It has a very material bearing on Mr. Meyer's fitness to be governor.

Senator Carey. Make it as brief as you can.

Mr. McFadden. I do not want to take up an undue amount of time of the Senators here, but this is an important matter.

Senator Carey. I know it is important, but I just can not quite get the connection with Mr. Meyer.

Mr. McFadden. The connection with Mr. Meyer will be that he

will have a large part of the determination, as governor of the Federal Reserve Board, as to these international transactions in which the Federal reserve is involved.

Senator Carry. But you do not know that he has done any of

Mr. McFadden. Not as yet. Senator Carey. I do not see where anything he has done in the

ties in here.

Mr. McFadden. I think it has a very material bearing. I have shown Mr. Meyer's international observations and connections and shown Mr. Meyer's international observations and connections and his attitude when he was in operation here in the War Finance Corporation. I have shown these close relationships, which all have a bearing on this question, Senator. It is a very difficult thing to put down in black and white, but if you had been observing the operations of the Federal reserve system with these various interests to which I have referred as I have during the 16 years I have been here and the 11 years as chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee of the House, you would see a very propounced connection. very pronounced connection.

Senator Fletcher. The Bank for International Settlements has

its headquarters where?

Mr. McFadden. In Basle, Switzerland, and it is exempted from

Senator Fletcher. Who represents it over here, if anybody? Is

there any agency?

Mr. McFadden. I do not know exactly J. P. Morgan's group, but I suppose the Federal reserve itself does business with them, as it does with other central banks of issue. At least this is my understanding.

understanding.

This complication, as regards the Federal reserve system at this time with this Bank for International Settlements, is important in this connection. This Bank for International Settlements, I might point out, is a bank controlled by the central banks of issue of the major countries of the world, with the exception of the United States. Here the representation is by and through J. P. Morgan & Co., a private banking house conducting international business, and its operations can not be carried on without affecting the operations and the policies of the Federal reserve system. That is the reason for my presentation along these lines, Senator. Senator Carey. Do you hold that an American bank should not have anything to do with foreign securities?

Mr. McFadden. I am not suggesting that, Senator.

Mr. McFadden. I am not suggesting that, Senator.

Senator Carey. Or a member bank of the Federal reserve system?

Mr. McFadden. I am suggesting that we should have an American independent financial system here.

Senator Carey. I do not see why a bank should be denied the right to do foreign business, if it is good business.

Mr. McFadden. The Federal reserve system by this ruling of

Mr. McFadden. The Federal reserve system, by this ruling of the State Department here, has been deprived of that opportunity, Senator. I am going to read that now.
Senator Wagner. Whose edict is this?
Mr. McFadden. The edict of Hon. Henry M. Stimson, Secretary of State. It is dated May 16, 1929:
"In response to the statements which have appeared in the

"In response to the statements which have appeared in the press in regard to the participation of any Federal reserve officials in the creation or management of the new proposed international bank, I wish to make clear the position of this Government:

"While we look with interest and sympathy upon the efforts being made by the committee of experts to suggest a solution and a settlement of the vexing question of German reparations, this Government does not desire to have any American official, directly or indirectly, participate in the collection of German reparations through the agency of this bank or otherwise. Ever since the close of the war the American Government has consistently taken this position; it has never accepted membership on the Reparation Commission; it declined to join the allied powers in the confiscation of the sequestered German property and the appliconfiscation of the sequestered German property and the appliconfiscation of the sequestered German property and the application of that property to its war claims. The comparatively small sums which it receives under the Dawes plan are applied solely to the settlement of the claims judicially ascertained by the Mixed Claims Commission (United States-Germany) in fulfillment of an agreement with Germany, and to the repayment of the expenses of the American army of occupation in Coblenz, which remained in such occupation on the request of both the allied nations and Germany. It does not now wish to take any step which would indicate a reversal of that attitude, and for that reason it will not permit any officials of the Federal reserve system either to themselves serve or to select American representatives as members of the proposed international bank."

Senator Carry. Does that refer to members of the Federal Re-

serve Board or an officer of a bank that is a member of the Federal reserve system?

Mr. McFadden. "Any officials of the Federal reserve system either to themselves serve or to select American representatives." That would include all of them, Senator.

Senator Carey. That would not include an officer of a national bank, for instance, that was a member of the Federal reserve, would it? That would only refer to men occupying Government positions, would it not?

Mr. McPapper. It would pertain to all officers of the Federal reserve banks—any one of the 12 banks or the Federal Reserve Board.

Senator Carry. That is what I mean; just the Federal reserve banks.

Mr. McFadden. Yes.

Senator Carey. It would not refer to an individual bank, such as

the National City Bank?
Mr. McFadden. That question has never been raised. I do not imagine it would.

Senator Wagnez. Suppose that edict was proclaimed; what has that to do with this question here, as to whether Mr. Meyer is fit

for this position or not?

Mr. McFadden. I am showing you that the policy Mr. Meyer advocated in 1920, which he reaffirmed to you here the other day,

has a very material bearing on that.
Senator Wagner. You have stated that you have shown some-

Mr. McFadden. You are going to find the Federal reserve system synchronizing with these European banks to an extent that may be very disturbing—that is, if he carries out his policies—and he

be very disturbing—that is, if he carries out his policies—and he is very consistent, according to my observations.

Senator Brookhar. Of course, in his examination here he did not have any idea about any of these things, but the Congressman has found out that he really did have some ideas.

Senator Wagner. I do not think you ought to make the statement that Mr. Meyer stated anything falsely or withheld any information from the committee. I think that is a very unfair transfection. implication.

Senator Brookhart. He said he did not have any opinion about them, and that is his statement. I find that he did have an opinion.

Senator Wagner. So far as I have been able to observe he has

been very candid.
Senator Brookhart. He did not so impress me.

Mr. Meyer. I would like to say this, that when I advocated the export authority of the War Finance Corporation before the committees of Congress in January or February, 1919, the Congress voted, practically without any opposition to the measure, because it did not want the Government to continue to make loans to foreign governments. The loans of the War Finance Corporation in its export trade were not loans to foreigners. They were loans to American exporters and loans to American parks that finance to American exporters and loans to American banks that financed American exporters. When I advocated that I called attention to the fact that our production during the war period, before we were in the war and during the period we were in the war, had been turned to an unusual and extraordinary degree in the direcbeen turned to an unusual and extraordinary degree in the direction of supplying the people of Europe, military and civil, and that the armistice meant that unless we did something to make a bridge of a temporary character a breakdown in the exports of American products would come. I advocated that as a temporary expedient, limited for a period, I think of a year, but the loans to be for an unusual period from a banking point of view, too long for the ordinary banker to carry, namely, from one to five years; and in advocating that the emphasis was on the temporary character of the situation, and this was intended as a temporary and emergency measure and was passed as such. My testimony before the Senate Committee on Finance at that time makes that point perfectly clear, so that whatever my attitude was at that time perfectly clear, so that whatever my attitude was at that time it concerned the peculiar conditions of that period and has nothing at all to do with my views at the present time in connection with international banking or finance, and it can not be made to be connected with it, however strenuous the endeavor may be. I would like permission, Mr. Chairman, to introduce into the record my testimony before the Senate Committee on Finance at that time. It will make my view as to the temporary character of that very clear.

So far as lending money to Europe and other countries is concerned, I think I stated already before the committee that I think we loaned much too much money in 1927 and 1928 to for-eign countries for the good of the people of this country. Senator Carey. Can you supply the part of the other hearings you want in the record?

Mr. Meyer. I would like the privilege of putting that part of my

testimony in the record.

Mr. McFadden. I would like to add in connection with that Mr. Meyer. What I had in mind was that until the buying power of Europe was restored to some degree, we ought to sell them more goods on credit, and I think at the time that the activity was discontinued we had applications from thoroughly activity was discontinued we had applications from thoroughly responsible borrowers, American institutions financing American exporters in the American interest, and for the cotton producers of this country, to the extent of \$75,000,000, and that was stopped when the activity was stopped. I thought at the time, and I still think, that that had a great deal to do with the suddenness and the extent of the breakdown in the cotton market which followed not long after. I think a decline in commodity prices to some extent at that time was unavoidable. I did not think it had to be so fast or so great. I did not expect that it could be avoided entirely, but I felt that some exceptional measures were warranted by the exceptional conditions of a temporary character.

Senator Carey. Proceed, Mr. McFadden.

Mr. McFadden. It is loudly asserted to-day that the Federal Reserve Board should be autonomous. Great fear is expressed of political control over it. It is asserted that it should not be under the control of the Secretary of the Treasury or any other Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government official; the control of the Government official; the control of the Government official; the control of the Government of the Government official; the control ment official; that it should not be an instrumentality of Government; and that its policy should not be a concern of the President's Cabinet. It is this view that Mr. Meyer takes when he refuses to answer questions in a Senate committee as to his conception of the proper scope of the Federal reserve system. Furthermore, the holders of this view believe that—
"It must be our aim, whenever we can safely do so, to place our vast banking strength freely at the disposal of other countries; this is but fair to the rest of the world and to ourselves."

Suppose the Senate confirms the nomination of a governor of

Suppose the Senate confirms the nomination of a governor of the Federal Reserve Board without inquiring whether this is his

view. And suppose that it is his view.

Then, as governor, he "will place our vast banking strength freely at the disposal of other countries," because he has the power

to do so under the law as it now is.

At the disposal of what countries will he place this vast banking strength? Britain? France? Italy? Germany?

If he places it at the disposal of Britain and France, they will use it to give sanctions to the treaty of Versailles and to impose

high reparation obligations upon Germany.

If he gives it to Germany, she can use it to free herself from the unjust obligations of the Versailles treaty.

He may give it to Italy to induce her to remain in political agreement with Britain and France—or to break with Britain and

France and make some other combination.

He may come to the conclusion that Soviet Russia is worthy of being helped, and may place our vast banking strength freely at

its disposal.

It may be used, in fact, to change the political map of the world if the governor of the Federal Reserve Board so desires—provided he does not have to subordinate his policies to the expressed will of the Congress or of the President, acting through the Secretary of the Treasury.

The experience of American support of foreign governments and

the Secretary of the Treasury.

The question of American support of foreign governments, and of what foreign governments, is a political question. The decision is a function of political authority. How far "our vast banking credit" ought to be diverted from interior needs to foreign needs is also a political question.

If the United States Senate has definite views upon all these matters, then it is its right to know whether a candidate for the position of governor of the Federal Reserve Board is or is not in accordance with these views, then the Senate has the right to refuse confirmation. Under any circumstances it has the right to know confirmation. Under any circumstances it has the right to know his views.

That Mr. Meyer is a person who will assume an aggressive leadership is described in the New York Times articles of September 5 and 6, 1930, at the time of Mr. Platt's resignation and Mr. Meyer's appointment, where it was stated that he is a person who will assume an aggressive leadership in having centralized policies carried out. Although repeatedly unwilling to tell you Senators what his policies are, he has evidently communicated them to other people. There is no reason why Mr. Meyer should not outline to you his contemplated plans. You have a right to know. His fitness for this job is being questioned, and his proposed operations are a determining factor. There are no such relationships, as has been argued in these hearings, in this instance as are comparable to that of the Supreme Court. There is much that this committee should know, and the Senate should know, in connection with this nomination, and your committee know, in connection with this nomination, and your committee have been very mild and most unusually considerate in connection with this examination. It is my opinion that Mr. Meyer's policies will be very much like those of a person who wishes to dominate the system. That, I think, is the reason he does not care to tell this subcommittee what his policies are.

This is the first time a man with these monopolistic affiliations

This is the first time a man with these monopolistic affiliations has been selected for governor or a member of the Federal Reserve Board. Mr. Meyer will completely dominate any board on which he sits. And I call your attention to the fact in this connection that he has repeatedly been pointing out to you the fact that he was simply one member of the board. I have observed closely and have been in a position to observe his domination of the War Finance Corporation activities, and, as Federal farm loan commissioner, the activities of the Federal Farm Loan Board. There was complete acquiescence and domination of the activities of both complete acquiescence and domination of the activities of both of these operations during his term of office. And we are facing now a complete reorganization of the Federal Reserve Board, one vacancy and another predicted, the filling of which, I believe, is being deferred until Mr. Meyer's nomination has been disposed of. If Mr. Meyer should be confirmed, these men will have to be men

Senator Wacner. What do you mean by that? You say that these will have to be men of whom Mr. Meyer approves. Do you mean that Mr. Meyer is going to tell the President and the Senate whom to appoint?

Mr. McFadden. No; not that.
Senator Wagner. What?
Mr. McFadden. There will not be harmony on the board unless
he does approve of them. I only need to cite the other instances

of the War Finance Corporation activities and the Federal Farm Loan Board in that respect. Senator Wagner. A lot of general statements have been made

here without any support.

Mr. McFadden. That is a very specific statement, Senator.

Senator Wagner. Just what do you mean? You say nobody can be appointed to that board unless Mr. Meyer approves. Just how is that going to be brought about? The President appoints, does he not?

Mr. McFadden. Yes.

Senator Wagner. You mean that he will direct the President whom to appoint?

Mr. McFadden. I mean that a man will not be comfortable on

that board that does not agree with Mr. Meyer. Senator Wagner. That does not happen until after he enters on

his duties, does it?
Mr. McFadden. No, sir; it does not.

Senator Brookhart. In that connection I remember the Congressman from Kansas, Mr. Strong, said that he was a candidate, and thought he would have been appointed if Mr. Meyer had been

and thought at the process of the practice, I think, heretofore has been that the governor of the Federal Reserve Board is consulted with regard to appointments of members on the board,

In closing, Mr. Meyer should not be confirmed as governor of the Federal Reserve Board because of his intimate relationship with Wall Street as a stockbroker, speculator, promoter; because of his dominating position in the chemical and power industry, both personally and through his close family and business and financial relationship; and because of his close affiliations with Paul M. Warpurg; Kuhn, Loeb & Co.; Lazard-Freres; and J. P. Morgan & Co.

I am sorry I have taken so much time, Senators.

# PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE HOUSE

Mr. PARKS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for five minutes.

Mr. TILSON. Mr. Speaker, we are going into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union immediately and the gentleman may then have such time as he may desire.

Mr. PARKS. When?

Mr. TILSON. So far as I know, immediately, if the gentleman in charge of the time on his side is willing.

Mr. PARKS. I do not want to be unreasonable, Mr Speaker, and I withdraw the request.

# MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Latta, one of his secretaries, who also informed the House that on the following dates the President approved and signed the bills of the House of the following titles:

On February 7, 1931:

H. R. 14043. An act to authorize the Secretary of War to lease Governors Island, Mass., to the city of Boston, Mass., and for other purposes.

On February 9, 1931:

H.R. 2335. An act providing for the promotion of Chief Boatswain Edward Sweeney, United States Navy, retired, to the rank of lieutenant (junior grade) on the retired list of

# NAVY DEPARTMENT APPROPRIATION BILL

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House resolve itself into Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H. R. 16969) making appropriations for the Navy Department and the naval service for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1932, and for other purposes; and pending that I ask unanimous consent that the time for general debate be equally divided and controlled by my colleague, the gentleman from Kansas [Mr. AYRES] and myself. I think we should proceed without fixing the time, in view of the fact there is considerable demand for time on both sides, and we do not know at this time how great the demand will be.

May I also say that in view of the fact this bill has been reported to-day, and while the hearings as well as the committee print of the bill and the report are available, the official copy of the bill and the report are not. Therefore I suggest we proceed with general debate and do not take up consideration of the items in the bill until to-morrow. I make this statement so that Members of the House may feel free to make their plans and be present when the bill itself may be taken up.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Idaho moves that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill H. R. 16969; and pending that asks unanimous consent that general debate to-day be equally divided and controlled by himself and the gentleman from Kansas [Mr. AYRES]. Is there objection?

There was no objection. The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill H. R. 16969, with Mr. Hoch in the

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that the first reading of the bill be dispensed with.

The CHAIRMAN. Without objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield five minutes to the gentleman from Arkansas [Mr. Parks]. [Applause.]

Mr. PARKS. Mr. Chairman, I do not want to impose upon the patience of this House, but I want it to be understood that while the leaders in this body and the leaders in the other body may surrender, they can not compromise me. I want you to know that I still maintain that while 500,000 and more people-and the number is increasing daily-are compelled to be fed by charity in Arkansas, this Government, by its agents in the White House, in the Department of Agriculture, in this body, and in the Senate have agreed upon a so-called compromise that will not give a dime to any starving man in my State.

I want you to-day to turn your attention to this pathetic page [indicating] and see the line of men, women, and children that are being fed by the Red Cross. One month from now, in my judgment, I will be facing that line and talking to those people and stating the heartlessness of those who refused them a loan or a gift to relieve them of starvation.

What is your compromise? Your Secretary of Agriculture says there are two means. The first can be fed by the Red Cross, and the next can be given a loan, on adequate security, for rehabilitation.

Think of it! Five hundred and odd thousand people in my State in a bread line and you talk about adequate se-

I rise for one purpose only—to say to the starving millions in America that I am not bound by any such sham and fraud and fake. [Applause.]

Mr. HOLADAY. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. PARKS. I yield with a great deal of pleasure.

Mr. HOLADAY. I noticed in the press a day or two ago that one of the houses of the Legislature of Arkansas had refused to pass a bill providing for State aid. Is that correct?

Mr. PARKS. I do not know whether it is correct or not. but it is sensible. How in the wide world could a starving people pay a \$15,000,000 loan? They could not do it to save their lives?

Mr. HOLADAY. Could not the State make the effort if the condition is as the gentleman has outlined it?

Mr. PARKS. Yes; they are making the attempt.

Mr. HOLADAY. Well, is it or is it not a fact that the legislature, Friday or Saturday, definitely turned down the bill that had been passed by one house?

Mr. PARKS. The house of representatives passed a bill to issue \$15,000,000 in bonds and they could not sell them, whether the senate passed it or not.

Mr. HOLADAY. Will the gentleman yield further?

Mr. PARKS. Yes.

Mr. HOLADAY. The gentleman says the house passed that bill. Did the senate take action a day or two ago refusing to pass a bill?

Mr. PARKS. If they did, I have not heard about it. The papers from my State of that date have not reached me.

Mr. HOLADAY. I saw that statement in the press.

Mr. PARKS. The gentleman is probably correct, because the senate knew it was utterly impossible for the State, with more than one-third of its people being fed by the Red Cross to issue \$15,000,000 of bonds and sell them.

Mr. COLLINS. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. PARKS. Yes.

Mr. COLLINS. I also saw in the papers a statement that an aide of the President had gone to Arkansas and found that there was no one starving in that State.

Mr. PARKS. Yes; the President's aide left here, and, in my judgment, his report was written before he left. And the day he left here one of the great citizens of the State of Arkansas left there and came to Washington, and this compromise was effected.

Mr. GLOVER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. PARKS. I will be glad to.

Mr. GLOVER. In response to the question directed from the other side of the Chamber, I will say that Arkansas has a substitute proposition for the one he spoke of which will give and make available, it is understood, \$12,000,000 through a different source than that, by placing one-half of 1 per cent tax on the entire visible property in the State of Arkansas.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Arkansas has expired.

Mr. AYRES. I yield the gentleman three minutes more. Mr. PARKS. Listen to this:

Hyde taking no chances on Federal money in Arkansas; loans hedged with red tape.

That is the headline in the News, one of the most courageous papers printed in the city of Washington. [Applause.]

I do not often take the time of the House. All here will uphold me in that. But I tell you as one Member you can not compromise the rights of my people away without a fight on this floor. I do not want to protract this session. I am told there are two men that can not be compromised—one from the State of Idaho and one from the State of Wisconsin. If they start a battle for humanity, as they claim they will, with all the feeble power I have I am going to stand on the floor and support them every hour of the day. [Applause.]

Mr. CHIPERFIELD. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. PARKS. I will.

Mr. CHIPERFIELD. I would like to ask the gentleman if the bonds of the State of Arkansas for \$15,000,000, backed by its faith and credit, could not be readily sold?

Mr. PARKS. They have reached their limit in bonds today. They have gone beyond what they ought to have gone. and now they find themselves in this unfortunate situation when I do not believe their credit can stand a dollar more.

This compromise may stand and I may go down, but by the eternal I am going down flying the flag of my people in their distress. [Applause.]

Mr. FRENCH. I yield five minutes to the gentleman from New York [Mr. CLARKE].

Mr. CLARKE of New York. Mr. Chairman, ladies, and gentlemen, I take this five minutes to read a letter showing the attitude of many of the Legion in my district on the bonus bill. It comes from a veteran who himself has been so tied up with red tape that injustice has been done him. It shows his fair spirit, which I believe characterizes the Legion in my district.

Hon. John D. Clarke, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.
Dear John: Many thanks for your letter explaining your stand for increased loan on adjusted-service compensation certificates rather than full cash payment. A Congressman who has the guts to take a stand he thinks is right will gain more esteem in his district than one who tries to truckle to each lobby. "Time" said it feared the cash payment would go through for lack of guts to refuse; we have always counted on you for the necessary abdominal force to do the right thing as you saw it. My personal opinion is that it would be better yet to leave the endowment untouched and concentrate upon bettering the existing laws for veterans. veterans.

The national convention of the American Legion did not recom-mend cash payment, but the executive committee has since weaseled. The Veterans of Foreign Wars has also come out for it. The rank and file have always been, and I'm afraid always will be, for all the cash they can get. In this you can not altogether blame them, however, for they are merely following the shining lead of hundreds of others trying to get special doles for wheat, agriculture, pest control, flood control, fatter tariff, unemployment, drought relief, more navy, more prohibition enforcement, and so on ad infinitum. The ex-soldier says, "Congress can appropriate millions for these other birds so why don't they have and so on ad infinitum. The ex-solder says, Congress can appropriate millions for these other birds, so why don't they pay us our lousy compensation?" As you say, Uncle Sam is having his troubles raising all the money required. The fact is that things are apt to grow much worse now that it is universally accepted

are apt to grow much worse now that it is universally accepted that anything got from the Government is all velvet and doesn't have to be paid for.

The danger of the "cash payment" is that it will lead to a general and senseless and extravagant pension law. The Civil War pensions were finally paid to some men who had been in the Army hardly long enough to do "squads east" and had never heard a gun fired. On the other hand there is no doubt that fighting men of the United States have something coming to them. If a country wants to make war, God forbid, it must be prepared to pay the consequences for years on end.

General Hines said, within the last few days, that more attention should be given to the widows and orphans together with the disabled veterans and let the able-bodied veterans shift for themselves as everyone else has to do. Those have been the senti-

the disabled veterans and let the able-bodied veterans shift for themselves as everyone else has to do. Those have been the sentiments of the more far-seeing ex-service men ever since the armistice. In fact, we once had an Ex-Service Men's Antibonus League, whose principle was "For the disabled, everything; for the ablebodied, nothing." I was a member of that league. Its idea is

To many of us it would seem that the best thing is to let the adjusted-service certificates ride in status quo. They provide a small paid-up endowment which will bury the veteran and leave a nest egg for the widow and children, even giving them, as you suggest, more ready cash in some cases than when the veteran

lived.

Assuming, of course, that General Hines is sincere, let him start a vigorous house cleaning in his Veterans' Bureau to better the lot of the disabled. As you know, many have a prolonged fight to get their just dues against the great odds of red tape and chiseling. The Veterans' Bureau should—

(1) Add to hospital facilities: The law allows veterans to use Government hospitals, but only when space is available; it isn't.

(2) Stop quibbling: It takes two weeks at the shortest to get

(2) Stop quibbling: It takes two weeks at the shortest to get through the necessary papers to get a veteran into a Government hospital. In that time he is dead if it is an emergency operation. Let them take him and fill out his papers there. We had a couple of these cases where the man had to become a county charge.

(3) Stop chiseling: At each loophole the Veterans' Bureau will try to do a veteran or widow out of compensation on a technicality. Take, for example, the flagrant case in this town of the widow and two children who were to be cut off without a cent because one word appeared upon the veteran's death certificate instead of another word. It took a year of your good effort to straighten it out.

(4) Stop pettifogging: When several physicians declare that a veteran is suffering from a certain disease let the Veterans' Bureau take their word in preference to that of a doctor of their own who is paid to disallow claims. Claim 1,467,568 is a good instance as any of this. In addition the bureau might well take into consideration outside factors, such as whether the veteran is making an effort, what his financial and home life are, sobriety, mentality, and the like. These facts could be ascertained from the veterans' organizations, local banks, clergy, and other responsible sources.

(5) Dismount its high horse: The bureau should cease to be so

hard-boiled and inhuman, remembering that it is the hired man

hard-boiled and inhuman, remembering that it is the hired man of the veterans themselves, among other taxpayers. If its policy could be a bit more liberal there possibly would not be such a hue and cry for "cash payment of certificates."

Then, too, there are legislative defects as well as administrative. One instance is well exemplified in the case of a friend of mine who served with the Groupe des Chasses, "Les Cigoynes," with such verve as fighting pilot that even the Veterans' Bureau now admits he has shattered nerves to the extent of 30 per cent and gives him his \$40 per month. But he is entitled to 75 per cent retirement pay except for one knot in the red tape of the "emergency officers' retirement act," which states as its joker: "No person shall be entitled to benefits \* \* \* except \* \* \* his application is received in the United States Veterans' Bureau within 12 months after the passage of this act." These time-limit clauses to me are a clear example of chiseling; the Government makes the open-handed gesture of granting a just compensation and at the same time puts on a time limit to save expense. If his claim is just in 12 months, isn't it equally just in 13 months? claim is just in 12 months, isn't it equally just in 13 months? How can the Government maintain it is not "holding out" on the veterans when it has these unfair time limits? Do you agree with me? Can you do anything about it?

My purpose in writing at such great length, risking the chance of boring you is that I assumed from your letter that you would like to know how the veterans stand on this proposed legislation.

As far as your standing with the veterans is concerned, it will remain high whether you come out against the cash plan or not. The reason is that you have helped so many individual cases and we have let it be known. The fact that you voted against the adjusted-service compensation in the first place did not militate against you with the veterans because of your many instances of help. When they really stop to think, as we are helping them to do, they realize that a compensation for the disabled is more to be desired than temporary riches.

You understated the case when you said, "Every once in awhile some of the soldier boys show appreciation." They are not only deeply grateful to you for what you have done but they proved it at the polls by supporting you against one of their own men. And they would do it again, because they believe you are "on the up and up with them."

In addition to what you have done for individual veterans, they are all for you because they think of you as hard-headed, independent, and unafraid—a champion who will do their fighting for them now that many of their fighting spirits are broken.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield six minutes to the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. Th.son].

Mr. TILSON. Mr. Chairman, so that I may be sure to stick close to what I have intended to say, I ask to not be interrupted during my remarks.

In order that the heat and passion generated last week during the controversy over the proposed \$25,000,000 dole might have time to cool off, and that I might be sure of not contributing in any manner to the acrimonious discussion, I have deliberately waited an entire week before making even a statement of fact in connection with certain extraneous matters lugged into the controversy. Kipling in the first two lines of his immortal "If" says in his description of a man:

If you can keep your head when all about you Are losing theirs and blaming it on you—

Under what must be agreed was considerable provocation, I have been able thus far in this matter to conform to this portion of Kipling's formula for the stature of a man.

Among the extraneous matters having no proper place in the dole discussion a reference to a completely innocent outsider was so unfair and misleading as to do serious injustice to an able and upright public servant. The House will bear me witness that I have indulged in no personalities throughout this controversy, and I shall not do so now, but since the person whose good name and standing as a public servant has been called in question is my own brother, blood of my blood. I deem it only a measure of simple justice to here state a few pertinent facts relating to his appointment to judicial office.

The plain and necessary inference from the statements made concerning him was that through my influence my brother was named as a judge and that he was unfit for the position, having no knowledge of the law, except what he had acquired in the course of business as an insurance agent. While doubtless experience as an insurance agent would be a valuable asset in making up the qualifications of a good judge, the fact is that my brother did not have the benefit of any experience along this line. It is only fair, however, to say for my brother that he had other rather unusual preparation and qualifications for judicial office. After a preliminary education in the schools of his native State of Tennessee, he studied seven years at Yale, receiving three degrees, two of them in the law. Returning home to the South, he went to Georgia, first living in the territory later included in the new judicial district in which he was eventually nominated as judge. He soon moved up to Atlanta where he successfully practiced law for 28 years. During all of this time he was prominent in Republican politics. He was frequently a delegate to Republican national conventions, and was universally regarded as one of the party leaders. When a new judicial district was created no other name was considered by the Republican organization of Georgia for the judgeship, and he was unanimously indorsed for the position by the entire party organization of his State, and up to the time he was named by President Coolidge I had never spoken to the President or to anyone else regarding his appointment.

His confirmation was opposed upon the technical ground that prior to his nomination he did not actually reside within the new judicial district. Later when it was proposed to appoint him to the United States Customs Court in New York he was confirmed by the Senate without a dissenting vote.

During the time his nomination for the district judgeship was under consideration in the Senate Judiciary Committee full and complete hearings were held covering every possible question as to his character, qualifications, and fitness

for judicial office. I wish it were practicable for every Member of this House, and everyone who heard or read what was recently said of my brother in the Senate, to read these hearings and the indorsements then filed, which are now a matter of public record. Reading these, any fair-minded man must admit that few records ever made on behalf of a nominee for judicial appointment were more overwhelmingly favorable to the nominee, while not a line or a sentence was even offered to the contrary.

Among those who gave the most flattering indorsements as to my brother's character, professional qualifications, and standing at the bar were included members of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals of Georgia, 33 superior and city court judges, 90 per cent of the bar of the district served, and a host of other substantial citizens of Georgia. It is needless to say that the Georgia judges referred to and probably 75 per cent of the lawyers included are members of a political party different from that in which my brother was prominent, but they are all men of character far too lofty to subscribe to any indorsement not believed by them to be just, true, and accurate.

Summing up the case of my brother, it must be said in all fairness that there never was an appointment made more clearly upon the merit of the appointee himself than in this instance. Nearly four years of distinguished service on the bench since his first appointment have fully confirmed the very high and quite unusual encomiums heaped upon him by the distinguished jurists gracing the Georgia bench and by so large a number of his associates of the Georgia bar.

Only a passing reference to my own part in connection with my brother's appointment: As I have stated, my own part was nil so far as his original appointment by the President is concerned. For any interest I felt or showed thereafter in his confirmation I have no apologies to make. He was my own brother, and stood in serious danger of being unjustly treated, perhaps on my account, and having our common good name brought into question. Should any better reason be required of me for coming to his assistance in every honorable way that presented itself?

Just a word further personal to myself in closing. Although I have shown that I had nothing to say or to do with the original selection of my brother, and came to his assistance only when unfairly attacked-for doing which I think I was justified—and, although I am furthest of all men from criticizing others for aiding their relatives in securing positions for which they are properly qualified, nevertheless, I wish to make perfectly clear that there is no relative of mine in all the public service whose appointment was brought about by me or through my initiation or influence. I do not attempt to arrogate to myself undue credit on this account for the reason that no relative of mine lives in my district or State; while as to appointments from elsewhere I have invariably and scrupulously refrained, as in my brother's case, from making recommendations or even suggestions of appointment.

Mr. BRAND of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. TILSON. Yes.

Mr. BRAND of Georgia. I know every member of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals of Georgia and I am well known to every member of these courts and have been for the last 40 years. I know a large majority of the members of the bar of the city of Atlanta, practically all of whom indorsed Judge Tilson—besides many outstanding business men. I personally knew the father-in-law of the gentleman's brother. I have seen and read his indorsements for judge of the northern district of Georgia. I have been a member of its bar for over 48 years.

I rise to say that I have never seen a record in my life in behalf of any lawyer for the office of judge of any court or any other judicial office that equaled the record and indorsements of the gentleman's brother for the position to which he was appointed. [Applause.]

Mr. TILSON. I thank the gentleman from Georgia, himself a distinguished jurist who knows whereof he speaks. Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield five minutes to the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. Almon].

Mr. ALMON. Mr. Chairman, since I addressed the House on January 5 in favor of the payment of the face value of the adjusted-service certificates issued to the World War veterans the sentiment has grown so strong in favor of something being done that the administration leaders decided to hold hearings and report some legislation on this subject.

It is reported through the newspapers that the committee will recommend that provision be made for an increased loan on these certificates, amounting to probably 50 per cent. This is probably as much as could be expected, with the President, Mr. Andrew Mellon, Secretary of the Treasury, and the Republican leaders in both Houses of Congress being opposed to the cash payment of all, or any part of these certificates.

I have no patience with all of the talk of the inability of the Government to make a cash settlement. Bonds could be issued for the three and one-half billion dollars at a low rate of interest, probably less than 2 per cent, without in any way disturbing the business of the country. This is a mere excuse or subterfuge of the administration for a failure to comply with the wishes of the ex-service men.

If we require them to borrow money and pay 4 per cent interest on what they borrow up to 1945, there will be but little left for the ex-service men, because the interest will practically eat it up.

Mr. CONNERY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield. Mr. ALMON. Yes.

Mr. CONNERY. If they do bring in this bill and the interest rate is fixed at 4 per cent in a pretense that they want to take care of these men who need this money now, in 15 years the interest will be \$300, and the men will never be able to pay it back. The result will be that we are simply going to take \$300 interest from men who are desperately in need of assistance now.

Mr. ALMON. I thank the gentleman for his suggestion. By 1945, if you require them to make a loan of 50 per cent of the face value of the certificate, there will be but little left for the ex-service men. I believe we ought to make a cash payment of all, or at least up to 80 per cent of the value of these certificates to those who desire such a payment.

There never was nor ever will be a time when cash payment would be of more service to the ex-service men and the business interests of the country generally. However, we who favor cash payment may be forced to support a bill for increased loans, as it may be that or nothing. I voted for a cash bonus and voted to pass it over the veto of President Coolidge. I am now heartily in favor of the cash payment of the adjusted certificates. I introduced a bill early in the session providing for the cash payment. I do not deem it necessary to make any extended argument in addition to what I have said on a former occasion.

I sincerely hope that the Ways and Means Committee will report a bill providing for a cash payment in full or in part, at least, of these certificates. [Applause.]

Under leave to extend my remarks I include a letter which I have just received from Mr. Rufus Bethea, commander American Legion of Alabama, from which it appears that a referendum on this question has been held in Alabama, and that 90 per cent favor the cash payment. Said letter is as follows:

THE AMERICAN LEGION, DEPARTMENT OF ALABAMA, Montgomery, February 7, 1931.

Mr. Edward B. Almon, M. C., Washington, D. C.

DEAR MR. ALMON: So that you may know my estimate of the sentiment throughout Alabama with reference to cash payment of the adjusted-service certificates, I wish to give you the following facts:

A poll of the Legion in Alabama has been held, and out of a total of more than 5,000 votes only 11 legionnaires have voted against payment. Approximately 5,000 have voted for payment. A majority of the 5,000 voted for full payment, a large minority for 80 per cent. Our membership at this time is approximately 9,000.

Mass meetings of ex-servicemen have been held in practically every city and town in the State. In Birmingham, January 25, 2,000 ex-servicemen gathered in the Alabama Theater and voted unanimously for full cash payment. In Tuscaloosa, on February 1, 1,200 ex-servicemen voted unanimously for full cash payment, with one dissenting voice on the floor. In Troy about 600 attended and voted unanimously for full cash payment. Meetings have been held in practically every county in the State with the same results. Petitions have been signed by some 10,000 ex-servicemen, according to my estimate. according to my estimate.

Among the general citizens, a petition has been circulated to

the business men of Birmingham, mostly the merchants and small manufacturers. In practically every case these men have been more than willing to sign. Expressed sentiments throughout the State by the average run of business men is in favor of full cash payment.

To-morrow meetings will be held in every congressional district, except the sixth, and I predict that in each district these meetings will go on record for full cash payment. The sixth district held a meeting on Sunday, February 1, and went on record

meetings will go on record for full cash payment. The sixth district held a meeting on Sunday, February 1, and went on record for cash payment.

The sentiment throughout the State indicates that the exservice men and the citizens of the State as a whole are not in a humor to accept a compromise such as the Hamilton Fish, Garner, or Owen D. Young proposals. I recognize the position you hold as a member of the minority, but I wish to urge that you do everything possible to bring about the best settlement of this question. It is my opinion that the minimum that will be acceptable to the ex-service men of the State of Alabama and their friends is 80 per cent of face value, without any strings.

Pure justice would indicate that 80 per cent of the face value is really what the Government owes the ex-service men. While legislation was finally passed in 1924 acknowledging the debt, this debt was undoubtedly due November 11, 1918.

Taking the original method of computation of these certificates and dating them from November 11, 1918, would make approximately 80 per cent of the face value due at the present date. The 80 per cent basis would not require a bond issue for payment. The amount necessary could be raised by utilizing the funds that are available for retirement and by cessation of payments on war debts for a period of years, together with the flotation of the necessary short-term loans to bridge the gap. The present low interest rates available on short-term loans would permit the Government to make this adjustment by merely passing along the savings.

With my very best wishes, I am the savings.
With my very best wishes, I am

Yours sincerely,

RUFUS BETHEA. Commander American Legion of Alabama.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 20 minutes to the gentleman from New York [Mr. LaGuardia].

Mr. LAGUARDIA. Mr. Chairman, when we came back in December last the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. Wood] was good enough to yield me time on the first general debate we had in the session. I pointed out then the necessity of this Congress giving some thought and study to measures which would prevent distress in periods of depression and unemployment. It will certainly be a sad commentary upon the genius of American statesmanship and political economists if we can not profit by the experience of the past and the situation in which we find ourselves at this time. The distinguished gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. Tilson] a few moments ago quoted Kipling in saying that a man should not lose his head when all others about him are losing theirs. I hope I have not lost my head, but on the other hand, I see no reason why we should lose our hearts, and at this time it seems to me that the heart beat is as necessary as the brain impulse.

We have two distinct propositions before us. One is to provide relief in the real sense of the word, and the other to provide constructive legislation planned in advance to prevent suffering in periods of depression and unemployment. If I have studied correctly constitutional limitations in the light of the past 150 years of actual experience, I fail to find any limitation which prevents the Federal Government from taking hold of the situation. Something was said about a compromise on this question of relief. Why compromise? What is the compromise? To provide funds to make loans to impoverished destitute farmers in the drought area. No one seems to question the power of the Federal Government to make loans to individuals. I construe the power to make a loan-I may be wrong-is the power to grant relief. One of two alternatives must be met. If the loan idea is to be carried out, surely with the information we have, we must know that the chances of recovery on those loans in the immediate future are very remote.

A mortgage will have already been placed upon the crop of the farmer if he borrows for feed and seed, and now it is proposed to appropriate more funds to be loaned on security. If it is the intention to be liberal in the loans, with the idea that they are not to be paid back, then why put this self-respecting American farmer in the position of signing a note which he knows he can not pay back? [Applause.] On the other hand, if it is intended to collect on these loans, then permit me to say that instead of granting relief to that farmer, instead of his being impoverished for one year, the loan will keep him impoverished and destitute for 10 years or longer, during the time necessary to pay that loan.

Mr. EVANS of Montana. We have rehabilitated him. Mr. LaGUARDIA. Yes. Rehabilitated him by keeping him in debt.

Now, gentlemen, let us be frank about this situation. I have taken the attitude heretofore that if relief had to be given to rural sections I would insist upon relief being given to the unemployed of the city. I am justified in taking that position. However, I say now, at this stage of the proceedings, with destitution in the cities and destitution in rural districts, I am not going to pull the chestnuts out of the fire, and if we can give real relief to the drought-stricken farmers with our vote, you can count upon mine. I will go along [applause], because it is time the residents of the rural districts and the workers of the cities stood together in this common bond of misery and poverty which united them. [Applause.]

I do not mean by that that I would vote to take over some bonds that some bankers may want to dump and use the farmer as a leverage. We will come to that at a later

Mr. LINTHICUM. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LAGUARDIA. I yield. Mr. LINTHICUM. I construed the matter to be that the President does not seem to be willing to submit to what we suggest, and that this loan is to be made simply to relieve the President from agreeing with us, and that the loan is never expected to be paid. Certainly there would be no security received for it.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. If that is the purpose, then I want an opportunity of recording my protest by voting against it.

Mr. LINTHICUM. I take it the gentleman is entirely right, but that is the way it looked to me.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. Now, as to conditions in New York City. There is a real crisis in our city. We have several hundred thousand unemployed. All needy cases are not getting relief. I have a telegram from Mr. Lawson Purdy, president of the Charity Organization Society, one of the largest charitable organizations in New York City, in which he says:

NEW YORK, N. Y., February 7, 1931.

Hon. F. H. LAGUARDIA. House of Representatives:

Emergency work bureau now employs about 24,000. take on more. After bureau ceased to employ additional men it continued to register and in about 10 days registered 6,200. No continued to register and in about 10 days registered 6,200. No one knows how many more would accept such employment if available. This society is now spending in east Harlem for relief alone about \$20,000 per month. This is in addition to expenditures by emergency work bureau, which totaled over \$1,600,000 in January for the whole city. At present rate of expenditure funds of emergency work bureau will be nearly exhausted by April 1. Resources of this society are strained but not exhausted. Number of unemployed in New York is estimated at between 400,000 and 500,000 people. The number of persons whose savings are exhausted and whose families and friends can not relieve their need we do not know. We know that relief expenditures from private funds exceed \$2,000,000 per month.

Lawson Purdy.

Here is a telegram from the commissioner of public welfare of the city of New York which gives an idea of the extent of unemployment in my city:

NEW YORK, N. Y., February 9, 1931.

Hon. F. H. LAGUARDIA House Office Building:

Understand 3-day relief employment quota set by emergency unemployment committee entirely filled until April. Funds for this avenue of relief entirely allocated and limited. Can refer no other agency to obtain 3-day employment. Estimated number of

persons receiving relief, 400,000 through mayor's committee; emergency unemployment committee and the various private welfare and relief agency, 90,000. Meals served daily on bread line; persons needing relief and not obtaining same. Difficult to obtain number unless application is made, and many persons do not apply because receiving relief from relatives and friends. Relief budget funds are available to April 30. If the present crisis has serious continuation plans will necessarily have to be extended. Relief for old-age security, veterans' relief, child-welfare allowances, blind, and dependent children not included.

FRANK J. TAYLOR Commissioner Department of Public Welfare.

Gentlemen, are we to stand idly by in a condition of that kind and discuss State rights and argue about constitutional limitations? I have said before that when these unemployed and drought-stricken farmers are stricken with sickness by reason of undernourishment, so as to create an epidemic, then no one will question the power of the Government to step in and help. There is no question about it. If they became disorderly in their protest, then the Government can step in and preserve order with bayonets; but it seems incredible to me that we can not do something before we get to a crisis. Why could we not grant preventive aid instead of waiting the need of granting curative aid or the necessity of sending punitive assistance?

Now, gentlemen, I am going to quote to-day, not from a radical but from a real conservative one of the best-known clergymen of this country. He happens to be the personal pastor of one of the richest men in this country. I am going to quote from Dr. Harry Emerson Fosdick, of the Riverside Church, from a sermon he preached on December 28, 1930, the last Sunday of last year.

Doctor Fosdick said:

Once more, we still have a chance to build a humane and decent economic life that will minister to the welfare of all people. I do not see how anyone can look across the world to-day without perceiving that it is a narrow chance. For, see the picture: Communism rising as a prodigious world power and all the capitalistic nations arming themselves to the teeth to fly at each other's throats and tear each other to pieces. I suspect that folks are generally tempted to think of ministers of religion visionary idealists and business men as hard-headed realists. Upon the contrary, I should like nothing better than to help some of my business friends to be hard-headed realists just now. For capitalism is on trial. That is the realistic situation. Our whole capitalistic society is on trial; first within itself, for obviously there is something the matter with the operation of a system that over the western world leaves millions upon millions system that over the western world leaves millions upon millions of people out of work who want work and millions more in the sinister shadows of poverty. Second, capitalism is on trial with communism for its world competitor. Now, I do not like communism. I love liberty too much. I can not stomach such oppression of free speech, free assembly, free labor as communism involves. But this verbal damning of communism now prevalently popular in the United States will get us nowhere. The decision between communism and capitalism hinges on one point. Can capitalism adjust itself to this new age? Can it move out from its old individualism, dominated by the selfish profit motive, and so create a new cooperative epoch with social planning and social control, that it can serve, better than it has, the welfare of all the people? If it can, it can survive. If it can not, some form of communism will be forced upon our children. Be sure of that! To-day our chance to build a more decent economic life, but, if we lose it, to-morrow our children wanting a ghost of that chance back again.

The issue of all this is a deep need, which I urge on my conscience just as I urge it on yours. We need a rebirth of citizenship, a rebirth of public spirit, a renaissance of spiritual life and ethical Christianity that will issue in social-mindedness. With prosperity, selfish individualism is natural. When wealth is prosperity, senish individualism is natural. When wealth is plentiful each one struggles for as large a share as possible for himself. But that is not our situation now. Some of you here this morning are suffering cruelly in this depression. Some of you here whom I know personally accustomed to plenty are in a situation where penury is lurking around the corner. And the factors that caused that are not individual but social; they are not even national—they are world-wide.

Selfish individualism for man or nation in this New World is downright insanity. There was a time, on the frontier, when a man could be the master of his own fate, but now a man's welfare man could be the master of his own fate, but now a man's welfare or a man's disaster depends so on world-wide conditions which he can not handle for himself that only social-mindedness, co-operatively handling them together for the good of all, can meet the issue. John Wesley said once, "The world is my parish." Unless we can get that kind of public spirit, with some intelligence to make it effective, nothing else can save us.

That, gentlemen, coming from one of the most representative men of the pulpit, as I said before, Dr. Emerson Fosdick, of the Riverside Church of New York City.

Mr. HUDDLESTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LaGUARDIA. I yield.

Mr. HUDDLESTON. He did not mention the other alternative of Fascism? If we are not driven to communism. perhaps we will be driven to Fascism.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. The gentleman has his choice.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LAGUARDIA. I yield.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. The gentleman suggested the need of relief in the cities. I have conversed with many Members representing the rural districts of this country, and I want to assure the gentleman that it is their disposition they are not only willing but anxious—to afford the same relief to the cities that has been extended to the country.

Whenever that need is shown and the local authorities are unable to furnish an adequate degree of relief I am sure they will be very glad indeed to support the gentleman's sug-

Mr. LaGUARDIA. I do not doubt that in the least, I want to say to my colleague from Oklahoma.

I divide the problem now before us. One, the need of providing relief wherever it is necessary. Gentlemen, this is too big a question to be sectional. If you have an epidemic of disease or if you have an epidemic of pellagra, it is not going to stop at sectional or State lines. If you have a part of the American people losing faith in their Government, it is not going to be sectional; it is going to be universal. The other task before us is to provide now against these periods of suffering when we have industrial depression and unemployment. It can be avoided.

Some of you gentlemen who are older than I will remember the fight waged against employers' liability insurance. It was called socialistic; it was called paternalistic; it was argued that men would purposely stick their hands in the cogs of machinery and injure themselves in order to draw the insurance, and yet to-day no civilized State or industry with any self-respect would think of operating without providing protection for their workmen when injured while in their employ. We then abolished the centuries-old commonlaw principle of assumption of risk, the fellow-servant rule, and the principle of contributory negligence. They were all brushed aside in providing proper injury insurance. Oldage insurance is fast coming to the front.

There is no industry but what provides for the depreciation of its machinery. You will not find a factory that is closed to-day putting its machinery out in the rain or abandoning it so it will rust and deteriorate. Not at all. They will conserve that machinery; they will keep it oiled; they will keep it in good condition; and they put aside every year an amount necessary to replace that machinery when it becomes obsolete or worn out. Yet when we suggest the same thing for human folks we are damned; we are called ridiculous and we are called everything. I propose as prudent provision for the care of human workers as industries take of machinery. Yet, gentlemen, we have arrived at that stage in our history when we can not take our surplus man power and destroy it. We must conserve and protect human life.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from New York has expired.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield the gentleman five additional minutes.

Mr. LAGUARDIA. With the introduction of machinery, as I have said on this floor so many times as to become tiresome, we can produce more than we need. We can produce more each year with improved machinery and employ less workers each year.

So we must face the situation. We must cooperate with the States, if necessary, but we must provide insurance against unemployment for all workers who are willing to work, but who can not find work through no fault of their Once we remove this fear, this terror of want and of need, we will have solved 75 per cent of our problem, because as we approach these periods, with the resulting discharge of workers and unemployment, we destroy the purchasing power of the country and we put all industry and commerce out of balance.

Mr. SUMMERS of Washington. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. LaGUARDIA. Yes.

Mr. SUMMERS of Washington. Referring to Doctor Fosdick's statement, does not the gentleman think that any system might better be judged over a decade or generation rather than over a period of greater depression than the world has perhaps ever known in peace times and with a new element affecting that condition that has never heretofore been thought of, namely, conscript and convict labor?

Mr. LaGUARDIA. I think the gentleman will agree that Doctor Fosdick is a keen observer and a scholar. He is a student of social and economic conditions. The statement made by Doctor Fosdick was not the impulsive statement of a candidate running for office offering a quack panacea for a temporary disorder, made on the impulse of the moment. It was the result of due deliberation with applied vision prophesying what the future may bring forth. The gentleman can readily see Doctor Fosdick's position in making a speech of this kind from the pulpit of the church in New York known as the Rockefeller Church.

I think that it is indeed a sad commentary on our genius for government if in 1931, after all the progress we made in science, in mechanics, in chemistry, in electricity, and in transportation, we have progressed so slowly in social-welfare and economics legislation as not to be able to meet these changed conditions. I do want to get this House to feel that it is possible to discuss these economic questions without necessarily being a radical. These problems must be solved. The longer they are delayed the more difficult will be a constructive solution. I quoted Doctor Fosdick to encourage the timid in our midst. I say to my colleagues to-day that if we can not pass at this session of Congress measures which will prevent hunger, poverty, and suffering in the future, I hope we will at least give the question some thought and some study, because very soon we must meet the situation. I say let us do it now constructively while the American people still have confidence in their Government and in their elected Representatives. [Applause.]

Mr. BUCHANAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 minutes to my colleague from Texas [Mr. Box].

Mr. BOX. Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the committee, present conditions and tendencies in the United States disturb me deeply. In the midst of a nation-wide depression and demoralization which all have been forced to recognize near famine conditions have developed in certain areas.

Many farmers among my own constituents have appealed to me to help them get some of the loans the Government proposes to make. One community of my constituents is being partly fed by near-by towns and the National Red Cross Society. From another county cries of hunger have reached me. I immediately asked several responsible officers of the county to investigate and report to me quick. They promptly informed me that there are some hundreds of hungry people in the county who could get no work and had no relief in sight. I took it up with Judge Payne, who promptly promised attention to conditions in that locality. This whole situation, made more acute by recent developments, moves me to speak now.

The depressed conditions in America involve every part of the country's life. The magnitude and wide distribution of this distress tend to confuse us who are in the midst of it. I shall try to speak of it mainly as exhibited in three larger sections of the country's being and activity. These are business conditions, the employment situation, and the state of farming people. I, of course, recognize the intertwining relationships of these with each other and with other parts of the country's life.

Recognizing my inequality to the task of adequately presenting and accounting for the situation and proposing remedies for it, I am nevertheless moved to discuss it in an effort to contribute to the necessary undertaking to find remedies for the situation.

#### BUSINESS DEPRESSION

The extent to which business is demoralized and paralyzed is indicated, but not fully shown, by the number of bank failures in the country during the last 20 years. I present a list copied by me from the Statistical Abstract, published by our Department of Commerce, and from Bradstreet's and Dun's statements, showing the number of bank failures, 1911 to 1930, inclusive.

ar:	Numbe
1911	10
1912	7
1913	12
1914	21
1915	13
1916	5
1917	4
1918	2
1919	5
1920	11
1921	40
1922	27
1923	57
1924	61
	46
1926	60
1927	39
1928	37
1000	43
	1.18

My information is that there are areas embracing several adjoining counties in which there is not a single bank left within the whole area.

Next I present a table showing the number of commercial failures and the amounts of assets involved during each of the past 20 years, prepared from the Statistical Abstract and Bradstreet's:

Number of commercial failures and amounts of assets, 1911-1930, inclusive

Year	Number	Assets
1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1917 1919 1919 1920 1921 1922 1922 1923 1924 1925 1924 1925	22, 156 16, 993 13, 855 9, 982 6, 451 8, 881 19, 652 23, 676 18, 718 20, 615	\$124, 517, 000 126, 257, 000 174, 688, 000 265, 203, 000 113, 454, 000 101, 638, 000 67, 038, 000 404, 038, 000 404, 038, 000 405, 038, 030 248, 067, 000 248, 067, 000 256, 740, 000

These do not tell the worst of the story of stagnant, decaying business at crossroads stores, in the villages, country towns, and the cities, nor the widespread dejection which these conditions have created. In the midst of this, not as its cause, but incident to it and one of the results of the causes producing the situation, is the long decline in the value of industrial, banking, and transportation securities, with occasional market crashes, adding to the demoralization and creating conditions bordering on terror.

## EXTENT OF UNEMPLOYMENT

The masses of unemployed in practically every industrial section and city, small and great, the soup houses and bread lines, in which some millions are seen daily, make tragic presentations of the existence of unemployment in industry, generally involving both the capital and labor. Nearly all country towns and cities, and most of the States, are burdening themselves with further taxes or bond issues or other forms of indebtedness or otherwise making special efforts at public expense to create jobs to be distributed in inadequate portions among distressed workers. We of the Congress are devoting much of our time in efforts to find ways to use taxes collected or to be collected for this same necessary, almost compelling, purpose. Individuals unnumbered and all charitable organizations, from the smaller ones in remote sections

to the great National Red Cross, are pleading through the churches, lodges, the press, and spreading their earnest voices over the radio on the night air, soliciting funds and working feverishly by night and day to clothe the naked, nurse the sick, and feed the hungry. Recently the National Red Cross Society appealed through the churches to Christian women to make garments for the bodies of American men, women, and children. Immediately after that I saw an American woman who has never felt actual want herself, taxing her strength sewing together garments for chilled little American bodies.

The third central group in the picture which America presents to its economists, business men, captains of industry, educational leaders, lawmakers, governors, and President is filled with farmers and their families. The farm people of the drought-smitten spots do not fill anything like all of the farmers' section of the picture.

Farmers and their organizations have been voicing their distress for many years. Both the great political parties have heard their distress calls and have made promises to pacify them. Candidates for President have promised relief. This nation-wide distress of farmers has been a live topic of discussion in this Chamber and the occasion of much legislation and the appropriation of hundreds of millions of dollars by the two branches of Congress.

#### NOT TEMPORARY PANIC BUT LONG DECLINE

The statement of bank and commercial failures just presented shows that this business decline has continued and grown worse through a series of years. The country knows the same fact.

Unemployment exists in some degree nearly always, but for several years even the official reports on the subject, which I believe have, for party purposes, concealed part of the situation, have shown increased unemployment. On February 21, 1928, the gentleman now speaking made a statement to the House Committee on Immigration and Naturalization in which he said:

There is an alarming state of unemployment in the United States. I could give reports from many cities, but I shall make only a few general quotations, which will help you see the situation.

The following are short excerpts from quotations made in support of that statement:

State Industrial Commissioner James A. Hamilton went to Albany yesterday to present to Governor Smith the results of the survey asked by the governor as the first move in a program to relieve an unemployment situation in New York State, said to be worse than in the postwar depression period.

The Illinois State Department of Labor reported that employment in all industries in that State during January was at the lowest level since the war.

Unemployment in Pennsylvania is also on the increase, according to a report of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia for January. \* \* The volume of employment is now 10 per cent below the level of January, 1927, the report says, and wage payments likewise show a falling off of 12.3 per cent from a year ago.

There are tens of thousands of men and women seeking employment in California to-day. Those in close touch with this problem say that the situation is worse now than for many years past. (Will H. French, of the California Industrial Research Committee, speaking in 1928.)

The municipality of Sacramento is at present purchasing 1,000 rations for the Salvation Army, who are cooking it free and handing it out to the unemployed, one meal a day per man, white Americans only, the said army would be very glad to have some of the 4,000,000 cases of peaches that the farmers let rot in the "Nation's peach bowl" last season.

The number of unemployed in the United States is estimated at 4,000,000, or nearly 10 per cent of the total number of workers by the Labor Bureau (Inc.), 2 West Forty-third Street, in its monthly publication, Facts for Workers, for February, which was made public yesterday.

Remember that these statements were made three to four years ago.

That statement was based on all information obtainable by him from many official sources, including numerous reports by business men, the press, and a great number of citizens,

including workers from many sections. The estimate of the number now unemployed varies from below 5,000,000 to above that figure. The actual number is probably considerably more than 5,000,000.

The bad plight of the American farmer extends through many years. At the end of every considerable period during that decline agriculture finds its condition having grown worse during the period.

Without adopting their viewpoint as to many propositions, I shall quote from the reports of the Industrial Conference Board (Inc.), to show that the American farmer has not been complaining merely because he loves to complain. That authority represents a very extensive and able group of large manufacturing and other industrial and transportation concerns. For economic and other vital reasons they have made and reported a special study of American agriculture. In 1925, six years ago, that organization published a work entitled "Agricultural Problem in the United States." I quote from page 68 of that report:

\* \* Analysis of the long-time trends of the basic economic factors in the industry (agriculture) suggests that since the beginning of the century, conditions have arisen which have tended unfavorably to affect the relative position of the industry as a whole. This is reflected in the contraction of our agricultural "plant," and its output in relation to our population growth, in the increasing effectiveness of foreign competition in both foreign and domestic markets; in the relatively greater increase in production costs per unit than in prices per unit; and in the declining relative per capita share in the national income of persons engaged in agriculture.

\* • • It is reflected in the decrease in real agricultural wealth, in the comparatively low rate of return for investment, in the disparity between the labor rewards and per capita income of those engaged in agriculture and other groups, and in the steady and rapid growth of farm bankruptcies since the pre-war period.

In 1927, four years ago, the Industrial Conference Board, already quoted, and the United States Chamber of Commerce, both tremendously interested in any condition so important as the decline of American agriculture, jointly constituted "The Business Men's Commission," composed of many able men, to study and report upon "the condition of agriculture in the United States and measures for its improvement." Without indorsing all of the conclusions in that report, I quote from it at this point to prove that the woeful plight of agriculture is not new.

\* \* There seem, however, to be other factors in the situation which may be immensely more important in the long run, and which give rise to the disquieting suspicion that an interpretation in terms of a temporary slump does not tell the whole story. Agriculture in this country appears to be subject to certain deep-lying ills which time alone can not safely be relied upon to cure, but may even accentuate, \* \* \* there is evidence \* \* that we are not keeping our old superiority over competitors; \* \* that many, if not most, farmers are year after year falling to secure a return equivalent to that which can be obtained in the city by workers of no greater ability; that the comparative advantage of other industries is rapidly increasing; \* \* that tenancy is increasing; and that the quality of the farm population is undergoing a progressive deterioration. (p. 128.)

# FARMS, MORTGAGES, AND BANKRUPTCIES

The mortgage indebtedness of farmers has shown a considerable increase since 1920, in spite of strenuous efforts to curtail agricultural loans. It is estimated that the total mortgage debt of the agricultural industry rose from \$7,860,000,000 in 1920 to \$8,500,000,000 in 1925. This increase in debt has been laid upon lands which have been rapidly declining in value so that the farmers' equity has been shrinking at a very rapid rate. \* \* \* Another indication of the difficulties under which agriculture has been laboring in recent years is to be seen in the high rate of failure of farm enterprises. These failures are reflected in foreclosure of mortgage, bankruptcy, default of contract, or other transfers to avoid foreclosure, and forced sales for delinquent taxes. Studies made by the United States Department of Agriculture showed that in 1924 and 1925 forced transfers of farms for these reasons constituted slightly over one-third of all transfers of farm property. (Business men's commission, pp. 61–62.)

The Industrial Conference Board traced the beginning of these conditions back to the beginning of the century. The Business Men's Commission says that a "temporary slump does not tell the whole story"; "that there are deep-lying ills which time alone can not safely be relied on to cure but may even accentuate."

This whole hour could be consumed in marshaling indisputable facts, showing that these conditions are not new and will probably continue to grow worse unless remedied.

Here I pause to remind, gentlemen, that neither the present business depression, existing unemployment, nor want now in the cities and industrial centers, nor hunger now felt among farmers, is an isolated situation unaffected by other conditions mentioned.

It is generally true that where hunger among farm people is reported now that the districts involved suffered from drought last year. It is likewise true that in some of the places of most acute and general hunger the drought of last year followed devastating floods during immediately preceding years. But the present hunger-smitten area is not confined to regions formerly devastated by floods.

Heretofore when drought has visited sections of America there have been calls for help, to which organized private charity and the Government have, in some instances, responded with aid, but the extent and severity of human hunger have never before approached their present proportions. A prosperous farm population is not so quickly reduced to the point of starvation as a poverty-stricken population. We hear almost annually of starving millions in such countries as China and India, when floods or droughts visit them, because poverty has already driven millions of them to the borderland of want. The extent and severity of the famines in those countries is due in great measure to the extreme poverty of large sections of their populations. For like reasons the populations of drought or flood stricken areas in America are now on the verge of famine, or in the midst of it, to a much greater extent, than would have been true had those people and their sections of the country and the Nation itself been enjoying a good measure of prosperity.

Directing attention to present hunger-smitten conditions of our farm population, I remind you that, following a long period of agricultural depression and decline the country over, they were able to obtain only very low prices for such scant crops as the drought permitted them to produce. These preceding conditions impoverished landowners, merchants, and banks, many of which had already become bankrupt, and made them unable to care for the poorer people of their counties and communities. In many of these sections there have been in the past industries to which many farm workers went when not busy making or gathering their crops. In regions which are now calling for help, I have seen farmers busy throughout the summer season in the mills and in the forest, where they were making and hauling piling, crossties, mine props, and other timber products for which there is a demand in even moderately prosperous But the lack of prosperity and industrial activity has impaired the demand for these timbers and closed many of the mills, leaving no work for such farm people to do while not in their crops. Moreover, unemployment and other incidents to lack of prosperity during the last few years have impaired the purchasing power of people in the local industrial communities and in the markets all over the United States to which vegetables and other crops produced by these people have been shipped. We have only to know these facts and fix our attention upon them to be able to realize that the present near-famine conditions in these localities are very much extended and aggravated by the general industrial and business distress which all recognize as having prevailed for some years.

#### PERMANENT CAUSES

Among the "deep lying" causes, in the language of the capable Business Men's Commission, constituted by the United States Chamber of Commerce and Industrial Conference Board, already referred to, I presume to name some. In the hope that these suggestions will not be taken as originating in partisan bias, I shall quote rather freely from authorities known not to be prejudiced against American business, against the present majority party, or in favor of the present minority party.

The agricultural interests of the United States are of major importance to the welfare of every wholesome element of the Nation's life. To neglect and discriminate against the farming people of America means the ulti-

mate depression of nearly every line of business. It threatens now to enervate the Nation's life and may bring a series of consequences too fearful to be complacently viewed.

In one of the reports just mentioned, in discussing the importance of finding a solution of the agricultural problem, it is said:

In the first place, there is the reason of direct self-interest.

Secondly, it must be realized that in the agricultural problem we are dealing not only with the economic position but with the physical and social welfare of nearly 32,000,000 people, who constitute nearly one-third of our total population.

Thirdly, it must be emphasized that economic and social conditions among this large group are inevitably reflected in the national political life. American history bears ample evidence of the significance of this fact.

\* \* It would be economically, socially, and politically disastrous to the Nation if, through neglect or indifference, any remediable disparity that may be found to exist in the position of so large a group as our farm population be permitted to continue or to become progressively sharper. Equality of opportunity for all is vital to the prosperity of each (pp. 2, 3, 4, and 6).

If the United States could and would reestablish prosperity and independence among its agricultural people, prosperity would soon be rewarding the legitimate efforts of business in industry, while a greater degree of political soundness would characterize its social and governmental life. Therefore the remedies for the plight of American agriculture, if any are to be found, will work toward the cure of all the major ills to which our industry and commerce are subject.

In neglecting this all-supporting agricultural interest, in discriminating against it by taking from its substance for the overenrichment of other interests, we have gone so far as to overstimulate, overboost, and thereby seriously injure the groups which we have made our favorites. The Business Men's Commission referred to above say in their report in 1927, page 7:

The situation which we now have to meet has crept upon us without full or timely appreciation of its significance to our system in its entirety. Agriculture was left largely to the mercy of laissez faire, while governmental support went to the building up of commercial and industrial enterprises. To all intents and purposes, one was taken for granted, while the others were fostered and nursed.

This very conservative statement, though true, falls far short of the whole truth. Agriculture has been and is milked for the substance which nursed the favored interests.

In an unpretentious effort to appeal to that port of Congress and the American public which is business minded, I am endeavoring to present expressions of well-considered opinion upon the American farmers' plight and the causes and remedies for it. Again I quote from the report of the Business Men's Commission on Agriculture, page 169:

The existing system of protective tariffs on manufactured products and agricultural commodities unquestionably has definite effects upon the extent of the market for farm products and upon the costs of their production, and in these ways undoubtedly had considerable influence upon the economic position of the American farmer. These effects are not simple or single in character, and the problems to which they give rise can not be disposed of in any sweeping or summary way.

After remarking upon the strong points of the protective tariff system as these industrial leaders saw it, they said, page 170:

\* \* It enabled us to develop what is perhaps the greatest industrial system in the world, but it inevitably reduced the relative importance of agriculture in our national economy. The great question of the future, in the view of this commission, is whether this policy has not fully served its purpose, and whether, indeed, it has not been pushed so far as to endanger the balance between agriculture and industry and so warrant such readjustment as may distribute its advantages and burdens more fairly. In answering this question there are three distinct aspects of the tariff problem to be considered: First, the influence of tariff and trade restrictions upon the extent of the foreign market for farm products; second, their influence upon the domestic market for farm products; third, their influence upon production costs in agriculture.

we not only supply the bulk of our own vastly increased requirements for a high standard of living but are able to export large quantities of manufactured goods in competition with the producers of other nations. As our productive power has increased in certain branches of industry we have sold increasing quantities of these products abroad. But as we have raised a tariff wall against those foreign manufactured products which we could not produce as cheaply as foreign manufacturers, the ability of European markets to purchase American farm products has diminished. pean markets to purchase American farm products has diminished.

Again they said, page 95:

This (there) is little doubt that the steady extension of tariff protection to manufacturing industries, and particularly the increase in the tariff level in postwar years, has on the whole affected agricul-ture unfavorably in comparison with manufacturing industry.

#### HIGH TARIFF INJURES FARMERS' FOREIGN MARKETS

Closely, inseparably connected with this system of artificial stimulation of industrial and commercial effort just presented is a serious impairment of the foreign market for American farm products, which constitute a major part of the Nation's export trade.

Again I quote from the report of the business men's commission, page 93:

The unfavorable conditions which faced the export market for farm products as a result of our shift to a creditor status and the increase in our tariffs after the war were accompanied and intensified by marked falling off in European demand, due to the decline in purchasing power and the increased domestic production of the principal consuming countries.

In the case of foodstuffs any decrease in demand under such circumstances tends to be concentrated upon the more expensive articles of food, since consumption is diverted to the cheapest materials available. This has hit our exports very hard.

Another phase of the unwise and unjust policy by which agriculture was neglected "while governmental support went to the building up of commercial and industrial enterprises" was an increase in the transportation rates which farmers were poorly able to pay. Transportation companies were among the interests "fostered and nursed" at the expense of agriculture. When an essential interest like agriculture was operating either at a loss or on a starvation margin its plight was of course made worse when it was taxed with transportation rates designed to assure a good rate of income to railway companies and the holders of their securities.

I read from what these leaders in business, banking, industry, and transportation say about this as one of the causes of the distress of American agriculture, pages 83-84:

Another harmful consequence of deflation to agriculture was the relative increase in the freight burden of the farmer which it caused. \* \* \* Unfortunately it so happened that, as shown in Table 10, railroad freight rates, which under the artificial conditions of Government operation had been kept relatively low all through the war period when other prices were rising, were greatly increased and brought up to about the level of the war prices just at that moment when the general price level, and with it the prices of agricultural commodities, were sharply falling. \* \* Necessary as the rate increases were, therefore, they came at a peculiarly inopportune time. Farmers found themselves compelled to pay higher prices at the very moment that they were being forced to accept lower prices for their products, and they sometimes found that the price received was insufficient even to cover the railroad's bill \* \* \*.

This situation gave support to the deep-grounded belief that

This situation gave support to the deep-grounded belief that freight charges fall on the farmer both on what he buys and on what he sells, for when freight charges were raised the farmer was not only unable to shift the increase to consumers but could not even get as good a price as he had been obtaining before the increases went into effect.

In this enumeration of some of the causes of the farmers' plight, I have already stated the high protective tariff rates. the demoralized condition of the farmers' market for his exported commodities, and the freight-making rule which required a boost in transportation rates out of the farmers' income, which was already near or below the minus point and going lower. For lack of time I shall name but two or three of the remaining causes.

#### FARMERS COMPELLED TO PAY TOO HIGH INTEREST RATES

High interest rate is one of the farmers' misfortunes. Another quotation from the high business sources already referred to will present this, pages 241, 242.

Farmers as a class, especially in the Northwest and South, are burdened with the unnecessarily high interest charges. A study

made in 1921 by the United States Department of Agriculture, showed prevailing average interest rates to farmers on short-time loans of over \$100 to be: In North Dakota, 9.82 per cent; in South Dakota, 9.59 per cent; in Montana, 9.91 per cent. Although these data were collected during a period of exceptionally high interest charges all over the United States, it should be kept in mind that the rates shown by no means indicate the total interest burden on the farmer. It is common practice in the rural districts to add to the interest of a bank loan certain other items. Often a "commission" or "bonus" is charged, and in many cases the borrower must leave permanently on deposit with the bank a certain portion of the loan. A study made by the United States Department of Agriculture in 1916 showed that these extra charges added on an average of 0.2 per cent in Delaware to 3.6 per cent in North Carolina. Even to-day, in rural districts of the West and South, interest rates on bank loans to farmers (all additional charges included) often amount to 12 and even 15 per cent. This places a heavy burden on the agricultural industry which is the more severely felt since agriculture has so much slower turnover of the capital invested than manufacture or commerce.

# FARMER PAYS MORE THAN HIS SHARE OF TAX

Ever-increasing taxation during a period when the causes named and others have been bleeding the farmer to death helps to complete the hopelessness of his condition. After concluding that farmers do not generally pay more than a fair share of Federal taxes, the Business Men's Commission, referring mainly to State and local taxes, says, page 231:

The farmer has therefore had to pay taxes that represent a good deal larger proportion of his income than was the case with other groups.

The general property tax is supposed to be levied against all forms of property, but, as a matter of fact, intangible property very largely escapes.

The Report on the Agricultural Problem in the United States by the Industrial Conference Board says on the subject of the farmers' tax burden (pp. 112, 114):

- \* \* Thus the figure given above for direct taxes paid by operator-owners shows a steady rise in amount since 1919, while the agricultural income has dropped enormously. \* \* \*
- \* While farm wages fell more or less with farm prices, taxes in relation to the value of farm property have risen since the agricultural price decline and remain on a higher level than any other item of expenditure, 112 per cent above the 1914 level. \* \* \*
- level. \* \* \*

  \* \* On the other hand, the manufacturer, from whom the farmer buys commodities and services which represent 60 per cent of his living and business expenses, is often, though not contain to translate his own tax burden into the cent of his fiving and business expenses, is often, though not always, in a position to translate his own tax burden into the price of the consumer, because he can adjust his output to the price and reduce his other costs of production. Thus the farmer is compelled to bear his own tax burden as a seller and a part of other tax burdens as a buyer.

A second and equally important peculiarity of the position of agriculture is that its tax burdens, unlike that of other classes, is fixed with little relation to the agricultural income.

#### TOO MANY HANDS FOR THE NUMBER OF JOBS

One element affecting the whole employment, agricultural and business, situation is the presence in the country of an oversupply of workers. Again and again students have pointed out that, with an already overabundant supply of man power, great numbers of hands have been displaced by labor-saving machinery, so that if production and consumption were normal the unemployment would be abnormally large. This condition may prove permanent.

These collect in the industrial and population centers, and even in normal times create unwholesome conditions. The massing of workers in such centers is in itself largely the result of the overbooming of the favorite manufacturing and industrial interests by the artificial means of extracting from agricultural and consuming populations substance to provide the bonus which such policies give to petted and overnourished interests. In the elation and inflation thus caused, these interests have, since before the beginning of the present century, reached out to Europe, Asia, and later to Mexico, for millions of laborers, myriads of whom have displaced native people who were more homogeneous, more coherent, more conservative, and more attached to our institutions.

As illustrating how this was accomplished, I give below a statement prepared by me from the reports of the Immigration Commission appointed during President Roosevelt's administration, which made the most thorough and exhaustive

study of immigration and related problems ever presented to the American public. I quote:

In an effort to learn who are the large employers for whom labor agents induce immigrants of the class mentioned to come

in millions let us study the large industries:

A large proportion of the southern and eastern European immigration of the past 25 years have entered the manufacturing and mining industries of the Eastern and Middle Western States. (1 I. C. R. 37.)

#### TRON AND STEEL MANUFACTURING

Of the total number of employees in the industry, 57.7 per cent ere found to be of foreign birth. \* \* \* Of the total number were found to be of foreign birth. \* \* \* Of the total number of iron and steel workers, 28.9 per cent were native born of native father, and 13.4 per cent were of native birth of foreign father. (1 I. C. R. 297.)

#### SLAUGHTERING AND MEAT PACKING

It was found that 60.7 per cent of the total number of wage earners in the industry were of foreign birth \* \* \*. Of all employees, 24.8 per cent were of native birth and of native father, and 14.5 were native born of foreign father. (1 I. C. R. 298.)

#### BITUMINOUS COAL MINING

Of the total number of employees, 61.9 per cent were of foreign birth, 9.5 per cent were of native birth, but of foreign father, and 28.5 per cent were native-born persons of native father. (1 I. C. R.

#### GLASS MANUFACTURING

Of the total number of employees, 39.3 per cent were of foreign birth, 18.4 per cent were of native birth, but of foreign father. (1 I. C. R. 301.)

#### WOOLEN AND WORSTED MANUFACTURING

Of the total number of employees, 61.7 per cent were of foreign birth, 24.4 per cent were of native birth of foreign father. (1 I. C. R. 302.)

#### COTTON GOODS MANUFACTURING

Of the total number of employees, 67.7 per cent were of foreign birth, 21.8 per cent were of native birth, but of foreign father, and 9.4 per cent were of native birth of native father. (1 I. C. R. 304.) The first employees of the New England cotton mills were se-

cured almost exclusively from the farm and village population immediately adjacent to the early cotton goods manufacturing centers. (1 I. C. R. 507.)

Since the year 1885, and especially during the past 15 years, the operatives of the cotton mills have been mainly recruited from the races of southern and eastern Europe and from the Orient. (1 I. C. R. 511.)

The Americans, who formerly composed the bulk of the cotton-mill operatives in the North Atlantic States, at the present time form only about one-tenth of the total number of employees in the cotton mills \* \* . If persons native born of foreign fathers be added to this pure American stock, or those native born of native father, the total number of native-born operatives amounts to about three-tenths of the operating forces of the North Atlantic mills. The remaining part of the operatives, or about seven-tenths, is composed of employees of foreign birth. (1 I. C. R. 511.) (1 I. C. R. 511.)

# CLOTHING MANUFACTURING

Of the total number of employees in the industry, 72.2 per cent were of foreign birth, 22.4 per cent were \* \* \* native born of foreign father, and only 5.3 per cent were native born of native father. (1 I. C. R. 305.)

### FURNITURE MANUFACTURING

Of the total number of employees, 59.1 per cent were of foreign birth, while 19.6 per cent were of native birth but of foreign father, and 21.2 per cent were native born of native father. (1 I. C. R. 307.)

#### LEATHER TANNING, CURING, AND FINISHING

Of the total number of employees, 67 per cent were of foreign birth, 15.9 per cent were of native birth but of foreign father, and 11.4 per cent were native born of native father. (1 I. C. R. 309.)

#### OIL REFINING

Of the total number of employees, 66.7 per cent were of foreign birth, 21.5 per cent were of native birth but of foreign father, and only 11.8 per cent were native born of native father. (1 I. C. R.

### SUGAR REFINING

Of the total number of employees, 85.3 per cent were of foreign birth, while 8.4 per cent were of native birth but of foreign father, and 6.3 per cent were native born of native father. (1 I. C. R. 312.)

NOTE. The citations 1 I. C. R. mean volume 1, Immigration Commission Report. The figures following indicate pages.

As further exhibiting the extent to which the country's population, both industrial and agricultural, has been overcrowded, impoverished, and demoralized by the importation of foreign workers during recent years, I here insert an excerpt from the report of a study of one phase of this movement by Hon. Thomas A. Jenkins and myself presented to the House Committee on Immigration and Naturalization

March 14, 1930, and to be found on pages 594 to 597 of the hearings of that committee.

DISPLACEMENT OF NATIVE AMERICANS WORKING IN INDUSTRY, OF FARM WORKERS AND FARM TENANTS AND THE INJURY DONE TO AMERICAN FARMERS AND FARM LIFE BY THE INCREASE OF MIGRANT MEXICAN LABOR WORKING IN INDUSTRY, ON FARMS AND ELSEWHERE

Below are quoted a comparatively few of the great number of statements made in these reports on this phase of the problem:

"Have tendency to make people leave the country. Driving small farmers and tenants out of the country. The chief effect of this Mexican labor is to make for a plantation type of farming, driving out, not only the white laborer and tenant, but also the small landowner. Nearly all of the cantaloupes and lettuce are raised by large companies using peon labor. The small farmer can not compete with them." (Scott B. Foulds, secretary-manager Imperial County Farm Bureau, El Centro, Calif.)

perial County Farm Bureau, El Centro, Calif.)

"In domestic service, factory workers, and sales girls in stores, they are displacing most all whites in this city. The fact that 75 per cent of the population of this city is Mexican impresses me." (R. T. Glenn, San Antonio, Tex.)

"Has driven out the good white laborers." (Elizabeth R. Forrest, superintendent of schools for girls, San Antonio, Tex.)

"Mexican labor has forced its way into white American establishments, replacing white drivers and clerks. Mexicans are employed by the railroad companies." (Bessie Kidd Best, county superintendent, Flagstaff, Ariz.)

"About 1920 or 1921 the daily wage was cut 75 cents. This had been a white man's camp; mostly Mexicans were brought in, from Arizona, New Mexico, and old Mexico. The largest mine in this territory employs 95 per cent Mexicans." (Mrs. Charles F. Loker, former president of school board and member of Daughters of the American Revolution, Tonopah, Nev.)

Loker, former president of school board and member of Daughters of the American Revolution, Tonopah, Nev.)

"White natives have moved away from here because labor was too cheap. Many can not even get work, while the Mexican is put to work the day he arrives." (A. L. Suman, superintendent of schools, South San Antonio, Tex.)

"Should not be allowed to come to the United States and take the place of American labor." (D. A. Walker, M. D., Mullen, Nebr.)

"Yes; when Mexicans become numerous in any locality the Americans leave." (Doris E. Carlson, Sunnydale, Calif.)

"Many Mexican women and girls now work in cannerles."

(J. Howard Hall, M. D., Sacramento, Calif.)

"Because they work overtime and for probably lower wages they sometimes displace white laborers. This is particularly true on the railroads, as Mexicans are easier driven. Most of the laborers working in our canneries are Italians, Portuguese, and Mexicans." (Mabel F. Fulgham, secretary-treasurer county Farm Bureau, Sacramento, Calif.)

Sacramento, Calif.)

"Mexican peons do practically all of the common labor at \$1.25

"Mexican peons do practically all of the common labor at \$1.25 to \$2 per day. American labor would cost twice that much." (S. J. Isaacks, lawyer, El Paso, Tex.)

"Wages about one-half those paid in North and East we think, unskilled, semiskilled, and clerical." (R. R. Jones, assistant superintendent of schools, El Paso, Tex.)

"Mexican domestics at least 90 per cent in the homes of El Paso." (Gunning-Casteel (Inc.), retail druggists, El Paso, Tex.)

"Mexican laborers are paid \$1.25 to \$1.50 and American laborers are paid \$1.75 to \$2. Mexican peon labor will work for less, longer hours, and plenty of abuse." (Paul Creswell, jr., El Paso, Tex.)

"A white man would not and could not live under the same conditions as the average Mexican laborer. I have been in some

ditions as the average Mexican laborer. I have been in some sections of the State (Texas) where the Mexican farm tenant is displacing the native-born tenant very rapidly." (N. G. Heslep,

cotton buyer, Houston, Tex.)
"The influx of Mexicans into this industrial section will lower the wage scale for all, lowering the standard of labor for white and black labor. On section work they have already replaced the negro." (Hugo Hartsfield, superintendent of schools, Pasadena,

Tex.)
"Many are employed in cotton mills and cheap clothing factories." (William N. Michels, county democratic executive committeeman, Houston, Tex.)

"Mexicans are rapidly replacing American women and girls in

factories, laundries, and such places.
"They take interest in elections. They have been taught in

"They take interest in elections. They have been taught in their country to vote every chance they had." (S. D. Mathews, mining, Houston, Tex.)

"If they continue to take the labor market it will so lower our every form of social, financial, economic, home, school, and church life as to destroy it as it is here to-day. The American of yesterday will be a thing of the past, a great master class on one hand and the peon on the other, the great middle class perishing, disappearing, submerged." (F. W. Miller, realtor, Los Angeles, Calif.)

"Work in considerable number in canneries. Some of white women object to working with Mexicans or Filipinos." (A. H. McFarland, M. D., Mountain View, Calif.)

"They are displacing white labor in increasing numbers in canneries and in fruit-packing plants and in fruit picking." (Clarence F. Bronner, chairman legislative committee, Grange No. 408,

neries and in fruit-packing plants and in truit picking." (Clarence F. Bronner, chairman legislative committee, Grange No. 408, Morgan Hill, Calif.)

"Worse than this, it lowers the morale of American laborers; they feel degraded when employed on the same job with Mexican or Japanese laborers. Many Americans will not accept employment where Mexicans and Japanese laborers are employed." (F. E. Ashcroft, health officer, Chulavista, Calif.)

"Mexican women and girls are employed in our canneries." (Fred M. Stern, merchant, leather goods, San Jose, Calif.)

"They all work, even the 6-year-old children; consequently the American women are displaced because the Mexican does not de-

American women are displaced because the Mexican does not demand anything. We are displeased very much with increasing Mexicans." E. F. Gattis, grocer, Cerpentino, Calif.

"In laundries, canning, packing, etc., considerable displacement. Future American manhood and womanhood ought to have equal consideration with businesses that cry for protection against the importation of cheap goods and import cheapest kind of labor from most backward nations." R. B. Haddock, district superintendent schools, Oxnard, Calif.

#### MEXICANS EMPLOYED IN THE TEXTILE INDUSTRY

Oriental Textile Mills, Houston, Tex., nationality of employees: Americans, 46 per cent; Mexicans, 54 per cent.

El Paso Cotton Mills Co., El Paso, Tex., nationality of employees:
Americans, 5 per cent; Mexicans, 95 per cent.
San Antonio Cotton Mills, San Antonio, Tex., nationality of employees: Americans, 9 per cent; Mexicans, 91 per cent. (Above taken from statement compiled October 25, 1929, by Hon. Charles

McKemy, Texas State commissioner of labor.)

The following quotations are some of the many expressions of the ruinous effects of this imported Mexican peon labor upon farmers and farm life, through its influence in aggravating the overproduction of American farm products and the ruin of the market therefor.

#### INCREASING SURPLUS OF FARM PRODUCTS

"If our farmers are raising a surplus, why should they import more laborers to create more surplus?" (Mrs. Elsie J. Boze-

man, county superintendent of schools, Hanford, Calif.)
"'Tendency' is too mild a word. It has already gone far toward completely displacing native farm labor and tenants. Only selfish Americans desire Mexican immigration. I have seen constant and increasing evidence of development of a situation very harmful to American life (of a desirable type)." (Elmer C. Nash, realtor and school teaching, Tucson, Ariz.)

"They almost clean out white laborers on the farm. They are of no credit to any country." (M. A. Shipman, farmer, Westminster, Colo.)

Colo.)

"If the sentiment of the whole people of east and west Texas could be obtained, a large majority would favor the Box bill. The Mexican can take a frying pan, 50 cents worth of beans, a blanket, and work a week. American white people can not compete with their labor.

"Am above an average cotton farmer of this section. not get my cotton gathered without them, the next year I won't

plant so much and neither will others. The reduction in acreage is about all that is going to help us cotton farmers. We ought to favor your bill." (A. M. Coleman, farmer, Roscoe, Tex.)

"The large landowners of south and west Texas import this cheap labor into Texas to grow cotton and other farm products in competition with our native-born citizens. How many years will it take, if conditions are allowed to remain as they are, before our Mexican immigrants will hold the balance of power in the election of our officers?" State. (R. H. Calmess, farmer, Huntsville, Tex.) "Let this committee compare the needs of individual farmers,

real Americans, who depend on the land for a living and on whom our integrity as a Nation depends, with those of a few big agri-cultural companies, not farmers themselves but capitalists, not dependent for a living on the earnings of farms, and decide which is the most legitimate need." (Conrad Frey, physician and farmer,

"The same state of mind prevailed among the early cotton planters of the eighteenth century in regard to cheap labor as represented by the negro slave trade. To-day we clearly see the evils of our negro problem. Farsighted Americans can never allow ill-educated groups to pollute our already polyglot streams with the lowest types of Central Americans." (M. M. Kornfield, Houston, Tex.) ton. Tex.)

"These and the Southwest Texas Chamber of Commerce are interested in cheap labor, quick profits, and to hell with the good of our country." (J. Middleton, post commander, American Le-

gion, Uvalde, Tex)

"This is one of the reasons that the farmer of Texas finds it impossible to improve his condition. He has to compete with the peon class of Mexicans in raising and selling his cotton crop. I dare say that more than 1,000,000 bales of last year's cotton crop in Texas was raised by such a class of farmers. This is one way of giving the cotton farmers some relief, by placing Mexico and other countries under the same quota applying to European immigration." (M. J. Tibilett, farmer, route 1, Victoria, Tex.)

"This is to inform you that the farmers of the Rio Grande Valley are 95 per cent for the Box bill." (Charles Worbs, Las Cruces, N. Mex.)

#### COTTON PRODUCTION CHEAPEST WHERE MEXICAN LABOR USED

"One of the counties, Nueces, and one of the best locations in "One of the counties, Nueces, and one of the best locations in the county, Robstown, for producing cotton cheap, tested the cost out on 10,000 acres for 1929. Here the land is level and the rows long. Two rows at a time has the stalks cut, the land bedded, dragged off, planted, and cultivated by tractor or team as preferred. The labor, Mexican, is the cheapest in the belt for both chopping and picking. The test was made by the county agent in cooperation with the chamber of commerce and farmers. The per acre cost for one-third of a bale per acre was \$34.43." (Farmers Marketing Journal, February, 1930.)

"If you can get the Mexican quota you will have done more for the cotton farmers than all the farm boards that could be ap-

the cotton farmers than all the farm boards that could be appointed. The big cotton farmers in south Texas, who plant thousands of acres, make and gather it with Mexican labor. They

branch out all over west Texas and wind up on the south plains. All the farmers I have talked with are in favor of restrictions." (T. D. Weddington, aged farmer, Hale Center, Tex.)

"I live in the northern part of New Jersey, in the heart of an agricultural district, surrounded by manufacturing cities. There are some Mexicans in this section, not what might be termed a great many. They are not needed on the farms or in the cities. They are degraded, dirty, immoral, and wholly undesirable." (William H. Gould, route 1, Clifton, N. J.)

"The native white laborer and small farmer needs protection against this influx of alien labor. It is the howling minority that clamor for this class of labor." (Ernest Bond, Beeville, Tex.)

"As a farmer and one that speaks this Mexican lingo as fast as they do, can say that we got all the Mexicans in United States of America that we need and more too." (G. N. Wilson, merchant and farmer, Midland, Tex.)

and farmer, Midland, Tex.)

Railway consolidations contrary to the public interest, banking combines and chains, print paper trusts and chain newspapers, and on, all the way down to chain retail stores in all lines, are leaving people jobless, preventing freedom of political expression, and destroying freedom of opportunity.

Citizens who are earning their bread working for these combinations should not be abused. They have no choice. Prevailing conditions are created by a system which they are powerless to resist. The antitrust laws have not been

enforced during the past dozen years.

The ruin of hundreds of independent oil companies and the unemployment of thousands whose work is dependent upon them is one illustration. Middle-class and small-business people are as much imperiled by this system as are the working population.

America would have grown as great or greater had she maintained the character and independence of her farm people. Her population in cities and in industrial centers would not have been inflated as it is. Even if her whole population had been less than it is now, less want, less disturbance, more order, greater soundness and permanency would have characterized it. But it is not at all certain that her growth, population, world trade, and wealth would have been less. Her political life would have been less influenced by lawless big business, by big city rings, and because of its sound and conservative character would have made her very great, giving her a mighty population, more homogeneous, more coherent, and promising greater permanence to American institutions as they were planned and maintained by the fathers for many decades and admired and copied by mankind, as Washington hoped they would be.

#### REMEDIES

For one thing, the granting of Government loans and furnishing Government-provided jobs, while right and necessary under present emergency conditions, do not provide a way to substantial and permanent betterment. They are not doles, and if they were, would be infinitely preferable to having widespread starvation. But gifts and loans to relieve hunger and other distress are rarely a cure for want. After governments start such system, they usually find it impossible to stop them.

Practices under which governments issue securities and levy taxes to raise funds to employ labor on Governmentowned improvements tends rapidly toward socialism. First, continued taxation for this purpose can easily soon reach such an extent as to appropriate a large part of the earnings of property or capital, which itself tends toward the socializing of property or of the income from it. Second, having millions of workers engaged on Government-owned enterprises tends to socialize labor and toward the destruction of individualism, and to develop a habit of looking to the Government for employment and sustenance. Making Government loans, furnishing Government employment, and the granting of Government gifts are necessary, but only first aid, emergency remedies. To adopt them as a permanent policy will start the United States on a course, the end of which I prefer not to contemplate.

The permanent remedies are to be found in the removal of the causes of the present situation. An enumeration of the causes makes a recital of the remedies unnecessary.

Unless the business interests of America are wise enough to see the causes of these conditions and help to correct the situation by helping to remove its causes, it is doubtful whether the task can be accomplished. If the situation is not remedied—what? Let the leaders of business and political thought in America consider what?

The two great political parties, the agencies through which the people operate their Government, have a responsibility which should sober their leadership and awaken their people. Unless they act to remedy tendencies and conditions, who will bring relief?

My own, the great Democratic Party, has an opportunity and responsibility equal to any which has confronted it since Jefferson wrote the Declaration of Independence and established the party to infuse its spirit into the Nation's life. Present responsibility and opportunity should call from out of the midst of the people men endowed with the spirit of the party's founders and equal to them as political

With such a service waiting to be performed by the party, and with its people waiting for leadership in the service of the Nation, an unendurable situation is created when its national organization is in large part committed to one who cares not for either party or the principles of either but desires to lead it to perform in the circus ring prepared by the Association Opposed to Prohibition.

This is a perversion and conglomeration as bewildering and unfortunate as the economic, industrial, and non-lawenforcement mess caused by the Republican Party for several decades.

During the War of 1812, after a series of misfortunes culminating in the disastrous Battle of Bladensburg, the enemy took possession of Washington. Even the President was forced to flee. A hostile army took possession of the Capitol, including the House Chamber. It is said that an enemy officer went up into the Speaker's stand and, with a mob of British soldiers filling the Hall, mockingly put the question whether the building should be burned. For the time being they had possession of the seat of our national power, but still they did not rule America. Later, on the plains of New Orleans and on other battlefields the armies of the people avenged the insult, expelled the intruders, and redeemed the honor of the country.

The temporary possession of the national organization by an intruding political opponent is unfortunate, but the injury is not beyond repair. No nominee, no national chairman of hostile political faith, ever sold me to a bond master for service unworthy of me. I verily believe there are many millions of other Democrats whom he can not sell and deliver. [Applause.]

Mr. TABER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 minutes to the Delegate from Alaska.

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen, two days ago when one of the supply bills for the District of Columbia was under consideration in the House the matter of the privileged license plates that Members of Congress have on their automobiles occupied several pages of the Congressional Record.

It happens that in that discussion my name was brought in as one who had violated the parking ordinances and deposited collateral at one of the police stations.

That incident occurred about two weeks ago. The fact that a newspaper published the item as a matter of news aroused my wonderment as to why one Member of the Congress, and that an obscure Delegate from a somewhat large Territory, should be the one individual in all this House whose name had to be brought into the columns of the press.

Perhaps I was a little bit enlightened by a policeman of my acquaintance who met me the day after the item appeared, and he said, "Why didn't you talk to the sergeant?" And it occurred to me that possibly Members of Congress—and I think some Members must have violated the parking ordinance—have been able to talk the police station officials out of the payment of the required collateral. I did not ask for immunity; I simply deposited the \$3 collateral required and was glad that there was no more trouble with the incident than that. I had violated a parking ordinance of the District of Columbia.

I had never placed my congressional plate on the front of my car since I have had it, over a period of six or eight years, or since the plates were first issued. I have always carried that behind the seat and used it by placing it in the windshield when I parked in front of a department. I had used it at the Post Office Department and the War Department, and the policemen knew me and recognized the plate when I placed it in the windshield.

At this time I went to the Interior Department at a quarter of 4, parked my car in one of those places where the privilege is only allowed outside of the hours of 4 to 6 in the afternoon. That is, from 4 to 6 those parking places are closed. I believed that I had time to return before the time for the closing of the department, but I had not, and

at quarter past 5 I found the tag on my car.

I had violated the traffic ordinance. There were several other cars besides mine that had also received tags. I was somewhat provoked to find that I had violated the parking ordinance, but the question that occurred to me was why should the other three men be compelled to deposit collateral or take the case into court and I, simply because I possessed that tag, have complete immunity? This space was held clear between 4 and 6 o'clock to accommodate the traffic jam that passes the department after they close. Why should my one car or the car of any Member of Congress be permitted to stand there as an obstacle to traffic at that time and have immunity?

My suggestion is that parking privileges might well be established in the departments without any inconvenience

to the departments or the public.

I have in mind particularly the Department of the Interior, where the employees park their cars in a solid mass on all four sides of the building. There is no reason on earth why an employee should not be compelled to park at least a block from the department during the day, so that Members of Congress and others who have business there might have the privilege of driving up and leaving their car in front of the building. I have no sympathy with this idea of immunity for a Member of Congress or for any other class

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Yes.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Does not the gentleman think that a Member of Congress who must go down to the departments to transact business for his constituents ought to have the privilege of a parking space?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Under the circumstances that I mentioned, yes; to have it cleared out for everybody, for the general public, but not for Congressmen more than any other.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Then the gentleman is trying to exclude Congressmen?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Absolutely not. I want the Congressman to take his place with the general public and have the same rights that they enjoy.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. But if he goes down to the departments on public business should he not have a preference over somebody who wants to park his car there?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Absolutely not, if the space all around the building is left open and there is parking time allowed for an hour, with a policeman there to enforce it. You will have your parking privilege then. We have no difficulty in parking around on the Capitol Grounds, and I have never yet found a time when there was not space here for parking.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. The gentleman will find a great deal of trouble parking around the House Office Building, when we leave our own offices.

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Yes; that is because the space is reserved there for Congressmen.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. But it is not.

Mr. SUTHERLAND. The Congressman generally uses his car some time during the day, while the employees of Congressmen do not. They come there and park their cars, and then they sit in the offices all day long.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. The space around the House Office Building is not reserved for Congressmen?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. That is correct, but there is no difficulty of parking here at the Capitol. It is at the departments that the difficulty arises. This incident brought to my mind the experience Gen. Smedley Butler had when he was in charge of the police administration in the city of Philadelphia, and I recall that in his story of his police experience he wrote at some length on this general subject of parking privileges, or traffic privileges, that had been granted to residents of the city of Philadelphia. When he went there to take charge of the police force he found that hundreds and hundreds of citizens of Philadelphia, those who called themselves the leading citizens, carried cards that entitled them to park their cars anywhere in the city. It had become an absolute nuisance in the city of Philadelphia, and General Butler stopped that, and in doing that he aroused more antagonism to his work in Philadelphia than did any other feature of his activities while at the head of the police. These leading citizens of Philadelphia were up in arms against him simply because he had deprived them of a special privilege that, of course, a great majority of the people of that city could not enjoy. I went to the Library yesterday to find out just what he said about this privilege, and he finished his article on that particular subject with one of the most cynical expressions that I have ever read on the subject of special privilege.

This is what he had to say:

To eliminate this form of hypocrisy we might classify all citizens. Those with \$1,000,000 should have blue buttons, showing they were permitted to commit any crime on the calendar, including murder. Those with \$100,000 with white buttons, permitting robbery, burglary, and assault and battery. Those with \$25,000 red buttons, permitting only violation of traffic laws. All under \$25,000 would have no buttons and be punishable for any offense, as they are now.

as they are now.

This would simplify the work of the police. It would also inspire citizens to greater efforts in order to advance from the red to the white and from the white to the blue button class.

It is not as silly as it sounds for it works pretty much that way

It is not as silly as it sounds for it works pretty much that way without the buttons. So, by divine right, position rules and the police plod on, opposed by those who can hire skilled lawyers and have millions behind them, unsupported by the very people who cry most loudly for law and order.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Is the gentleman trying to give out the impression that these tags that are issued to Congressmen are issued for any other purpose than to facilitate the public business?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. If they are issued, then they may be used for other purposes. My remarks are addressed to this thing that is a curse to my district that I represent, and, I believe, to the entire United States.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. What is that?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. This granting of exclusive privilege to classes. Almost every address that I have made on the floor of this House during my term of office has been directed to that very thing.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. But the point I make is that these tags are issued in order to facilitate the public business. If Congressmen must visit the Government departments, as their duties require, they ought to have the privilege of parking their cars before the building long enough to transact the business they are engaged in without being subject to arrest.

Mr. SUTHERLAND. What I say is that the traffic regutions at the department buildings ought to accommodate Congressmen and the rest of the public, and it can well be made to do so.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. More strength to the gentleman's effort.

Mr. SIMMONS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield? Mr. SUTHERLAND. Yes.

Mr. SIMMONS. No matter what the purpose of issuing the congressional tag was, I might say, in replying to the remark of the gentleman from Kansas, the fact is that it has degenerated into an abuse, into the granting of a license to the secretaries of Congressmen and to their children and others who get one of the tags in order to violate all traffic

regulations of the District of Columbia with impunity. That is the trouble with the tag situation.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. That might have been the policy of some Congressmen, but it has never been my policy nor the policy of my family.

Mr. SIMMONS. The gentleman's family ought not to have anything to do with it.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. They do not.

Mr. SIMMONS. That is fine. Mine does not either, for I have taken my tag off my car.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. I have not. I keep my tag on there so that when I go down to the department to transact public business I may have the right of parking my car while I am in the building.

That is all I use it for. If I go to a theater or to a party I take no advantage of the tag, and nobody else should.

Mr. SIMMONS. The gentleman is taking a proper position on that, but the trouble is that has not been the policy followed with these tags.

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from North Dakota [Mr. Burtness].

Mr. BURTNESS. May I ask the gentleman from Nebraska [Mr. Simmons] a question? I agree thoroughly that these tags should not give any privilege whatsoever, except for parking places in front of Government departments when a Congressman goes down there for public business. The gentleman from Nebraska has referred to abuses that have arisen on account of tags being in the hands of people not entitled to them. Will not the effort being made this year do away with that abuse? If I understand the situation correctly, the only tags that are now of any value or that should be recognized at all are those which bear the year "1931." All other tags should be turned in to the office of the Sergeant at Arms. If they are not turned in, no police officer or anyone else should give them any recognition, whether it be in front of a Government department or otherwise. Then, if the tags are disposed of each year, become obsolete and useless each year, unless new tags are obtained from the office of the Sergeant at Arms, will that not obviate the objections to which the gentleman from Nebraska [Mr. Simmons] has referred?

Mr. SIMMONS. The difficulty which the gentleman has discussed is that in the first place a number of old tags are out and not turned in.

Mr. BURTNESS. But they are not supposed to be of any

Mr. SIMMONS. None of them have any validity. One is just as good as the other. As far as any validity is concerned, they are not of any use to anybody. The difficulty is, first, that the police officer who has charge of enforcing regulations, if he finds a car parked in a restricted area in the theater section or business section or even violating some residential traffic regulation, is put on notice that he is dealing with a special-privileged car.

Mr. BURTNESS. But the car is not specially privileged.

Mr. SIMMONS. Allow me to finish, please. And that the best thing for him to do is to leave that car alone. In the first place there is the natural fear on the part of the policeman to molest that car. Whether that ought to be or not, it is true that that fear exists. So that there is that situation on the part of the policeman. Then it is a known fact that a car that has a congressional tag on it has been used to violate traffic regulations and to insist upon immunity, not only from the Member's standpoint, but his family, his secretaries, and chauffeurs; and I am told that in one instance a bootlegger was arrested with one of the tags on his car. That is the situation. You can not put out a special-privileged tag without having it abused.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. But why punish the man who uses it properly on account of the man who uses it improperly?

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Mr. Chairman, I do not yield any further from my time.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Alaska [Mr. Sutherland].

Mr. SUTHERLAND. As one of the victims of the system under discussion, I feel that I am entitled to make an explanation of my position on this question. My position is just the same as that which was expressed by Gen. Smedley Butler. Only a few moments ago the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. Huddleston] and the gentleman from New York [Mr. LaGuardia] engaged in a colloquy regarding Fascism and communism. I think the inference that will be found in the Record when you read it, although I do not think the gentlemen intended it to be so, is that the people of the United States had their choice, presumably, between Fascism and communism. I do not think that the two gentlemen intended to leave it that way in the Record, for such is not the situation.

President Roosevelt expressed the position of the United States so clearly that I want to quote it. He said that the American ship of state must be steered just as far from the Romanoff Scylla as from the communistic Charybdis. That expresses the position of the United States. I have no fear of communism obtaining a foothold in the United States. I think the example they have set in autocracy in the administration of their government would be absolutely repugnant to this country; but I have in mind that when President Roosevelt uttered those words regarding the Romanoff menace he had in mind just the matter that we now have under discussion, except, of course, this is a very minor incident of the system that has sprung up in this country, of granting exclusive privileges.

As I said before, it has become the curse of the district which I have the honor to represent in Congress. I believe, in large degree, it is the curse of the social conditions in the United States. I believe that every scandal that has arisen in the administration of Government in the United States comes from that same identical reason, from those who seek and obtain some special privilege that the rest of the people of the United States may not enjoy.

It is always well to bear in mind that the cause of communism or the Government of Russia to-day was the Romanoff system based on special privilege, a great bureaucracy growing up and passing over the resources and desirable things in that great country to a certain privileged class.

Now, Mr. Chairman, the remarks I intended to make when I secured time bear somewhat on this general subject—the giving of special privilege and the obtaining of something from our Government, unfairly obtained, illegally obtained; something that the majority of the people of this country, the rank and file, are able to have no part in.

The recent disclosures in the committee investigating lease contracts in the Post Office Department brought to my mind an incident in connection with mail contracts in the district in which I live, and that is what I desire to call to your attention.

Mr. Chairman, at this time, while the administration is, through the press, advising and cautioning Congress against the passage of bills involving appropriations of money that might affect a Treasury balance, I believe it to be proper for me to call the attention of this House to the reckless and absolutely unwarranted dissipation of Government funds by the Post Office Department in the payment of excessive sums of money to common carriers for transportation of the United States mails.

I would refer particularly to contracts awarded to the Alaska Steamship Co. and the Admiral Line Steamship Co. for carrying mail from Seattle, Wash., to points on the Alaskan coast. These new contracts provide for an increase of 50 per cent over contracts that have been in force during the past nine years and carry with them absolutely no expansion or improvement of service.

These steamship companies have been receiving, during the past nine years, for carrying the mail from Seattle, Wash., to Seward, Alaska, and way points, the excessive sum of \$2,000 per weekly trip, and now, under the new contract, they are to receive \$3,000 per weekly trip. A proportionate increase has been given on the shorter voyages to southeastern Alaska, and all combined, the Post Office

Department has increased the total amount paid for carrying the ocean mail to Alaska from \$174,300 to \$261,450, or an increase of \$87,150 annually.

This increase of \$87,150 is a gratuity given to one of the most highly protected and most successful transportation monopolies in the United States. This action of the Postmaster General in dipping into the United States Treasury to lavish its funds upon private interests just because these private interests would have it so, is, in my opinion, indefensible. The following is the defense offered by the Post Office Department:

Last fall a demand was made by the Alaska Steamship Co. for an increase in the rates, and arguments were presented orally and by written statements showing a large increase in the volume of mails carried since 1921. It was explained that under the law no increase could be granted except under advertisements. Formal advertisements were issued and the only bids received in response thereto were from the two companies at \$3,000 a round trip for service to Seward and \$675 a round trip for service to Skagway, the rates of each company being the same. The bids were accepted at the rates stated and contracts were awarded for the term to begin April 1 next.

You will note that oral and written argument was first presented and then identical bids were submitted and accepted by the department. I can not make any other deduction from this statement than that an agreement was made between the Post Office Department and the carriers, and then, in order to conform to the law that requires competitive bidding, bids were submitted at the figure agreed upon.

To intimate, as the Second Assistant Postmaster General does, that the department was, under the law, compelled to accept this bid is to my mind ridiculous. The code provides for just the situation that arose in connection with these bids by conferring on the Postmaster General the power to reject bids where collusion appears, and certainly the collusion in this case was apparent at all times. The law did not contemplate the prevention of collusion between the department and the mail-contract bidders. It is very evident that such collusion occurred in this case.

Furthermore, the Postmaster General had the authority in this case, and, in fact, in all such cases, to compel the bidders to transport the mails as common carriers and at their published rates.

During the period from August 1, 1918, to October 31, 1921, the Postmaster General did compel the Alaska Steamship Co. to carry mail to Seward at freight and express rates. Strange as it may seem, the department can not furnish me with any figures to indicate the cost under that system, but they do tell me that it was very much less than by the present methods.

I must therefore make my calculations upon the present rates for freight and express between Seattle and Seward.

Under this new contract of which I speak the Government is paying the Alaska Steamship Co. at the rate of \$200 per ton for carrying the mail. The advertised freight rates of this company for similar freight is \$15 per ton, and that is the highest freight rate in effect in American waters.

#### COMPARATIVE RATES

Reduced to poundage, we find that the Alaska Steamship Co. is receiving 10 cents per pound for carrying the mail to Seward, while the American Express Co. advertises to carry express freight over this same route on the boats of the Alaska Steamship Co. for 4 cents per pound. This means that the Government is paying 6 cents per pound more to an American shipping company protected by the coastwise laws from any foreign competition than the express company operating on the company's boats are ready to carry it for.

Right here let me say that this steamship line to Alaska is the most highly Government-protected ocean transportation company in the United States. In addition to the protection given all coastwise shipping from foreign competition, Congress has gone so far as to protect this company from the competition to which American shipping generally is subjected in connection with Canadian land and water hauls of freight. Under section 27 of the merchant marine act of 1920 a foreign ship can not take freight that original

nated in the United States from a Canadian rail terminal to | Alaska, but a foreign ship may carry such freight to any other portion of the United States or its possessions.

This unfair and unjust law was enacted at the behest of the steamship companies that now dip into the United States Treasury for a grab of \$87,150 without giving any compensatory service in return. Not satisfied with all that Congress has done to strengthen their monopoly of transportation to Alaska, they work a great department of the Government to present them with Government funds in much the same manner in which they lobbied section 27 of the merchant marine act through Congress and with the connivance of the man who held the office of Delegate from Alaska at that time.

There has been an increase of about 100 per cent in the volume of mail carried between Seattle and Seward during the past 10 years, but former contracts did not take the volume of mail into consideration. At present it amounts to about 800 tons per year, or 151/2 tons per trip. I maintain that this increase of tonnage is not sufficient reason to increase the contract price \$70 per ton, or 31/2 cents per pound.

I will give you the actual reason for the increase of this contract price.

The merchant marine act of 1928 provides a subsidy or subvention, as it is called, for the carrying of United States mail to foreign ports by American ships. The argument presented by the Alaska Steamship Co. representatives, both orally and by written statements, is that inasmuch as the carriers of mail to foreign ports are getting this subsidy, the carriers of mail to Alaska should also receive it, and they are getting it.

To strengthen their argument for a subsidy they presented to the Post Office Department a memorial from the last session of the Alaska Legislature, praying that this subsidy to local shipping be granted. At this point I will incorporate that memorial:

House Joint Resolution 18

To the honorable

the POSTMASTER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES:

1. Your memorialist, the Legislature of the Territory of Alaska,

in regular session assembled, respectfully represents:

2. Whereas the merchant marine act was passed in 1928 for the purpose of aiding United States shipping and improving mail service and the method of transporting freight and passengers; and

3. Whereas under the provisions of this act definite amounts have been provided by law to be paid for carrying United States mails between ports in the United States and foreign ports; and 4. Whereas there is a wide discrepancy between the amounts

4. Whereas there is a wide discrepancy between the amounts provided in such act for carrying mails between the United States and foreign ports and the amounts now paid to vessels carrying the mails between Seattle and points in Alaska on the Seattle-Seward run and the Seattle-Skagway run; and
5. Whereas the maintenance and improvement of the present regular steamship service is vital to the future of Alaska; and
6. Whereas, since the merchant marine act has made provisions

for encouraging United States shipping between ports in the United States and foreign ports, it is only fair that some such aid be also extended for the purpose of aiding and improving the steamship service between the United States and ports in Alaska: Now, therefore

Now, therefore
Your memorialist prays that on the expiration of the present contract for the carriage of mail between Seattle, Wash., and points in Alaska on the Seattle-Seward run and on the Seattle-Skagway run, new contracts be made at materially increased rates more nearly in line with those prescribed by the merchant marine act of 1928, thus affording Alaska trade and shipping similar encouragement and assistance to that given by the act to the United States foreign trade.

Passed the house April 25, 1929.

Passed the senate April 30, 1929.

This memorial was, of course, passed unanimously, as the members of the legislature were anxious to help out their rich friends who drafted the memorial for them. They probably did not know what amount the steamship companies were receiving for carrying the mails, nor did they make inquiry. That a great monopoly with a strangle hold on transportation in the Territory wanted a subsidy was all the legislators apparently wanted to know, and they, like the Postmaster General, were willing that they should have it.

Interior Alaska beyond the railroad belt is denied the benefit and convenience of the Parcel Post Service during | ple to think anything else.

the winter months, and probably no section of the United States is in greater need of the service. It is the only portion of the United States where the people are closed out from the Parcel Post Service at any time. However, the attitude of the department in denying this service is prompted by reasons of economy. It is rather difficult to present any argument about the needs of the people and the convenience that the Parcel Post Service would give them in opposition to a firm stand for governmental economy taken by the department.

The proposition I have to submit is that this \$87,150, so lavishly donated to a wealthy transportation company without any corresponding increase or improvement in service, would have paid for carrying the parcel-post mail to every hamlet in interior Alaska throughout the winter months. Small business or the public in sparsely populated regions receive but little consideration from local legislators or Postmasters General when captains of industry are asking for financial favors. That this sum of money should have been given simply upon request to the Alaska transportation monopoly is an offense to the isolated population of Alaska which has long been asking for improved mail service.

NOT ALONE THE ALASKA STEAMSHIP CO.

It appears from the record that other transportation companies operating on routes between American ports and not eligible for the merchant marine act subsidy have also participated in what appears to be a general raid on the Treasury through the Post Office Department. Perhaps the Postmaster General thinks it unfair to coastwise shipowners that they were not let in on the subsidies of the merchant marine act, and therefore out of his bounty he proceeds to give them what they consider their share of the general largesse under the law that authorizes him to make contracts for carrying the mail. We know that the New York & Porto Rico Steamship Co. under new contract has recently received a 50 per cent advance, the same as the Alaska Steamship Co., for carrying the mails to Porto Rico. The Porto Rican increase amounts to \$50,000 annually. A recent contract for carrying the mail from New York to Panama has been entered into for a period of 10 years at an annual figure of \$418,946 with the American Line Steamship Corporation. This means an excess of \$375,000 per annum over normal rates. Ostensibly this mail is destined for Balboa, Panama, but, as a matter of fact, it is landed at Colon in American territory and carried to Balboa by the United States Government on the Government-owned Panama Railroad.

From the instances of excessive increases to coastwise carriers of mails that I have referred to, it is reasonable to believe that the Postmaster General, without authority of law, has entered into the subvention spirit of the merchant marine act and opened the door for all American shipping companies to participate in the general grab of Treasury funds whether they are engaged in foreign trade or not.

#### PARCEL-POST RATES TO ALASKA

Alaska is located in the eighth parcel-post zone, and the basic rate from any point in the United States to Alaska or between points within Alaska is 12 cents per pound. One may well wonder at the economy policy of the Postmaster General that denies parcel-post service to Alaska during the winter months, but is willing to pay five-sixths of the parcel-post rates to Alaska for the water haul from Seattle to Seward, or, in other words, has contracted to pay 10 cents per pound on a route where normal freight rates are three-fourths of a cent per pound.

## BLEEDING ALASKA WHITE

The steamship monopoly which has drawn the commercial lifeblood from this Territory over a long period of years and has now shoved its tentacles into the United States Treasury is never satiated in its selfish greed. To in any way aid the development of the northland from which it has squeezed its large treasury surplus is not a precept of the dark lexicon of the Alaska Steamship Co. "When you think Alaska," says the company, "think Alaska Steamship Co.," just as though it were possible for an exploited peo-

#### PROFITS OF ALASKA STEAMSHIP CO.

The authorized and oustanding capital stock of the Alaska Steamship Co. is 4,500,000 shares, par \$100.

Its assets in 1928 were \$8,609,852. This represents in addition to a regular 6 per cent dividend during the past 10 years, an accumulation of \$4,119,852. Its cash surplus in 1928 was \$2,779,605. Its net profit in 1928 was \$636,939, from which a stock dividend of 6 per cent was paid and \$366,939 placed in the surplus fund.

These earnings came through a period of gradual deflation of operating costs and steady maintenance of freight and passenger rates.

This shows clearly the avariciousness of the Alaska Steamship Co. Not satisfied with its greatly reduced operating expenses and enhanced earning conditions, it proceeds to hold up the United States Government, the Government that has protected it in a monopoly that no other American transportation company enjoys, to the tune of 10 cents per pound for carrying the mails, or a total holdup of \$52,000 per annum on the Seward mail over and above the amount received when operating costs were at their highest peak.

## OPERATING COSTS ON PACIFIC

The following letter from the Secretary of War setting forth the difference in operating costs for steamships on the Pacific between 1922 and 1929 serves to more fully show up the reprehensible deal between the Alaska Steamship Co. and the Post Office Department:

WAR DEPARTMENT, Washington, April 26, 1930.

Hon. DAN A. SUTHERLAND,

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

DEAR MR. SUTHERLAND: Your letter of the 7th instant on the subject of operating costs of Army transports on the Pacific coast has been received.

has been received.

The figures submitted are for 1922, the first year of operation of the present transports on the Pacific coast, as compared with 1929. It is found that prices of fuel oil in 1922 were 0.043 cent per gallon as compared with 0.022 cent for 1929. No estimate is given on the cost of coal, as all vessels now in the service are oil burners, and with one exception no coal-burning vessels have been operated as transports since 1922.

burners, and with one exception no coal-burning vessels have been operated as transports since 1922.

The price of lubricating oil in 1922 averaged 38 cents per gallon as compared with 23 cents per gallon in 1929; paints varied in price range from \$2.65 per gallon for ready-mixed white paint in 1922 to \$1.33 per gallon in 1929, and white lead ranged in price from 16½ cents per pound in 1922 to 10 cents in 1929. These items have been selected as types fairly representative of the miscellaneous supplies used in the operation of transports.

With reference to subsistence stores, the records indicate that

With reference to subsistence stores, the records indicate that the articles entering into the saloon mess purchased for \$1.25 per person per day in 1922, now cost \$1 per person per day.

It should be stated in this connection that while all estimates cited apply to purchases made on the Pacific coast for transports,

It should be stated in this connection that while all estimates cited apply to purchases made on the Pacific coast for transports, these vessels also replenish practically all supplies at different prices at the regular ports of call at Panama, New York, Honolulu, Manila, China, and Japan.

Manila, China, and Japan.

In the matter of repairs, the records show that the cost of accomplishing a given item during the past year is less than the cost would have been 10 years ago. The actual expenditures for maintenance, however, increase with the age of the vessel and practically offset all savings due to decrease in the unit cost. It has also been necessary to increase the wages of personnel aboard transports approximately 15 per cent since 1922 in order to conform as nearly as practicable with rates paid by the United States Shipping Board.

Sincerely yours,

#### F. TRUBEE DAVISON, Acting Secretary of War.

The Post Office Department should have known that the cost of fuel oil, which is the largest item of cost in steamship operation, as represented by the Alaska Steamship Co., when the old and, at that time, excessive price contract was awarded, has declined about 100 per cent since 1922, while lubricating oil had declined from 38 cents per gallon to 23 cents. In the face of this general deflation of operating expenses the Alaska Steamship Co., the Alaska Legislature, and the Post Office Department feel that the steamship company should have an additional subsidy because the foreign-trade ships are getting theirs.

# CARRYING COALS TO NEWCASTLE

The steamship companies so highly subsidized by the Post Office Department are now, and have for years, been engaged in distributing British Columbia and Utah coal along the

Alaska coast. The fact that coal of equally high grade is mined on the line of the Alaska Railroad and is delivered for a low price at the railroad terminal port of Seward and could well supply the towns of the Alaska coast if low water rates were available, does not appeal to the development sensibilities of the steamship management. Development aid, such as this, for the Territory would mean the relinquishment of a few immediate dollars gained from freighting foreign coal northward, and therefore the commercial expansion of industry within the Territory receives no consideration from our monopolistic friends.

#### CARRYING TIMBER INTO THE NATIONAL FORESTS

In none of its selfishly monopolistic policies does the Alaska Steamship Co. show its total indifference to the welfare of Alaska, its industry, and its people so much as in its transportation of timber and lumber from Puget Sound to its associated enterprises, the Copper River Railroad and the Kennecot copper mines in Alaska. An annual amount of 1,500,000 feet of lumber is thus carried into the Alaska National Forest. This represents an annual loss to the Alaska lumber industry of approximately \$50,000.

# COMPARATIVE PRICES OF THE LUMBER

Puget Sound fir railroad ties cost \$19 per thousand board feet in Seattle, and at the steamship freight rates quoted to the public on 100,000 feet or upwards lots of \$12.50 per thousand cost \$1.16½ each landed on the wharf at Cordova. Sawn Alaska hemlock ties are quoted at local mills for \$1 each, and hemlock is much superior to Washington fir as railroad-tie material. Experienced railroad builders in Alaska claim that hemlock ties will greatly outlast fir ties. In fact, Washington fir ties are but little superior to Alaska spruce in lasting qualities. But what matters that to the company? They are carrying lumber to their associated enterprises in Alaska virtually freight free and no wharfage, while they extort excessive rates from the general Alaska public and grab off Government subsidies through the Post Office Department.

#### COMMON LUMBER

The cost of common fir lumber at Puget Sound ports averages about \$22.50 per thousand, and with freight of \$12.50 per thousand added costs the company \$35 per thousand landed in Cordova. Common lumber from the national forest in Cordova is quoted at \$32.50 per thousand. Thus the lumber laid down at Cordova costs the company \$2.50 more per thousand than it may be bought for locally.

## QUALITY OF ALASKA LUMBER

To those who may raise the question of comparative quality of Alaska and Puget Sound lumber, I will submit the opinion of the forest products laboratory of the University of Wisconsin, as set forth after elaborate tests of the qualities of American lumber in 1927:

The data show conclusively that the mechanical properties of woods from the coast forests of Alaska are not inferior to those of the same species grown in other parts of the United States. The growth conditions appear favorable for the production of good mechanical properties. \* \* The Alaska forests comprise large stands of mature timber, which are able to compare with other woods on the basis of merit.

#### WHEN YOU THINK ALASKA, THINK ALASKA STEAMSHIP CO.

So reads the transportation company's advertisements.

The isolated people of the interior may think "Alaska Steamship Co." during the long winter months, when they are shut off from the parcel-post service, and remember that five-sixths of the parcel-post rates to Alaska is grabbed off by the company they are advised to think about. The residents of lonely islands in the North Pacific, as they travel its boisterous waters for many miles to reach a post office, must surely think Alaska Steamship Co. when they realize that Government funds, which should maintain a service to their island homes, are thrown into the coffers of a ruthless corporation that gives nothing in return for it. Just think Alaska Steamship Co., and keep on thinking it. Think of it as you would think of banditry or racketeering. Think of an organization of patriots gouging and fleecing the Government that protects and fosters its trade monopoly with the aid of officials who are supposed to guard the

funds intrusted to their care, and then you have envisaged the Alaska Steamship Co.

Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to extend in the RECORD an article which is analogous to the question I have been discussing

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Alaska asks unanimous consent to print in the RECORD an article on the subject of Alaskan shipping. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. SUTHERLAND. Mr. Speaker, under permission to extend my remarks, I desire to incorporate a speech made by the Hon. James Wickersham in dedication of the new Federal and Territorial building at Juneau, Alaska.

The speech is as follows:

Ladies and gentlemen, we are gathered to-night upon the invitation of the Governor of Alaska to assist in formally dedicating this new Government building, erected by the authority and at the expense of the United States as a "Federal and Territorial building," to the public uses for which it was intended by the laws

expense of the United States as a Frederal and Territorial building." to the public uses for which it was intended by the laws authorizing its construction.

The people of Alaska are and have a good right to be proud of the architectural appearance of the building. In striking contrast to the older, more expensive, and less useful style of public buildings formerly erected by our Government, it is a 6-story structure of the modern office-building type, wherein more attention is given to serviceable space and ease of access and use by a busy people than to old-fashioned Roman architecture. Its outer walls are constructed of reinforced concrete built within a steel frame and faced with terra cotta colored brick. Its roof cornice and other simple outer ornamentation, including its lower frontal façade, are constructed of Indiana limestone, which becomes harder and more durable with age, while its graceful tetrastyle portico rising above the bronze southern doors is supported by four huge columns of Alaska marble. Its interior finish of Alaska marble, its many supporting columns, iron stairways, and elevators, its tile filled and fireproof partitions, and well-arranged and spacious office rooms, give full assurance of safety to public officials, valuable records, and long life to a well-furnished public building. public building.

The N. P. Severin Co., contractors and builders, are entitled to

The N. P. Severin Co., contractors and builders, are entitled to congratulations upon the prompt and faithful performance of their contract for the construction of the building, and the Treasury officials in charge of inspection and oversight on the very satisfactory conclusion of their duties.

It may as well be mentioned now that while the capitol building is satisfactory, the surroundings are not. The street in front of the building is but 20 feet wide between sidewalks, while the wooden buildings across this narrow alleyway are a constant fire menace. Even a single line of automobiles in the street repoders it impressible for the city fire trucks to get by with safety renders it impossible for the city fire trucks to get by with safety. The block of land opposite the front of the capitol must be acquired for an enlargement of the site. It may be that under the terms of the act of 1926 the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to purchase ground; but if not, it will be necessary to secure the passage of an act of Congress to effectuate that result. The Legislature of Alaska at the coming session ought to authorize the transfer of the title to the lot where the old museum building stands to the United States for use as an extension of the capitol site, and memorialize Congress for such other aid as may be necessary. The city of Juneau ought to take immediate action to represent the public interest—the Delegate from Alaska will give his active support to these suggestions. renders it impossible for the city fire trucks to get by with safety.

The act of Congress authorizing the construction of this build-ing was passed by that body on June 10, 1910, more than 20 years ing was passed by that body on June 10, 1910, more than 20 years ago, and it provided for two public buildings in Juneau, the governor's mansion to cost \$40,000 and the post office and customhouse to cost \$200,000. The executive mansion was built promptly, but not the public building. Appropriations were made by Congress for the construction of the post office and customhouse in 1911, \$50,000; in 1913, \$40,000; and in 1915, \$75,000; but for some reason, not generally known, no work was begun on the building and the

not generally known, no work was begun on the building and the project became dormant.

The first effort after 1915 to bring it to life came when Congress passed the public buildings act of May 25, 1926 (44 Stat. L. 630), more than 10 years after the last appropriation for it had been made by Congress in 1915, 16 years after it had been authorized by the act of June 10, 1910. By section 3 of the act of 1926 the Secretary of the Treasury was authorized to construct a specified number of public buildings then authorized by acts of Congress, including that located in Juneau. The Secretary was given authority "to disregard the limit of cost fixed by Congress for gress, including that located in Juneau. The Secretary was given authority "to disregard the limit of cost fixed by Congress for each of said projects to purchase additional land for an enlargement of sites, and for such purposes to expend, in addition to the amounts heretofore appropriated, such additional sums of money for each of said projects as he shall deem advisable, not exceeding in the aggregate \$15.000,000," and "to provide additional space in such buildings for other activities or branches of the public service not specifically enumerated in the act or acts authorizing the acquisition of the sites or the construction of the building, or both." both.

By that language Congress gave the Secretary of the Treasury authority to change the very character of the Juneau public building from that of a mere post office and customhouse to in-

clude its use as the capitol for the Territory of Alaska. By a provision in the appropriation act of July 3, 1926 (44 Stat. L. 873), he was further authorized to increase the cost of the building to correspond to its increased usefulness, as follows:

"The Secretary of the Treasury, in the determinations and allocation of limits of cost for the projects enumerated in section 3 of the public buildings act approved May 25, 1926, shall set apart and reserve from the additional aggregate limit of cost of \$15,000,000 specified therein, sufficient sums to provide for the projects at Seattle, Wash., San Pedro, Calif., Malden, Mass., and Juneau, Alaska."

Thus specially suthorized the Treasury Description and support the projects and provided to the projects and specially suthorized the Treasury Description and provided the Treasury Description and Treasury De

Thus specially authorized, the Treasury Department prepared the plans and estimates for the Juneau building as it is now constructed, including its use as a capitol building, whereupon Congress approved the plan and the increased cost in the appropriation act of March 5, 1928 (45 Stat. L. 177), in the following

language:

"Juneau, Alaska, Federal and Territorial building: Toward the construction of the building, \$200,000, and the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to enter into contracts for the entire estimated cost of such building for not to exceed \$775,000, in lieu of \$177,500 authorized by the act of June 25, 1910."

The act of 1928 thereby related back to the original act of 1910 and amended it so as to include the use of this building as a capitol, and we now hall this beautiful structure as the capitol of the Territory of Alaska.

the Territory of Alaska.

capitol, and we now hall this beautiful structure as the capitol of the Territory of Alaska.

Whatever criticisms visiting congressional committees may make with regard to the development of Alaska, we who have resided here for many years and given fair attention to the changes in our government and the general increase in our natural wealth, have clearly observed the constant, if slow, growth in both. The organic act of August 24, 1912, secured by the people of our Territory after long travall, provided (1) that the former unorganized region purchased by the United States from Russia by the treaty of March 30, 1867, "and known as Alaska, shall be and constitute the Territory of Alaska"; (2) "that the capital of the Territory shall be at the city of Juneau, and the seat of government shall be maintained there"; (3) it created an elective form of territorial legislature with extensive powers of local self-government; and (4) paved the way for this evening's dedication of the capitol of Alaska. These successful labors of our citizens constitute real and permanent growth in good government under the most adverse circumstances, and demonstrate that they are not dormant, but are ever active in pressing for better government in the way our fathers trod, in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States. Nine sessions of the Alaska Legislature have met in the capital city and conducted public business in rented halls; the capital city and conducted public business in rented halls; the tenth session will meet on the first Monday in March in the permanent legislative halls provided in this capitol building. That is real Alaska progress after the true American type.

In recent years Congress entered upon a limited system of inter-

nal improvements in Alaska in aid of the development of Territorial government and the natural resources of the country. It authorized the construction of a Government-owned and operated authorized the construction of a Government-owned and operated railroad from an all-the-year-open harbor at Seward, through the Matanuska and Healy River coal fields to the Fairbanks gold fields, at a cost of \$70,000,000. Recently the Secretary of the Treasury caused plans and estimates to be made and set apart the funds necessary to construct a general-use building at Fairbanks, authorized by the public building act of August 1, 1914 (38 Stat. L. 611), necessary to construct a general-use building at Fairbanks, authorized by the public building act of August 1, 1914 (38 Stat. L. 611), to cost \$400,000. The War Department will spend this year for Alaska harbor improvements, \$272,000 for the Ketchikan Basin, \$85,000 for the Seward Breakwater, and \$18,000 for the Port Alexander Harbor work, while the improvement of the Nome Harbor, to cost \$250,000, is to come in two years. The Alaska Road Commission will spend this year a million dollars for general highway work in northern and western Alaska, and in addition the sum of \$130,000 on roads in the Mount McKinley National Park. The Bureau of Public Roads, under the Agricultural Department, will \$130,000 on roads in the Mount McKinley National Park. The Bureau of Public Roads, under the Agricultural Department, will spend out of Forest Service funds, for highways in 1931, \$50,000 at Ketchikan, \$140,000 near Juneau, \$45,000 at Seward, \$40,000 at Wrangell, \$60,000 at Skagway, \$45,000 at Yakutat, \$25,000 at Kake, \$30,000 at Moose Pass, \$10,000 at Craig, \$20,000 at Klawak, and \$75,000 at Petersburg, a total of \$540,000, while the Forest Service will spend \$191,000 in its separate work. The total to be expended for construction of highways, roads, and trails in 1931 amounts to \$1.670,000, not including the appropriations yet to be made by to \$1,670,000, not including the appropriations yet to be made by the Legislature of Alaska. Nor are the native schools forgotten: the Legislature of Alaska. Nor are the native schools forgotten: The Bureau of Education will erect this year six new school buildings in the northern part of the Territory at a cost of \$36,000; three new hospitals to cost \$29,500; extensions to 14 other school buildings to cost \$110,000; an Indian boys' training school at Shoemaker Bay, Wrangell, to cost \$100,000, and other items totaling \$1,125,000. Public funds to the amount of about \$5,000,000 will thus be expended in Alaska in 1931 years largely for public high. thus be expended in Alaska in 1931, very largely for public high-

And why not? Five million dollars per annum is a goodly sum of money, but a mere pittance in comparison with what the United States expends annually in the States for similar work-in States states expends annually in the States for similar work—in States where there is local power and wealth to construct roads and other improvements by taxation upon privately owned property belonging to those who own both the property and the roads, and where the United States owns but little. In Alaska the United States owns 98 per cent of all the lands, and all the railroads, buildings, and other property constructed by it, and reserves to its own use for future sale all the coal, oil, and other wealth from the public lands, from which it will derive great profit. The small sum it expends in Alaska improving its own properties is much less than one-half of the annual gold output Alaska mines send to the Government mints for the support of the Nation's gold standard. From January 1, 1880, to December 31, 1930, a period of 50 years, Alaskans mined and shipped to the United States the sum of \$628,806,856 in gold, copper, and other minerals. There are 600 months in 50 years—Alaska miners produced more than a million dollars of gold and copper per month during that 50-year period. The mineral industry in Alaska is yet in its infancy and is constantly growing. stantly growing.

As great a sum as that half-century output of Alaska minerals amounts to, the value of Alaska fish shipped to the United States in half that period exceeds it. From 1906 to 1930, both inin hair that period exceeds it. From 1900 to 1930, both included, a period of 25 years, Alaska fishermen caught, prepared, and shipped to the United States markets fish to the amount of \$743,975,738—an annual production of \$30,000,000. From the reports of the governor and collector of customs, we are informed that the total production of Alaska fish, furs, and minerals exported to the United States since 1867 amounts to the sum of

\$1.762,968,496.

#### Alaska production since 1867

Sea and fur products, 1868-1903	\$323, 042, 290
Furs, 1906–1930	49, 589, 009
Fish, 1906–1930	743, 975, 738
Fish and fur, 1904	9, 514, 663
Fish and fur, 1905	8, 039, 940
Minerals, 1880–1930	628, 806, 856

1, 762, 968, 496

Not only has Alaska produced and exported that great wealth to the United States, and enriched its Pacific coast cities thereby, but her people have purchased from the United States, princi pally from Seattle, Portland, and San Francisco, and imported into Alaska, from 1867 to date, more than \$900,000,000 worth of merchandise of all kinds. Add Alaska's total production of wealth to her total purchase of merchandise—our total exports to and our total imports from the United States—

Total exports\_. Total imports\_\_\_\_\_ 907, 873, 941

Total trade\_\_

and we have the total sum of Alaska's trade value with United States merchants and manufacturers since 1867—more than two and a half billion dollars. A huge sum to have been won by a few thousand pioneers from an inhospitable wilderness in the first half century of trade with the mother country. It is, how-ever, but a mere trickle to the great stream of wealth which will flow into the markets of the United States from Alaska when our natural resources shall be more fully located and developed by an increasing number of our people who will follow us into the northern Territory, armed with better trade facilities and aided by

wiser and more generous government.

Our seas are filled with fish, our mountains with minerals, our coastal islands with forests awaiting the coming pulp makers, our interior valleys with unsettled agricultural lands equal in area and productivity to those in Scandinavia. Our people are brave and hardy, filled with loyalty to American ideals and love for constitutional government, and in good time will adopt a State constitution and secure the admission of the State of Alesko. State constitutional government, and in good time will adopt a State constitution and secure the admission of the State of Alaska into the Union of the United States. We hall the completion of this beautiful capitol and the promise of higher development in American Government and trade in our chosen homeland—Alaska.

Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. McKeown]. [Applause.]

Mr. McKEOWN. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, I have asked for this time in order to talk for a few minutes upon the oil situation in this country. I thought the House might be interested in knowing something about how an oil well is drilled, the methods of commerce, and the custom of the business. The Ways and Means Committee is to be called on next Thursday to commence hearings on this question of the oil situation in the United States.

In order to deal intelligently with a business, the House of Representatives ought to have some idea and have some understanding of the business as it is carried on.

The general impression prevailing throughout the United States is that there is an overproduction of oil. I will deal with that later in my remarks.

Of course, the great question in the oil country has always been where to find oil. All kinds of methods and all kinds of systems have been devised to undertake to discover where the oil is under the surface of the ground. Great geologists have established in Oklahoma one of the greatest geological schools in the world.

Oil, as you know, was discovered by accident, as it were, in Pennsylvania, in 1859, up at Titusville. There were oil seeps in the ground that led to the discovery. There are oil seeps throughout the oil-bearing country that lead to the discovery of oil.

I was astounded while in Alaska to find that there was oil way up near the volcano Katmai. There they have small oil wells which were found from the seeps seeping out of the ground. It is interesting to know that a great volcano sometimes sends up its black smoke for days. This evidently is because there is a seepage of oil into the volcano, and the oil is burned up by the volcano.

For the discovery of oil they early used all kinds of divining rods and all kinds of methods by which they try to find where the oil is under the ground. You have also heard of the water witch, which came down to us from England. Our foreparents in England for centuries have followed the water-witch system; that is, to take a limb and put it in your hand and then carry it along until it dips, and there they say there is water. So they use divining rods to determine where oil is; but none of those things are to be relied on, and even the best geologists are not to be relied on.

Oil is found either in sand or in lime formations. The oil sand is similar to the sand in which water is found. When they drill a well for water they get to sand, and oil is found in the sand similar to the way water is found in sand, except that oil has back of it either a gas pressure or water pressure.

Under the rules of the game, if I own 80 acres of land and a man wants to lease that to prospect for oil, he makes a 5-year or 10-year lease. He pays me a bonus. He pays me so many dollars per acre and \$1 a year in rental. That \$1 in the way of rental is simply to postpone the drilling of a well or wells. He agrees to drill a well within 12 months. If he does not drill a well in 12 months he agrees to pay me \$1 an acre in rental, thus postponing the drilling for another 12 months. In other words, in leasing these lands the prime object is to have production, to produce, to drill. That is the system of leasing.

Some of the oil leases in other territories are for 10 years; and neighborhoods throughout the West, where there is a possibility of oil-entire neighborhoods-enter into a contract whereby they give 4,000, 5,000, 6,000, 8,000, or 10,000 acres in a single block to some oil company or some oil prospector, entirely free of any bonus, the contract being that somewhere in that block of land he will drill an oil well in order to see if there is oil and so they can develop their community. Now, here comes a man, and he takes my 80 acres, and he decides, either through a geologist or through some other method, to drill a well at a certain place. Most of the successful companies use geologists.

Mr. AYRES. Before the gentleman leaves the leasing proposition, will he yield to me for a statement, although I do not want to break in on the gentleman's statement.

Mr. McKEOWN. I am glad to yield to the gentleman. Mr. AYRES. In many counties in the gentleman's State and in the State of Kansas there are anywhere from 250,000 to 300,000 acres of land that have been leased to these companies, making that much of an income to the farmers in those localities.

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes. In Oklahoma at least 15,000,000 acres are under lease.

Mr. AYRES. But at the present time that has practically ceased?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes. He decides to locate some oil on my land. There was one successful oil producer in Oklahoma who decided where he would drill in this way: He would go out on a piece of land, pick up a rock, turn around two or three times, and throw the rock, and wherever the rock hit that is where he would drill. That was his system for finding oil.

A majority of them, of course, have experienced geologists. We will say he locates his well close to this line [indicatingl. He first has to dig a cellar, as it is called. He digs a place around which he must build his rig. He buys his lumber, and the oil industry buys millions upon millions of feet of lumber to use in building rigs. They erect the rig and then they move in their boilers and they move in their other drilling machinery. The oil business, again, buys millions upon millions of pounds of steel in order to carry on its operations. He moves in and he starts that well, we will say,

with a 16-inch casing. He will start, we will say, with a big steel casing, and then he starts to spud in. He is going to build this well, let us say, by the cable system; that is, the drill is fastened on a wire cable and is drilled by pounding or "percussion." He "spuds in," and when he gets down through the soil a piece, and for fear it may cave in, he runs down another casing. He runs down first a 16-inch steel casing. He will go perhaps 800 feet with a screw on the top, running it down in this way [indicating]. When he gets down 800 feet he has to reduce the size of his casing, so he reduces from a 16-inch casing to a 14-inch casing. He has to run the 14-inch casing, inside the first casing, from the top of this hole on down, and then he keeps on drilling and keeps on reducing the casing, but every one of the casings has to run from the top down to where it stops. He drills down an average depth of 2,100 or 2,300 or 2,500 or 2,800 feet, and in going down he is watching all the time the "drillings" that comes out. He watches that for signs of oil. If some morning he walks out there to the slush pit, where the bailers slush this water out with the sand-some morning in the bright sunlight if he sees a "rainbow"-he immediately begins to get busy, because the first sign of oil is when he sees that "rainbow" in the sand. He will then make an investigation to see if he has struck oil sand.

If he should be close to the sand and on account of the inadvertence of his driller he should penetrate too far and it should happen to be heavily ladened with gas, then, of course, he has struck a gusher, and it will come pouring right out; but a majority of the wells have to be shot with nitroglycerin, which is lowered in the well and touched off by electricity. The casing is lifted above the sand and set on cement, and after it is touched off, then it explodes and breaks the sand up so that the oil has free access and can come out.

This industry employs 2,000,000. This is the number of men employed directly in the oil business and has nothing to do with the other millions of men who are hired in the various industries that supply the needs of an oil field.

We will say he strikes down here [indicating] and hits oil, and it comes out 1,000 barrels a day from this well on my place here [indicating]. Over here [indicating] this land happens to be leased, because he has done, as most of the prospectors do, gone out and sold some of these leases to get money to drill this well. That is called "dry hole money." He has gone out and said to a big oil company, "I will sell you 80 acres offset from my well for \$100 an acre." If he hits oil, of course, they have a lease on that land. He is an independent fellow, he is just a fellow that has scraped up enough money to drill this one well. Now, he has drilled his well and he has brought in a 1,000-barrel well. Then what takes place? The big oil company across the line here immediately puts its well down 660 feet from this well, which is the custom-not the law-the law says " a reasonable distance," and 660 feet is the custom of the trade. They put down their well 660 feet over here immediately. Why?

Because oil in the law is like a wild animal. Oil in the law belongs to him who catches it or who gets it. So he goes down here on this side to keep this fellow from draining his land over here and taking the oil from under his land.

Oil has been demonstrated to be a movable thing under the surface and, of course, the man who taps it and gets it out is the owner, because he "captures" it. So this man goes down here immediately, and that is not all he does. As soon as he goes down here he moves over to another location here, and this fellow has got to drill here or he is going to have his side drained. So they drill along here and drill along here [indicating].

If this man has not got the money, it is not very long until they have "drilled him out," because he has not money enough to go on this other side and take the oil.

The oil is put in tanks. If it is discovered away from a field, where there is a tank line adjacent, then the question comes up as to how he is going to get his oil to market. If the field is large enough so that they can afford to build a pipe line, the pipe-line company will build a line there and he will take his oil out of his tank that he is able to put up.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman yield for a question?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. I am glad to hear the gentleman from Oklahoma detailing the work in an oil field and especially in relation to drilling, because it helps to explain the reason the oil men can not refrain from drilling, as many say they should, in the interest of conservation.

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. You start with the original lease, the contract with the lessor, and it compels the lessee, the independent oil man, to develop and keep on developing; it requires him to drill these wells and when he does drill them, other companies drill an offset which compels additional drilling. It is a minutia of legal obligation in these contracts and customs in the oil industry that compel this hurried flush production and the consequent waste and necessity for conservation.

Do you not think these legal requirements and customs that have grown out of the leasing act of 1920 and prior to that time should be revised so as to permit of drilling wells. Would not that be a great aid to the conservation and orderly development of these fields?

Mr. McKEOWN. I would say that here is the great difficulty of facing that program. This man drills over here and A is unable to drill opposite. A is compelled to pay the same royalty that the man pays across the line, although he has not a drop of oil out of his land. That is to protect the draining of his land. If he failed to carry out the contract, he must make good.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Emphasizing the force of what the gentleman said as to the legal right if any oil escapes after it is brought to the surface, if it escapes from the well and flows on the earth to other land, wherever the oil lands, it becomes the property of the man who owns that land. It is like a wild animal, and becomes the property of the man upon whose land it is taken. I had a lawsuit in which that was tried out.

Mr. McKEOWN. Oil is like a wild animal, and becomes the property of the man who takes it.

Mr. GOLDER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield.

Mr. GOLDER. After a well comes in, waiting for the

pipe line, is it not sometimes capped?

Mr. McKEOWN. If it has not excessive pressure, in bringing the oil out you will have sufficient tanks, which are always prepared in advance. But if there is an overflow of the earth tanks or not sufficient tanks they will cap the well. But there is a great deal of danger to property in capping a well which is under a great deal of force. The result might be detrimental to the property and adjacent property.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman permit a suggestion?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. After a well is brought in the law of most oil-producing States require that the well be pipe lined. There are exceptional cases where the gas pressure is so great as to completely eliminate all the ordinary precautions and it can not be brought under control for days.

Mr. McKEOWN. The pipe lines are made common carriers in most States. In other words, you must take whatever oils are offered. They carry the oil to the refiner. They settle every two weeks and pay the owner of the land and others who have an interest in it.

Now, I want to call your attention to the fact that the United States is divided into several districts as to the production of oil. It is interesting to note that all the way from Maine down to Mississippi—and we will have to change this line shortly because we have discovered gas in Mississippi and it will only be a short time before they will discover oil. None of this territory produces crude oil.

From here you have Pennsylvania and West Virginia, where we have produced in 1929, 25,962,000 barrels and refined 33,802,000 barrels, or they refined 7,840,000 more barrels in that territory than was produced in the territory.

Then you have here Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, and Tennessee, and Michigan-Michigan is going in for the production of oil. They produced 209,142,000 barrels and refined 110,349,000 barrels. In other words, they refined 89,435,000 more barrels of crude oil than was produced. Then you come to what is known as the mid-continent field-Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas.

In the Oklahoma-Kansas territory we produced 296,579,000 barrels, and we refined only 115,449,000 barrels. We were within 181,130,000 barrels of refining all of the oil that we produced in that territory. Then we have the "inland" Texas territory, with 250,102,000 barrels produced and 58,-310,000 barrels refined, or a difference of 191,789,000 barrels more produced than refined in that territory. Then there is a territory west of the mountains-California, Oregon, Washington, and all this territory-which produced 292,-037,000 barrels and refined 243,110,000 barrels, a difference of 48,927,000 barrels more produced than refined. Then there is a territory down here on the Gulf coast, with a production of 7,239,000 barrels and an importation into the same territory of 6,629,000 barrels.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Does the gentleman purpose placing in the RECORD a map with the figures and lines as drawn upon it which is illuminative of the subject and so much more easily understood than reading the figures?

Mr. McKEOWN. I would like to very much if I can get the permission of the Committee on Printing. I shall try to do it. There is territory over here where there is no production, but a large amount of oil is used, and instead of its coming from the mid-continent it comes from foreign countries-from Mexico and South America. There are two things that every American citizen would seem to want to be satisfied of. The first is whether or not we are going to destroy our oil industry by the method we are pursuing now in its development, and the next thing to determine is whether or not the laying of an embargo or restrictions on importations is going to raise the price of gasoline to the consumer.

Mr. ABERNETHY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. ABERNETHY. Suppose we help you out, your freight rates would make the price prohibitive, and we are now being petitioned from all down the coast against this very proposition. The gentleman makes an appealing argument, and if he could give us oil and gasoline just as cheap as we are getting it now, all well and good. I would like to have the gentleman address himself to that.

Mr. McKEOWN. First, is there any likelihood of our destroying our own oil by the methods we pursue in its production. Let us see what the situation is with reference to that. It is proposed by those who favor conservation that we conserve our oil in this country and drain the world. We heard that applied to coal. We were told to conserve the coal in the United States and to use up the world's supply. What has been the result? The absolute destruction of the coal business in this country. The importation of crude oil from Mexico, put into competition by Doheny with coal, has practically destroyed the coal business. If you want to destroy the oil business in this country, all you have to do is to "conserve" the oil in the United States and drain the world.

Mr. MORGAN. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield? Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. MORGAN. Is it not a fact that the substitution of oil for coal and the use of hydroelectric power has been what has produced the injury to the coal business?

Mr. McKEOWN. It has been a fact that we said we were going to conserve the coal in this country. My State has price of bread bears to the price of wheat?

enough coal to last the entire United States, at the present rate of consumption, for 150 to 200 years. Our coal mines are shut down to-day because there is practically no demand for coal.

Mr. WURZBACH. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes. Mr. WURZBACH. The gentleman speaks of the argument that is made against the tariff on oil. Could not the same argument be made against the tariff on crops raised on the farm? We all know that the continual growing of crops on land impoverishes the land, and the same argument that is made against the tariff on oil might be made against a tariff on recurring crops on the land.

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes. I want to call the attention of the House to some startling figures to show the absurdity of the proposition of conserving oil. I read from the statement of one of the gentlemen, Dr. Ralph Arnold, who appeared before the committee in the other body. He said that from the oil fields now discovered, from the billions of tons of coal, and from the billions of tons of shale there may be recovered more than 750,000,000,000 barrels of oil, or enough to last the United States more than 500 years at the present rate of consumption. He also estimated that at least 70 per cent of our original sources of supply were still untouched.

Mr. BLANTON. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes. Mr. BLANTON. The gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. ABERNETHY] is afraid that possibly a tariff would raise the price of gasoline to the consumer. Is it not a fact that the Dutch Shell and the Gulf Refining Co. are shipping South American gasoline into New York in their tankers, and that they can lay down gasoline there for about 4 cents a gallon, and yet the price of gasoline here in Washington, 200 miles away, is 16 cents a gallon. That answers the gentleman's question.

Mr. McKEOWN. In years past in the oil trade the slogan has been "a feast or a famine." We either had a feast of crude oil or we had a famine of crude oil. That originated from the practice which existed years ago when the big companies, the Standard and other big companies, when they wanted to cut the price of crude oil they started the propaganda that there was a feast, and they cut the price of crude oil, but when they wanted to raise the price of gasoline they started the report that there was a famine, so the production of oil and the price of gasoline of late years has had no relation at all. Any sane man would think that if there was overproduction of crude oil and a low price of crude oil there would naturally exist a low price of gasoline, but such has not been the fact in the history of the trade, because there seems to be no real relation between the amount of oil available and the price of gasoline.

Mr. GLOVER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield.

Mr. GLOVER. I noticed recently, in driving from Arkansas to Washington, D. C., the price of gasoline ranged from 13 cents to 23 cents a gallon, depending upon the town in which I stopped to get it. Will the gentleman explain how it is there is such a great difference in the price of the same gasoline in possibly the same day's travel, within a very few miles of each other?

Mr. McKEOWN. Several of the States have placed a tax on gasoline. Some States have taxed more and some have taxed less, but, as a matter of fact, there are a lot of "tricks in the trade" in the differential in price of gasoline.

Mr. GLOVER. But in driving through the State of Tennessee the gentleman will find that prices in the same day in the same State range about as I have mentioned.

Mr. McKEOWN. I commend that to the attorney general of that State.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield. Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Does not the price of gasoline bear about the same relationship to crude oil as the

\_\_\_\_\_ 136, 255, 000

Mr. McKEOWN. Well, I do not know; but they are both |

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. But that illustrates the relationship?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes, sir.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Before the gentleman leaves the question of supply, did not Mr. Arnold who testified before the Senate Commerce Committee make an estimate that our visible oil supply in the sands at the present time exceeded 27,000,000,000 barrels, with enough of substitutes of shale and coal to last the country for 500 years?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes; that is true.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. And that at no time in the history of our country have we ever exhausted a natural resource.

Mr. McKEOWN. That is correct.

Mr. ARENTZ. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield.

Mr. ARENTZ. The gentleman a moment ago referred to the fact that about 70 per cent of the "well oil" supply still remained?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes, sir.

Mr. ARENTZ. For what year was that?

Mr. McKEOWN. That is 1929. From 1859 to January 1, 1929.

Mr. ARENTZ. It would be very interesting to put in the RECORD the same figures for 1921. If I remember correctly, at that time we were faced with an absolute famine of oil, and something had to be done or the automobiles would have to cease running. Of course we know how flush production has increased and has become prevalent in many, many sections of the United States. Is it the gentleman's belief that 70 per cent still remains?

Mr. McKEOWN. Well, it is undoubtedly 70 per cent. It is impossible to tell. It might be much more.

Mr. ARENTZ. Or it might be 500 per cent?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes. So far as we know to-day, you can not exhaust the oil. No oil field has ever been exhausted up to the present time.

Now, if you gentlemen would spare me a few moments I would like to complete my statement.

Mr. ABERNETHY. Will the gentleman yield? I want to be helpful and I have a high regard for the gentleman.

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield, briefly.

Mr. ABERNETHY. I wonder if the gentleman from Oklahoma would support a movement to break up this combination between the Shell, the Gulf, the Standard, and the Texas Cos., which keeps up the price of refined oil and keeps down the price of the crude oil, and then we would get somewhere and you would not put the burden on the nonproducing people down in our country.

Mr. McKEOWN. We are not going to put any burden on the gentleman's people.

Mr. ABERNETHY. I wonder if the gentleman would join us in a movement of that sort?

Mr. McKEOWN. If the gentleman will be patient with me and allow me to proceed, I will show you the situation.

Mr. GREEN. If we would let this oil come in it would have tendency to tear down the monopoly of which the gentleman speaks?

Mr. McKEOWN. I want to quote to you what a gentleman said in England. You, who are interested in American industry, listen to this:

#### CONSERVATION ONLY OIL AID IN SIGHT

New York, February 2 .- Asserting that the policy of conserva-J. B. Aug. Kessler, managing director of the Royal Dutch Oil Co., would sound the death knell for conservation in all other crude-oil-producing countries except Russia and Rumania.

In a statement made in London and given out here by the New In a statement made in London and given out here by the New York representative of the company, the world's largest oil producer, Mr. Kessler, said conservation and cooperation offered the only solution for the industry and that if conservation was halted, overproduction would be that much worse.

He said there was "no denying that producers who have voluntarily reduced their production have not been receiving for their crude oil a price which has enabled them to make both ends

meet," but argued the money results would have been worse with-

out conservation.

"The fact that conservation has not resulted in bringing prices to a satisfactory level only proves that the overproduction during 1929 and the accumulation of stocks has been so serious that even a year of conservation could not result in a reasonable price for crude oil and its products."

He dissented from the view of some producers who have lost confidence in the beneficial effect of conservation that "the only way to bring things back to reasonable conditions is to let free competition take its course and to let the law of supply and demand

settle things.

In Mr. Kessler's opinion free application of the law of supply and demand is old fashioned and out of date so far as the oil industry is concerned.

There has been a great reform in business methods of the big oil companies apparently from the days when the Standard Oil brutally stifled competition and stamped upon opposition which culminated in the great dissolution decree in the days of unique American Theodore Roosevelt.

When you scrutinize the situation carefully to-day you find the same wolf but dressed in grandmother's clothing and talking in grandmother's voice, while the public still

plays the part of Little Red Riding-hood.

I quote from an Associated Press report under date February 2, wherein Mr. J. B. Aug. Kessler, managing director of the Royal Dutch Oil Co., says-

Let us pause and see who is giving this advice to the lawmakers and people of the United States. It is from a company that has around 100 subsidiaries throughout the world, and whose production in foreign fields for 1929 were as follows:

	Crude oil	Barrels
Venezuela		62, 696, 000
		. 14, 627, 000
Egypt		1, 934, 000
Trinidad		
Argentina		107,000

In the United States, 1929, 54,033,000.

In 1928 they produced 47,000,000 crude oil and ran 75,000,000 to their stills.

With a foreign production of 136,255,000 barrels of crude oil and 54,033,000 of domestic crude it is apparent that to conserve the smaller production rather than curtail the larger production would be advantageous, especially when the smaller production is located in a country where the best market in the world exists.

Mr. MORGAN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield.

A total of\_\_\_\_\_

Mr. MORGAN. Is the gentleman speaking of the Shell Co. now?

Mr. McKEOWN. Here in the United States it is known as the Shell Union Oil Co. It is, however, usually referred to as the Dutch Shell.

When you inquire as to the cause of the present depression in the oil industry you are answered by the statement that there is overproduction in the United States. Then, if that be true, a curtailment would not help the industry because it is axiomatic that if you produce the American requirement of any particular product, or a surplus, a tariff or embargo would be of no avail. In other words, if there is no oil coming in here to hurt this price and we are producing all we require, then it would not help them. That is what they say. That would be true, but let us see.

Then, why should there be any objection to a tariff or embargo under such conditions? We do not repeal the tariff on wheat, although we have a surplus of wheat. The farmers asked for it and we gave it to them. Although wheat prices are the lowest in years the farmers have not asked the repeal of the tariff. As a matter of fact, the so-called overproduction is a fallacy propagandered by the oil companies engaged in producing and importing cheap oils produced in foreign lands.

Take the 12-year period from January 1, 1918, to December 31, 1929, the United States produced 7,996,103,000 barrels of crude oil and for the same period the demand was 8,592,-910,000 barrels, as follows:

7, 539, 508, 000 For refining\_\_\_\_\_ 164, 063, 000 889, 339, 000 For all other purposes\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_ 8, 592, 910, 000

Thus it is obvious that the total demand exceeded the

production by 596,807,000 barrels.

On December 31, 1929, we had in storage approximately 541,000,000 barrels, which shows that during that period we imported 950,000,000 barrels of foreign oil, or about 350,-000,000 more than sufficient to meet the demand.

How can any American industry live if you permit importations to go not only to the point of meeting domestic requirements but to store half of a year's American demand? The most monstrous fallacy that the proponents here have to meet is that of "Conserve our oil and drain the world." I will not attempt to show the powerful potentiality of American oil fields and shale, but will content myself to a short discussion of draining the world. In two of the great oil-producing countries of the world, namely, Venezuela and Persia, there is little domestic consumption and it follows that nearly all of their production is exported.

Russia is curtailing domestic consumption in order to convert as much as she can into cash to carry on her great 5-year industrial program. Russia to date has produced over two and one-half billion barrels of oil and some of her fields have produced at the rate of 250,000 to 500,000 barrels

The Baku field, probably the best known of all the Russian oil fields, has produced an average of about 324,000 barrels to the acre over the entire field, an area of over 9 square miles. Yet she produced more oil in 1929 than ever before.

Now, what does she propose to do? Russia proposes to produce more oil. She proposes to produce 31 per cent more oil in 1930 than she did in 1929. She proposes to produce, in 1933, 90 per cent more than she produced in 1930. She proposes to bring her production up to a tremendous quantity. Here is a statement issued by the soviets on the 7th of this month. In that statement they say they expect in 1933 the completion of their 5-year program and they propose to produce 90 per cent more than their production was in 1930.

Let us see what is going on. We talk about taking care of an industry. This industry is not asking to make money. This industry is simply asking to have a chance to live. I hold no brief for any "independent" operator. I hold no brief for any Standard Oil Co. or any large company, but I stand here to talk for a business that affects the people of the United States, because we buy millions and millions of dollars' worth of your steel; we buy your rope; we buy your lumber; we are among the best buyers of your steel products. We pay the highest wages in our oil fields and we employ 2,000,000 men in this industry. We not only do that, but we pay out our money to the farmers for rents and leases and far more money for royalties on oil actually produced.

Now, let us see what is taking place. One company alone, the Dutch Shell Co., is trying to control the fields of the world. This map shows the fields you are going to drain while you are "conserving" the fields of the United States. You are going to try to drain the world's supply. The British Empire must have oil. The British Empire is a great seagoing power, and by reason of her power on the sea she is interested in oil and must have oil. She has her hands in all these oil fields. These oil fields inside of five years will supply our great export trade so we will have no export trade.

Mr. ARENTZ. Name the companies.

Mr. McKEOWN. The first one is the Dutch Shell, in the Dutch East Indies. They practically absolutely control this vast area. Here are the Russian fields; these are the South American fields; and these are our own United States fields. This is a map of the world oil situation. I want to show you the Dutch Shell holdings. Here are the three companies: The Dutch Shell, the Anglo-Persian, and the Burmah Oil,

with a combined refining capacity of 1,150,000 barrels a day. While the British Government may not own a single share in the Dutch Shell group of companies, it unquestionably "controls," in a general way, its operations. Likewise it exerts a powerful influence in shaping the policy of the Burmah Oil Co., and it actually owns over 50 per cent of the "common" stock of the Anglo-Persian Oil Co. Here is what is taking place in one of these countries-in Iraq or Mesopotamia: The French Government holds a one-fourth interest in the Iraq concession. Gasoline, fuel oil, and lubricating oil are very desirable in France. A well was drilled and it came in at 96,000 barrels a day. Since that time 15 more wells have been drilled, with the result that Iraq's potential" production to-day is probably 1,500,000 barrels a day. All of these 16 wells are capped to-day. But France needs oil-must have oil. So she began to raise a fuss, and that field is going to come in shortly because France is going to demand that she get her part of that oil because she needs it. The Dutch Shell Co. owns more than 100 different subsidiaries. The Dutch Shell Co. has combinations in the United States and just recently it bought out a large part of the field in California. This map was made before that company went into California. This map shows that they have a United States crude capacity of 228,000 barrels a day and that they have a cracking capacity of 113,500 barrels a

In 1929 the Dutch Shell was the largest producer of crude oil in the United States, with an output of more than 54,000,000 barrels. We are sitting here allowing them to go down into Venezuela, bring their oil in here, and refine it. They bring their oil to these refineries in the Dutch West Indies, and then ship the gasoline directly into the Atlantic seaboard territory that I told you was a nonproducing territory. They send it in here and they put an import value on that gasoline of 8 cents a gallon. They say it costs them 8 cents to bring it in, although they are not paying any tariff. They say it costs them 8 cents and we can only get from 41/2 to 5 to 6 cents for our gasoline in this country.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Is it not a fact that the Big Four, composed of the Gulf, the Dutch Shell, and the two Standards, are enthusiastic conservationists at home, in order to compel independents to shut in their production, and the champions of exploitation abroad in order to seize the American market and control the price?

Mr. McKEOWN. They are all interested together. These companies in this country own one-fourth of this field over here. They are going everywhere and they want to bring that oil into the United States. I have no objection to them bringing their cheap oil in here to refine it and sell it abroad: I have no objection to them doing that, but I do object to them bringing that cheap oil here and selling it here, because it is not the kind of oil produced in the mid-continent field. Mr. Doheny brought the first oil to this country from Mexico in 1908, and it was a low grade of oil. He brought it in here and put it in competition with eastern coal.

Then they brought in what they called "tapped" oil-that is, oil from which the small amount of gasoline had been refined out.

The truth about it is this: If you are not going to do something for this industry, you are not only going to destroy the independent oil operator in this country but you are going to destroy an industry that is now holding down the price of gasoline to your consumers. If you allow these big companies to destroy the little, independent operator, who is now hanging "by his eyebrows," your consumers are going to pay for it. Why do I say this? In Venezuela and in Colombia where they can produce oil for less than 70 cents a barrel, what is the price of gasoline in Bogota, Colombia, to-day? Fifty-five cents a gallon, and yet they are producing crude oil in that same country for less than 70 cents a

Now, what is going to take place in the United States when you are trying to drain the oil supply of the world and conserve the supply here? They asked us to "conserve" and we have been conserving, and down in Oklahoma we are not allowed to take over 5 per cent a day and in some places it is as low as 2 per cent. We can run but a small part of our oil out of the ground—in fact, Oklahoma can to-day produce easily four times as much as it is allowed to produce. We are asking you to give us a chance to run out of the ground only what we have already discovered. If you want to stop us from discovering any more oil, all right, but we want to run what we know that we have.

Mr. SCHAFER of Wisconsin. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. SCHAFER of Wisconsin. If the Republican administration makes provision for an adequate tariff or embargo to protect the gentleman's independent oil producers, will the clip-sheet propaganda of the mortgagee of the Democratic Party, Mr. Raskob, circulate propaganda against us indicating how many billions and billions of dollars it is going to cost the consuming public, the same as was done with respect to other tariff rates which were necessary?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yielded to the gentleman to ask me a sensible question. I suppose Mr. Raskob is able to take care of himself just like Mr. Lucas is able to take care of himself on the Republican side. I do not want to get into any controversy here with the gentleman over a lot of foolishness when I am talking a lot of sense.

Mr. MORGAN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. MORGAN. What percentage of our domestic consumption do we produce, and what is the relation of our productive capacity to total capacity?

Mr. McKEOWN. We produced in 1930 just about what our markets for gasoline require. We may have more in the ground and we could, no doubt, potentially produce far more than was required last year.

Mr. AYRES. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. AYRES. I would suggest to the gentleman that in view of the fact there is so much demand for time on this side, the gentleman complete his remarks and then yield if he has any time remaining.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. McKEOWN. I yield to the gentleman from Oklahoma.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Much has been said about depleting the oil resources of the United States. Is it not a fact that every single, solitary country in South America has oil possibilities and that they have just scratched the surface down there?

Mr. McKEOWN. That is our information, I will say to the gentleman.

Now, let us close this proposition up and let us come to the question of the price of gasoline, because that is what you gentlemen want to know about who live in the States that do not produce oil.

I am not here to ask you to put something on my people to help them that would ruin your people. I am here asking for nothing more than an opportunity to preserve to you the right to buy cheap gasoline in the future. I am not asking you to give us something we are not entitled to or to give us any preference.

There are two fundamental reasons why a control of the oil will not increase gasoline prices. The first of these is that crude-oil prices and gasoline prices do not fluctuate in any sort of fixed ratio to each other. Almost anyone here would think that when you produce a lot of oil and have plenty of oil available, the price of gasoline would go down, but this is not the fact, and has not been the fact in the past.

As an illustration, take the years 1926 and 1929. In February, 1926, the price of mid-continent crude oil was \$2.04 a barrel, and in February, 1929, the price was \$1.20 a barrel. In 52 cities selected at random and wholly scattered throughout the entire United States, the price of gasoline averaged 18.09 cents per gallon in February, 1926, and 18.39 cents in February, 1929.

Furthermore, in 1926 the refiners of the United States recovered an average of 36 per cent of the gasoline per barrel of crude oil, and in 1929 the average recovery was 44 per

cent. This means that in February, 1926, refineries got 15.12 gallons of gasoline out of a barrel of crude oil that cost \$2.04, while in February, 1929, they got 18.39 gallons of gasoline out of a barrel of crude oil that cost \$1.20, and still the price of gasoline was 0.31 cent per gallon higher.

Second, in 1929 the United States produced approximately 1,007,000,000 barrels of crude oil. During the same year slightly less than 79,000,000 barrels of crude oil was imported. The imports were therefore less than 8 per cent of the total supply of crude oil, and a tariff of \$1 a barrel on this imported oil would therefore have affected less than 8 per cent of the 1929 current supply of crude oil.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Will the gentleman yield there?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. Is it not a fact that the average price of crude oil, 36 gravity, throughout the United States to-day is 97½ cents?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. GARBER of Oklahoma. And yet the price of gasoline is higher than it has been when oil was selling at \$2.04 a barrel.

Mr. McKEOWN. That is true; and that is the reason I am stating to you gentlemen who live in non-oil-producing States that, if you allow the little, independent producer to be put out of this game, then you have lost all your chances for low prices. [Applause.]

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Okla-

homa has expired.

Mr. McKEOWN. Mr. Chairman, I am anxious to see this Congress pass beneficial legislation at once to help this industry. I commend the gentleman of Kansas [Mr. Ayres] for his successful efforts in securing the insertion into the independent offices appropriation bill of a provision that all our merchant marine ships shall purchase domestic oil, and also into the Navy appropriation bill as affecting Navy vessels.

Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from Texas such time as he may desire.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for one hour.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. The people of my district are vitally interested in the proposal to make possible the cash payment of adjusted-service certificates to World War veterans.

During my service as a Member of this House no proposed legislation has so commanded their unanimous and enthusiastic support.

Letters and telegrams have come to me from every county in my district, not only from the veterans but many others urging the passage of this legislation. They feel that the payment of these certificates will not only be of aid to the veterans, but will materially aid in the economic restoration of the country. With this view I am in full accord. Certain business interests are antagonistic, but these same interests have opposed all remedial legislation considered at this session of Congress. They likewise opposed most bitterly the action of Congress in 1924 when the veterans, after four years of delay, were awarded adjusted compensation. Secretary Mellon has persistently opposed all legislation of this kind, and upon his advice President Coolidge vetoed the bill in 1924 and Congress passed it over his veto. He predicted dire consequences if it was passed, but none of his prophecies were fulfilled.

The time will never come when the veterans can use to better advantage the proceeds of these certificates than they can right now. I receive daily letters of distress from veterans in my district and sometimes from their wives. Many of them are out of employment, they can find nothing to do, they and their families are hungry, they can not borrow, and they are too proud to beg.

I do not like to speak of unpleasant things but conditions require plain talk. The United States is in the throes of the most gigantic economic depression this country has ever known. It is confined to no section; it is nation-wide.

In the drought areas the suffering may be more acute than elsewhere, but there is suffering and hunger everywhere.

If something is not done to alleviate conditions before Congress adjourns on March 4, the American people will not hold guiltless those responsible for a failure to serve them in this the hour of their greatest need.

I realize full well that legislation is not a panacea for economic ills and that prosperity can not be restored by statutory enactment. But I do know that some measure of relief can be afforded.

Various measures have been proposed at this session of Congress which, if enacted into law, would measurably reduce the intensity of the suffering and bring some relief, but a Republican majority of 100 in the House has stifled all such legislation, just as they are doing this. I warn them now that if something is not done the day of reckoning will come.

It would consume too much space to publish the many letters I have received from people in my district urging support of this bill, but under consent given me by the House I publish herewith telegrams received from my district upon the subject.

MALONE, TEX., January 5, 1931.

Hon. LUTHER JOHNSON,

House of Representatives:

This post unanimously urges passage of legislation proposed to pay World War veterans' insurance certificates in cash for full face value.

EVERETT KORNEGAY POST 481

Roy A. OLIVE. Post Commander.

CAMERON, TEX., January 27, 1931.

Representative Luther A. Johnson,

Washington, D. C .:

Edwin Hardy Post, No. 9, American Legion, Department of Texas, 200 strong urge the immediate passage of legislation for the payment of adjusted-service certificates. There is great need among ex-service men in this section, and economic distress will be much

W. R. Hoover, Commander,

CORSICANA, TEX., February 2, 1931.

Hon. Luther A. Johnson, M. C.
House of Representatives:

Members of Johnson Wiggins Post, No. 22, American Legion, Members of Johnson Wiggins Post, No. 22, American Legion, and other ex-service men of Navarro County urge you to use your influence in favor of full payment in cash of adjusted-compensation certificates as soon as possible and to oppose any compromise which does not include immediate relief and payment in full when you appear before House committee. Terms of Patman bill have been unanimously indorsed on two occasions by ex-soldiers of this county. Many ex-service men in this county in real need of relief at this time and immediate attention of Congress would prevent much unnecessary want and suffering. Members of this post feel that they can count upon your support at all times and extend their gratitude in advance for your representation of us before the House committee.

JOHNSON WIGGINS POST, No. 22, ANDREW G. STEELE, Commander. A. L. SPRINGFIELD, Adjutant.

ROCKDALE, TEX., January 16, 1931.

Hon. LUTHER A. JOHNSON,

House Office Building:

At a regular meeting of Carlyle Post, No. 358, a resolution was adopted to indorse Patman bill (H. R. 3493) providing immediate payment of adjusted-service certificates. Will greatly appreciate your efforts in bringing this legislation about.

HARRY MOODY, Commander. M. N. STRICKER, Adjutant.

OFFICE OF MILES SCRIVENER POST, No. 454, AMERICAN LEGION,

Hearne, Tex., February 2, 1931.

Hon. Luther A. Johnson,
Representative, Sixth Congressional District,
House of Representatives, Washington, D. C. Dear Sir: A call was sent out by the commander of this post to all World War veterans of this locality, asking them to meet in a body for the purpose of discussing the proposed Wright Patman bill, now pending before Congress, which provides for the immediate cash payment of the adjusted-service certificates now held by all ex-service men

held by all ex-service men.

At this meeting we had present 31 Legion members of this post and 20 nonmembers. A resolution asking for the immediate full cash payment of all adjusted-service certificates was passed by

the vote of every man present.

As our Representative from this district, we request your influence and support of this very important measure.

Yours very truly,

C. E. CORNFORTH, Commander, J. A. Carson, Adjutant. M. A. McNiel, Service Officer.

STEPHENS A. GRAVE POST, No. 307, AMERICAN LEGION, Kerens, Tex., December 9, 1930.

SEVENTY-FIRST CONGRESS, Washington, D. C .:

We ex-service men of the World War, loyal to our country, left our personal interest and homes and enlisted in the United States forces regardless of price, to defend our rights during the

emergency.

Now during the present emergency of unemployment is it just and right for us to depend on you to introduce and enact laws to protect the existence of unemployed ex-service men, farmers, and laborers all over the United States? We believe it is, and we believe the quickest relief to unemployed, farm, and labor situation all over the States as a whole would come from the immediate paying off of the World War veterans' compensation certificates. We therefore ask that Congress seriously consider paying these certificates at this session to immediately relieve the emergency of unemployment all over the United States.

MADISONVILLE, TEX., February 5, 1931.

Hon. LUTHER A. JOHNSON.

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

DEAR MR. JOHNSON: At our call meeting of ex-service men of Leon and Madison Counties we had 91 present, at which time we passed unanimously the following resolution:

"We, the undersigned ex-service men who were present at this meeting, respectfully request that you support the Wright-Patman bill which is now pending before Congress, calling for the immediate payment in cash of the adjusted-service certificates. In our opinion this would do more toward relieving the depression and opinion this would do more toward relieving the depression and suffering which is now existing, not only among the majority of the ex-service men but the people in general in this part of Texas. We are bitterly opposed to the Young plan or any other plan calling for any kind of compromise, as the only logical solution to this depression is the payment in cash of these certificates."

We have in our files the original signatures of the 91 present at

our meeting, and if you care to have these we will forward same

to you.

Yours very truly,

C. L. McIVER Chairman of above meeting.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, STATE OF TEXAS. Austin, January 29, 1931.

Hon. LUTHER JOHNSON, Member of Congress, Washington, D. C.

MY DEAR MR. JOHNSON: The members of the American Legion of my district are very much interested in the Wright Patman bill.

I trust you are favorable to this bill, and will use your influence toward the final passage of the same. It will be a great relief to the ex-service men of our State. I remain

Yours very truly,

HENRY A. TURNER, Member Fifty-sixth District.

SIM B. ASHBURN POST, THE AMERICAN LEGION, DEPARTMENT OF TEXAS, Groesbeck, Tex., January 10, 1931.

The Hon. Luther Johnson, Congressman (Texas), Washington, D. C.

DEAR SIE: This post of the American Legion, to which I have the honor of belonging, has further honored me by requesting me to solicit your support for the above bill. The entire post feels confident that you will throw all your excellent energies into a fight for such laudable legislation. We feel that it is unnecessary in such an affair to urge you more than to say that we feel supremely confident that you will support unstintingly this most excellent and necessary bill.

Allow me to add my personal solicitation to that of the post.

Sincerely yours,

R. G. (Bob) Hill, Departmental Chaplain (Texas).

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield three minutes to the gentleman from Kansas [Mr. Strong].

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, I am in agreement with my friend from Oklahoma [Mr. McKeown] on this subject. I have no oil in my district, but I believe that American industry should be taken care of. [Applause.] I believe that we should not import oil from other countries when our own oil can not find a market. I am in favor of anything that will bring relief to the oil industry. [Applause.]

I favored a tariff on oil when we were framing the tariff bill of 1922. I favored such a tariff last year. I still favor such a tariff, but I think we all realize that it is not going to be practicable to have another tariff bill this year, but Senator Capper has introduced a bill in the Senate for an embargo on oil and it has been favorably reported by the Senate committee. I understand the steering committee of the Senate has given it preference in consideration. The gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. GARBER] has introduced a like bill in the House.

I want at this time to urge every Member who is in favor of the protection of American industry and American enterprise and American labor to get behind these two bills and let us pass them at this session.

Surely when we have an oversupply of American oil, when our own oil wells can not find a market for their oil, we should stop the importation of oil from foreign lands.

Mr. COLE. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Yes.

Mr. COLE. Would an embargo on oil interfere or violate our international relations?

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. I understand the bill is so drawn that it will not, but if it does I am for America first.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 15 minutes to the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. EATON].

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentlemen of the committee, some one asked me a moment ago what I was going to talk about. I said oil shale. He said. "That is the stepchild of the oil industry": and that is what I am going to talk about—the stepchild of the oil industry, oil shale.

Even though many people have expressed the idea that oil may be produced from that substance known as oil shale, I will not discuss the production of oil. My remarks will be limited to the situation in the Department of the Interior respecting oil-shale lands and the troubles arising out of the department's interpretation of the general leasing act of 1920, as it refers to oil-shale lands.

In June, 1929, there was introduced a bill in the House (H. R. 3754) for the purpose of clearing up some difficulties which had arisen in the Land Office in settling titles to oilshale land. Several other bills have since been introduced.

At that time patents had been granted by the United States covering 142,951 acres of oil-shale lands. There were pending 119 applications for patent covering 112,691 acres, many of which had been pending over five years. Litigation was pending in the United States Supreme Court, and on January 6, 1930, the first decision on an oil-shale question was handed down by that court. It did not support the position of the Department of the Interior. (Wilbur v. Krushnic, 280 U. S. 306.) Shortly thereafter there was appointed a subcommittee of the Public Lands Committee of the House to investigate the oil-shale land situation. A number of hearings were held.

After the decision of January 6, 1930, a number of the cases pending in the Land Office were disposed of and patents issued to 41,449 acres. New applications had apparently been filed on from 13,000 to 14,000 acres of land.

The Secretary of the Interior advised under date of February 6, 1931, that patents had now been issued to 184,400 acres of oil-shale land, and that there are still pending applications for patent for 84,800 acres of land. It must be remembered that all these lands are subject to patent under the old mining laws of the United States, and if patentable at all were included in the exception to section 37 of the general leasing act of 1920.

The Committee on the Public Lands have recommended that section 37 of the general leasing act of 1920 be amended by adding thereto the following:

Provided, That upon the 1st day of July, 1932, every oil-shale placer-mining claim shall be deemed abandoned and forfeited to United States, except every such claim then included pending application for patent and every such claim upon which not less than \$100 worth of labor shall have been performed or improvements made before 12 o'clock m. upon said 1st day of July, 1932, and an affidavit thereof filed on or before the 1st day of October, 1932, in the United States land office for the district in which each such claim is situated. day of October, 1932, in the United States land office for the district in which each such claim is situated. And upon every such claim not so abandoned or forfeited, not less than \$100 worth of labor shall be performed or improvements made on or before 12 o'clock m. upon the 1st day of July, 1933, and an affidavit thereof filed on or before the 1st day of October, 1933, in said land office: Provided, however, That in lieu of the said performance of labor or making of improvements, the owner of every such claim may pay to the register of said land office the sum of \$100 within the periods so allowed for performance of labor or making

of improvements, and every such payment shall be included in the computation of the \$500 worth of labor or improvements re-quired as a condition to issuance of patent: *Provided further*, That performance of such labor or making of improvements shall not be required upon or for any such claim included in an applinot be required upon or for any such claim included in an application for patent during pendency of such application; in event of rejection of such application or of cancellation of any mineral entry allowed upon such applications, not based upon invalidity of claim, the owner of the claim shall be allowed one full year after such rejection or cancellation within which to perform the labor or make the improvements required, or make payment in lieu thereof, and such performance, improvements, or payment shall continue such claim in good standing until the expiration of

such year.

SEC. 37a. The acquisition of possessory title to any such claim by an innocent purchaser for a valuable consideration, and without knowledge or notice of fraud or invalidity affecting the location of such claim, shall constitute a complete defense to a charge of lack of substantial interest of any person appearing of record as a locator of the claim.

SEC. 37b. Any such claim for which application for patent shall not have been filed on or before July 1, 1934, shall be deemed abandoned and forfeited to the United States without further action, proceeding, or assertion of title by the United States.

SEC. 37c. Nothing in this act contained shall be construed as applicable to any mining claim other than oil-shale placer-mining

The general mining laws of 1872 prescribed the manner of initiating and obtaining title to oil-shale lands until the 25th day of February, 1920, upon which day there became effective the "general leasing act of 1920" (41 Stat. 437, U. S. C., title 30, sec. 193) which entirely changed the publicland policy of the United States as to all lands valuable for oil shale and the other minerals mentioned in the act. The last section of the act (sec. 37) expressly provided that deposits of oil shale and the other minerals mentioned should be thereafter subject to disposition only under the new leasing system, with an exception, however, in the following

Except as to valid claims existent on February 25, 1920, and thereafter maintained in compliance with the laws under which initiated, which claims may be perfected under such laws, including discovery.

During the first decade of this new leasing policy of the law, numberless disputes and endless litigation have resulted from the interpretation put upon this exception by the officials of the Department of the Interior, resulting in a decision of the United States Supreme Court upon January 6, 1930 (Wilbur v. Krushnic, 280 U. S. 306), upholding the contention of oil-shale landowners concerning the doing of annual labor. But in that decision the Supreme Court used a phrase which was immediately interpreted by the officials of the Interior Department as a warning or intimation that it should "challenge" practically all then existing oil-shale placer-mining claims.

Pursuant to this interpretation, departmental employees were called from other fields, many crews of men were put to work, and from April to November, 1930, these crews posted notices on more than 6,400 oil-shale placer-mining claims which stated that the United States has resumed possession of these lands.

In the hearings before your committee it was contended that this procedure was probably without legal effect. Evidence showed that it had stirred up the apprehension of many persons who had heretofore rested secure on what they supposed were legal mining-land rights, understanding that their claims were valid, knowing they were in existence when the leasing law was passed February 25, 1920, and who, for reasons of their own, had made no recorded attempt to obtain patent for the lands.

It appeared that this plan of "posting" claims was initiated within a week of the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States and before time had expired for application for a rehearing.

Oil shales are known in Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, California, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and other States. Since the hearings of last year your committee was advised that maps prepared by the United States Geological Survey estimated 8,257,791 acres of oil-shale lands in the first three States named, all of which have been withdrawn by presidential order signed April 15, 1930.

General acreage statement after presidential withdrawal of oil-shale lands upon April 15, 1930

State	Total acreage of all lands in State	Acreage estimated as oil-shale lands by U. S. Geo- logical Survey	Acreage of naval re- serves in- cluded in oil-shale lands	Patents heretofore issued to oil-shale lands	Applica- tions for patent pending
Colorado	Acres 66, 526, 720 54, 393, 600 62, 664, 960	Acres 1, 496, 027 2, 754, 959 4, 006, 805	Acres 164, 560 191, 472 19, 481	Acres 1112,000 69,000 3,400	\$68,000 \$14,000 \$2,800
Total	183, 585, 280	8, 257, 791	165, 513	10 184, 400	11 84, 800

About 4½ per cent.
About 8 per cent.
About 4½ per cent.
About 4½ per cent.
About 3½ per cent.
About 2½ per cent.
About ½ of 1 per cent.

About 0.2 of per cent.
Less than 0.1 of 1 per cent.
0.007 of 1 per cent.
About 2.2 per cent.
About 1 per cent.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. COLE. Will the gentleman, in the course of his remarks, give us an idea as to the value of these lands, and when they will become valuable for oil purposes?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I am neither a prophet nor the son of a prophet. As to when the value that some people think is in these lands will begin to be turned into cash in pocket, I can not answer.

Mr. COLE. Have they extracted oil from the shale profitably?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Oil has been extracted from the shale by mining, crushing, heating, and pressure.

Mr. COLE. How many barrels are there supposed to be on 1 acre?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Some say 50,000 barrels and some say 800,000 barrels. Whether one man's guess of 50,000 or the other man's guess of 800,000 is correct or not has not been demonstrated.

Mr. COLE. They have actually extracted some oil from the shale?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. COLE. Do those experiments indicate what they can

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I can give the gentleman an idea of about how many gallons per ton of shale, but not about how many barrels per acre. In the experiments that have been made it has been demonstrated that from a ton of the oil-shale rock from 15 to 60 or 70 gallons of crude oil may be produced. That which runs less than 15 gallons per ton is called the lean shale, and in operation it would be the last to be used. In experimentation, experiments have been made with shales that produced from 15 to 60 or 70 gallons per ton.

Mr. COLE. This oil can not be produced in competition with oil from flowing wells, can it?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Apparently, as a commercial proposition, that statement is correct at the present time, because no large commercial plant has yet succeeded in doing more than its initial work, establishing small works, and finding that small production of oil from oil shale can not compete with big production of oil from flowing wells. In Nevada, Utah, and Colorado there have been small producing plants which have produced a few thousand barrels of oil from shale. The United States Government financed an experimental plant at Rulison, near Rifle, Colo., and for two years work was done there which proved that oil could be produced from shale by two separate and distinct processes.

Mr. COLE. The gentleman would not advise an innocent investor to put his money into shale lands in the hope of getting oil dividends in the future?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. That depends upon whether he had a small or a large amount of capital. If I have understood some of the things said before this committee, if a man had \$10,000,000 to \$15,000,000 he could then start a plant which would have production measured by thousands 2,500 tons if it was only 1 foot thick, and this oil shale,

of barrels a day, and his product could compete with well oil as long as that price is not less than a dollar a barrel.

Mr. MICHENER. The gentleman does not want to produce any more oil at this time, does he?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. The people that I am speaking for here are not asking about oil as a product of their oilshale land. They are simply trying to have ascertained their right to a patent to land which was initiated prior to the date that this type of conservation was impressed against oil-shale lands. It is not conservation, but is an absolute embargo by action of departmental officials.

Mr. MICHENER. The gentleman is not interested in his argument here in bringing into existence at this time more oil.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I have nothing to do with more or less oil. I am talking about oil-shale land and the title to it.

Mr. MICHENER. The gentleman's argument is addressed to the title to certain lands?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. MICHENER. And they happen to be oil-shale lands?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. COLTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. COLTON. Whatever value those lands have is entirely a potential value. Is that not correct?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Entirely; yes.

Mr. COLTON. May I say, also, in response to the suggestion made by the gentleman from Iowa [Mr. Cole] that the production per acre would depend entirely on the thickness of the shale. It differs very largely in every area, so that there would not be any definite answer to the gentleman's question as to how much they could produce per acre.

Mr. COLE. How deep does the shale run in some cases? Mr. COLTON. Does the gentleman mean the thickness of the shale?

Mr. COLE. Yes.

Mr. COLTON. In some cases 200 feet; in other cases, possibly 2,000 feet.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I yield.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. The larger part of this shale is in my own district. In some places it is 3,000 feet thick. It covers the bluffs for 50 miles along the Colorado River on each side. Those bluffs are very, very high.

Mr. COLTON. The ordinary depth or thickness of the shale would not be that much, however, on an average?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Oh, no.

Mr. COLTON. And it is impossible to say how much would be the yield per acre?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Oh, yes. No one can state that, although we had for two years an experiment station established there, and it did some very good work and demonstrated the production of oil out of shale.

Mr. COLTON. A great amount of the oil shale of the West is in the gentleman's district and in my own, and I do

not know that I would yield to him as to quantity.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Neither of the gentlemen agree with the Department of the Interior, for the Department of the Interior has found 1,496,000 acres in Colorado, all of which has been withdrawn by three withdrawal orders, the final one April 15, 1930, so that no further oil-shale land in Colorado is available for any purpose at the present time. On the same date 2,754,000 acres were withdrawn in Utah. At the same date, in Wyoming, which has not had as much of a run on these lands as has Colorado and Utah, 4,006,000 acres were withdrawn. Whatever oil-shale lands are actually in Nevada, from which there has been production at a plant that has produced shale oil and sold it in commercial competition, none is registered upon the list at the present time showing the departmental classifications and withdrawals.

Mr. ARENTZ. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I yield.

Mr. ARENTZ. Since an acre of land would contain about

according to the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. TAYLOR], might be as much as 2,000 feet or more thick, it is almost beyond comprehension how much potential oil there is in the shale of these districts of which the gentleman spoke.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. It is almost to laugh when we hear gentlemen talk about draining the oil resources of the United States and then to read in the reports available to-day of the result of experiments in oil shale and other sources of production of hydrocarbons—

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Col-

orado [Mr. Eaton] has expired.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman

from Colorado 15 additional minutes.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. And then find there are these outcroppings in the West and in the East, to which only natural processes are necessary to be applied to produce oil, and have the result run out of the end of the pipe at the other end as oil or petroleum, the natural processes required being some heat and some pressure to the shale, and then out comes the oil.

Mr. DUNBAR. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I yield.

Mr. DUNBAR. There is no question but what the shale of which the gentleman speaks and the shale that is to be found throughout some portions of the United States contains a quantity of oil that is almost astounding. The difficulty has always been to extract that oil at a price at which you would be able to compete with oil which flows from the depths of the earth.

In my region in Indiana there are strata of shale two or three or four hundred feet thick. From that shale can be extracted gas such as is sold in all of our municipalities. That shale will produce half as much gas in quantity with a very much higher calorific value than the best coal in the land, and although it could be obtained for practically nothing as compared with the cost of coal that is used for decarbonization, yet it would not be economically practical to extract the gas or gasoline from that shale.

The whole question is what methods can be found to extract this oil and this gas so as to make it available for use. My opinion is that it is not practicable, except as a last resort, and by that time, so far as gaseous or liquid fuels are concerned, electrical energy will be purchasable at a much less price than would justify the extraction of gas or

oil from the shale.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. But the pertinent point of the statement of the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. Dunbar] is that the people of Indiana have title to their lands and that title has been settled. They can do with their land as they see fit. They can either quit paying the taxes and let it revert to the Government or at any rate they have no interference on the part of the Government with the title to their land. That is the point to which I am addressing my remarks. I am not prophesying whether oil shale will ever be a basis of commercial production of oil or not. I will leave that to some of the gentlemen in the industry.

Mr. DUNBAR. I see the gentleman's point.

Mr. ARENTZ. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I yield.

Mr. ARENTZ. In Scotland there have existed for many years oil-shale plants producing oil and oil substances from shale, but the question in this country is, Can we produce it, with the prices now in operation in Scotland, at a price which will permit us to sell in competition with the oil that comes out of the ground?

Mr. DUNBAR. May I make a statement pertinent to the gentleman's statement?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I yield to the gentleman from

Mr. DUNBAR. Labor in Scotland is so much cheaper than labor in the United States that they can afford to extract in that country where under similar circumstances they would not be able to do so in the United States.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I am told there is commercial production of oil from shale in Australia, where the same comment about the wage scale might not be so applicable.

Mr. COLE. Will the gentleman yield for a thought?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I yield.

Mr. COLE. I am very much interested in this subject, because when the men who have oil wells and the men who have shale lands are through producing motor-vehicle fuels we in the agricultural sections of Iowa will be prepared to supply all future wants. In my home city we are now making furfural from our cat hulls, which contains fuel power that will run all the motor machinery of the world. So when you get through with your oil lands and shale lands we will come to the rescue.

Mr. MICHENER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. MICHENER. As a matter of fact, the gentleman is not advocating the production of anything from shale lands?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I have been advocating a means of straightening out the legal difficulties attendant upon obtaining title from the United States, covering some one hundred and odd cases that have been pending in the Department of the Interior on an average of five years to the case. It is interminable litigation.

Mr. MICHENER. It is a question of land titles?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. MICHENER. Then all of the injections by the gentleman's colleagues have not anything to do with the case?

Mr. EATON of Colorado. No.

#### DEPARTMENTAL SUGGESTIONS AND OPINIONS

Under date of November 6, 1930, the Secretary of the Interior addressed a letter to the chairman of the Public Lands Committee of the House suggesting legislation clarifying uncertainties in the law, and specifically mentioned the following:

First. Shall the policy of the leasing act be declared to require the performance of \$100 worth of assessment work each year on oil-shale claims under penalty of forfeiture to the United States?

Second. Shall the United States waive claims that default in assessment work forfeits a claim to the United States?

Third. Shall some future date be fixed upon which all claims whose assessment work has not been resumed be deemed abandoned? And provide that after some later date no further applications for patent on oil-shale claims heretofore challenged by the Government be granted? If so, such provisions should provide a test period within which all legitimate claims would come to a conclusion, either by patent or abandonment.

Fourth. What shall be done with questions of discovery? Fifth. What shall be done concerning character of proof

required on issue of bona fides of a locator?

It had also been suggested to your committee that it would be proper to consider the wisdom of changing the requirements of the \$100 worth of annual labor upon each oil-shale claim, and in lieu thereof require the owner to pay \$100 in lawful money to the United States annually for each claim which he desired to retain.

An opinion was requested of the Secretary of the Interior concerning the effect of a proposal to change the requirement from the doing of annual labor to the payment of the money directly to the Land Office. Under the personal signature of the executive assistant to the Secretary of the Interior, a memorandum on the subject was submitted in which a majority of those who considered the matter at the department concurred. (This memorandum is set forth in full in the report of the hearings during the third session of the Seventy-first Congress.)

### HEARINGS

Hearings upon bills introduced in the first and second sessions of this Congress were commenced in the spring of 1930. The printed reports thereof were available before the adjournment of the second session.

Upon reconvening of the third session, more hearings were held; opportunities to present their views were afforded everyone who was interested or expressed any desire to be heard. The Secretary of the Interior, in person, restated his desire that Congress enact remedial legislation.

The following is the list of all the bills which have been introduced during all sessions of the Seventy-first Congress, and disposed of by the action of this committee on H. R. 15002:

H. R. 3754, H. R. 12802, H. R. 13191, H. R. 15002, H. R. 15130, H. R. 15131, and H. R. 15132.

After careful consideration of all information available, your committee prepared a substitute for all pending bills, and submits that it clarifies the controversial points in a spirit which is fair to the Government, and at the same time respects every existing valid right now held by any individual claimant. It defines a policy for the guidance of the Department of the Interior, and creates two new requirements not heretofore found in the mining laws, but which appear to be necessary, proper, and advisable for the final disposition of the questions affecting oil-shale lands only. These changes do not apply to any other class of public lands.

#### TWO NEW REQUIREMENTS

The first and most important of these new requirements is that every claimant for oil-shale lands must apply for patent on or before July 1, 1934.

Never before in the history of mining law has an owner of a possessory right upon mining lands been required to obtain a patent for his lands. It has always been his recognized legal right to exercise practically all the privileges of fee ownership of mining lands upon ownership, merely, of the possessory mining title. The enactment of the leasing law created an entirely new status for oil-shale lands. No new locations may be made. All old locations are the subject of controversies with departmental officials. The principal controversies have affected hundreds, possibly thousands, of claims. The active litigation to settle the controversies has covered six years or more. The first decision of the United States Supreme Court did not support the basic policy of the departmental officials.

Everyone concedes there ought to be some end to the oilshale questions after 10 years of trouble, and that the Government ought to know who claims oil-shale lands. To meet this suggestion, there was drafted a second new requirement, which is that all those who have not applied for patent but who do claim oil-shale lands shall have until October 1, 1932, to record their claims in the proper land office, by filing an affidavit showing that they have done annual labor upon their claims during the period to expire at noon on July 1, 1932. Never before has an owner of any type of mining claim been required to notify the United States of his claim before filing application for patent. But it is deemed fair to the claimants of oil-shale lands and to the best interests of the Government that all claimants come forward within a reasonable time and state whether they will assert their claims. All who decide in the affirmative shall then either file an application for patent by July 1, 1932, or file an affidavit that they have performed the annual labor for the period expiring July 1, 1932.

# PERMISSIVE CHANGE IN REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL LABOR

The proposed changes also include a paragraph which it is believed will stop entirely a certain line of controversy, and, notwithstanding its novelty, will apply only to these oilshale lands, and will not affect, in any manner whatsoever, any other mineral claims. This clause permits the oil-shaleland claimant to pay the \$100 in money into the land office, instead of using it for work to be done upon or for the benefit of the claim, and also permits this amount to apply upon the patent-work requirements. Thus the good faith of every claimant is tested in exactly the same way as heretofore-that is, his annual cost will be \$100. Under the existing law this was measured by the worth of labor done or improvements made. He could do the work himself if he desired, or he could hire others to do the work. In any event, the result was the basis of measurement and evaluation by Government inspectors, who frequently used a different yardstick for value than miners who did the work for those who did not do their own work. He may still do the work upon the ground, personally or by employee, but if he desires to entirely obviate the assessment-work controversies in the future he may pay the money into the land office, where it

will go as all other money goes under the leasing law (for this statute is an amendment to the leasing law). His patent-work requirements will be given the same credit as if he had done the work on the ground.

CLAIMANTS MUST REACH FIRST DECISION BEFORE JULY 1, 1932, AND FINAL DECISION BEFORE JULY 1, 1934

The question of the necessity of doing annual labor upon oil-shale claims is settled by requiring those who have not applied for patent before July 1, 1932, and who still desire to hold and maintain their claims, to do their annual labor on or before the 1st day of July, 1932, at noon, with the same requirement for the next ensuing year.

Then comes the date for final decision. On or before the 1st day of July, 1934, every claimant must apply for patent; otherwise, his new as well as his old expenditures will be forever lost, and all title to the lands will automatically revert to the United States without further action on the part of the Government.

There is to-day a cloud upon about one-eighth of the present classified oil-shale lands of the United States in three States. There is no existing procedure to remove that cloud. This bill provides a procedure which will work automatically as to all those persons who have already rested upon their rights for 10 years; will give them a little over a year to determine whether they desire to perfect their title, and then 2 years more to complete their patent work and apply for patent. All who fail and refuse to do so will automatically forfeit the rights heretofore initiated after lapse of 12 to 14 years.

It must also be recognized that among those who have not yet applied for patent are a certain number of claimants who have maintained their claims under the laws of the United States and the well-known rules and customs of mining communities, which do not require a claimant to apply for patent at any time unless he desires so to do. That is the present law.

# STATUS OF CLAIMS NOW AND HEREAFTER INCLUDED IN APPLICATION FOR PATENT

A number of applications for patent are still pending and undisposed of. It is considered by applicants that annual labor is not required upon such claims. It is possible that for some technical reason not affecting the validity of the claim as a mining claim, patent may be denied at a time and under circumstances which would put such claims under default of annual labor under the terms of this bill. Therefore as to all such claims, and any others upon which patent may be applied for before July 1, 1932, it is provided that annual labor shall not be required upon any claim included in an application for patent. But if any claim is the subject of a rejection of the application for patent or cancellation of mineral entry allowed under application for patent, such claimant will be allowed one full year's time in which to either do annual labor or pay the money in lieu thereof to the land office while he is doing those things necessary to complete the qualifications for patent. This provision expressly permits the exercise of this right only when such rejection or cancellation is not based on invalidity of claim.

The next section of the bill (sec. 37a) gives an opportunity to a purchaser of a possessory title to an oil-shale placer mining claim to establish his own bona fides where a charge has been made that one or more of the original locators did not have a substantial interest in the claim. The same remedy was given in the general leasing act of 1920 to claimants for leases who were not original locators and who had derived their claims from locators or their transferees. If an applicant for patent is in fact an innocent purchaser for a valuable consideration and without knowledge or notice of any fraud or invalidity affecting the location of the claim, this shall be available to him as a complete defense as in other branches of the law concerning title to both real and personal property.

The closing sections of the bill (secs. 37b and 37c) expressly provide that all claims upon which applications for patent shall not have been filed on or before July 1, 1934, shall be deemed abandoned and forfeited to the United States without further action, proceeding, or assertion of title by the United States, and that nothing in this act shall be construed as

applicable to any mining claims other than oil-shale placer mining claims.

The following is a copy of that portion of the annual report of the Secretary of the Interior for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1930, which refers to oil-shale lands:

#### OIL SHALE

Oil-shale lands, though having no immediate value for oil, have received vigorous protection. During the past year, commencing last spring, every mining engineer in the General Land Office, save three, was called off other work, and under my personal orders assisted in identifying, examining, and physically posting more than 6,400 oil-shale claims with notice of default to the United States for failure of the claimant to perform assessment work. This followed the Supreme Court decision of Wilbur against Krushnic, which, although it reversed the department and sustained the claimants on the issue of assessment work, and so swept aside the bulk of our defensive procedure, left the possibility (though no certainty) that if the remaining claims were posted before resumption of work on the claim, a different result would follow. This posting work will continue during the current year, and the tremendous task of adjudicating these claims will get under way. A second test case in the courts may be expected. No leases have been issued under this administration. But oil-shale claims valid in 1920 can be taken to patent under the mining Oil-shale lands, though having no immediate value for oil, have shale claims valid in 1920 can be taken to patent under the mining Iaw, without any discretionary power in this department to decline to issue the patent. Accordingly about 42,000 acres have been

The following is the letter of the Secretary of the Interior of November 6, 1930, hereinbefore referred to:

NOVEMBER 7, 1930.

Hon. WILLIAM R. EATON,

House of Representatives.

MY DEAR MR. EATON: I am inclosing copy of a letter written to the chairman of the Committee on Public Lands on November 6 regarding legislation on oil-shale matters.

Very truly yours,

RAY LYMAN WILBUR

[Inclosure]

THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR, Washington, November 6, 1930.

Hon. DON B. COLTON.

Chairman Committee on the Public Lands,

House of Representatives.

MY DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Your attention is invited to the probable necessity for legislation clarifying uncertainties in the existing law respecting oil-shale lands, arising from the adverse Supreme Court decision of Wilbur v. Krushnic (280 U. S. 306).

As part of this general problem it should be made clear whether the policy of the mineral leasing act is, or is not, to require the performance of \$100 worth of assessment work each year on oil-

the policy of the mineral leasing act is, or is not, to require the performance of \$100 worth of assessment work each year on oilshale claims under penalty of forfeiture to the United States. This question under the mining laws prior to the mineral leasing act would necessarily have been answered in the negative. The effect of that act on this point is not clear, in view of the Supreme Court decision mentioned above.

Prior to February 25, 1920, unreserved public lands of the United States containing deposits of oil shale were subject to location, application, and entry under the provisions of the general mining laws and particularly those sections covering placer-mining claims. See instructions of First Assistant Secretary Vogelsang, May 10, 1920 (47 L. D. 548). The mineral leasing act of February 25, 1920, repealed the general mining laws as to certain minerals therein described, including oil shale, and subjected them to future disposirepealed the general mining laws as to certain minerals therein described, including oil shale, and subjected them to future disposition or development under the leasing act. The language providing for this repeal and future development under the new law is found especially in sections 1 and 37 of the act. But section 37 of the act contained an exception:

"As to valid claims existent at date of the passage of this act and thereafter maintained in compliance with the laws under which leaves under

which initiated, which claims may be perfected under such laws,

Approximately 8,000,000 acres of land in the States of Colorado,

Approximately 8,000,000 acres of land in the States of Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming have been classified or designated by the Geological Survey as shale-bearing lands, and it appears that prior to February 25, 1920, a large number of locations on such lands had been made by various persons under the placer mining laws.

The department took the position that the 1920 act inaugurated a new policy which required \$100 worth of work to be done each year on penalty of default of the claim to the United States, although prior to the 1920 act no such default would have resulted and the only penalty would have been the subjection of the claim to location by a third party. In the case of Wilbur v. Krushnic the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia, reversing the department's decision (52 L. D. 295), held that the Government was lacking in authority, after the leasing act as well as before, to compel forfeiture of a claim for nonperformance of assessment work. The United States Supreme Court (280 U. S. 306) affirmed the Court of Appeals and directed a mandamus to issue to compel the Secretary of the Interior to issue a patent. In the latter case, however, the court stated that section 37 of the leasing act protected prior shale placer-mining claims despite failure of claimant to perform work if such claimant resumed work thereon at a subsequent time "unless at least some form

of challenge on behalf of the United States to the valid existence

of challenge on behalf of the United States to the valid existence of the claim has intervened."

To test the effect of this qualification of the otherwise adverse Supreme Court decision, I directed that challenge immediately issue against all outstanding claims in default, and over 6,400 claims have accordingly been physically posted with notice of repossession by the United States. The legality of this action has been questioned by shale claimants.

The investigation posting and adjudication of these ages are

The investigation, posting, and adjudication of these cases presents an enormous burden. The department's action has, furthermore, accentuated the complaints of oil-shale claimants that the Government's processes are slow and have as their purpose the defeat of even legitimate claims. A restatement of legislative policy, left uncertain by section 37, is needed.

Three suggestions for legislative relief have been made to the

department:
(1) That the United States should waive the claim that default in assessment work forfeits a claim to the United States. (See also suggestions made in bills introduced in the 71st Cong., 2d sess. H. R. 3574, H. R. 11194, H. R. 12802, and H. R. 13111.)

(2) That Congress should set forth in specific language that the 1920 act changed the policy of the mining law, and from that date subjected a claim to forfeiture by the United States for failure to perform assessment work, although that result would not have occurred before the 1920 act.

(3) That some future date should be fixed upon which all claims whose assessment work has not been resumed shall be deemed abandoned, and providing that after some later date no further applications for patent on claims heretofore challenged by the Government will be granted. Such provisions would provide a test period within which all legitimate oil-shale locations would come to a conclusion either by retent or by shandonment.

vide a test period within which all legitimate oil-shale locations would come to a conclusion, either by patent or by abandonment. These questions are submitted without recommendation, as the problem is one of clarification of existing legislation.

We will be glad to render any assistance desired.

The specific question as to the assessment work discussed above is, of course, only part of the general problem as to the oil-shale lands and it may be that other phases of it not now covered by legislation, such as the questions of discovery and character of proof required on the issue of "bona fides" of a locator, will impress you as requiring legislative definition impress you as requiring legislative definition. Very truly yours,

RAY LYMAN WILBUR.

The following is the letter of the Secretary of the Interior of February 6, 1931:

THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR, Washington, February 6, 1931.

Hon. W. R. EATON,

House of Representatives.

My Dear Mr. Eaton: Referring to your informal request, the following is the latest approximate information relative to oilshale lands:

1. The areas classified by the Geological Survey as oil-shale lands that are shown on maps approved by me May 29, 1930, as being subject to the provisions of Executive Order No. 5327 of April 15, 1930, withdrawing public oil-shale deposits and lands containing same for classification, are: Colorado, 1,496,000 acres; Utah, 2,754,-000 acres; Wyoming, 4,006,000 acres; a total of about 8,256,000 acres.

2. The areas patented to date under oil-shale placers are: Colorado, 112,000 acres; Utah, 69,000 acres; Wyoming, 3,400 acres; a total of about 184,000 acres.

3. The areas included in oil-shale claims pending for patent are: Colorado, 68,000 acres; Utah, 14,000 acres; Wyoming, 2,800 acres; a total of about 85,000.

Very truly yours,

RAY LYMAN WILBUR.

Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield five minutes to the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. TAYLOR].

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Chairman, during the last few days I have been investigating the recent publication of the Congressional Biographical Directory. I have had the curiosity to compare the terms of service in the Congress of Members from the West and Members from other sections of the country. I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record, and to incorporate in my remarks some data which I have collected on that subject.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. CLANCY). The gentleman from Colorado asks unanimous consent to extend his remarks in the RECORD, and to incorporate therein some data collected on the subject mentioned. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Chairman, from my early boyhood days in the West I have occasionally noticed semifacetious and semiderogatory extracts from the eastern and northern press concerning the supposed "kaleidoscopic politics" of the so-called "wild and woolly West."

For the purpose of making a few official and historical comparisons on this subject I have been delving into the recently compiled Biographical Directory of the American Congress, 1774 to 1927, inclusive, and have prepared a sketch concerning the dates and length of service of the western Senators and Representatives in Congress.

For many years I have hoped to some time compile an official roll call of the West; to assemble in one chronological and alphabetical group our congressional pioneers, the men who have made our Federal legislative history and development and very largely shaped the destiny of the western half of our country.

Many millions of sturdy and intensely patriotic pioneer American men and women have devoted their lives to the constant perils, privations, tragedies, and untold hardships that were necessary in the reclaiming of a wilderness, and the settlement and development of the great West, and their struggles, courage, and determination, and adventurous spirits should and always will be gratefully revered. But the men who have been elected and sent to Washington during the past 80 years to officially represent and fight for the rights and necessities of those frontier Territories and States have always carried the banner and assumed and borne the personal and official responsibilities for our welfare; and the true history of that marvelous empire can never be written without according many of them a most important part.

I am quite certain that this data has never before been compiled in this concise and handy form.

It contains a large amount of important and accurate information that the general public have no access to or other way of obtaining.

I feel that it is and always will be of such historical interest throughout the Western States that it should be preserved in the Congressional Record, where the descendants of those history makers and students and everybody interested can readily refer to it.

The 16 States represented in this compilation comprising more than half the area of the United States and their respective dates of admission into the Union are as follows:

	Date of
State	admission
California	Sept. 9, 1850.
Oregon	Feb. 14, 1859.
Kansas	Jan. 29, 1861.
Nevada	Oct. 31, 1864.
Nebraska	Mar. 1, 1867.
Colorado	Aug. 1, 1876.
North Dakota	Nov. 2, 1889.
South Dakota	Nov. 2, 1889.
Montana	Nov. 8, 1889.
Washington	Nov. 11, 1889.
Idaho	July 3, 1890.
Wyoming	
Utah	
Oklahoma	
New Mexico	Jan. 6, 1912.
Arizona	

The record shows that since those 16 States were admitted into the Union, they have sent to Washington 204 United States Senators, of whom 133 were Republicans and 63 were Democrats; 4 were Populists and the other 4 were Independents of various kinds. Those same States have sent to Washington 429 Representatives of whom 264 were Republicans, and 130 were Democrats, 13 were Populists, and 22 were various kinds of Independents. During their Territorial days those States sent to Washington an aggregate of 105 Territorial Delegates to the House of Representatives.

While this data has been compiled at my request and under my personal direction, supervision, and arrangement, nevertheless it is only fair to say that the legislative reference service of the Library of Congress very kindly detailed an exceptionally efficient assistant, who did the tedious detail work of examining all the biographical directories of Congress from the treaty with Mexico of Guadalupe Hidalgo of February 2, 1848, to February 2, 1931, 83 years, and collecting and verifying this material.

Excepting as it may be hereafter changed by death, the following tabulation of the West in Congress is complete to March 3, 1933.

I take supreme pride in saying it is one of the greatest privileges, honors, and pleasures of my life to have served in Congress and been well acquainted with 92, nearly half of all those Senators; and with 213, half of all those Representatives, and to have personally known a great many more that have served in both the Senate and House during the 20 or more years before I came to Congress. No other equal number of men throughout our history have ever averaged higher in native ability, in a loyal sense of public duty, and in patriotic American citizenship, or more typically reflected the frontier spirit of our Republic.

The West was settled, civilized, and made the Mecca of the world for health, scenery, and natural resources that it is to-day by adventurous and courageous men and women from the East, the North, and the South. It is an old saying that "The timid never started West and the weak died on the way." The hazards of the early settlers' surroundings compelled them to share their hard lives and long years of tragic trials, tribulations, and triumphs shoulder to shoulder.

Former Union soldiers from the North, of whom my father was one, and Yankees from New England, and ex-Confederate soldiers and many more from the Southland, have for nearly 70 years blazed the rugged trails over our mountains, grubbed out the oak and sagebrush, built their log cabins, and made their homes side by side. From nearly every State in the Union the utterly fearless prospectors have heroically faced the terrific mountain blizzards, been torn to pieces by wild animals, buried in avalanches, frozen and starved to death, and their bones have bleached in a thousand canyons throughout the Rocky Mountains. Those pioneers and their descendants have long since learned the wisdom, justice, and necessity of not only kindly tolerating, but actually respecting each other's widely divergent antecedents, training, and irreconcilable views.

The result is, I believe, the West is more tolerant than the rest of the country of widely different political, religious, and all other opinions. The people are more independent in their political actions. I doubt if over 10 or 15 per cent of them ever vote a straight ticket. There is, fortunately for our country, comparatively very little of the hidebound partisanship that prevails throughout a large part of the rest of our country.

Whether our people are Republicans or Democrats, those who have been raised in that country are naturally and nearly always progressive in their politics and liberal in their treatment of each other. For many years nearly all of those Western States have been normally Republican. Every one of the few Democrats in Congress to-day from the 16 Western States is in office by the aid and good will of a large number of Republicans. Nevertheless, for average length of service in Congress and stability of tenure in office, the record of the West compares very favorably indeed with all the northern Central States.

### HISTORICAL AND STATISTICAL

While the 32 Senators from those States comprise onethird of the United States Senate, the 61 Representatives in the House from those States during the past 20 years are only 14 per cent of the membership of the House; yet they represent over one-half of the area of the United States.

By the recent census Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota lose 1 each, while California gains 9, and Washington and Oklahoma gain 1 each. So that in the Seventy-third and the four succeeding Congresses those Western States will have 68 Representatives, or 15½ per cent of the membership, and they will be divided as follows:

California	20
Oklahoma	9
Kansas	7
Washington	6
Nebraska	5
Colorado	4
Oregon	3
North Dakota	2

outh Dakota	
[ontana	
laho	
tah	000
rizona	
ew Mexico	
evada	
	000
yoming	

#### IN THE SENATE

In the Senate, Wyoming is entitled to the credit and distinction of sending her greatest and most useful citizen, Francis E. Warren, to the Senate until his death, 35 years—not consecutive—but five years longer than any other man has ever yet served from any of those Western States. He had been the chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee for many years.

Nevada stands second, having sent John P. Jones to the Senate for 30 consecutive years.

Colorado ranks third on the longevity roll of honor for electing her greatest citizen, Henry M. Teller, to the Senate for 29½ years. His service was not consecutive because of his serving three years as Secretary of the Interior in President Arthur's Cabinet. Colorado believes no man has ever been better qualified to represent the West in those two great offices, or filled them more efficiently, than he.

The fourth in rank are Senators Smoot, of Utah, Borah, of Idaho, McCumber, of North Dakota, and Stewart, of Nevada; all of them four terms.

Senator Jones, of Washington, served 10 years in the House and has served 22 years in the Senate, and has 2 years more of his present term.

Vice President Curtis, of Kansas, served 14 years in the House and 20 years in the Senate, and has 2 years more of his present term of Vice President.

Senator Norris, of Nebraska, served 10 years in the House and has served 18 years in the Senate, and is reelected for 6 years more.

All of those 10 Senators being Republican, although Senator Teller left his party on the silver issue in 1896 and was elected as a Silver-Republican one term, and his last term

he was elected and served as a Democrat and voluntarily retired from the Senate at the age of nearly 80 years.

Of the Western 32 Senators, ordinarily about two-thirds of them are Republicans and one-third Democrats. In the Seventy-second Congress the Democrats will have 14 to the Republicans 18. In proportion to the total representation from the West in each body, the Democrats always have a larger number in the Senate than they do in the House.

On the Democratic side of the Senate chamber, owing largely to their lesser numbers, the terms of the Senators from the West have naturally been shorter.

Former Senator Newlands, of Nevada, holds the record. He served 10 years in the House and nearly 15 years (until his death) in the Senate.

Senator Ashurst, of Arizona, has served 19 years in the Senate, and has 4 years more of his present term.

Senator Pittman, of Nevada, has served in the Senate 18 years and has 4 years more of his present term.

Senator Walsh of Montana has served 18 years in the Senate, and is reelected for 6 years more.

Senator Hayden, of Arizona, served in the House 15 years and has served 4 years in the Senate, and has 2 years more of his present term.

Former Senator Owen, of Oklahoma, served over 16 years in the Senate and retired in 1925.

Senator Kendrick, of Wyoming, which is normally about three to one Republican, was governor of his State 4 years and has served 14 years in the Senate, and has 4 years more of his present term.

Senator King, of Utah, served 3 years in the House and has served 14 years in the Senate, and has 4 years more of his present term.

I predict that every one of those six Western Democrats now in the Senate, who retains his health, will have a long and most distinguished career in that body, because they preeminently deserve to. No group of six Senators from the North, East, South, or West, has ever been more efficient, or better qualified, or more determined to creditably represent their States and their country than they are.

Service of Senators from the 16 Western States

[Asterisks denote terms of service not yet completed, each star representing 2 years. R-Republican; D-Democrati

Name		State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service
6 terms, not consecutive: Warren, Francis E	R	Wyoming	51st, 2d-52d	Nov. 18, 1890-Mar. 4, 1893.
		" Johning	54th-71st, 1st	
		Nevada	43d-57th	Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1903.
5 terms, not consecutive:				
Celler, Henry M	R.; Silver R.; D	Colorado	44th, 2d-47th, 1st	
4 terms, consecutive:				
Borah, William E	R.	Idaho	60th-71st_,	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1937.***
McCumber, Porter J	R	North Dakota	56th-67th	
Smoot, Reed terms, not consecutive:	R	Utah	58th-71st	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1933.*
4 terms, not consecutive:				La la casa de la composição de la compos
Stewart, William M	R.	Nevada	38th, 2d-43d; 50th-58th	Dec. 15, 1854-Mar. 3, 1875, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1905.
3 terms, consecutive				
Ashurst, Henry F	D	Arizona	62d, 2d-71st	
Clark, Clarence D	R	Wyoming	53d, 3d-64th	
Iansbrough, Henry C.	R	North Dakota	52d-60th	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1909.
ngalls, John J		Kansas	43d-51st	
ones, Wesley L.	R	Washington	61st-71st	
Norris, George W	R	Nebraska Oklahoma	63d-71st	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1937.*** Dec. 11, 1907-Mar. 3, 1925.
Owen, Robert L	D -	California	60th-68th 53d-63d	July 26, 1893-Mar. 3, 1915.
Pittman, Key	D	Nevada	62d, 3d-71st	
Walsh Thomas I	D	Montana	63d-71st	
Walsh, Thomas J		MIOHERIIA	00U-1150	Bidi. 4, 1010 Bidi. 0, 1001,
Curtis, Charles	R	Kansas	59th-62d	Jan. 29, 1907-Mar. 3, 1913.
			64th-70th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1929.
2 terms, consecutive:	P	do	66th-71st	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1937.***
Chamberlain, George E	D	Oregon	61st-66th	
Dolph, Joseph N	P	do	48th-53d	
Gamble, Robert J.		South Dakota	57th-62d	
Fore, Thomas P.		Oklahoma	60th-66th	
				Mar. 4, 1937.***
Hitchcock, Gilbert M.	D	Nebraska	62d-67th	
ohnson, Hiram W	R	California	65th-71st	
Kendrick, John B.	D	Wyoming	65th-71st	
Cing, William H.	D	Utah	65th-71st	
McNary, Charles L	R.	Oregon	65th-71st	
	-	***	doub rod	Dec. 18, 1918-Mar. 3, 1937.***
Manderson, Charles F	К	Nebraska	48th-53d 62d-67th	
Ayers, Henry L. Newlands, Francis G.	B	Montana Nevada	58th-64th	
Pettigrew, Richard F	R	South Dakota	51st-56th	
Phipps, Lawrence C.	R	Colorado		Mar 4 1919-Mar 3 1931

Service of Senators from the 16 Western States-Continued

Name	State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service
2 terms consecutive—Continued.	D Vanua	45th_52d_1at	Mar 4 1977 Dec 20 1001
lumo, Preston Boindexter. Miles	R. Kansas R. Washington	45th-52d, 1st 62d-67th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1923
oindexter, Miles omeroy, Samuel C	R. Kansas R. South Dakota	37th-42d	Apr. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1873. Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1925.
atherland, George	R Utan	59th-64th	Mar. 4, 1905-Mar. 3, 1917.
olcott, Edward O	R. Colorado	51st-56th	Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1901.
2 terms, not consecutive: ubois, Fred T	R. Idaho	52d-54th	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1897.
		57th-59th	Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1907. Sept. 9, 1850-Mar. 3, 1855.
win, William M		34th, 3d-36th, 2d 43d-45th.	Jan. 13, 1857-Mar. 3, 1861. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1879.
litchell, John H	.R. Oregon	43d-45th	Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1879. Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1887.
		57th-59th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1901-Dec. 8, 1905.
addock, Algernon S	.R. Nebraska	- 44th-46th	Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1881.
1 term, consecutive:		50th-52d	Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893.
nkeny, Levi	R. Washington	- 58th-60th	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1909.
aker, Lucien ard, Thomas R	R. Kansas R. California	56th-58th	Feb. 7, 1900-Mar. 3, 1905.
ooth, NewtonAntimonopol	istdo	- 44th-46th	Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1881.
ourne, Jonathan, jrowen, Thomas M	R. Oregon		
rady, James H.	R. Idaho	62d, 3d-65th, 2d	Feb. 6, 1913-Jan. 13, 1918.
ratton, Sam G	D. New Mexico R Kansas	69th-71st 61st-63d	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1937.*** Mar. 4, 1909-Mar. 3, 1915.
ristow, Joseph Lrown, Norris	R. Ransas	60th-62d	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1913.
urkett, Elmer J	R. do		
ameron, Ralph Harter, Thomas H	R   Montana	54th-56th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1901.
lark, William A	.Ddo	56th, 1st	Dec. 4, 1899-May 15, 1900.
ole, Cornelius	R. California		Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1873.
Corbett, Henry W	R. do	38th-40th	Mar. 4, 1863-Mar. 3, 1869.
orbett, Henry W	R. Orgeon	40th-42d 61st-63d	
Pill, Clarence C	D. Washington	68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1935.**
ixon, Joseph Mair, James G	R. Montana		Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1913.
all, Albert B	R. New Mexico	62d, 2d-66th	Mar. 27, 1912-Mar. 4, 1921.
arley, James T.	.D. California		Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1885.
lint, Frank P.	R Washington		Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1905.
razier, Lynn J	.R. North Dakota	68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1935.**
ulton, Charles Wlooding, Frank R	R Oregon Idaho	58th-60th 66th, 3d-70th 1st	
Fronna, Asle J	R_ North Dakota	62d-66th	Feb. 2, 1911-Mar. 3, 1921.
Prover, La Fayette Puggenheim, Simon	D Oregon Colorado		Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1913,
Jarreld, John W	R Oklahoma	67th-69th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1927.
Harris, William A Popul Heitfeld, Henry Popul	list Kansas Idaho		
Ieyburn, Weldon B.		40.3 40.3	Mar. 4, 1903-Oct. 17, 1912.
Hill, Nathaniel P. Hitchcock, Phineas W.	R. Colorado R. Nebraska	46th-48th	Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1885.
Iowell, Robert B	-AN ATOMINORM	68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1935.**
ohnson, Edwin S	.D.   South Dakota	64th-66th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921.
ones, Andrieus A			Mar. 4, 1917-Dec. 20, 1927, Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 3, 1877.
Cittredge, Alfred B	R South Dakota	57th-60th	July 11, 1901-Mar. 3, 1909.
Cyle, James HIndepende			
ong, Chester I	Rdo	58th-60th	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1909.
AcBride, George W		54th-56th 37th, 1st-39th, 2d	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1901. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1867.
IcMaster, William H	R.   South Dakota	69th-71st	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1931.
Aillard, Joseph H. Ailler, John F.			Mar. 4, 1901–Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1881–Mar. 8, 1886.
Jesmith, James W	.D. Oregon	37th-39th	Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1867.
lixon, George S	R. Nevada		Mar. 4, 1905-June 5, 1912. Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1933.*
lye, Gerald P.		69th-71st	Nov. 14, 1925-Mar. 3, 1933.
Iye, James W	R. Nevada	38th, 2d-42d	Dec. 16, 1864-Mar. 3, 1873.
ddie, Tasker Latterson, Thomas M	.D. Colorado	57th-59th	Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1907.
effer, William APopu	list Kansas	52d-54th	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1897.
rhelan, James D	D. California R. Washington		Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1905-Mar. 3, 1911.
ine, William B	R. Oklahoma	69th-71st	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1931.
ower, Thomas C			Jan. 2, 1890-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1903.
toach, William N	.D. North Dakota	53d-55th	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1899.
oss, Edmund Gargent, Aaron A	D. Kansas R. California		May 4 1972 Mar 2 1970
aunders Alvin	P Nahraska	45th-47th	Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1883.
hafroth, John F R and haron, William hortridge, Samuel M	D. Colorado R. Nevada	63d-65th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1919.
hortridge, Samuel M	R. California	67th-71st	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1933.
houp, George Limon, Joseph	R Idano	51st, 2d-56th	Dec. 18, 1890-Mar. 3, 1901.
later, James H	.D. Oregon	46th-48th	Mar. 4, 1879-Mar 3, 1885.
mith, Marcus A	D. Arizona	62d, 2d-66th	Mar. 27, 1912-Mar 3, 1921.
quire, Watson C	R. Oregon	67th-69th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar 3, 1927.
tanford, Leland	R California	49th-52d	Mar. 4, 1885-June 21, 1893.
Chomas, Charles S Chompson, William H.	D Colorado Kansas		Jan. 15, 1913-Mar 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1913-Mar 3, 1919.
hurston John M	R   Nahracka	54th-56th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar 3, 1901.
Pipton, Thomas W.	D. do	40th-43d	Mar. 1, 1867-Mar 3, 1875.
Van Wyck, Charles H	R. Nebraska	47th-49th	Mar. 4, 1881-Mar 3, 1887.
Veller, John B	.D. California	32d-34th	Ian 30 1852-Mar 3 1857
Vheeler, Burton K	D California	68th-71st 53d-55th	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1935.** Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1899.
Villiams, George H	R Oregon	39th-41st	Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1871.
Vorks, John D Less than a full term:	R. California	62d-64th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1917.

Service of Senators from the 16 Western States-Continued

Name		State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service
1 term, consecutive—Continued.				le la
Ilen Henry I	R	Kansas	71st	Apr. 1, 1929-Nov. 4, 1930.
llen, John B llen, William V	R	Washington	51st-52d	Apr. 1, 1929-Nov. 4, 1930. Nov. 20, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Dec. 13, 1899-Mar. 28, 1901.
Illen, William V	Populist_	Nebraska	DOLD	Dec. 13, 1899-Mar. 28, 1901.
laker Edward D		Oregon	36th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1859-Oct. 2, 1860.
enson, Alfred W	R	Kansas	59th	
roderick, David C		California	35th	June 11, 1906-1an. 23, 1907.  Mar. 4, 1857-Sept. 16, 1859.  Jan. 22, 1896-Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 11, 1921-Mar. 3, 1925.  Mar. 4, 1901-June 4, 1906.  Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 24, 1873.  Jan. 22, 1896-Mar. 3, 1899.  Nov. 15, 1890-Mar. 3, 1899.
ursum, Holm O	R	Utah	54th	Jan. 22, 1896-Mar. 3, 1897.
ursum, Hoim O		New Mexico		Mar. 11, 1921-Mar. 3, 1925.
urton, Joseph Raldwell, Alexander	R	Kansas	57th-59th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1901-June 4, 1906.
annon, Frank J	D D	do	42d	Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 24, 1873.
arey, Joseph M	D D	Utah	54th-55th 51st, 2d-53d	Jan. 22, 1890-Mar. 3, 1899.
arey, Joseph W.	P	Wyoming	71st, 3d	Nov. 4, 1930-Mar. 3, 1895.
asey, Lyman R	R	North Dakota	51st-52d	
asserly, Eugene	D		41st-42d	Nov. 25, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1869-Nov. 29, 1873.
atuan Thomas D	P	California New Mexico	62d, 2d-64th	Mar. 27, 1912-Mar. 3, 1917.
hiloott, George M	R	Colorado	44th, 2d-45th	
hilentt George M	R	do	47th	Apr 17 1992 Jan 97 1999
rozier Robert	R	Vencee		Nov 24 1872 Feb 12 1874
utting, Bronson	R	Kansas New Mexico	70th-71st	Inn 4 1028-Dec 7 1028
arrangi wayan		THEW INTERICO	- 1000 1000	Mar. 4. 1929-Mar. 3. 1935 **
Dietrick, Charles H	R	Nebraska	57th-58th	Mar 28 1901-Mar 3 1905
elton, Charles N		California		Nov. 15, 1876-Mar. 3, 1879. Apr. 17, 1882-Jan. 27, 1883. Nov. 24, 1873-Feb. 12, 1874. Jan. 4, 1923-Dec. 7, 1928. Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1935.** Mar. 28, 1901-Mar. 3, 1905. Mar. 19, 1891-Mar. 3, 1893. Sept. 9, 1850-Mar. 3, 1851. Dec. 13, 1905-Jan. 23, 1907. Mar. 7, 1901-Mar. 3, 1905.
somest John C	D	do		Sept. 9, 1850-Mar. 3, 1851
earin, John M	D	Oregon	59th	Dec. 13, 1905-Jan. 23, 1907
		Montana	57th-58th	Mar. 7, 1901-Mar. 3, 1905.
ibson, Paris ager, John S arding, Benjamin F arvey, James M aun, Henry P ayden, Carl ayward, Monroe L corst George	D	California	43d	Dec. 23, 1873-Mar 3, 1875
arding, Benjamin F	R.	Oregon		
arvey, James M	R	Kansas	43d-44th	Feb. 2, 1874-Mar. 3, 1877.
aun, Henry P	D	California	36th, 1st	Nov. 3, 1859-Mar. 4, 1860.
[ayden, Carl	D	Arizona	_ 70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Iayward, Monroe L	R	Nebraska	56th	Mar. 4, 1899-Dec. 5, 1899.
learst, George	D	California	49th, 1st	Mar. 23, 1886-Aug. 4, 1896.
			50th-51st	Sept. 12, 1862-Mar. 3, 1855. Feb. 2, 1874-Mar. 3, 1877. Nov. 3, 1839-Mar. 4, 1890. Mar. 4, 1899-Dec. 5, 1899. Mar. 23, 1886-Aug. 4, 1896. Mar. 4, 1897-Feb. 23, 1891. Jan. 12, 1918-Mar. 3, 1921.
Ienderson, Charles B	D	Nevada	_ 65th, 2d-66th	Jan. 12, 1918-Mar. 3, 1921.
lughes, Charles J. Jr		Colorado	61st	
ohnson, Martin N	R	North Dakota	61st, 1st	Mar. 4, 1909-Oct. 21, 1909.
earns, Thomas	R	Utah		Jan. 23, 1901-Mar. 3, 1905.
add, Edwin F		North Dakota		Mar. 4, 1921-June 22, 1925.
add, Edwin F ane, Harry ane, Joseph arrazolo, Octaviano A atham, Milton S IcConnell, William J		Oregon		Mar. 4, 1913-May 23, 1917.
ane, Joseph	D-	do	35th, 2d-36th	Mar. 3, 1913-May 23, 1917. Feb. 14, 1859-Mar. 3, 1861. Dec. 7, 1928-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 5, 1860-Mar. 3, 1863. Dec. 18, 1890-Mar. 3, 1891. Nov. 4, 1930-Mar. 3, 1837.*** Jan. 16, 1805-Mar. 3, 1899.
arrazolo, Occaviano A	D.	New Mexico	70th, 2d. 36th, 1st-37th, 3d	Mor 5 1900 Mor 9 1909
Connell William I		California	- 51ot 9d	Dec 19 1900 Mer 2 1901
[cGill, George	D	Idaho	51st, 2d	Nov 4 1020-Mar 2 1027 ***
fentle Lee	P	Kansas Montana		Inn 16 1805-Mar 3 1800
antle, Lee artin, John	D	Kansas	53d	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895.
forsey William A	P	Nevada	62d, 2d and 3d	July 1, 1912-Jan. 29, 1913.
fassey, William A	R	Colorado	68th, 2d-69th	Dec. 1, 1924-Mar. 3, 1927.
loody, Gideon C	R	South Dakota		Nov. 2, 1889-Mar. 3, 1891.
Ioody, Gideon C	R	Oregon	59th, 2d	Nov. 2, 1889–Mar. 3, 1891. Jan. 23, 1907–Mar. 3, 1907.
		Bom	65th, 2d and 3d	Nov. 6, 1918-Dec. 17, 1918.
icholson, Samuel D	R	Colorado	67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 24, 1923,
	77	Idaho	65th, 2d-66th	Jan. 22, 1918-Jan. 14, 1921.
ugent, John F erkins, Bishop W erky, Kirtland I erce, Gilbert A urcell, William E unders, Wilbur F nith, Delazon	R.	Kansas	52d	Jan 1 1892-Mar 3 1893
erky, Kirtland I	D	Idaho	62d, 2d and 3d	Nov. 18, 1912-Feb. 5, 1913. Nov. 21, 1889-Mar. 3, 1891. Feb. 1, 1910-Feb. 1, 1911.
erce, Gilbert A	R.	North Dakota	51st	Nov. 21, 1889-Mar. 3, 1891.
rcell, William E	D	North Dakota	61st. 2d and 3d	Feb. 1, 1910-Feb. 1, 1911.
nders, Wilbur F	R	Montana	51st-52d	Jan. 1, 1890-Mar. 3, 1893. Feb. 14, 1859-Mar. 3, 1859.
nith, Delazon	D	Oregon	35th, 2d	Feb. 14, 1859-Mar. 3, 1859.
ark, Demaining		do	1 37th. 2d	Oct. 29, 1861-Sept. 12, 1862.
eiwer, Frederick	R	do	70th-71st.	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*
abor, Horace A. W	R	Wyoming	71st, 2d and 3d	Dec. 9, 1929-Nov. 4, 1930.
abor, Horace A. W	R	Colorado	47th 2d	Jan. 27, 1883-Mar. 3, 1883.
hayer, John M	R	Nebraska	40th-41st	Mar. 1, 1867-Mar. 3, 1871.
homas Elmer	D	Oklahoma	70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*
homas, John	R	Idaho	70th-71st	June 30, 1928-Mar. 3, 1933.
nomas, John hompson, Fountain L aterman, Charles W //illiams, Abram P //illson, John L		North Dakota	61st, 2d	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1933.*  June 30, 1928-Mar. 3, 1933.*  Nov. 10, 1909-Jan. 31, 1910.  Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*  Aug. 4, 1886-Mar. 3, 1887.  Feb. 19, 1895-Mar. 3, 1899.
aterman, Charles W	R	Colorado	70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*
illiams, Abram P	К	California Washington	49th53d, 3d-55th	Aug. 4, 1880-Mar. 3, 1887.
		THE PARTY OF ANY	1 820 30-55FB	1 Fon 10 1805-May 2 1800

Notes.—Republicans, 133; Democrats, 63; Populists, 4; Antimonopolists, 1; Independent Silver Republican, 1; Independent, 1; Fusionist, 1. Senators elect of the Seventy-second Congress from those States, W. J. Bulow, Democrat, South Dakota.\*\*\* Edward P. Costigan, Democrat, Colorado.\*\*\*

## IN THE HOUSE

Of the 61 western Representatives in the present House, 48 are Republicans and 13 are Democrats. In the Seventy-second Congress there will be in the House 42 Republicans and 19 Democrats from the West, 7 of whom come from Oklahoma and 4 from Nebraska, while the others are 1 each from 8 different States.

On the Republican side Wyoming also leads all those Western States in having elected another of her most efficient citizens—Frank W. Mondell—to the House 26 years, 13 terms, not consecutive, but he served two years longer than anyone else has ever thus far served in this body from any one of those States. He voluntarily retired from the House when he was the majority leader and ran for the Senate and was defeated.

Wyoming leads in the roll of honor in loyalty to her own citizens not only in both the Senate and House but also in several other ways of which she may always be justly proud. The Territory of Wyoming was the first Territory in the United States to grant equal suffrage to her women, and the

State of Wyoming was the first State in the Union to grant equal suffrage to her women.

Wyoming has another proud distinction: Joseph M. Carey was a Delegate in Congress from the Territory of Wyoming three terms, was Governor of the State one term, and was United States Senator from the State one term. His son, Robert D. Carey, born in the State, was governor four years and was elected for both a short and long term and is now serving in the Senate. No other State in the Union has ever duplicated that record.

Second on the longevity roll of honor in the House from the West is our colleague Willis C. Hawley, of Oregon, who has served 24 consecutive years, and is elected for 2 years more.

Third in service is our colleague Burton L. French, of Idaho, and our late colleague Julius Kahn, of California, both of whom have served 12 terms, not consecutive, and Mr. French is elected for two years more.

The Territory of Wyoming was the first Territory in the United States to grant equal suffrage to her women, and the When he voluntarily retired on account of ill health. At

the time of his retirement he was chairman of the Appropriations Committee. He was admirably adapted in every way for that most important position in Congress, and the taxpayers of our country lost a great friend by his enforced retirement.

Philip P. Campbell, of Kansas, served 20 years consecubeen much short tively. Those six Congressmen were all Republicans, and by the following:

the only Republicans from the West who have ever thus far served in the House 20 years.

On the Democratic side of the House, owing to nearly all those States being largely Republican, the terms of service of the few Democratic Members have, with one exception, been much shorter, and often intermittent, as will appear by the following:

LONGEVITY TABULATION

Service of Representatives from the 16 Western States

Name		State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service
13 terms, not consecutive:				
Mondell, Frank W	R	Wyoming	54th 56th-67th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1923.
12 terms, consecutive:		0	60th-71st	
Iawley, Willis C		Oregon		Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1933.*
rench, Burton L	R	Idaho	58th-60th 62d-63d	Mar. 4, 1903–Mar. 3, 1909. Mar. 4, 1911–Mar. 3, 1915.
			65th-71st	Mar. 4. 1917-Mar. 3. 1933 •
Cahn, Julius		California	56th-57th 59th-68th, 2d	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1903. Mar. 4, 1905-Dec. 18, 1924.
11 terms, consecutive: anthony, Daniel R., ir. Caylor, Edward T. 10 terms, consecutive:	P	Kansas	60th-70th	May 23, 1907-Mar. 3, 1929.
Taylor, Edward T	D	Colorado	61st-71st	Mar. 4, 1909-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Campbell, Philip P.	R	Kansas	58th-67th	Mar. 4, 1903 Mar. 3, 1923.
Cincaid, Moses P	R.,	Nebraska	58th-67th	Mar. 4, 1903-July 6, 1922.
Carter, Charles D.	D	Oklahoma		Nov. 16, 1909-Mar. 3, 1927.
ohnson, Albert	R	California Washington	63d-71st, 2d	Mar. 4, 1913-Oct. 10, 1930. Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1933.
ohnson, Albert mith, Addison T. 8 terms, consecutive:	R	Idaho	63d-71st	Do.*
tadley, Lindley H	R	Washington	64th-71st	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Iayden, Carl ohnson, Royal C	D	Arizona	62d 2d-69th	Feb. 19, 1912-Mar. 3, 1927
deClintic, James V		Oklahoma	64th-71st	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1933.* Do.*
laker, John E. 'imberlake, Charles B	D	California	62d-69th, 1st 64th-71st	Mar. 4, 1911-Jan. 22, 1926. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1933.*
imberlake, Charles B. 8 terms, not consecutive:				
Evans, John M		Montana	68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Iermann, Binger	R	Oregon	49th-54th 58th-59th	Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1897. June 1, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907.
7 terms, consecutive:				
Curtis, Charles	R	KansasOklahoma	53d-59th 60th-66th	Mar. 4, 1893–Jan. 28, 1907. Nov. 16, 1907–Mar. 3, 1921.
Iaves, Everis A	R	California	59th-65th	Mar. 4, 1905–Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1903–Mar. 3, 1917.
Iowell, Joseph Iumphrey, William E		Utah Washington	58th-64th	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 8, 1917. Do.
es Clarance F	D	California	65th-71st	Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1931.
Miller, John F Needham, James C	R	California	56th-62d	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1913.
Sinnott, Nicholas J. 7 terms, not consecutive:	R	Oregon	63d-70th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1913-May 31, 1928.
Ayres, William A	D	Kansas	64th-66th; 68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921.
Burke, Charles H	R	South Dakota	56th-59th; 61st-63d	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1907.
Calderhead, William A				Mar. 4, 1909-Mar. 3, 1915.
	Control of the Contro	Kansas		Mar. 4, 1895–Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1899–Mar. 3, 1911.
Hastings, William W	D	Oklahoma	64th-66th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.*
6 terms, consecutive:		***************************************		The state of the state of the state of
Anderson, John A	R	Kansas	66th-71st	Mar. 4, 1879–Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1919–Mar. 3, 1933.*
Barbour, Henry E. Christopherson, Charles A. Funston, Edward H.	R	South Dakota Kansas	66th-71st	Do.*
Hardy, Guy U	R	Colorado	66th-71st	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Hock, Homer Knowland, Joseph R	R	KansasCalifornia	66th-71st 58th, 3d-63d	Do.* Nov. 8, 1904–Mar. 3, 1915.
Loud, Eugene F	R	do	52d-57th	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1903.
Miller, James M	R	Kansas		Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 3, 1909-July 4, 1920.
Murdock, Victor Newlands, Francis G	R	Kansas	_ 58th-63d	May 26, 1903-Mar. 3, 1915.
Reeder, William A	D	Nevada Kansas	53d-57th 56th-61st	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1903. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1911.
Ryan, Thomas	R	do	45th-50th	Mar. 4, 1877-Apr. 4, 1889.
Sinclair, James H	R	North Dakota Kansas	66th-71st	
Summers, John W	R	Washington North Dakota	do 63d-68th, 1st	Do.*
6 terms not consecutive:				Mar. 4, 1913-Sept. 2, 1924.
McKeown, Thomas D	the second and the second	Oklahoma	65th-66th	Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.*
McLachlan, James	R	California	54th, 57th-61st	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897.
Martin, Eben W	R	South Dakota	57th-59th; 60th, 2d-63d	Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1907.
Shallenberger, Ashton C	D	Nebraska	57th	Nov. 3, 1908-Mar. 3, 1915. Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1903.
			64th-65th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919.
	No. OF STREET		68th-70th	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1931-Mar. 3, 1933.*
5 terms, consecutive: Bell, John C	D	Colorado	_ 53d-57th	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1903.
Bowersock, Justin D	P	Kansas	_ 56th-60th	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1907.
Burtness, Ölger B	R	North Dakota Utah	67th-71st	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Cushman, Francis W	R.	Washington	_ 56th-61st, 1st	Mar. 4, 1899-July 6, 1909.
Elston, John A	R	Californiado	_ 63d, 2d-67th, 2d	Mar. 4, 1915-Dec. 15, 1921. Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1933.*
ones Wesley L	D	Washington	_  56th-60th	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1909.
McArthur, Clifton N Mercer, David H		Oregon Nebraska	_ 53d-57th	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1903.
Nolan, John I Norris, George W	R	California	63d-67th, 2d	Mar. 4, 1913-Nov. 18, 1922.

# LONGEVITY TABULATION—continued Service of Representatives from the 16 Western States—Continued

Name		State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service
5 terms, consecutive—Continued.		0.11	Cital State of	
age, Horace Fott, Charles F	R	California	43d-47th 57th-61st	Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1911.
afroth, John F'	D. and R	Colorado.	54th-58th	Mar. 4, 1895-Feb. 15, 1904
ring, Philip Dhite, Hays B	R	California Kansas	67th-71st 66th-70th	Mar. 4. 1921-Mar 2 1932 *
illiamson, William 5 terms, not consecutive:	R	South Dakota	67th-71st	Mar. 4, 1919–Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1921–Mar. 3, 1933.*
5 terms, not consecutive: lis, William R	p	Orogon	52.4 55th	
		Oregon	53d-55th 60th-61st	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911.
oan, Charles H	R	Nebraska	62d-65th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1919.
4 terms, consecutive:			71st	Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1931.
rodoriot Coso	R	Kansas	52d-55th	
rhar Milton C	HERE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	Oklahoma North Daokta	68th-71st 68th, 2d-71st	Mar 3 1923-Mar 3 1933 *
ll, Thomas ll, Samuel B nshaw, Edmund H		Washington	68th-71st	Nov. 4, 1924-Mar. 3, 1933.* Sept. 25, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.*
nshaw, Edmund H	R	Nebraska	58th-61st	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1911.
ward, Edgar mson, Martin N	D	North Dakota	68th-71st 52d-55th	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1899.
ttner, William	D	California	63d-66th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1921.
Follette, William L	R	Washington	62d-65th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1919.
atherwood, Elmer Oavitt, Scott	R	Utah Montana	67th-70th 68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1921-Dec. 24, 1929. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933.*
tle, Edward C	R	Kansas	65th-68th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1917-June 27, 1924.
beck, Charles O	D	Nebraska Oklahoma	62d-65th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1919.
eKenna, Joseph	R.	California	60th-63d 49th-52d	Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 28, 1892.
Kenna, Joseph Laughlin, Melvin O	R	Nebraska	66th-69th	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1927.
rshall, Thomas Frshall, Thomas Frshal	R	North Dakota Nebraska	57th-60th 68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1909.
orrill Edmund N	$\mathbf{R}$	Kansas	48th-51st	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1891.
rkins, Bishop Wters, Samuel R	R.	do	48th-51st	Do.
ters, Samuel R	R	South Dakota	48th-51st 51st-54th	Do. Nov. 2, 1889-Mar. 3, 1897.
avis, C. Frank	R.	Nebraska	64th-67th, 2d	Mar. 4, 1915-June 3, 1922.
berts, Edwin E	R	Nevada Nebraska	62d-65th 68th-71st	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1919.
rs, Willis G	R	dodo	68th-71st	
ifth Sylvester C	R	California	59th-62d	Mar. 4, 1905-Jan. 26, 1913.
roul, William H	R	Kansas Nebraska	68th-71st 62d, 2d-65th	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1931. Nov. 7, 1911-Mar. 3, 1919.
roul, William Hphens, Daniel Vank, Fletcher B	Ď	Oklahoma	67th-70th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1929.
	The state of the s		72d	Mar. 4, 1931-Mar. 3, 1933.*
ompson, Joseph B	D	Kansas	63d-66th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1913-Sept. 18, 1919. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1927.
ile, William N	R	Colorado	66th-69th	Mar. 4, 1919–July 2, 1927.
4 terms, not consecutive:		Manual .	67th	35 - 4 1004 35 - 0 1000
entz, Samuel S		Nevada	69th-71st	Mar. 4, 1921–Mar. 3, 1923. Mar. 4, 1925–Mar. 3, 1933.
lford, James B	R	Colorado	44th, 2d-45th 2d; 46th-47th	Oct. 3, 1876-Dec. 13, 1877.
evenport, James S	n	Oklahoma	Sorb sod eath	Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1885.
		Oklanoms	60th, 62d-64th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1917.
lborn, Samuel G	R	California	52d-53d, 2d	Dec. 5, 1892-Apr. 4, 1894,
3 terms, consecutive:	People's Party	Kansas	54th-55th	
rham, John A	Residence	California	54th-56th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1901.
nynge, Robert Wwers, William W	R	Colorado	58th, 2d-60th	Feb. 16, 1904-Mar. 3, 1909.
rkett, Elmer J	R	Nebraska	56th-58th	
rter, Albert E	R	California	69th-71st	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1933.*
urch, Denver S	P	do	63d-65th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1919.
nnelly, John K	D	Kansas	39th-41st	Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1871. Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1919.
olittle, Dudley rsey, George W. E glebright, William F	D	Kansas	63d-65th	Do.
rsey, George W. E.	R-	Nebraska California	49th-51st 59th-61st	
ndy, Harry L	D	South Dakota	64th-66th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921.
ry, Thomas J	D	California	51st, 2d-53d	Dec. 9, 1890-Mar. 3, 1895.
onna, Asle J	R	North Dakota Montana	59th-61st 53d-55th	
skell, Dudley Cgesen, Henry T	R	Kansas	45th-47th	Mar. 4, 1877-Dec. 16, 1883.
gesen, Henry T.	R	North Dakota	62d-64th	Mar. 4, 1911-Apr. 10, 1917.
vering, Guy Tby, William		Kansas California	63d-65th 38th-40th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1863-Mar. 3, 1869.
by, William in, Florence P	R	do	69th-71st	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1933.*
ting, Edward	D	Colorado Nebraska	63d-65th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1919.
n, Omer M	dependent: Progressive R	California	52d-54th	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1917.
rd, James	R	Nebraska	48th-50th	Mar. 4, 1883-Aug. 17, 1889.
eberger, Walter Ftrell, John K.	R	Californiado	67th-69th 43d-45th	
Kinley, Duncan E	R	California	59th-61st	Mar. 4, 1905-Mar. 3, 1911.
dison, Edmond H	R	Kansas	60th-62d, 1st	Mar. 4, 1907-Sept. 18, 1911.
guire, James G		California Nebraska	61st-63d	Mar 4, 1909-Mar 3, 1915
ys, James Htcalf, Victor H	D	Utah	64th-66th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921.
rrow, John	R	California New Mexico	56th-58th	Mar. 4, 1899-July 1, 1904. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1929.
rrow, William W	R	California	49th-51st	Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1891.
ton, Patrick D	R	North Dakota	63d-65th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1919.
oorne, Henry Z	R	California	65th-67th	Mar. 4, 1917-Feb. 8, 1923. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1879.
llips, William A y, Charles N ndall, Charles HProb	R	Kansas Montana	43d-45th 60th-62d 60th-62d	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1913.
ndall, Charles HProb	ibition Progressive; D; R	California	64th-66th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1921.
rk, William Lphens, William D		Nebraska California	55th-57th	
eet, Willis	R	Idaho	51st 2d-53d	Oct. 1, 1890-Mar. 3, 1895.
ffe, John	R	Nebraska	40th-42d	Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1873.
ggart, Joseph	D	Kansas	62d, 2d-64th 55th-57th	Nov. 7, 1911-Mar. 3, 1917. Mar. 4, 1897-Jan. 11, 1903.
lentine, Edward K.	R	Oregon Nebraska	46th-48th	Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1885.
lson, John L.		Washington	51st-53d	Nov. 20, 1889-Feb. 18, 1895.

# LONGEVITY TABULATION—continued Service of Representatives from the 16 Western States—Continued

3 terms, not consecutive: ndrews, William E R. Nebraska uyer, Ulysses S R. Kansas litchcock, Gilbert M. D. Nebraska oward, Everette B. D. Oklahoma ong, Chester I R. Kansas acheco, Romualdo R. California argent, Aaron A R. do impson, Jeremiah Populist Kansas litchcock, Gilbert M. D. Nebraska ong, Chester I R. Kansas ong, Chester I R. California argent, Aaron A R. do impson, Jeremiah Populist Kansas oodburn, William R. Nevada  2 terms, consecutive: shley, Delos R; xtell, Samuel B D. California oner, John M. R. North Dakots No	48th-47th  37th  41st-42d  52d-53d  55th  44th  49th-50th  39th-40th  40th-41st  65th-66th  51st-52d  60th-61st  46th-47th  50th-51st  58th-59th  52d-53d  70th-71st  47th-48th  70th-71st  43d-44th  45th-46th  55th-56th  64th-65th  58th-59th  66th-65th  56th-65th  66th-65th  66th-65th  59th-71st  43d-44th  45th-46th  67th-71st  68th-67th  70th-71st  68th-67th  70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911.  Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1921.  Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1922.  Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1929.  Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 4, 1903.  Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883.  Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883.  Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1863.  Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1873.  Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1877.  Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1879.  Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1891.  July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921.  Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919.  Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.
uyer, Ulysses S. R. Kansas.  itchcock, Gilbert M. D. Nebraska.  oward, Everette B. D. Oklahoma.  ong, Chester I. R. Kansas.  acheco, Romualdo. R. California.  argent, Aaron A. R. do.  impson, Jeremiah. Populist. Kansas.  Voodburn, William R. Nevada.  2 terms, consecutive:  shley, Delos R: R. do.  xtell, Samuel B. D. California.  aer, John M. R. North Dakots.  artine, Horace F. R. Nevada.  artite, Horace F. R. Nevada.  artite, George A. D. do.  cerry, Campbell P. D. California.  iggs, Marion. D. do.  rooks, Franklin E. R. Colorado.  ryvan, William J. D. Nebraska.  aminetti, Anthony.  artwight, Wilburn.  assidy, George W. D. Nevada.  artwish, Wilburn.  artwight, Wilburn.  artwight, Wilburn.  artwight, Wilburn.  artwight, Wilburn.  artwight, Wilburn.  D. California.  Artwight, Wilburn.  D. California.  R. Nevada.  California.  Artwight, Harry L. R. California.  Washington.  D. California.  Washington.  Washington.  Jouglebright, Harry L. R. California.  Washington.  Arizona.  Arizona.	68th-67th 68th, 2d. 70th-71st. 58th 60th-61st 66th 68th 70th 54th. 56th-57th 45th, 1st and 2d. 46th-47th 37th 41st-42d. 52d-53d. 55th 44th 49th-50th 39th-40th 40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d. 60th-61st 46th-47th 37th 41st-42d. 52d-53d. 55th 51st 52d-53d. 55th-66th 51st-52d. 60th-61st 46th-47th 50th-71st 48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-54th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d. 55th-56th 64th-65th 55th-56th 64th-65th 55th-56th 64th-65th 53d-54th 70th-71st. 66th-67th. 70th-71st.	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923. Nov. 4, 1924-Mar. 3, 1923. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1923. Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1905. Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1925. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1925. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 4, 1903. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1991. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1991. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.
itichcock, Gilbert M. D. Nebraska  loward, Everette B. D. Oklahoma  ong, Chester I. R. Kansas  acheco, Romualdo R. California  argent, Aaron A. R. do  impson, Jeremiah Populist Kansas  Voodburn, William R. Nevada  2 terms, consecutive: shley, Delos R: R. do  acting, Samuel B. D. California  aer, John M. R. North Dakots  artine, Horace F. R. North Dakots  artine, Horace F. R. North Dakots  artitlet, George A. D. do  carty, Campbell P. D. California  liggs, Marion. D. Colorado  rooks, Franklin E. R. Colorado  ryran, William J. D. Nebraska.  artwright, Wilburn  assidy, George W. D. California  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  artwright, Wilburn  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  artwright, Wilburn  assidy, George W. D. California  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  artwright, Wilburn  assidy, George W. D. California  bavis, John People's Party, Kansas  te Vries, Marion D. California  wits, John People's Party, Kansas  te Vries, Marion D. Washington  jill, Clarence C. D. Washington  jooglits, Harry L. R. California	70th-71st 58th 60th-61st 66th 68th 70th 54th 54th 54th. 54th. 15th-15th 45th, 1st and 2d 48th-47th 37th 41st-42d 52d-53d 55th 44th 49th-50th 39th-40th 40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 52d-53d 55th-51st 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43th-44th 45th-44th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 52d-53d 53d-53d 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 58d-53d 56th-56th 64th-65th 68th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st	Nov. 4, 1924-Mar. 3, 1925. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1931. Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1925. Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 4, 1903. Mar. 4, 1877-Feb. 7, 1878. Mar. 4, 1877-Feb. 7, 1878. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1873. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1983. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1999. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1939-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1990-Mar. 3, 1993.
Oward, Everette B	58th   60th -61st   66th   68th   70th   54th   55th -57th   45th   1st and 2d   46th -47th   37th   41st -42d   52d -53d   55th   44th   49th -50th   39th -40th   40th -41st   65th -66th   51st -52d   60th -61st   46th -47th   52d -53d   52d -53d   53th   44th   49th -50th   51st -52d   60th -61st   46th -47th   50th -51st   58th -59th   52d -53d   52d -53d	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1905. Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1925. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 4, 1903. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1891. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1911. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
ong, Chester I. R. Kansas  acheco, Romualdo B. California  argent, Aaron A. R. do  impson, Jeremiah Populist Kansas  7 oodburn, William R. Nevada  2 terms, consecutive: shley, Delos R: R. do  actiell, Samuel B. D. California aer, John M. R. North Dakots  artine, Horace F. R. Nevada  artine, Horace F. B. Nevada  artitle, George A. D. do  carty, Campbell P. D. California iggs, Marion D. do  rooks, Franklin E. R. Colorado  ryan, William J. D. Nebraska  artwright, Wilburn D. California assidy, George W. D. Nevada  artwright, Wilburn D. Oklahoma  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  rail, Joe R. California  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  rail, Joe R. California  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  rail, Joe R. California  pe Vries, Marion D. California  poolitile, William H. R. Washington  Arizona  poolitile, William H. R. Washington  Arizona  proglebright, Harry L. R. California	66th. 68th. 70th. 54th. 70th. 54th. 56th-57th. 45th, 1st and 2d. 46th-47th. 37th. 41st-42d. 52d-53d. 53th. 44th. 49th-50th. 39th-40th. 40th-41st. 65th-66th. 51st-52d. 60th-61st. 46th-47th. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 70th-71st. 43th-48th. 70th-71st. 43th-48th. 70th-71st. 43th-48th. 52d-53d. 55th-56th. 64th-65th. 55th-56th. 64th-65th. 56th-56th. 64th-65th. 65th-56th. 64th-65th. 65th-56th. 64th-65th. 65th-56th. 64th-65th. 65th-56th. 66th-67th. 70th-71st. 66th-67th. 70th-71st.	Mar. 4, 1907—Mar. 3, 1911.  Mar. 4, 1919—Mar. 3, 1925.  Mar. 4, 1923—Mar. 3, 1925.  Mar. 4, 1895—Mar. 3, 1929.  Mar. 4, 1895—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1895—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1877—Feb. 7, 1878.  Mar. 4, 1879—Mar. 3, 1883.  Mar. 4, 1899—Mar. 3, 1883.  Mar. 4, 1899—Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1899—Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1875—Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1875—Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1875—Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1885—Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1885—Mar. 3, 1891.  July 10, 1917—Mar. 3, 1921.  Mar. 4, 1890—Mar. 3, 1911.  Mar. 4, 1879—Mar. 3, 1891.  Mar. 4, 1897—Mar. 3, 1893.  Mar. 4, 1891—Mar. 3, 1895.  Do.  Dec. 5, 1927—Mar. 3, 1933.  Mar. 4, 1873—Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1881—Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1873—Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1873—Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1877—Mar. 3, 1881.  Mar. 4, 1891—Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1877—Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1877—Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1891—Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1927—Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1927—Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1933—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1933—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1933—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1933—Mar. 3, 1997.  Mar. 4, 1933—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1934—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1927—Mar. 3, 1933.  Aug. 4, 199—Mar. 3, 1993.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1993.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1993.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1993.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1993.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1993.  Mar. 4, 1993—Mar. 3, 1993.
ong, Chester I. R. Kansas  acheco, Romualdo B. California  argent, Aaron A. R. do  impson, Jeremiah Populist Kansas  7 oodburn, William R. Nevada  2 terms, consecutive: shley, Delos R: R. do  actiell, Samuel B. D. California aer, John M. R. North Dakots  artine, Horace F. R. Nevada  artine, Horace F. B. Nevada  artitle, George A. D. do  carty, Campbell P. D. California iggs, Marion D. do  rooks, Franklin E. R. Colorado  ryan, William J. D. Nebraska  artwright, Wilburn D. California assidy, George W. D. Nevada  artwright, Wilburn D. Oklahoma  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  rail, Joe R. California  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  rail, Joe R. California  assidy, George W. D. Nevada  rail, Joe R. California  pe Vries, Marion D. California  poolitile, William H. R. Washington  Arizona  poolitile, William H. R. Washington  Arizona  proglebright, Harry L. R. California	68th 70th 56th-57th 56th-57th 45th, 1st and 2d 48th-47th 37th 41st-42d 52d-53d 55th 44th 49th-50th 39th-40th 40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 46th-47th 50th-51st 58th-59th 58d-53d 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 65th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 58d-53d 58d-53d 58d-53d 58d-53d 58d-53d 58d-54th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 58d-53d 68th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 68th-69th 58d-54th 70th-71st 68th-69th 58d-54th 70th-71st 68th-69th 58d-54th 70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1925. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1929. Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 4, 1903. Mar. 4, 1877-Feb. 7, 1878. Mar. 4, 1877-Feb. 7, 1878. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1871. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1985. DO. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1881. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1985. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1990. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1990. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1990. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1939-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1993-Mar. 3, 1993. Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1923.
Acheco, Romualdo	54th. 56th-57th. 45th, 1st and 2d. 46th-47th 37th. 41st-42d. 52d-53d. 55th. 44th. 49th-50th. 39th-40th. 49th-66th. 51st-52d. 60th-61st. 46th-47th. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 53th. 44th. 45th-48th. 70th-71st. 43d-44th. 45th-46th. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 52d-54th. 70th-71st. 43d-44th. 45th-46th. 52d-53d. 55th-56th. 64th-65th. 58th-59th. 53d-54th. 70th-71st. 66th-67th. 70th-71st. 66th-67th. 70th-71st.	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1890-Mar. 4, 1903. Mar. 4, 1877-Feb. 7, 1878. Mar. 4, 1871-Feb. 7, 1878. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1807-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1855-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1891. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1930-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1990-Mar. 3, 1933.
Acheco, Romualdo	56th-57th	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 4, 1903, Mar. 4, 1877-Feb. 7, 1878, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1835-Mar. 3, 1877, Mar. 4, 1835-Mar. 3, 1877, Mar. 4, 1835-Mar. 3, 1889,  Mar. 4, 185-Mar. 3, 1871, July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921, Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1993, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1990, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1897, Mar. 4, 1993-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923,
Argent, Aaron A	46th-47th 37th 41st-42d 52d-53d 55th 44th 49th-50th 39th-40th 49th-50th 39th-40th 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 46th-47th 50th-51st 58th-59th 52d-53d 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-39th 53d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th	Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1886-Mar. 3, 1891, July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921, Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1921, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1931, Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1995, Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1900, Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1993-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923,
Populist   Ransa   Populist   Ransa	37th 41st-42d 52d-53d 55th 44th 49th-50th 39th-40th 40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 44th 50th-51st 88th-50th 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 58d-53d 58d-53d 58d-53d 58d-54th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 58d-53d 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1863, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1873, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1877, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1879, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1871, July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921, Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1897, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1999, Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1997, Mar. 4, 1993-Mar. 3, 1933, Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923,
Toodburn, William	52d-53d   55th   44th   49th-50th   39th-40th   40th-41st   65th-66th   51st-52d   60th-61st   46th-47th   50th-51st   58th-59th   52d-53d   52d-53d   70th-71st   47th-48th   70th-71st   43d-44th   45th-46th   52d-53d   52d-53d   52d-53d   70th-71st   43d-44th   45th-46th   52d-53d   52d-53d   52d-53d   52d-53d   52d-53d   52d-53d   52d-53d   53th-56th   64th-65th   53th-56th   64th-65th   53th-59th   53d-54th   70th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   49th-50th   50th-50th   50th-50th   50th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   64th-65th   50th-50th   50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th-50th   50th-50th-50th-50th-50th-50th-50th-50th-	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1877. Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1889. Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1911. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1931-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1931-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1993-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 199-Mar. 3, 1933.
Toodburn, William	55th   44th   44th   50th   50th   50th   65th   66th   65th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1877, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1889, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1891, July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921, Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1999, Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1999, Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1928-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 199-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 199-Mar. 3, 1933.
2 terms, consecutive:   shley, Delos R;	49th-50th  39th-40th 40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 46th-47th 50th-51st 58th-59th 52d-53d 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 58d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1899.  Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1871. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Do. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1993. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.
shley, Delos R;         B.         do.           xtell, Samuel B         D. California           aer, John M         R. North Dakots           artinet, Horace F         R. Nevada           artlett, George A         D. do.           erry, Campbell P         D. California           iggs, Marion         D. Colorado.           rooks, Franklin E         R. Colorado.           ryan, William J         D. Nebraska           artwright, Wilburn         D. California           assidy, George W         D. Nevada           rail, Joe         R. California           rounse, Lorenzo         R. Nebraska           pavis, Horace         R. California           ve Vries, Marion         D. California           vison, Joseph M         R. Montana           doolittle, William H         R. Washington           honglass, Lewis W         D. Arizona           neglebright, Harry L         R. California	40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 40th-47th 50th-51st 88th-50th 52d-53d 52d-53d 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 62d-53d 65th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 48d-44th 48d-48th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1871. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1995. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1877. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1990. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.
Xtell, Samuel B	40th-41st 65th-66th 51st-52d 60th-61st 40th-47th 50th-51st 88th-50th 52d-53d 52d-53d 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 62d-53d 65th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 48d-44th 48d-48th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1871. July 10, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1891. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1995. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1877. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1990. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1997. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.
artinet, Horace F artlett, George A erry, Campbell P Dodo evaluation evaluati	51st-52d 60th-61st 46th-47th 50th-51st 58th-59th 52d-53d 52d-53d 70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55d-53d 56d-53d 56d-54th 70th-71st 43d-44th 51d-56th 64th-65th 56th-56th 66th-67th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1811, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1890, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1900, Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1937, Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.*
artlett, George A	60th-61st. 40th-47th 50th-51st. 85th-50th 52d-53d. 52d-53d. 70th-71st. 47th-48th 70th-71st. 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th. 58th-59th 58d-54th 70th-71st. 66th-67th. 70th-71st.	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1911, Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1883, Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1995, Do., Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1877, Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1995, Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.*
iggs, Marion	50th-51st. 58th-59th 52d-53d 52d-53d 70th-71st. 47th-48th 70th-71st. 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 65th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st. 66th-67th 70th-71st. 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1881, Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895, Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907, Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Tooks, Franklin E	58th-59th   52d-53d   52d-53d   70th-71st   47th-48th   70th-71st   43d-44th   45th-46th   52d-53d   55th-56th   64th-65th   58th-59th   53d-54th   70th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   66th-67th   70th-71st   49th-50th   70th-71st	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1827-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1881. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1881. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1990. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1803-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.*
aminetti, Anthony	52d-53d 70th-71st. 47th-48th 70th-71st. 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 65th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Do. Dec. 5, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885. Mar. 4, 1937-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1877. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1881. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Aug. 20, 1900. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.*
artwright, Wilburn D Oklahoma assidy, George W D Nevada rail, Joe R California rounse, Lorenzo R Nebraska Pavis, Horace R California Pevries, Marion People's Party Kansas Pet Vries, Marion D California Pet Vries, Marion D California People's Party Washington People's Party Washington People's Party Ransas Peoplettle, William H R Montana Poolittle, William H R Washington People S R R Washington People S R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	70th-71st 47th-48th 70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 88th-39th 53d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885.  Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.  Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1881.  Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1881.  Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1897-Aug. 20, 1900.  Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919.  Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907.  Mar. 4, 1803-Mar. 3, 1897.  Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.  Aug. 31, 1928-Mar. 3, 1933.  Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1933.
rail, Joe R. California rounse, Lorenzo R. Nebraska. avavis, Horace R. California evits, John People's Party Kansas evits, John D. California evits, John D. California evits, John People's Party Kansas evitl, Clarence C. D. Washington eviton, Joseph M. R. Montana evolittle, William H. R. Washington evoluties, Lewis W. D. Arizona englebright, Harry L. R. California	70th-71st 43d-44th 45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1831. Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1881. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Aug. 20, 1900. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1933-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
Davis, Horace.         R. California           Joavis, John.         People's Party         Kansas           De Vries, Marion.         D. California         California           Jill, Clarence C         D. Washington         Washington           Bysoph M.         R. Wontana         Washington           Hooglittle, William H         R. Washington         Washington           Longlebright, Harry L         R. California         California	45th-46th 52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 55th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1881. Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Aug. 20, 1900. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1803-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
ve Vries, Marion         D. California           vill, Clarence C.         D. Washington           vixon, Joseph M.         R. Montana           voolittle, William H.         R. Washington           bouglass, Lewis W.         D. Arizona           nglebright, Harry L.         R. California	52d-53d 55th-56th 64th-65th 58th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st 69th, 2d-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Aug. 20, 1900. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1833. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
fill, Clarence C D Washington Sixon, Joseph M R Montana R Washington Deouglate, William H R Washington Deouglass, Lewis W D Arizona R California	64th-65th 58th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st 69th, 2d-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1803-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933. Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
olixon, Joseph M R. Montana coollitte, William H R. Washington clouglass, Lewis W D Arizona nglebright, Harry L R. California	58th-59th 53d-54th 70th-71st. 69th, 2d-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st. 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1903–Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1893–Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1927–Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926–Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919–Mar. 3, 1923.
ouglass, Lewis W D Arizona California California	70th-71st 69th, 2d-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.* Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
nglebright, Harry L	69th, 2d-71st 66th-67th 70th-71st 49th-50th	Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
Vans, Robert E	70th-71st 49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
vans, William ER_ California	49th-50th 68th-69th	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*
elton, Charles N R do		Mar. 4, 1885-Mar. 3, 1889. May 1, 1923-Mar. 3, 1927.
eorge, Melvin C	47th-48th	Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885.
fillett, James N. R. California Iainer, Eugene J. Nebraska.	58th-59th, 1st 53d-54th	Mar. 4, 1903-Nov. 4, 1906. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897.
Ianback, Lewis R. Kansas R. Kansas	48th-49th	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1887.
Ianna, Louis B		Mar. 4, 1909-Jan. 7, 1913. Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1887.
Iilliard, Benjamin C	64th-65th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919.
Iogg, Herschal M         R.         do           Iope, Clifford R         R.         Kansas		Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Toughton, Sherman O R. California California	42d-43d	Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 3, 1875.
efferis, Albert W R. Nebraska D. California		Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923. Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1871.
phnson, Jed	70th-71st	Dec 5 1927-Mar 3 1933 *
Cendall, Charles W D. Nevada Oregon Corell, Franklin F. R. Oregon		Mar. 4, 1871–Mar. 3, 1875. Oct. 18, 1927–Mar. 3, 1931.
afferty, Abraham W		Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1915. Mar. 4, 1909-Sept. 11, 1911.
owe, David P R. Kansas	42d-43d	Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 3, 1875.
IcCarthy, John J. R. Nebraska		Mar. 4, 1903–Mar. 3, 1907. Mar. 4, 1891–Mar. 3, 1895.
IcLafferty, James H	67th, 3d-68th	Nov. 7, 1922-Mar. 3, 1925.
fartin, John A		Mar. 4, 1909-Mar. 3, 1913. Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1897.
Ioody, Malcolm A	56th-57th	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1903.
furray, William H. D. Oklahoma feville, William Populist Nebraska.		Mar. 4, 1913–Mar. 3, 1917. Dec. 4, 1899–Mar. 3, 1903.
Tolan, Mae E	- 67th, 4th-68th	Jan. 23, 1923-Mar. 3, 1925.
ollard, Ernest M	66th-67th	July 18, 1905-Mar. 3, 1909. Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1923.
idgely, Edwin R	55th-56th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1901.
obinson, John S. D. Nebraska. Osecrans, William S. D. California.	56th-57th	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1903. Mar. 4, 1881-Mar. 3, 1885.
cucker, Atterson W	61st-62d	Mar. 4, 1909-Mar. 3, 1913.
cott, Charles L. D. California. house, Jouett D. Kansas.		Mar. 4, 1857-Mar. 3, 1861. Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1919.
tout, Tom	63d-64th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1917.
trode, Jesse B	55th-56th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1901.
ymes, George GR Colorado	49th-50th	Mar. 4, 1897–Mar. 3, 1901. Mar. 4, 1885–Mar. 3, 1889. Mar. 4, 1892, Mar. 3, 1899.
ownsend, Hosea R. Colorado R. Colorado	51st-52d	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1927. Mar. 4, 1889-Mar. 3, 1893.
urner, Erastus J R. Kansas andever, William R. California.	50th-51st	Mar. 4, 1887-Mar. 3, 1891.
an Duzer, Clarence D	58th-59th	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907.
Feaver, Archibald J. R. Nebraska. Washington. R. Washington.	48th-49th	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1887. Mar. 4, 1919-May 8, 1923.
Velling, Milton H	65th-66th	Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1921.
releh, Richard J. R. California.  Villiamson, John N. R. Oregon.		Aug. 31, 1926-Mar. 3, 1933.* Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1907.
Voods, Samuel DR_   California		Dec. 3, 1900-Mar. 3, 1903.
2 terms, not consecutive: handler, Thomas A	65th	Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1919.
	67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1923.
amble, Robert JR South Dakota	54th56th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1901.
ernandez, Benigno C	64th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1917.
ing, William H. D. Utah.	66th	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1921. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.
palding, Burleigh FR. North Dakota	56th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899. Apr. 2, 1900-Mar. 3, 1901. Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1901. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1905.

# LONGEVITY TABULATION—continued Service of Representatives from the 16 Western States—Continued

Name		State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service	
2 terms, not consecutive—Continued.					
Vigginton, Peter D	D	California	44th. 45th, 2d and 3d	Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1877. Feb. 7, 1878-Mar. 3, 1879.	
Vilson, Edgar	R	Idaho	_ 54th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897.	
1 term, consecutive:		L. S. S. SIMI	56th		
llen, Clarence E	R	Utah California	54th		
arlow, Charles Aarton, Silas R	R	Nebraska	_ 63d	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1915,	
ell, Charles W	D	Californiado	- 63d - 58th	Do. Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1905.	
enedict, H. Stanleydwell, John	R	do	_ 64th	Nov. 7, 1916-Mar. 3, 1917.	
ird, Richard E	R.	Kansas	_ 67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1923.	
lue, Richard W	onist	do	54th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.	
otkin, Jeremiah DFusio oyd, John F	R	Nebraska	_ 60th	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1909.	
own, William R	ve R.	Kansas Washington	- 44th	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1915.	
dd, James Hrch, John C	D	California	_ 48th	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1885.	
itler, Robert R	R.	Oregon	_ 70th, 2d-71st	Nov. 6, 1928-Mar. 3, 1933.	
ampbell, Albert J	D	Montana California	_ 53d	Nov. 6, 1928-Mar. 3, 1933.*  Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1901.  Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895.	
nnon, Marion rter, Thomas H rter, Vincent	R	Montana Wyoming	_ 51st	Nov. 8, 1899-Mar. 3, 1891.	
stle, Curtis H	D	California	_ 55th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.	
ark, Clarence D.	R	Wyoming California	_ 51st, 2d-52d	Dec. 1, 1890-Mar. 3, 1893. Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1875.	
ayton, Charles  Benjamin H Farmers Alliance P	arty	Kansas	52d	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1893.	
unie, Thomas Jbb, Stephen A	R.	California Kansas	43d	Mar. 4, 1873-Mar. 3, 1875.	
offeen, Henry Aoghlan, John M	D	Wyoming California	_ 53d	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 3, 1873.	
de, CorneliusUnio	n R	do	_ 38th	Mar. 4, 1863-Mar. 3, 1865.	
onnell, William J	R	Nebraska Kansas			
ook, George W	R.	Colorado California	_ 60th	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1909.	
ombs, Frank L umpacker, Maurice E	R	Oregon	_ 69th	Mar. 4, 1925-July 24, 1927.	
itting, John Taggett, Rollin M	R	California Nevada	52d46th		
niels, Milton J	R	California	_ 58th	Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1905.	
enver, James Wxon, William W	D	Montana	- 34th		
aton, William R	R	Colorado Montana			
vans, Charles R	D	Nevada	_ 66th	Mar. 4, 1919-Mar. 3, 1921.	
lconer, Jacob A Progres	Ssive	Washington New Mexico		Mar. 3, 1913-Mar. 3, 1915. Jan. 8, 1912-Mar. 3, 1915.	
ch, Thomas	R	Nevada Oklahoma	- 41st	Mar. 4, 1869-Mar. 3, 1871.	
lton, Elmer Lnsman, Lorraine M	R	do	- 67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1923.	
fford, Öscar S	R.	South Dakota California	- 51st		
ascock John R	D	do	48th	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1885.	
enn, Thomas L	D.	Idaho Kansas	57th	Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1903. Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1877.	
odin, John R. eene, William L	ulist	NebraskaIdaho	_ 55th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 11, 1899.	
aggott, Warren A	R	Colorado	60th	Mar. 4, 1907-Mar. 3, 1909.	
il, Philomer, Thomas R.	R	South Dakota Idaho	60th		
nsbrough, Henry C	R	North Dakota Oklahoma	- 51st		
rreid, John W	ulist	Kansas	53d	Mar. 4, 1803-Mar. 3, 1895.	
enderson, James H. DUnio	on R	Oregon California	39th		
rrick, Manuel	R	Oklahoma	- 67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1923.	
rsman, Hugh S	ulist	California Kansas	_ 53d	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895.	
rde, Samuel C	R	Washington Kansas	_  54th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897. Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1903.	
kson, Fred S	R	do	_ 62d	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1913.	
nnson, Fred G	R	Nebraska		Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897.	
nson, Jacobey, John L	R	Utah South Dakota	_ 63d	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1915.	
nes William C	R	Washington	_ 55th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.	
lley, John E nnedy, John L rkpatrick, Snyder S	R.	South Dakota Nebraska	_ 59th	Mar. 4, 1905-Mar. 3, 1907.	
kpatrick, Snyder S.	nlist	Kansas South Dakota	54th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897.	
owles, Freemen TPopumbertson, William P	R.	Kansas	71st	Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1933.*	
ne, La Fayettetham, Milton S	D	Oregon California		Mar. 4, 1853-Mar. 3, 1855.	
vs, Gilbert L.	R	Nebraska	51st	Dec. 2, 1889-Mar. 3, 1891.	
wis, James Htls, Chauncey B	D	Washington Kansas	_ 69th	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1927.	
vernash, Edward J uttit, James A	D	Californiado		Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1905.	
cas, William V	R	South Dakota	_ 53d	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895.	
eBride, John R. eCorkle, Joseph W	R	Oregon California			
eCormick, Nelson BPopu	ulist	Kansas	_ 55th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.	
eCormick, Washington JeCracken, Robert M	R	MontanaIdaho	_ 64th	Mar. 4, 1915-Mar. 3, 1917.	
cDougall, James AcKibbin, Joseph C	D	Californiado.	_ 33d	Mar. 4, 1853-Mar. 3, 1855.	
cRuer, Donald C.	R	do	_ 39th	Mar. 4, 1865-Mar. 3, 1867.	
cShane, John A	n R_	Nebraska Oregon	_ 40th	Mar. 4, 1867-Mar. 3, 1869.	
arkham, Henry Harshall, Edward C	R	Californiado	_ 49th		
arshall, Edward CFusio		Nebraska		Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.	

#### LONGEVITY TABULATION—continued

Service of Representatives from the 16 Western States-Continued

Name		State	Congress (inclusive)	Date of service
1 term, consecutive—Continued.				
Miller, Orrin L	R	Kansas	_ 54th	Mar. 4, 1895-Mar. 3, 1897.
Jontgomery, Samuel I	R	Oklahoma	69th	Mar. 4, 1925-Mar. 3, 1927.
Iontoya, Nestor	R	New Mexico	67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Jan. 13, 1923.
Jeeley George A	D	Kansas	. 62d, 3d-63d	Nov. 11, 1912-Mar. 3, 1915.
eeley, George A esmith, James W	D	Oregon	43d	Dec. 1, 1873-Mar. 3, 1875.
orton, John N	D	Nebraska	70th	Mar. 4, 1927-Mar. 3, 1929.
отобы, обы тү		Tichiasaa	72d	Mar. 4, 1931-Mar. 3, 1933.*
Conner Charles	D	Oklahoma	71st	Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1931.
Schorna John F	D	Wyoming	55th	Mar. 4, 1929 Mar. 3, 1931.
Connor, Charles sborne, John E tis, John G	Deeplete Posts	Kansas	52d	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.
ence, Lafayette	reopie's rarty		524	Mar. 4, 1891-Mar. 3, 1893.
ence, Dalayette	Danuliat	Colorado	- 53d	Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895.
eters, Mason S	Populist	Kansas		Mar. 4, 1893-Mar. 3, 1895. Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899. Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1863.
Phelps, Timothy G	К	California		Mar. 4, 1861-Mar. 3, 1863.
iper, William A		do	44th	Mar. 4, 1875-Mar. 3, 1877.
oindexter, Miles		Washington	61st	Mar. 4, 1909-Mar. 3, 1911.
ringey, Joseph C		Oklahoma	67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1923.
ankin, Jeannette		Montana	65th	Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1919.
ees, Rollin R	R	Kansas	62d	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1913.
ichards, Charles L	D	Nevada	68th	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1925.
lobertson, Alice M	R	Oklahoma	_  67th	Mar. 4, 1921-Mar. 3, 1923.
hannon, Thomas B	R	California	38th	Mar. 4, 1863-Mar. 3, 1865.
hiel, George K.	D	Oregon	37th	July 30, 1861-Mar. 3, 1863,
imms, Albert G	R	New Mexico	71st	Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1931.
later, James H	D	Oregon	42d	Mar. 4, 1871-Mar. 3, 1873.
mith, Joseph S	D	do	41st	Mar. 4, 1869-Mar. 3, 1871.
parks, Charles I	D	Kansas	71st	Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1933.
tone, U. S.	D D	Oklahoma	71st	Mar. 4, 1929-Mar. 3, 1933.
tone, U. S.		Oklanoma	7186	Apr. 15, 1929-Mar. 3, 1931.
tout, Lansing		Oregon	36th	Mar. 4, 1859-Mar. 3, 1861.
umner, Charles A		California	48th	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1885.
utherland, George hompson, Thomas L 'ully, Pleasant B		Utah	. 57th	Mar. 4, 1901-Mar. 3, 1903.
hompson, Thomas L	D	California	50th	Mar. 4, 1887–Mar. 3, 1889, Mar. 4, 1883–Mar. 3, 1885, Mar. 4, 1897–Mar. 3, 1899, Mar. 4, 1917–Mar. 3, 1919.
'ully, Pleasant B	D	do	48th	Mar. 4, 1883-Mar. 3, 1885.
'incent, William D	Populist.	Kansas	55th	Mar. 4, 1897-Mar. 3, 1899.
Valton, William B	D.	New Mexico	65th	Mar. 4, 1917-Mar. 3, 1919.
Varburton, Stanton	R	Washington	62d	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1913.
Vaters, Russell J	R	California	56th	Mar. 4, 1899-Mar. 3, 1901.
Vatkins Elton	D	Oregon	68th	Mar. 4, 1923-Mar. 3, 1925.
Veaver, Claude	D	Oklahoma	63d	Mar. 4, 1913-Mar. 3, 1915.
Velch, Frank	R	Nebraska		Mar 4 1877-Sept 4 1878.
Vhiteaker, John		Oregon	46th	Mar. 4, 1877-Sept. 4, 1878. Mar. 4, 1879-Mar. 3, 1881.
Vilder, A. Carter		Kansas	38th	Mar. 4, 1863-Mar. 3, 1865.
Villiams Richard	R	Oregon	45th	Mar. 4, 1877-Mar. 3, 1879.
Fron Thomas	P	Nevada	45th	Do.
Vren, Thomas Vright, George W Vynn, William J Oung, Isaac D	Independent	California	31st	Sept. 11, 1850-Mar. 3, 1851.
Venn William T	Union Labor D	do		Mor 4 1002 Mor 2 1005
oung Isaaa D	D D	Kansas		Mar. 4, 1903-Mar. 3, 1905. Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1913.
oung, isaac D	A	Kalisas	044	Mar. 4, 1911-Mar. 3, 1913.
Less than I thu term:		Now Menter	604 04 and 24	Top 0 1010 Mer 0 1010
'urry, George		New Mexico		Jan. 8, 1912-Mar. 3, 1913. Mar. 4, 1889-Oct. 1, 1890.
e Haven, John J	R	California	51st, 1st	Mar. 4, 1889-Uct. 1, 1890.
nglish, Warren B		do		Apr. 4, 1894-Mar. 3, 1895.
laherty, Lawrence J	R	do	69th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1925-June 13, 1926.
amble, John R	R	South Dakota	52d, 1st	Mar. 4, 1891-Aug. 14, 1891.
rover, La Fayette		Oregon		Feb. 15, 1859-Mar. 3, 1859.
lumphrey, Augustin R	R	Nebraska	67th, 3d	Nov. 7, 1922-Mar. 3, 1923.
a Dow, George A	D	Oregon	44th, 1st	Mar. 4, 1875-May 1, 1875.
oofbourow, Frederick C	R	Utah	71st, 3d	Nov. 4, 1930-Mar. 3, 1933.*
ow Frederick F	R	California	37th, 2d and 3d	June 3, 1862-Mar. 3, 1863.
AcCredie, William W	R	Washington	61st, 2d and 3d	Nov. 2, 1909-Mar. 3, 1911.
Injors, Thomas J	R	Nebraska	45th, 2d and 3d	Nov. 5, 1878-Mar. 3, 1879.
Aarquette, Turner M	R	do	39th, 2d	Mar. 2 and 3, 1867.
litchell, Alexander C	R	Kansas	62d, 1st	35 4 1011 T-1 7 1011
Lang Harana I	n	do	53d, 2d and 3d	Aug. 2, 1894-Mar. 3, 1895
Parker, William H Patterson, Thomas M windall, Charles	D.	South Dakota	60th, 1st	Mar 4 1907-June 26 1908
Osttoren Thomas M		Colorada	45th 2d and 2d	Dog 12 1877 Mar 2 1970
attersoil, Thomas M.,		Colorado	45th, 2d and 3d	Nov 2 1000 Mar 2 1001
windan, Charles	R	Oklahoma	00til, 00	Mon 4 1991 Tuly 90 1991
hayer, Andrew J		Oregon		Mar. 4, 1861-July 30, 1861.
Thayer, Andrew J Thorpe, Roy H White, S. Harrison	R	Nebraska	- 67th, 3d	Nov. 7, 1922-Mar. 3, 1923.
vhite, S. Harrison	D	Colorado		Mar. 4, 1911-1119 7, 1911.  Aug. 2, 1894-Mar. 3, 1895.  Mar. 4, 1907-June 26, 1908.  Dec. 13, 1877-Mar. 3, 1879.  Nov. 2, 1920-Mar. 3, 1921.  Mar. 4, 1861-July 30, 1861.  Nov. 7, 1922-Mar. 3, 1923.  Nov. 15, 1927-Mar. 3, 1929.  Mar. 4, 1872, 1411 9, 1873.
Wilson, Joseph GWorthington, Henry G	R.	Oregon		Mai. 7, 1010 July 2, 1010.
		Nevada	38th, 2d	Oct. 31, 1864-Mar. 3, 1865.

Note.—Republican, 264; Democrat, 130; Union Labor, 1; Independent, 3; Populist, 13; Progressive Republican, 5; People's Party, 4; Prohibition, 1; Progressive, 2; Fusionist, 2; Farmers' Alliance Party, 1; Union Republican, 3.

New Representatives elect of the Seventy-second Congress from Western States—Baldrige, Malcolm B. (R.), Nebraska; Chavez, Dennis (D.), New Mexico; Curry, Charles F., jr. (R.), California; Disney, Wesley E. (D.), Oklahoma; Horr, Ralph A. (R.), Wasnington; McGugin, Harold (R.), Kansas; Martin, Charles H. (D.), Oregon.

#### DELEGATES TO CONGRESS FROM THE WESTERN TERRITORIES

Arizona (Thirty-eighth Congress): Charles D. Poston, John N. Goodwin, Coles Bashford, Richard C. McCormick, Hiram S. Stevens, John G. Campbell, Granville H. Oury, Curtis C. Bean, Marcus A. Smith, Nathan O. Murphy, John F. Wilson, Ralph H. Cameron. California: No Delegates.

Colorado (Thirty-seventh Congress): Hiram P. Bennet, Allen A. Bradford, George M. Chilcott, Jerome B. Chaffee, Thomas M. Patterson.

Dakota (Thirty-seventh Congress): John B. S. Todd, William Jayne, Walter A. Burleigh, Solomon L. Spink, Jefferson P. Kidder, Moses K. Armstrong, Granville G. Bennett, Richard F. Pettigrew, John B. Raymond, Oscar S. Gifford, George A. Mathews.
Idaho (Thirty-eighth Congress): William H. Wallace, Edward D. Holbrook, Jacob K. Safer, Samuel A. Merritt, John Hailey, Thomas W. Bennett, Stephen S. Fenn, George Ainslie, Theodore F. Singiser, Fred T. Dubois.

Kansas (Thirty-third Congress): John W. Whitfield, Marcus J. Parrott.

Parrott.

Montana (Thirty-eighth Congress), Samuel McLean, James M. Cavanaugh, William H. Clagett, Martin Maginnis, Joseph K. Toole, Thomas H. Carter.

Nebraska (Thirty-third Congress): Napoleon P. Giddings, Bird F. Chapman, Fenner Ferguson, Experience Estabrook, Samuel G. Daily, Phineas W. Hitchcock.

Nevada (Thirty-seventh Congress): John Cradlebaugh, Gordon

N. Mott.

New Mexico (Thirty-second Congress): Richard H. Weightman, Jose M. Gallegos, Miguel A. Otero, John S. Watts, Francisco Perea, J. Francisco Chaves, Charles P. Clever, Stephen B. Elkins, Trinidad Romero, Mariano S. Otero, Tranquilino Luna, Francisco A. Manzanares, Antonio Joseph, Thomas B. Catron, Harvey B. Fergusson, Pedro Perea, Bernard S. Rodey, William H. Andrews.

Oklahoma: David A. Harvey (Pifty-first Congress), Dennis T. Flynn, James Y. Callahan, Bird S. McGuire.

Oregon: Samuel R. Thurston (Thirty-first Congress), Joseph Lane.

Lane.

Utah: John M. Bernhisel (Thirty-second Congress), William H. Hooper, John F. Kinney, George Q. Cannon, John T. Caine, Joseph L. Rawlins, Frank J. Cannon.

Washington: Columbia Lancaster (Thirty-third Congress), J. Patton Anderson, Isaac I. Stevens, William H. Wallace, George E. Cole, Arthur A. Denny, Alvan Flanders, Selucius Garfielde, Obadiah B. McFadden, Orange Jacobs, Thomas H. Brents, Charles S. Voorhees, John B. Allen.

Wyoming: Stephen F. Nuckolls (Forty-first Congress), William T. Jones, William R. Steele, William W. Corlett, Stephen W. Downey, Morton E. Post, Joseph M. Carey.

DATES OF THE FORMATION OF THE WESTERN TERRITORIES

Territory of Arizona: Formed from a portion of the Territory New Mexico and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of February 24, 1863.

California: Formed a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by Mexico by the treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, February 2, 1848.

Territory of Colorado: Formed from portions of the territory ceded to the United States by France by the treaty of Paris of April 30, 1803, and of that ceded by Mexico by the treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo of February 2, 1848, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of February 28, 1861.

Territory of Idaho: Formed from a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by France by the treaty of April 30, 1803, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of March 3, 1869.

Territory of Kansas: Formed from territory ceded to the United States by France by the treaty of Paris of April 30, 1803, and by the State of Texas in settlement of her boundaries in 1850; erected into a Territorial government and granted a Delgate in Congress by act of May 30, 1854.

Territory of Montana: Formed from a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by France by treaty of April 30, 1803, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of May 26, 1864.

Territory of Nebraska: Formed a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by France by treaty of April 30, 1803, and granted a Delegate in Congress by Act of May 30, 1854.

Territory of Nevada: Formed from a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by Mexico by treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo of February 2, 1848, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of March 2, 1861.

by act of March 2, 1861.

Territory of New Mexico: Formed from a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by Mexico by treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo of February 2, 1848, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of September 9, 1850.

Territory of Dakota: Formed from a portion of the territory ceded to the United States by France by treaty of April 30, 1803, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of March 2, 1861.

Territory of Oklahoma: Formed from a portion of Indian Territory and from that portion of the United States known as the

tory and from that portion of the United States known as the "Public Land Strip," and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of May 2, 1890.

Territory of Oregon: Formed August 14, 1848, from territory

Territory of Oregon: Formed August 14, 1848, from territory ceded to the United States by treaty with France of April 30, 1803; treaty with Spain of February 22, 1819; and treaty with Great Britain of June 15, 1846, and granted a Delegate in Congress.

Territory of Utah: Formed from portion of territory ceded to the United States by Mexico by treaty of Gaudalupe Hidalgo of February 2, 1848, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of September 9, 1850.

Territory of Washington: Formed March 2, 1853, from a portion of the Territory of Oregon and granted a Delegate in Congress.

Territory of Wyoming: Formed from a portion of the terri-ory ceded to the United States by France by treaty of April 0, 1803, and granted a Delegate in Congress by act of July 25,

Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield 20 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Connery]. plause.]

Mr. CONNERY. Mr. Chairman, I was most disagreeably impressed with the emblazoned headlines as were printed in the local papers here on Friday of the "scandals" in the Veterans' Bureau. I became interested because of my membership on the World War Veterans' Legislation Committee, and I find that the scandal, such as it is, is merely because certain employees of the Veterans' Bureau who were officers during the war have been, in accordance with the law, placed upon the emergency officers' retirement list. I would not be a bit surprised if those who were in part the cause of this scandalous misinformation could not be shown to have been just as emphatic as I have been from time to time in calling the Veterans' Bureau "hard boiled" because some of their constituents were denied retirement with pay, but let us not misunderstand the true force back of the Senate amendment. The author of that amendment has merely caught fire and taken comfort from the remarks of the gentleman from Texas of last Wednesday not because he agrees with the gentleman from Texas and is following his leadership but because it gives him a splendid opportunity of conducting this unqualified step toward taking from vet-

erans benefits which have heretofore accrued to them and which were secured only after a most arduous campaign in their behalf.

The essence of his amendment is designed to deny benefits under the emergency officers' retirement act to those employees of the Federal Government who are receiving \$2,000 or more salary. He has not discussed employees of State governments, municipal governments, nor those in private employ and the scope of this amendment can not be extended to them without a point of order being made. So obviously it is unfair at the start and it can not be but embarrassing in the future as it is embarrassing to us now, unless, as I hope, the House opposes the amendment

It is interesting to note that the Senate amendment was adopted without a record vote and the judgment of the Senate was not necessarily adequate in the absence of all the facts, although Senator George made an excellent presentation of the side of the opposition. In the second premise Senator REED, himself, was responsible in part for the adoption of one of the cardinal principles affecting World War veterans, and reference might be made to subparagraph 4. section 202, of the World War veterans' act, which reads in part:

The rating shall be based as far as practicable upon the average impairment of earning capacity resulting from such injuries in civilian occupations solely and the occupation of the injured man at the time of enlistment and not upon the impairment in earning capacity in each individual case so that there shall be no reduction in the rate of compensation for individual success in overcoming the handicap or an injury.

The third premise: Congress and the President have repeatedly enunciated the principle that in civilian employment with the Government veterans would be given preference, and that that preference would be doubled when a veteran had a service-connected disability.

Not only does Senator REED's amendment contravene the provisions of the World War veterans' act as above quoted but, in addition, it seeks to deny veterans from holding gainful occupations which, despite their disability as adjudicated by a fact-finding agency, they have been found qualified to perform, otherwise the head of the administrative agency is subject to criticism for employing them and not the veterans concerned.

Too much has been said as to the number of doctors who have found their way on the emergency officers' retirement list. Holding no brief for or against the doctors, there are certain factors which are susceptible of further exposition in order that a better understanding as to why so many doctors are retired may be had.

In the first place, I wonder if my colleagues know that there were a total of 209,000 commissioned officers in the World War, and of that number 196,000 were emergency officers. Think of it! Of the 196,000 emergency officers, approximately 25 per cent, or 41,000, were medical officers. In analyzing the emergency officers' retirement list as it exists to-day, with its 6,300 retired officers, we find that approximately the same percentage only of medical officers have been retired, namely, 1,400. So it would appear that not an undue number of medical officers have been retired, if statistics mean anything at all.

However, it must be realized that medical officers were of an average age in excess of 10 years older than the average line officer of the combatant branches. For example, Dr. George C. Skinner, who is mentioned by the proposer of the Senate amendment, was 49 years of age when he entered the war.

That, which is perhaps little understood by Members of Congress who can not comprehend the basis for evaluated disability, is the schedule of disability ratings. In order that a better understanding may be had, I am going to insert a copy of one page of the occupational table with my remarks:

TABLE I.—Occupational ratings

Occupations	Injury variants																
	Eye	Ear	Nose and throat	Nerv- ous system	Head and neck	Chest	Back and peri- neum	Abdo- men and pelvis	Arm	Fore- arm	Hand	Thumb	Fin- gers	Thigh	Leg	Foot	Sys- temic
Oil refiner, petroleum Oil well driller, petroleum Optician Optometrist Organ builder Ornamental iron worker Oven man, brass lacquer Oysterman Painter: Bridge	8 7 5 5 3 4	556665544	4 5 5 7 5 5 5 8	4 5 9 6 6 5 4 2	56556056	5 6 2 2 2 5 6 6 6 7	67 33 66 55 9	6 7 3 3 6 6 6 8	47436848	4 6 5 3 6 7 4 8	4 7 5 3 7 7 4 8	4 7 5 4 8 7 4 8	4 6 5 4 8 7 3 7 8	5 7 3 2 6 6 6 6	56336666	4 6 3 2 6 6 6 6 6	56 33 22 56 77 7
House— Inside Outside	6	4 5	7 6	5 5	7 7	6	8 8	8 8	7 7	7 7	8 8	9	7 8	7 8	6 7	7 8	
Inside Outside Pantographer, textiles Pants maker Paper box maker Paper hanger Parasitologist Pattern maker:	6 5 4	4 5 4 4 2 6 3	6 5 6 5 1 5 5	5 6 3 2 5 6	7 7 5 4 5 9 2	5 6 4 4 6 3	7 7 4 5 5 9 3	6 4 4 5 8 2	7 7 5 6 6 7 3	7 7 6 7 5 8 3	7 8 7 8 4 8 3	8 9 5 8 6 8 3	7 8 5 8 5 7 3	5 8 4 2 4 8 3	5 7 4 3 4 8 3	5 8 4 3 4 8 3	
Candies Metals Wood Paving cutter Pawnbroker Paymaster Percher, textiles Pharmaceutical worker Pharmacist Pharmacologist Photongraver	7 6 4 6 7 6 7 8 7	265556446644	4 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 4	3 6 6 3 4 7 6 7 9 8 7	4 5 6 5 2 4 6 4 4 4	55673375444	4 6 6 5 2 3 5 4 3 3 4	4 6 5 5 2 3 4 5 3 3	676523455437	6777523455438	6 7 7 5 2 5 4 4 4 4 7	7 7 7 5 2 5 4 4 4 5 3	6 7 7 5 2 4 4 4 5 3 7	3 6 6 3 3 5 5 5 5 4	4 6 6 5 3 3 5 5 5 5 3 4	4 6 7 6 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 4	6
Photographer: Studio Outside Photostat machine operator Physician or surgeon Physiotherapist Plano tuner	7 5 7	5 6 5 9 5 6	5 5 1 8 6 4	5 7 4 9 6 4	5 6 6 7 7	3 4 3 6 5 3	3 6 4 5 6 3	3 5 4 4 6 4	3 6 5 7 7 5	3 5 5 8 7 5	4 6 4 9 7 5	5 6 5 9 6 5	4 5 4 9 6 5	4 6 3 4 5 3	4 6 3 4 5 3	6 3 4 6 3	

It will be noted that I have selected that page on which the physicians have been listed. The numerals under each "body part," as expressed, are the injury variant, ranging from 1 to 9. Let us analyze just for a moment a bridge painter and how his variant compares with the variant assigned to a physician. Notice, because of the relative high physical requirements of a bridge painter, how his variant will be uniformly higher than the physician's. On the other hand, a piano tuner, at the bottom of the table, has a uniformly lower variant than does either of the above-mentioned occupations. This table was worked out on the most available information that could be had, including the experience of the American Federation of Labor, the Workmen's Compensation Bureau, and data from insurance companies. It was required by the World War veterans' act itself. This brings me to mention Dr. Winthrop Adams, a former medical director of the bureau, now in command of one of our hospitals in Massachusetts. Doctor Adams's hearing was impaired to practically total deafness. If we will note the rating table for hearing, Doctor Adams is accorded a variant of 9, which is the highest variant that can be had, and properly so. For what use, may I ask, would a physician be if he could not properly employ, for example, a stethoscope? Yet Doctor Adam's capacity as an administrative officer has proven its worth many times. He is one of the most valuable men in the Veterans' Bureau employ, but is to be penalized if the Senate amendment is adopted because he has attained some individual success in overcoming the handicap of his injury, which was sustained as a result of concussion from gunfire at sea when he was serving in the capacity of a lieutenant commander of the Navy on a battleship.

I am not much concerned with the character of debate which was precipitated by the gentleman from Texas and taken up by the Senator from Pennsylvania. One who knows the inner workings of the Veterans' Bureau knows full well that the "swop" and "deal," or, as expressed by these gentlemen, "one scratches the other's back," is utterly great majority of disabled emergency officers.

ridiculous, because each case must be passed upon not by one person in charge but by many, and it is a well-recognized fact-and most of us know it to be a fact-that a bureau employee has invariably had thrice to prove his case in order to secure benefits either for disability compensation or emergency officers' retired pay.

Those who are familiar with the circumstances attending the rating of cases in the Veterans' Bureau know that by the rotation of medical officers and other members of the boards it would be utterly impossible to believe that "backscratching" could be employed no matter how much inside influence an applicant for retirement might have. One case comes to my mind where a member of one of these boards itself met with nothing but stubborn resistance in securing his retirement until every affidavit in the file was investigated and such investigation required a protracted length of time until contact might be had with one of his comrades in service now stationed in a far off province in

The amendment adopted by the Senate, in my opinion, is utterly ridiculous. We are departing from the principle that emergency officers are entitled to the same benefits that other officers, including regular officers, were entitled by reason of war-service disabilities.

I am concerned very much that these veterans who are rendering the best service in the Veterans' Bureau to-day are to be penalized because some one thinks the general counsel of the Veterans' Bureau is receiving too much salary in addition to the benefits which the authorized agencies have determined to be his due under the legislation as it

I repeat this is but a step in the direction of limiting benefits already accrued to veterans, and I am not in sympathy with it, neither in the form, manner, or the principle enunciated by the Senator from Pennsylvania, who in his zeal to protect the enlisted man, in which zeal I heartily concur, is by this amendment doing a grave injustice to the In reporting the Reed amendment one of the Boston papers took occasion to mention the name of Capt. William J. Blake, regional manager of the Veterans' Bureau at Boston. It was my privilege to serve with Bill Blake in the One hundred and first Infantry. He was my top sergeant and later became an officer. He was a gallant soldier and was injured in service. If as a result of the passage of an amendment such as the Reed amendment the bureau at Boston should lose the services of Captain Blake the disabled men of Massachusetts would lose a friend who has battled continuously for them 24 hours a day and in his place we would get some hard-boiled efficiency economy expert who would know nothing about the disabled men except that they were an expense to the Government and their compensation should be cut down.

The Boston regional office of the United States Veterans' Bureau has the reputation of being one of the most liberal offices in the country in its adjudication of veterans' claims, and if we are going to hound men of the type of Bill Blake because they are able to work in the bureau whilst disabled, we are going to penalize the disabled veteran whose needs they understand and whose champions they have been.

Doctor McDermott, to whom Senator Reed refers in his remarks, is one of the best doctors in the bureau at Washington, and has rendered invaluable service to the Government in the trial of cases throughout the country. The bureau has always had difficulty in securing good doctors, and I protest that we should not break down the morale of these men who have faithfully discharged their duties, and through their own disability are bound to be more sympathetic to the disabled man than a doctor who never saw service.

Watson B. Miller, chairman of the national rehabilitation committee of the American Legion, is probably the best expert on veteran legislation in the country. Mr. Miller, who always has the welfare of the disabled man at heart, believes that the passage of this amendment would be a severe body blow to the interests of the disabled veteran.

On May 24, 1928, the Tyson-Fitzgerald bill, better known as the disabled emergency officers' retirement act, became law, having been passed by the House and Senate over the veto of President Coolidge. The object of the act was to grant retirement benefits on a par with the retirement benefits granted officers of the Regular Army, Navy, and Marine Corps to those veterans of the World War who served as emergency officers and acquired service-connected disabilities which were shown at the time of the passage of the act or within one year following its passage, to be permanently disabling to a degree of more than 10 per cent. Emergency officers found to be disabled more than 10 per cent but less than 30 per cent were, by the terms of the act, to be granted retirement without pay, and those found 30 per cent or more permanently disabled by reason of their service-connected disabilities were granted retirement with pay on the basis of 75 per cent of the base pay they were receiving at the time of their discharge from service. On its face the law seemed a just one. Veterans' organizations had been asking for its passage for many years, and the fact that it was passed over the President's veto is indicative of the overwhelming sentiment in favor of the act in both Houses of Congress.

The administration of the act was vested in the United States Veterans' Bureau. That bureau proceeded to arrive at percentage ratings under the system in force for rating disability percentages for compensation purposes under the World War veterans' act. In the latter act Congress had provided for a schedule of disability ratings based on the handicap suffered by a veteran by reason of his service-connected disability in carrying on at his pre-war occupation.

While undoubtedly this method of determining ratings and percentages of disability under the emergency officers' retirement act was the most expedient one, certain inequalities immediately arose in the adjudication of retirement claims. For example, two men—one a doctor, the other a lawyer—might have entered World War service on the same day, served equally long and rigorously, and been discharged together. Both developed in service a respiratory

disability diagnosed as chronic bronchitis. Under the schedule of disability ratings in force under the World War veterans' act the doctor was given a variant of 6 for his chest, with respect to his pre-war occupation. The lawyer carried a variant of 2 for a chest disability, as related to his pre-war occupation, and whereas the physician might secure a rating of 30 per cent or more for a moderate chronic bronchitis the lawyer would have to show a severe chronic bronchitis to be rated more than 30 per cent.

This disability of chronic bronchitis, mentioned in the previous paragraph, has been chosen deliberately rather than a disability arising directly from front-line service and arising from wounds received in battle. It will be recalled that the disabled emergency officers' retirement act was passed primarily to place emergency World War officers on a par with officers of the Regular Army, Navy, and Marine Corps with respect to retirement benefits. Since officers of the Regular Army, Navy, and Marine Corps are most frequently retired from service for disabilities arising from other than battle casualties, it seems no more than just that the emergency officers should have been granted the same privileges for retirement purposes when it is properly shown that the disease or disability from which they were suffering was acquired in line of duty during World War service.

Furthermore, in order to get a clear picture of the whole system of arriving at percentage ratings for disability it must be understood that quite frequently a man might be more disabled as a result of disease acquired in service than from wounds actually received in battle. A superficial wound, received from shrapnel, might leave nothing more than a healed scar without interference to any muscle groups, and could not properly be held to be disabling, while pulmonary tuberculosis, acquired in service, has in so many cases, actually resulted in death after years of lingering illness. Our hearts go out to the man who was wounded in battle, though ever so slightly wounded, but our hearts must also encompass the veteran who, though not a battle casualty, is nevertheless oftentimes seriously disabled by reason of his war service.

When the United States Veterans' Bureau took over the administration of the emergency officers' retirement act there arose the question of what diseases or disabilities could be considered as having been acquired in service in line of duty. Congress, through the liberal provisions of the World War veterans' act of 1924, had granted service connection for certain disabilities by presumption.

In the administration of the retirement act it was first held in the Veterans' Bureau that this presumption of service connection did not extend to retirement claims. Eventually, the question of whether presumptively service-connected cases were entitled to retirement provided they met with the other qualifications of the retirement act, was submitted to the Attorney General of the United States and on January 18, 1929, that official ruled that inasmuch as the method for determining service connection and disability rating percentages in retirement claims was under the terms of the World War veterans' act of 1924, all the privileges for granting service connection under the World War veterans' act of 1924 should apply to retirement claims, and thus the presumptively service-connected cases were included by the Veterans' Bureau for consideration under the retirement act.

The schedule of disability ratings heretofore referred to contained no provision for granting permanent ratings for active pulmonary tuberculosis (except in permanent and total cases) nor for the neuropsychiatric disabilities. It was therefore necessary to secure the issuance of instructions which would permit permanent ratings for veterans seriously handicapped physically or mentally by reason of these two great classes of disability. It was not until August 2, 1929, that such instructions were issued in the Veterans' Bureau, although the necessity for such instructions was obvious from the start.

Quite naturally, with the retirement of more than 6,000 emergency officers with pay, there arose, what to the layman must seem inequalities and at times injustice. Frequently a former emergency officer with no financial need

for retirement with pay has been granted such benefits, and oftentimes at a high rate, due to the fact that he held a high rank during service. Another former emergency officer, who needs the money has been unable to secure relief, due to one reason or another—lack of service connection or the disability not 30 per cent permanently disabling. The latter individual may be a battle casualty, but, as has been pointed out earlier, his wounds may not be seriously disabling. The former individual may never have seen front-line service, may to all appearances be in the best of health, and wonderment is expressed that one should be receiving comparatively large benefits while the other receives little or none.

Yet, sight must not be lost of the fact that while the battle scar is plainly visible to the most superficial examination, it is not disabling, whereas the apparently healthy man who has been retired with pay, may have no visible defects, yet be suffering from a serious condition which can only be found on careful examination.

More than 13,000 former emergency officers have filed claim for retirement benefits within the time limit. Of these, more than 6,000 have been granted retirement with pay. Several hundred claims were filed after the expiration of the time limit and an examination of a number of these claims show that the veterans are entitled otherwise to the benefits of the retirement act. If any action with respect to the disabled emergency officers retirement act is taken in Congress it should be toward the removal of the time limit for filing claim and showing the existence of a 30 per cent permanent disability acquired in service.

Now, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I am going to put all of my statement here in the Record, and I also want to quote in part an editorial of the Washington Post in reference to this matter. I am not going to put in the entire editorial. The entire editorial is good, and this portion hits right at the mark. It states:

Is the disability allowance intended to save veterans from starvation or to compensate them in some measure for the physical handicaps that were inflicted on them in the service? If the former theory is adopted, Congress ought to take away the allowance to all veterans with good jobs and not merely from those holding Government positions. On the other hand, if this disability allowance is given in compensation for damage done to health and psysique during the war, there seems to be no reason why it should be denied to employees of the Veterans' Bureau or any other Government agency.

Mr. BLANTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CONNERY. In just a moment I will be glad to yield. I intend to talk also after this on the soldiers' bonus, and I will put in the Record all the material I have here, so I may yield.

I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. BLANTON. I agree with the distinguished gentleman from Massachusetts that some of these men, like Mr. Blake, are rendering signal service, but this Reed amendment will not take away from Mr. Blake his regional job.

Mr. CONNERY. No; but it will take away his disability allowance.

Mr. BLANTON. It takes away his disability allowance, but he still holds his regional job, getting a big salary commensurate with his services.

Mr. CONNERY. No; not a big salary commensurate with his services. He could go outside of the bureau and do better than that.

Mr. BLANTON. Does the distinguished gentleman from Massachusetts, for instance, want to permit William Wolff Smith to draw his salary of \$9,000 which is four times as much as he ever drew in his life before he went into this service, and then draw an additional \$181.50 per month?

Mr. CONNERY. I have no brief either for or against William Wolff Smith—

Mr. BLANTON. He draws a salary of \$9,000 per year and also draws \$181.50 as a disability allowance.

Mr. CONNERY. But why pick out the one man who gets \$9,000 when there are many disabled emergency officers who went over the top in France and fought with their men that do not get half what you give your Regular Army officers, some of whom were never in the lines and are now drawing a larger disability allowance. I say do justice to

the disabled emergency officers and do not pick out a \$9,000 man and do not say that William Wolff Smith scratched Doctor McDermott's back and Doctor McDermott scratched his back, when that is a misstatement of facts, because neither man had anything to do with the other's claim when it went through.

Mr. BLANTON. Has the gentleman ever checked up to see why it is that most of the men in the Government employ who are drawing these big salaries and drawing big disability compensation are professional men—doctors and lawyers?

Mr. CONNERY. I will say to the gentleman that that is all in my statement here and if the gentleman will read the Record to-morrow morning he will see why 1,500 doctors are on that list, and he will also realize that almost all of the doctors who were in the service were at least 10 years older than the men and therefore get this retirement on account of their disability. I will ask the gentleman to read that statement because I do want to talk now on the bonus question for a few moments.

Mr. PATTERSON. Will the gentleman yield for a brief question?

Mr. CONNERY. Yes.

Mr. PATTERSON. With respect to the Senator's amendment, does it make this applicable during the time the veteran holds the job or is it a permanent thing?

Mr. CONNERY. No; it says that no man who gets over \$2,000 and is in the employ of the Government can get any disability allowance. If he lost his job or went outside of the Government, he could then get it.

Mr. PATTERSON. I am interested in the gentleman's statement, because the gentleman knows more about these things than I do.

Mr. CONNERY. I thank the gentleman.

I want to bring this to the gentleman's attention. Remember, under the Reed amendment, that a man in the gentleman's district who is not working for the Government can get this allowance, no matter what salary he may be receiving, but a man who works for the Government can not get it. That certainly is not fair.

Mr. PATTERSON. A man on the outside can get it no

matter what kind of salary he is receiving?

Mr. CONNERY. That does not make any difference. He may be making \$10,000 a year, but if he does not work for the Government he can get it. I may also say to the gentleman that that is all in the statement I have here, and I will be pleased to have the gentleman read it in tomorrow's Record.

Mr. PATTERSON. I will be glad to read it.

Mr. CONNERY. It is very difficult to get good doctors into the Veterans' Bureau. I know that every Member of the House wants good doctors in that bureau, and General Hines has asked these men to come into the bureau and the only reason he could get these good doctors is because they are disabled and could not practice outside. For instance, you will see in this statement that Dr. Winthrop Adams, who is the head of the Bedford Hospital, is practically deaf. He would not be any good with a stethoscope and could not begin to make the money he made before the war or before he was injured on a battleship while serving as a lieutenant commander, but he made a great medical director of the bureau, is now running the hospital at Bedford, and has made a wonderful record there for the veterans.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CONNERY. Yes.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. And the life of this amendment, if it were to go into effect, would be only this coming fiscal year. It would not be operative after next year because it is in an appropriation bill which relates to the next fiscal year.

Mr. CONNERY. Yes.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. So it is not permanent legislation; and, in the second place, only a few days ago I had a young doctor here from my district who is on the civil-service list for appointment to the Veterans' Bureau, but he refused to take an appointment outside of

the city of Boston, and the bureau has great difficulty in | securing doctors to accept appointment other than in their immediate vicinity.

Mr. CONNERY. And one reason they come here is because they have the opportunity in the Veterans' Bureau to do work for the soldiers which they want to do, and at the same time get at least a small wage in the Veterans' Bureau.

Mr. JONAS of North Carolina. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CONNERY. Yes.

Mr. JONAS of North Carolina. If the gentleman can find any vacancies over there, I wish he would let me know because I have a half dozen doctors in my district who have been begging me for two years to get them a place in the Veterans' Bureau.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. If the gentleman will have them file their applications in the regular way through the civil service and if they can meet the conditions precedent. I understand the Veterans' Bureau is looking for such men who will accept appointments outside of their own immediate community.

Mr. CONNERY. They are always glad to get good doctors.

Mr. JONAS of North Carolina. Well, I have a number of them, and they are very good ones.

Mr. CONNERY. If the gentleman will have them file their application, I am sure they will be glad to have them.

Mr. JONAS of North Carolina. Will the gentleman help me in the matter?

Mr. CONNERY. I will be glad to. Mr. BLANTON. I may answer my friend from Massachusetts by stating that this amendment will become permanent law because the Reed amendment says, "in all acts," and it becomes operative the very minute it is signed by the President, and applies to any future appropriation bills.

Mr. CONNERY. Whether it applies for all time or for the present year, the principle is the same. It is unjust treatment of men who should be receiving praise instead of

Mr. BLANTON. I understand that one of our employees on the Shipping Board gets \$12,000 a year and \$6,000 a year compensation. Is the gentleman in favor of that?

Mr. CONNERY. If there is a flaw in the law, if you want to bring in a bill to take care of the \$12,000 or \$9,000 man, I will go along with you, but I am not going to penalize the men who carried the brunt of battle across the seas simply because they are able to do paper work in an office.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. Will the gentleman

Mr. CONNERY. Yes.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. Does not the gentleman see in this an attempt to affect all veterans-

Mr. CONNERY. I am sure it is the beginning of an attack on all veterans' compensation. They will start with the emergency officers and then they will try to cut down the compensation of the disabled men every time we have veterans' legislation.

Mr. CLANCY. I appreciate the gentleman's argument and it will affect all the veterans adversely. I have a friend who was shot through the lung in battle and he said to the others, "You go ahead and fight and I will stay here." That is the type of men that you are injuring.

Mr. CONNERY. Yes. These are the men who were real fighters. And now in conclusion I want to say that I hope the House will vote against concurring in the Senate amendment because it does not mean simply the \$12,000 or the \$9,000 men, who are the very rare exceptions, it means the men who fought the battles of this country—the men who went in the front line and should get disabled pay.

Furthermore, we have men in the Regular Army who are going to retire without any disability-I am not against the Regular Army, I have a great deal of respect for the Regular Army, but here is a Regular Army man going to retire without any disability and he will get \$359 a month and if he goes out anywhere and can make \$5,000 or \$10,000 a year we are not going to bother him. Why should he get better treatment than the emergency officer? The Reed amendment

pay, but favors the Regular Army man. This is unfair and discriminatory, and I hope the House will vote against concurring with that Senate amendment.

Now, I want to say a few words about the soldiers' bonus. I know it is adjusted compensation but it has commonly been called the bonus.

I want to pay tribute at this time to the gentleman from Texas on my right, the Hon. WRIGHT PATMAN, who by his courage, patriotism, and perseverance in keeping this matter before the House forced the Ways and Means Committee to defer to public opinion and bring in some sort of legislation on the bonus. [Applause.]

I agree with Mr. Shaefer, from Norfolk, Va., who declared before the committee that he was originally the first one to start the ball rolling for adjusted compensation, immediately after the armistice. He said before the committee that he realized that the soldier had about as much chance to get the face value in cash now as advocated in the Patman bill as a celluloid cat would have in going through hell unharmed.

I would amend that and say that the soldier has about as much chance of getting the full value of the certificate now as a celluloid dog has of catching an asbestos cat going through hell. [Laughter.]

If the word "hell" sounds severe, I will change it to

There has been a lot of talk about 4 per cent and 6 per cent interest. Then we had the heavy artillery brought in by Mr. Mellon and Mr. Mills in the Ways and Means Committee. There was paraded before the committee all of the big financiers of the United States, financiers representing interests in the United States whose billions were saved to them by the boys who went over and fought that war. When these boys come in and ask that they be paid the face value of the certificates, \$1,500-that is the most that anybody could get—they say that would cost \$3,400,000,000 to do that, and that a bond issue of that amount would disrupt the finances of the country and overturn almost the peace of the world. But they do not say anything about the billions that we gave to the profiteers during the war, they do not say anything about the money that we gave to the railroads, or anything about the money that was handed to everybody who had big financial interests in that war. No; they say that if we give these boys \$1.500, the most that any individual soldier can get, we are going to disrupt the finances of the country and affect the whole world.

Seven or eight years ago when the original bonus bill came up in Congress, we listened to the dire predictions of Mr. Mellon, who told us that if we passed that bill at that time there would be a deficit in the Treasury that year of \$300,000,000 to \$400,000,000. We passed the bill and then we found, greatly to our surprise, that on July 1 of that year it gave Mr. Mellon extreme gratification and joy to tell the American people that we had in the Treasury a surplus of \$500,000,000. That was the famous billion-dollar mistake that Mr. Mellon made at that time. He has even been referred to as the greatest Secretary of the Treasury since Alexander Hamilton. If William Gibbs McAdoo immediately preceded him, then I think he is the greatest Secretary since Mr. McAdoo, and I think that we go a long way to give him that credit.

Mr. McSWAIN. Oh, the gentleman has forgotten that Mr. Glass was Secretary of the Treasury immediately after Mr. McAdoo.

Mr. CONNERY. Yes. Well, he is the greatest Secretary of the Treasury since Mr. McAdoo with the intervening ones left out. I certainly have had little or no faith in his predictions since he made that billion-dollar mistake, for if it was not a mistake, then he grossly misstated the facts to the American people. Moreover, it is a very strange coincidence that it is only when veterans' legislation, whether for the disabled veterans or the needy veterans, is proposed in Congress that we get these dire predictions from Mr. Mellon. Having been here for eight years, and knowing a little about would stop an emergency officer from taking the disability the procedure of the House and how these committees work, I have no expectation that we are going to get what the soldier is entitled to, and that is pay in cash for the face value of the certificate, so I have a proposition to place before the House.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. I thoroughly agree with the gentleman's argument. Personally I would like to see full payment made. What is the gentleman's view of the 50 per cent loan proposition that we have heard discussed and which we have seen so much about in the newspapers the last several days?

Mr. CONNERY. That is just what I am going to talk about. As I understand it from the newspapers, they are going to bring in a proposition to let the soldier borrow 50 per cent of the face value of his adjusted-service certificate.

Mr. JOHNSON of Oklahoma. Borrow his own money?

Mr. CONNERY. Yes. Let us say that it is \$500 that he will borrow. They are going to charge him 4 per cent interest. The soldier who is going to borrow the \$500 is in need. Less than one-half of 1 per cent of those who have borrowed already have paid back their loans. So we feel fairly sure that the soldier who borrows \$500 is not going to be able to pay it back. Taking 4 per cent interest on the \$500 for 15 years, we see that we are going to take at least \$300 in interest away from that soldier who needs the money. The soldier who was able to put his certificate in the safety deposit box back when it was issued and wait until 1945 will get the full face value of it, but the soldier who is in need now, who needs it to protect his property or buy furniture or to pay on a mortgage, whom we will let borrow \$500 at 4 per cent, is going to lose \$300 in the 15 years, because we know that he can not pay it back. I am in favor of the Patman bill or any bill that will pay the full face value. We are not going to get it. That would be too much to expect from the kind-hearted administration, and what they think would disrupt the world if they ever gave the soldier the \$1,500 or whatever he is entitled to on the face value.

If they are sincere, if they really believe that the payment of the face value of the certificates would affect the bond market of the United States, would affect the country to the extent of Mr. Mellon's dire predictions, then I suggest to them, why not bring in a bill to pay 25 per cent of the face value of the certificate in cash now without any interest, and let the men get what they are entitled to up to date, and let the other 75 per cent remain as an insurance policy as at present.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. And allow the loans made at this time to run against the remaining 75 per cent, which would be payable in 1945.

Mr. CONNERY. Yes.

Mr. McCORMACK of Massachusetts. Pay him 25 per cent now on the theory that a little over one quarter of the 20-year period has lapsed, and which, because of the economic conditions prevailing, we think we are justified in making at this time.

Mr. CONNERY. Yes. I agree with the gentleman entirely, to let the loans go against the other 75 per cent which would accrue in 1945.

Remember, I am talking for the man who is in need. I do not see any justice in allowing John Jones over here, who has not a family and who is making a good salary, who is well taken care of, to put his policy in a safe deposit vault and leave it there, and then take John Brown over here who has a little family of three or four children, who needs this money at this moment and penalize him \$300 in interest because he is poor. That is what the story will be if they bring in that 50 per cent borrowing capacity bill. I want something that is going to be for the benefit of that John Brown, the man who needs the money now. If they are sincere, if they really want to do something for the soldier, I will go along with the proposition to pay them 25 per cent of the face value of the certificates in cash now, with no interest, regardless of need or anything else.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Connery] has expired.

Mr. AYRES. I yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts two additional minutes.

Mr. CONNERY. In closing, I want to pay a tribute to a man who came before the Ways and Means Committee during the hearings. The big financiers came before the committee. As the distinguished Democratic leader said, they brought all of their heavy artillery there to show why it would not be a good thing to pay the soldiers' bonus. But there was one man who came in there, Owen D. Young, chairman of the board of directors of the General Electric Co., and, while I do not agree with his views on the question, but believe in the payment of the face value of the certificates, Mr. Young did show that he was one financier in the United States who had some sympathy for the soldier. I want to pay tribute to that man, being one of the few financiers of the United States who has ever shown any interest in the men who saved their millions for them on the fields of France. [Applause.]

This is a matter of deep interest to every Member of Congress. The gentleman from Texas [Mr. Patman], who has made such a glorious battle on this from the beginning, has tried not to make it a partisan matter. He has tried to get something for his buddies, and I think that is the way you all feel. I hope the members of the Ways and Means Committee, before they bring in this bill, will seriously consider the difference between John Jones and John Brown, these instances I have cited to you, and will not bring in a borrowing bill but bring in a bill for cash payment of 25 per cent of the face value of the policy, allow the remaining 75 per cent to continue as an insurance policy payable in 1945, and allow the loans which have already been made to go against the other 75 per cent.

I thank my colleagues for listening so patiently and I hope that the Ways and Means Committee will bring in a bill along the lines I have just set forth so that we can feel that we are voting for a bill which does not discriminate against a needy veteran. [Applause.]

Mr. AYRES. Mr. Chairman, I yield 15 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina [Mr. McSwain].

Mr. McSWAIN. Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentlemen of the committee, I wish to take a moment to congratulate the Navy Department upon dropping the proceedings of court-martial against General Butler. [Applause.]

I wish to narrate an incident that illustrates the reason why General Butler has a hold upon the affections of the people of America.

He was at my home at dinner one evening in Greenville, S. C., where he was to address the American Legion State convention the next day. Upon answering a telephone call, I was asked by the police authorities of the city of Greenville if the evening paper was correct in stating that General Butler was a guest at my home. I replied that he was. The police authorities then said, "Well, there are two men down here in the lockup who say they are marines from Quantico, Va., and they want to see General Butler." I conveyed the message to General Butler, and he said, in his quick, impulsive, and most human way, "Yes; tell them I will be down there as soon as I finish my supper."

As soon as we had finished I took him down there, and he rushed into the cells where these men were. I went along with him. He said, in substance, "You mean to say you are from Quantico?" They said, "Yes, General." Then he asked them questions as to what companies they were members of, who were their commanding officers, and enough to enable him to be sure they were telling the truth when they said they were from Quantico. Then he said, "What are you doing here?" They said, "General, we are going to tell you the truth. We never tell you anything but the truth. We got a week-end pass over to Richmond, and we got a little too full of oh-be-joyful and we caught a freight train out, and we did not exactly know which direction it was going, and as we were passing through Greenville the police authorities pulled us off and landed us down here in this jug."

The general said, "Well, what about it?" They said, "Why, we want to go back, General. We did not aim to leave Quantico. We want to go back."

He then turned to the chief of police, who was standing by, and said, "Have you got any charges against these men?" The chief said, "No; nothing in the world except they seemed to be mere tramps. To our minds they were tramps, and we pulled them off the train and detained them." The general said, "Is it all right for them to go back?" And the chief said, "Certainly."

The general put his hand down in his pocket, and without any bargaining or dickering at all, handed each of these men \$20, and said, "Now, boys, you get out of here and get the next train back to Quantico. I will be back Thursday or Friday, and you report to me."

He did not take their names. He did not take written information concerning them, and he whisked out. On our way back to my house I said, "General, do you do tricks like that very often?" I also added, "That would soon dig into your salary pretty deep, would it not?"

He said, "Why, every now and then I have to do things like that, but while I never have exacted the return of a cent, I have never, that I know of, lost a cent." A year or so after that I asked him if those boys reported to him and he said, "Why, certainly." Later than that I was visiting in Quantico and I made some inquiry as to whether or not it is a fact that the Marines do abuse the confidence the general has in them, as to whether or not they impose on the goodness of his heart, and I was told that while the general does not realize it and never keeps any account of such money he has paid out, and while he thinks it is all paid back, that, as a matter of fact, it is probable that his confidence has been occasionally abused.

That is the reason he is a great leader of men. They love him because he loves them. He is not one of the driving kind. He is one of the kind who says, "Come on with me, boys; follow me." He is of the Andrew Jackson type and of the N. B. Forrest type, who were natural leaders of men. [Applause.] It is well that all naval and Army officers should not meddle in matters political or international, yet in this case, where General Butler was speaking in confidence and not for publication, a reprimand is sufficient punishment for him and as a warning to others.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from South Carolina has expired.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 minutes to the gentleman from Nebraska [Mr. Sears]. [Applause.]

Mr. SEARS. Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the committee, some time ago I enlisted in an organization known as the "lame ducks." The members of that organization are not to be sworn in until the 4th of March next, at 12 o'clock noon. That being true, I want to say a word before I leave the splendid presence of the House with reference to one or two things which, I think, will not do any harm to the membership of it.

In passing I want to say this about the House: I have been a kind of onlooker since I have been here, now about eight years. I hardly wanted to come when I came and I did not care very much about staying on much longer. But I believe I can say that no one of us will ever meet in all of our lives as many men as there are Members of this House, of the equal caliber, of the quality, of the equal mentality, and of the equal value in the public service as those we associate with here now.

The House has its purpose, but it does not live up to the very best of what was intended for it by the Constitution. Under the written word of the Constitution, I take it, it was intended that the legislative should be the dominant force in the organization of the Government and of the two remaining forces it was intended that the House should be the dominant one. But we are not. I think that at least half of the Members of the House do not feel perfectly free to legislate until they have the consent of some of the employees of the House, some of the departments, some of those who are in ministerial and executive authority. I think that is true. It is against the welfare of the House, and it is circumscribing and contracting the mentality of the House, so to speak.

There are departments here which seem to look down on the Members of the House. I have heard one who is one of our employees, one of the main employees, perhaps, who still considers us as a great board of directors, say that the House should get the consent of some of its employees before it legislates. One of the employees of the House looked the membership of one of the prominent committees of the House in the face and he claimed to be a coordinate branch of the Government, along with the Supreme Court, along with the President, along with the Senate and the House. I would not think of telling his full name, but his last name was General Jadwin, who appeared before the Flood Control Committee and said that it was his duty to make plans and it was our duty to take the plans and adopt them. Yet inside of 24 hours afterwards he admitted that the plans for 50 years had been of no value.

Then I have listened to-day to some argumentation with reference to the power of the cities and some more with reference to the power of agriculture. There is not any commission or committee that can be named by any executive authority that is as well posted as is the membership of this House. We are here from all over the country, from the North and South and from the East and the West. There are men here who have represented their different districts for many years. The administration may send the name of a man to be appointed as a Cabinet member, but I claim that I could pick out a stronger man from the membership of this House. If you will think about that for a little while, you will know it is true.

I want to talk to you a little bit about agriculture. I am one of those old-fashioned people who believe that the foundation of it all is in agriculture. I do not believe you can trade among yourselves these paper parchments with red and blue seals on them and add to the national wealth. If you want to add to the national wealth, you must make something and fundamentally out of the soil. If you put agriculture in such a position that it is not thriving, if you place agriculture in the position that it has not the capacity to buy, you will feel it at once in every city and village in the country. Where is the business that could stand what agriculture did in 1920, and I refer to the defiation of 1920? I do not want to charge that to the Democrats. If the Republicans had been in office, they would have done the same thing. The effect of that has not gone by yet. That cost more than the entire war cost, and the men who ordered that or brought it about own our railroads, own our power companies; they own all of our great corporations, and they are men in whom there is the concentration and representation of great wealth. They knew what they were doing. Agriculture had never been in as prosperous a condition in the history of the United States as it was then.

Agriculture never had its capacity to buy from the workshops of the East, and to keep the spindles and wheels moving and going as they were. Then came directions from somewhere to the head of that regional bank, in the spring of 1920, to reduce loans and discounts 30 per cent in 30 days. Inside of a year that worked a reduction of one-half of all the values of property from the Alleghenies to the Rockies. Who is there who would say that could be repeated without anarchy in the country? If it was right then, it is right now.

Let me give you three rules of money which can not be gainsayed and no one will gainsay them. One is that if you inflate the currency, prices rise; people become blue-sky artists; business becomes livelier; people go in debt, and they pay their debts. You can contract the currency and by so doing you make credit hard to use; down go prices, and the foreclosures that were talked about by the gentleman from Texas [Mr. Box] commence. A third rule, following those two, is that if you do not either inflate or deflate the currency of a country your money price will stay exactly there and will not rise or go down, and that is the only safe condition of a country. Now, then, the price of something may go up or it may go down somewhat. But the price of money

will not, and the price of money we have placed in the hands of those who deal in money, and those to whom we have given the franchise to deal in money can make \$25,000,000 or \$50,000,000 a day and never get caught at it, and they will be the most unusual people if they do not profit by their opportunities.

In the spring of 1920 there was a Democratic Senator and a Democratic Congressman who went down to Governor Harding and told him what it meant if this order that they had heard about was put into force and effect. Charlie Carter told me that Senator Owen told Harding it meant the suicide of a great many men, the closing of a world of banks, the foreclosing of a world of mortgages on farms; that it meant general distress, and all they could get out of him was, "If you are going to fire a gun, you pull the trigger, don't you"? He would not argue it. He would not deny the terrible consequences of what was intended to be applied, but closed out the whole proposition with the statement, "If you are going to fire a gun, you pull the trigger, don't you"? He had the intention of firing the gun and the intention of pulling the trigger.

This resulted in general distress and then we had floods in this country and we had droughts. I have given special attention here to these questions for a number of years. It is my only excuse for remaining in Congress as I have. I know there should be no floods down South that would ever get out of those banks. I know this. Southern Indiana and southern Illinois and that whole country never should be flooded. The greatest asset we have in the United States yet remaining is the run-off waters, yet I have seen it down there where there were \$300,000,000 of damage done by floods, and the year before we, in Nebraska, lost \$350,-000,000 through drought. Governor Morehead, who has been governor of our State, knows it. This was in the year 1926. The Governor of Kansas told me that that same year they lost as much or more than we did and it was about the same through the Dakotas, Colorado, Oklahoma, and clear up to the Canadian line.

The water line in the soil has gone down up in North Dakota 50 or 60 feet lower than it was. The country is drier to-day than it ever was before. Since the white man came to our shores it has never seen a condition of dryness such as there is to-day, and this is followed by a flood. Why is this? It is very plain. We are going to have greater droughts and greater floods in the next few years than we have had in the past. I made this prediction, I think, five or six years ago, and since then we have had a big flood and since then we have had a big drought; but we are draining our creeks, we are draining our marshes, and we are building large sewers in our cities and in our villages and gathering up the waters and hurling them into this common center. It goes down with a rush and is followed by weather that is dry when the country is drained of moisture.

This water line is going down in our soil, and then what follows? One of the great assets we have, over and beyond the benefit and the absolute necessity of agriculture, that should have an abundance of water, over and beyond the fact that floods when they do aggregate themselves mean loss to many thousands and hundreds of thousands of people, because it means the loss of their stock, the loss of their crops, the loss of their pasture—over and beyond that, one of the great assets that was valued by the founders of this Government was our river navigation.

There should be a supply of water in the fall months, and there can be no navigation on our inland rivers if we allow our waters to run off and do not hold them back and turn them out for use in the fall months.

I understand there is no navigation in the southern part of Mississippi now below Cairo, and when I was there in July they were using dredges to try to get the water together across those sandbars, and now it is much worse; but who can imagine a country any finer than it would be if we saved our waters at the minor flood areas of the Nation and kept those waters and turned them out as we wanted them during the times of low water? If we kept our soil full of water with the waterline well up in place of way down, what would fol-

low? We would have stabilized currents without great floods—I am not talking about neighborhood floods—and without droughts. We would have rivers that would be without low water and without high water, giving us navigation well to the headwaters, with reforestation on the banks, instead of being eroded and the soil starting to the Gulf. We would have them willowed over and grassed over, and fish life and wild life would be most abundant.

If this is true, and I am satisfied it is, it can be accomplished at a much less expense than any Jadwin plan or any tangent of it. I have been over this very, very thoroughly, and I am satisfied there is no more practical thing for us to do than to save the waters in the minor flood areas and use it.

I said to General Brown last year when he was before the Rivers and Harbors Committee, "General, in order to have river navigation practical, must we not have a long-time supply of water?" He said, "Yes." I said, "Is there any way of having that long-continued supply of water except in having reservoirs for the flood areas?" He said there was no other way. I knew that before I asked the question.

Here we are in a country with wonderful soil, wonderful water resources, and that condition which would work so fine for our welfare is denied us.

Mr. McSWAIN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SEARS. I yield.

Mr. McSWAIN. I want to ask the gentleman if it is not fundamental that this flood question is not a problem for the States in the exercise of their police power?

Mr. SEARS. No.

Mr. McSWAIN. Let me finish my question. The Federal Government would have no control over impounding the water in streams not navigable. They are the real sources of the floods. Further back than that the Federal Government has no jurisdiction over the property of the individual citizens in compelling them to terrace their lands to conserve the water in the soil, as the gentleman is arguing for. I agree with him; I do not permit a gallon of water that I can conserve to escape from my farm. Would it not be possible to work out a plan whereby the States would impound the water at the source of these streams, or the Federal Government in cooperation with the States?

Mr. SEARS of Nebraska. I think all of our State universities should teach the storage of water on farms and our National Government should incorporate that, and in the great places of floods there is no way except that the Government shall take charge of it and save the country from floods

Now, to give you an illustration there is one place up by Bismarck, about 60 miles, where the entire flood of the Missouri River can be taken up. The Missouri River has a stated flow of water whether rain falls or not. Sometimes it is liable to come down seven or eight hundred thousand cubic feet of water—we measure running water by cubic feet and standing water by acre-feet—and that could be controlled, as I have suggested, at a cost of \$150,000,000, and it would lower the river at Cairo 7 or 8 feet.

That could not be done by the States. I do not understand that the States could control that water.

Now, it seems to me that that is the greatest question that you will have before you for a number of years—the question of conserving that greatest of all our remaining natural resources. We should not have the lack of navigation from navigable water going to waste.

Now, I never took any stock in the Farm Board legislation that you passed. No man ever heard me say a word about it, but I never thought that they could do anything unless they arbitrarily fixed a minimum price, and they could get the cost of wheat and keep it there in spite of any surplus.

I could not see what they were going to do to help the price of farm products. I do not know now. They have knocked out a good many grain exchanges where people would have gone in and bought grain with the expectation of a profit in case of a shortage.

Instead of doing that, they have gone and bought some of these blue and gold seal papers and have not bought

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman vield?

Mr. SEARS. Yes.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. The price in Winnipeg of No. 1 wheat to-day is  $41\frac{1}{2}$  cents a bushel. The price in Minneapolis to-day is  $73\frac{5}{8}$  cents a bushel. Prior to the activities of this Farm Board the Winnipeg price was higher than ours, and now it is 23 cents lower.

Mr. SEARS. That is the difference of our tariff, is it not? Mr. STRONG of Kansas. I think that is the result of the activity of the Farm Board.

Mr. SEARS. Some day you come around to my office and tell me how.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. I can tell you now. Prior to the board's activities

Mr. SEARS. Oh, I will take it for granted that you can say something but that will take up my time. Still, you come around and tell me at my office some day.

Mr. STRONG of Kansas. I could tell you now in half a

Mr. SPROUL of Kansas. I wish the gentleman from Nebraska would let the gentleman from Kansas tell us how. I do not think anybody else knows.

Mr. SEARS. Very well, I will give the gentleman three or four minutes if he will just stick around here for a while. The relief that business can get from this House depends upon whether the men who remain here legislatively are free-minded men and whether they shake off the domination of departmental advice and use people in the department simply as our experts, not for us to follow. It is beneath our dignity. A few years ago about 20 Congressmen went up to see one high in authority to see about the appointment of a man for a judgeship up in the north country. That man said, "I am very much interested, but how do you gentlemen stand on a measure that I am very much interested in-hold up your hands." [Laughter.] That should have been reported to the House here the next day. It was an attempt either to bluff or to bribe the Congress of the United States, which ought to be the greatest legislative body on earth. I do hope that when questions come up here that are vital, Members of Congress will use their own judgment about it. The flood-control question has been stifled for a number of years by gentlemen who know nothing about what they are talking about. Let me tell you how ignorant they have been. I am talking now about the Army engineers. The only bright spot that I have seen in their whole organization is General Brown.

Mr. RAMSEYER. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman vield?

Mr. SEARS. Yes.

Mr. RAMSEYER. Having in mind the reservoirs to hold back the waters in the spring and early summer and utilizing them in the fall when the water is scarce, I wish to ask this question. The gentleman has talked on the subject before. I understand he has a bill pending for a system of reservoirs, one in the Northwest and others elsewhere, to hold back waters and release them when needed.

Does the gentleman think that a reservoir put in the Northwest to hold the water that would otherwise go down the Missouri and through the Mississippi to the Gulf would prevent a drought such as we have had this summer along the Ohio and the Mississippi?

Mr. SEARS. I can turn for the gentleman to the stated evidence, the testimony of Army engineers, the statements of civil engineers and experts, if we have any in the service, and they say there is no reason why we should not take the Platte River as an illustration of other rivers. That one dam that was never put up for flood purposes, the Pathfinder Dam, of 1,000,000 acre-feet, has lowered the spring flow of the flood by 45 per cent and increased the fall flow 47 per cent; and you may expect 75,000 cubic feet of water a second to affect the flow at Cairo to the extent of a foot and a quarter. As to the Platte, the saving of those waters has brought about an increase in the valuation of Scotts

the grain that used to raise the price when there was a Bluff County, where Bob Simmons comes from, from \$800,000 to more than \$40,000,000, and we have a rainfall there ordinarily of about 17 inches. That is what it has done. [Applause.]

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Nebraska has expired.

Mr. FRENCH. I yield now to the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. FITZGERALD].

Mr. FITZGERALD. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to insert at this point a very interesting letter from the Associate Director of the National Park Service, written to me on February 7, 1931.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The letter referred to is as follows:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, Washington, February 7, 1931.

Hon. ROY G. FITZGERALD,

House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

My Dear Mr. Fitzgerald: In response to your telephonic request for a comprehensive statement regarding the duties and pay of park rangers, I am glad to give you the following information:

park rangers, I am glad to give you the following information:

In the first place, permanent rangers in the National Park Service are selected from registers of eligibles maintained by the Civil Service Commission in its district offices. Applicants for the examination must have reached their 21st birthday and not their 35th birthday on the date of the examination. The present requirements are that they must have completed eight years common school and have had at least one year's outdoor experience which would fit them for ranger work. Three months' experience as a temporary ranger in one of the national parks or national monuments is accepted by the Civil Service Commission in lieu of the one year's experience required. We now have under consideration the question of raising these requirements.

The examination is divided into two parts—a written examination is given in which the applicants are required to answer from

The examination is divided into two parts—a written examination is given in which the applicants are required to answer from 80 to 100 questions on the work of national-park rangers, and those that successfully pass this examination are given an oral test by representatives of the National Park Service and the Civil Service Commission. The purpose of this examination is to determine the applicant's personality, appearance, and fitness for work in the National Park Service.

The duties of a national-park ranger are many and varied. He must be qualified, if necessary, to take immediate responsible charge of a specified route or territory in a national park; to detect and suppress forest fires; to protect wild life; to prevent trespass or depredations; to enforce the park rules and regulations, the or depredations; to enforce the park rules and regulations, the patrols being made either in summer or winter, often alone, under adverse weather conditions, and in rough, mountainous country, in summer often on motor cycle, horseback, or on foot, and in winter often requiring the use of snowshoes or skis; to control the heavier traffic at the more congested places; to make arrests when necessary, and to furnish evidence and testimony before the United States commissioner or other authority; to maintain many miles of telephone lines and trails, simple bridges and buildings, either personally or by directing laborers; to direct and protect the visiting public by distribution of printed information, by answering inquiries, by personal advice and suggestion; to plant fish fry in streams and lakes often remote from roads; to have charge of a crew of temporary fire fighters during forest fires; to have responsible charge of a public camp ground of more than average size and importance, often containing thousands of visitors at a time; incidentally to check and register visitors, keeping accurate travel records; to compile travel statistics of more than average difficulty and importance; to issue automobile permits and to collect the and importance; to issue automobile permits and to collect the fees therefor.

The entrance salary for permanent park rangers is at the rate of \$1,860 per annum. Deductions are made from this salary for quarters, food, or other allowances furnished.

Temporary park rangers are employed during the summer months in most of the national parks. They are selected by the park superintendents without regard to civil-service rules from applications filed in their offices. Temporary rangers are paid at the rate of \$1,680 per annum, with deductions for quarters or other allowances furnished.

An interesting and accurate account of the winter duties of a An interesting and accurate account of the winter duties of a park ranger by Frederic Van de Water, entitled "Nine Months' Rest," appeared in the Saturday Evening Post of November 12, 1927. I would strongly urge your correspondent to get a copy of this from his local library. At the same time he might obtain a copy of Oh, Ranger, an interesting park story written by Director Albright when superintendent of Yellowstone National Park, in collaboration with Frank J. Taylor. Reference to these two stories will, I am sure, provide a fund of useful and interesting information. information.

Sincerely yours,

ARNO B. CAMMERER. Associate Director.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I move that the committee do now-rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the committee rose; and the Speaker having resumed the chair, Mr. Lehlbach, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that committee had had under consideration the bill H. R. 16969, the naval appropriation bill, and had come to no resolution thereon.

NATIONAL COUNCIL OF INTELLECTUAL COOPERATION (H. DOC. NO. 746)

The SPEAKER laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States, which was read, and, with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Education and ordered printed.

To the Congress of the United States:

I commend to the favorable consideration of the Congress the inclosed report from the Secretary of State to the end that legislation may be enacted authorizing an annual appropriation of \$21,000 for the maintenance of headquarters for the National Council of Intellectual Cooperation for the United States.

HERBERT HOOVER.

THE WHITE HOUSE, February 9, 1931.

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to Mr. Taylor of Tennessee, for five days, on account of attending Lincoln dinner in Nashville.

### ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

Mr. CAMPBELL of Pennsylvania, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that that committee had examined and found truly enrolled bills of the House of the following titles, which were thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H. R. 5627. An act relating to the naturalization of certain

aliens: and

H. R. 10166. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to proceed with the construction of certain public works at Philadelphia, Pa., and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER announced his signature to enrolled bills

of the Senate of the following titles:

S. 1533. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to adjust payment of charges due on the Blackfeet Indian irrigation project, and for other purposes;

S. 4211. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to provide for the elimination of the Michigan Avenue grade crossing in the District of Columbia, and for other pur-

poses," approved March 3, 1927;

S. 4307. An act to authorize the Commissioners of the District of Columbia to compromise and settle a certain suit at law resulting from the forfeiting of the contract of the Commercial Coal Co. with the District of Columbia in 1916; and

S. 4551. An act to amend an act entitled "An act to establish a Code of Law for the District of Columbia," approved March 3, 1901, and the acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto.

### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; and accordingly (at 5 o'clock p. m.) the House adjourned to meet to-morrow, Tuesday, February 10, 1931, at 12 o'clock noon.

# COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Mr. TILSON submitted the following tentative list of committee hearings scheduled for Tuesday, February 10, 1931, as reported to the floor leader by clerks of the several committees:

## COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

(10.30 a. m.)

To consider bill proposing to grant pensions to veterans of the Indian wars.

### COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

(10 a. m.)

Second deficiency bill.

# COMMITTEE ON MILITARY AFFAIRS—SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 1 (10 a. m.)

To authorize the erection of additional facilities at branches of the Bureau of National Homes (H. R. 16658).

## EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

817. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting a supplemental estimate of the appropriation for the Post Office Department for the fiscal year 1931 in the sum of \$25,000 (H. Doc. No. 739); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

818. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting supplemental estimates of appropriations for the fiscal year 1931, to remain available until June 30, 1932, for the Department of the Interior, amounting to \$140,000 (H. Doc. No. 740); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

819. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting a supplemental estimate of appropriation for the Department of State for the fiscal year 1931, to remain available until June 30, 1932, amounting to \$56,606, for the Mixed Claims Commission, United States and Germany (H. Doc. No. 741); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

820. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting drafts of proposed provisions pertaining to an existing appropriation for the Treasury Department (H. Doc. No. 742); to the Committee on Appropriations and

ordered to be printed.

821. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting supplemental estimates of appropriations for the Department of Labor for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1931, amounting to \$37,890 (H. Doc. No. 743); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

822. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting supplemental estimates of appropriations for the War Department for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1931; Survey of battlefield, Chalmette, La., \$300; expenses of Army Band, Montgomery, Ala., \$7,500; total, \$7,800 (H. Doc. No. 744); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

823. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting an estimate of appropriation for the Department of Labor for salaries and expenses, Bureau of Immigration for the fiscal year 1932, amounting to \$500,000, which is supplemental to the estimate of \$10,117,740 contained in the Budget for the fiscal year 1932 as increased by the supplemental estimate of \$500,000 transmitted to Congress on January 9, 1931 (H. Doc. No. 745); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

# REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII,

Mr. FRENCH: Committee on Appropriations. H. R. 16969. A bill making appropriations for the Navy Department and the naval service for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1932, and for other purposes; without amendment (Rept. No. 2551). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. MOUSER: Committee on Pensions. H. R. 16867. A bill to amend the act of June 2, 1930, entitled "An act granting pensions and increase of pensions to certain soldiers, sailors, and nurses of the war with Spain, the Philippine insurrection or the China relief expedition, and for other purposes"; without amendment (Rept. No. 2552). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. HOUSTON of Hawaii: Committee on the Territories. H. R. 16913. A bill to amend the act entitled "An act to extend the provisions of certain laws to the Territory of Hawaii," approved March 10, 1924; without amendment (Rept. No. 2556). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. TEMPLE: Committee on Foreign Affairs. H. R. 15774. A bill to provide additional funds for buildings for the use of the diplomatic and consular establishments of the United States; with amendment (Rept. No. 2560). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

# REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII,

Mr. CLARK of Maryland: Committee on Claims. H. R. 9966. A bill for the relief of Johana Armstrong; without amendment (Rept. No. 2553). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. IRWIN: Committee on Claims. H. R. 15229. A bill for the relief of Mrs. Herman M. Warr; with amendment (Rept. No. 2554). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. IRWIN: Committee on Claims. H. R. 16047. A bill for the relief of Noble Jay Hall; without amendment (Rept. No. 2555). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. RANSLEY: Committee on Military Affairs. H. R. 7695. A bill for the relief of Arthur J. Robinson; with amendment (Rept. No. 2557). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. DOUGLAS of Arizona: Committee on Military Affairs. H. R. 9963. A bill for the relief of Joseph L. Davis; with amendment (Rept. No. 2558). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

Mr. DOUGLAS of Arizona: Committee on Military Affairs. H. R. 10971. A bill for the relief of John McMahon, otherwise known as John James Marshall; with amendment (Rept. No. 2559). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

### PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. FRENCH: A bill (H. R. 16969) making appropriations for the Navy Department and the naval service for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1932, and for other purposes; committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

By Mr. HOWARD: A bill (H. R. 16970) granting the consent of Congress to Missouri Valley Pipe Line Co., of Iowa, to construct, maintain, and operate a pipe-line bridge across the Missouri River; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. BEERS: A bill (H. R. 16971) to amend certain sections of the United States Code, approved June 30, 1926, relative to the printing and distribution of memorial addresses delivered in Congress, the Congressional Record, Decisions and Digest of the Supreme Court, and the distribution of documents to the Library of Congress for international exchange; to the Committee on Printing.

By Mr. MOORE of Virginia: A bill (H. R. 16972) to amend the act entitled "An act to amend the Federal farm loan act, as amended," approved June 26, 1930; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. PERKINS: A bill (H. R. 16973) to authorize a change in the design of the quarter dollar to commemorate the two hundredth anniversary of the birth of George Washington; to the Committee on Coinage, Weights, and Measures.

By Mr. WOOD: A bill (H. R. 16974) to fix the rates of postage on certain periodicals exceeding 8 ounces in weight; to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

By Mr. CABLE: A bill (H. R. 16975) to amend the law relative to citizenship and naturalization, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization

By Mr. CRAMTON: A bill (H. R. 16976) to provide for the disposition of power revenues on Federal irrigation projects; to the Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation.

By Mr. GRAHAM: A bill (H. R. 16977) to amend the longshoremen's and harbor workers' compensation act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, a bill (H. R. 16978) to incorporate the American Gold Star Mothers; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. GRIFFIN: A bill (H. R. 16979) to authorize the

By Mr. GRIFFIN: A bill (H. R. 16979) to authorize the United States Shipping Board to sell certain property of the United States situated in the city of Hoboken, N. J., to the Port of New York Authority; to the Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. KOPP: A bill (H. R. 16980) granting consent to construct, maintain, and operate a dam across the Des Moines River; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. MANLOVE: A bill (H. R. 16981) to amend the retirement act, approved May 29, 1930; to the Committee on the Civil Service.

By Mrs. ROGERS: A bill (H. R. 16982) to authorize an appropriation to provide additional hospital, domiciliary, and out-patient dispensary facilities for persons entitled to hospitalization under the World War veterans' act, 1924, as amended, and for other purposes; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

By Mr. TEMPLE: A bill (H. R. 16983) authorizing the appropriation of funds for the payment of the claims of certain foreign governments under the circumstances hereinafter enumerated; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

#### **MEMORIALS**

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Montana, memorializing the Congress of the United States for the passage of pending legislation regulating the manufacture and sale of oleomargarine and other butter substitutes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of New York, memorializing the Congress of the United States to authorize the United States Shipping Board to sell to the Port of New York Authority the properties in the port of New York districts commonly known as the Hoboken Pier properties; to the Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Montana, memorializing the Congress of the United States for the passage of legislation for the establishing of a standard, completely equipped weather station at Missoula, Mont.; to the Committee on Appropriations.

By Mr. CARTWRIGHT: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Oklahoma, memorializing the Congress of the United States to pass Senate bills 2350, 2351 providing for certain improvements in the Wichita National Forest preserve in Comanche County, Okla.; to the Committee on Agriculture

By Mr. EATON of Colorado: Memorial of the general assembly of the State of Colorado, urging that Congress take action to set apart and dedicate all of the territory now occupied by the sand dunes, located in township 40 and 41 north, range 12, east of the New Mexico principal meridian, in the counties of Saguache and Alamosa, in the State of Colorado, which now remains unoccupied public domain, as a national park, monument or playground, in order that this monument of unsurpassed scenic attraction may be preserved for the future enjoyment of the people of the State of Colorado and the people of the Nation; to the Committee on the Public Lands.

Also, resolution of the Senate of the Twenty-Eighth General Assembly of the State of Colorado (the House of Representatives concurring therein), urging the passage of a law providing for the immediate payment of World War veterans' adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. EVANS of Montana: Memorial of the Montana Legislature, memorializing Congress for the passage of pending legislation regulating the manufacture and sale of oleomargarine and other butter substitutes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

Also, Senate Resolution 3, Montana State senate, relative to urging the passage of the Interior Department bill; to the Committee on Appropriations.

Also, memorial of the Montana Legislature, memorializing Congress for the passage of legislation for the establishing of a standard, completely equipped weather station at Missoula, Mont.; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. GRIFFIN: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of New York, urging the Congress of the United States of America to authorize the United States Shipping Board to sell to the Port of New York Authority the properties in the port of New York district, commonly known as the Hoboken Pier Properties; to the Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. KORELL: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Oregon, memorializing the Congress of the United States to favorably consider pending legislation for the construction of the Deschutes project in central Oregon; to the Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation.

Also, memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Oregon, memorializing the Congress of the United States to act favorably upon legislation which proposes immediate payment at the full face value of veterans' adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. LEAVITT: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Montana, memorializing the Congress of the United States to urge the adoption of the Senate amendments to the pending Agriculture Department appropriation bill providing for establishment of a full-time Weather Bureau station at Missoula, Mont.; to the Committee on Agriculture.

Also, memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Montana, memorializing the Congress of the United States to urge the early enactment into law of the pending Interior Department appropriation bill; to the Committee on Appropriations.

Also, memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Montana, memorializing the Congress of the United States for enactment of House bill 15934, to further regulate the manufacture and sale of oleomargarine; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. McKEOWN: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Oklahoma, memorializing the Congress of the United States to pass Senate bills 2350 and 2351; to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Oklahoma, memorializing the Congress of the United States to pass Senate bills 2350 and 2351; to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. ROBINSON: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Iowa, memorializing the Congress of the United States to enact legislation to require rural post roads to be jointly policed by Federal and State agencies; to the Committee on Roads.

By Mr. THURSTON: Memorial of the State Legislature of the State of Iowa, memorializing the Congress of the United States to enact legislation to require rural post roads to be jointly policed by Federal and State agencies; to the Committee on Roads.

## PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. AYRES: A bill (H. R. 16984) granting an increase of pension to Susan I. Queen; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. CULKIN: A bill (H. R. 16985) granting a pension to Josephine Cotrell; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. Also, a bill (H. R. 16986) granting an increase of pension to Martha House; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. EVANS of California: A bill (H. R. 16987) for the relief of Royal W. Robertson; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. FITZGERALD: A bill (H. R. 16988) granting a pension to James L. Mackley; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. GIFFORD: A bill (H. R. 16989) granting an increase of pension to George I. Luce; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. HOOPER: A bill (H. R. 16990) granting an increase of pension to Vina Parker (with accompanying papers); to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. HOPKINS: A bill (H. R. 16991) granting a pension to Jane Salmons; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions

By Mr. HUDSON: A bill (H. R. 16992) for the relief of G. L. Ginger; to the Committee on Claims,

By Mr. NELSON of Maine: A bill (H. R. 16993) authorizing a preliminary examination and survey of the South Branch of the Penobscot River, Me.; to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors.

By Mr. PURNELL: A bill (H. R. 16994) granting an increase of pension to Elizabeth Caslow; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. FRANK M. RAMEY: A bill (H. R. 16995) granting an increase of pension to Ann M. Stead; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions,

By Mr. SIMMONS: A bill (H. R. 16996) for the relief of James Colton; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. STRONG of Kansas: A bill (H. R. 16997) granting an increase of pension to Treca Honey; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. THURSTON: A bill (H. R. 16998) granting an increase of pension to Mary J. Zimmerman; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. WELSH of Pennsylvania: A bill (H. R. 16999) for the relief of Augustus Thompson; to the Committee on Accounts.

By Mr. ZIHLMAN: A bill (H. R. 17000) to permit construction, maintenance, and use of certain pipe lines for petroleum and petroleum products; to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

#### PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

9204. By Mr. BLAND: Petition of residents of National Soldiers' Home, Va., indorsing legislation for immediate cash payment at full face value of adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9205. Also, petition of citizens of Newport News, Va., indorsing legislation for immediate cash payment at full face value of adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9206. By Mr. BLANTON: Petition of the Tommie Aiken Post, No. 423, American Legion, of Cross Plains, Tex., sent by Ike Kendrick, adjutant, and C. D. Anderson, commander, asking passage of measure to pay in cash the adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9207. Also, petition of the Ladies Aid Society, of Kermit, Tex., sent by Rev. C. Y. Butler, pastor of the Methodist Episcopal Church South, favoring House Joint Resolution 356; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9208. By Mr. BOLTON: Resolution of the City Council of Cleveland, Ohio, favoring proposed legislation for the payment of adjusted-service compensation in cash at the face value of the certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9209. By Mr. CHALMERS: Petition signed by veterans of all wars of the United States, residing in Toledo, Ohio, requesting favorable action on legislation pending in Congress for the immediate payment in cash of the adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9210. By Mr. CHRISTGAU: Resolution adopted by the Gustav Berg Post, No. 81, the American Legion, at Harmony, Minn., urging the immediate payment of the adjusted-com-

pensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and ing the cash payment of the adjusted-compensation certifi-Means.

9211. Also, resolution adopted by the Minnesota Jersey Cattle Club, expressing opposition to the ruling of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue on the use of palm oil in the manufacture of oleomargarine, and urging the adoption by Congress legislation taxing yellow-colored oleomargarine at 10 cents per pound; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9212. By Mr. CLAGUE: Resolution of Troska Post, No. 210, American Legion, Wells; Joseph Oien Post, American Legion, Boyd; and Windom Post, No. 206, American Legion. Windom, all of the State of Minnesota, urging the immediate payment of adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9213. By Mr. CLARKE of New York: Petition of the members of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, Sidney, N. Y., urging Congress to enact a law for the Federal supervision of motion pictures, establishing higher standards before production for films that are to be licensed for interstate and international commerce; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9214. Also, petition of the members of the Women's Foreign Missionary Society, Sidney, N. Y., urging Congress to enact a law for the Federal supervision of motion pictures, establishing higher standards before production for films that are to be licensed for interstate and international commerce; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9215. By Mr. CULKIN: Petition of approximately 1,000 members of Barben-Jones Post, No. 1400, Veterans of Foreign Wars, of Watertown, N. Y., and sundry citizens of that city, praying for enactment of legislation providing for the immediate cash payment at full face value of all adjustedservice certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9216. Also, petition of Mrs. L. W. Ball and four others, all residing at Watertown, N. Y., urging the passage of House bill 7884 at the present session of Congress; to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

9217. Also, petition of 38 World War veterans and sundry citizens of Canastota, N. Y., praying for passage of legislation providing for immediate cash payment at full face value of adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9218. By Mr. EATON of Colorado: Petition of the Ordway Lions Club, urging passage of Senate bill 4123; to the Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation.

9219. By Mr. ELLIS: Petition of Patrick Murray, Calvin L. Moore, and other veterans, whose names appear on the attached lists, petitioning for cash payment of adjusted-compensation (bonus) certificates as created by section 702 of the World War adjusted-compensation act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9220. Also, resolution adopted by William R. Nelson Camp, No. 23, United Spanish War Veterans, Department of Missouri, signed by Harry L. Cherryholmes, commander, and Robert L. Clarke, adjutant, in support of House bill 9333; to the Committee on War Claims.

9221. Also, resolution adopted by the Ernestine Schumann-Heink Missouri Chapter, No. 2, the Disabled American Veterans of the World War, in favor of payment in part or in whole of the adjusted-service compensation certificates, more commonly referred to as "bonus bonds"; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9222. By Mr. ESTEP: Petition of Branch No. 20, National Association of Supervisors, of Pittsburgh, Pa., urging passage of House bill 14908; to the Committee on the Civil

9223. By Mr. FINLEY: Petition of Veterans of the World War, of Cawood, Ky., asking Mr. Finley to support any of the bills proposing to pay all or some part of adjusted-service certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9224. By Mr. HICKEY: Petition of Myrtle U. Gill and other residents of South Bend, Ind., urging passage of the Sparks-Capper stop alien representation amendment (H. J. Res. 356); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9225. By Mr. HOGG of West Virginia: Petition of Charleston Clearing House Association, Charleston, W. Va., opposcates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9226. By Mr. HOOPER: Petition of Bellevue Grange, No. 134, of Bellevue, Mich., urging Congress to enact a new law taxing all yellow oleomargarine at least 10 cents a pound; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9227. Also, resolution of Junior Mathers Council, No. 1. of the S. D. C. Tabernacle Church, of Battle Creek, Mich., requesting Congress to enact a law for the Federal supervision of motion pictures as provided in the Grant Hudson motion picture bill, H. R. 9986; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9228. By Mr. HUDSON: Petition urging the passage of House bill 7884 to exempt dogs from vivisection in the District of Columbia; to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

9229. Also, resolution of Michigan State Millers Association, Lansing, Mich., realizing the extent of suffering caused by the drought and general unemployment of vast numbers of our people because of present economic conditions and commends the Government's effort to relieve such suffering, but views with alarm the proposal to use Federal Farm Board wheat for these purposes, believing the effect on cash wheat for such purposes would be disastrous to economic conditions and tend to further depress the price of cash wheat, and earnestly protests against such a method; to the Committee on Agriculture.

9230. By Mr. HULL of Wisconsin: Resolution of the Farmers' Creamery Association, of Union Center, Wis., urging a higher tax on oleomargarine; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9231. Also, resolution of the Juneau County Holstein Breeders Association of Juneau County, Wis., favoring a higher tax on oleomargarine; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9232. Also, resolution of the Fall Creek Cooperative Creamery Co., of Fall Creek, Wis., favoring the use of butter instead of oleomargarine in Government institutions; to the Committee on Agriculture.

9233. Also, resolution of the Iron Cooperative Creamery Association, Ironton, Wis., opposing the ruling of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue regarding the use of palm oil in oleomargarine and favoring a higher tax on oleomargarine; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9234. By Mr. JAMES of Michigan: Petition of Veterans' Political Association of America, urging the Congress of the United States to pass legislation to pay the full face value in cash of the adjusted-compensation certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9235. By Mr. JOHNSON of Nebraska: Petition favoring immediate passage of the omnibus disabled bill from American Legion Auxiliary, Clay Center, Nebr.; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9236. Also, petition of Twin Valleys Association of Commercial Clubs, Culbertson, Nebr., favoring the passage of bills now pending in Congress providing for conservation, control, and utilization of the flood waters of the United States by reservoirs; to the Committee on Flood Control.

9237. By Mrs. OWEN: Petition of certain citizens of the fourth district of Florida, favoring restrictive immigration legislation: to the Committee on Immigration and Naturali-

9238. By Mr. FRANK M. RAMEY: Resolution of Matt L. H. Smith Post, Veterans of Foreign Wars, Springfield, Ill., urging passage of a bill to provide for the immediate payment to veterans of the face value of their adjusted-service certificates, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9239. Also, petition of ladies of St. Mary's Church, of Litchfield, Ill., protesting against law permitting distribution of literature regarding artificial birth control; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9240. By Mr. SELVIG: Petition of Kittson County Creamery Association, Bronson, Minn., urging immediate enactment of the Brigham bill (H. R. 15934) to levy a 10-cent tax on colored oleomargarine; to the Committee on Agriculture.

9241. Also, petition of American Legion Post, No. 376, of Rothsay, Minn., numbering 43 members, favoring cash pay-

ment of bonus; to the Committee on Ways and Means. 9242. Also, petition of Charles C. Winter, Edwin Aasen, and nine other residents of Roseau County, urging enactment of provision to pay adjusted-compensation certificates in cash; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9243. Also, petition of Hendrum Cooperative Creamery Association, Hendrum, Minn., at their annual meeting, urging enactment of Brigham bill (H. R. 15934) to levy a 10cent tax on colored oleomargarine by unbleached palm oil; to the Committee on Agriculture.

9244. By Mr. SHREVE: Petition of 28 members of Colonel Lytle Post, No. 7, Women's Relief Corps, Albion, Pa., for support of a World War veterans' bill giving pensions to the widows and orphans and the chronically disabled; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9245. Also, petition of Dora E. Hutchings, secretary, and a number of members of Oakley K. Cobb Auxiliary, No. 567, American Legion, Albion, Pa., for World War veterans' legislation giving pensions to the widows and orphans and to the chronically disabled; also a bill to provide hospitalization for all veterans; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9246. By Mr. SPARKS: Petition of a special meeting of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Hays, Kans., for the Federal supervision of motion pictures, as provided in the Grant Hudson motion picture bill, H. R. 9986; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9247. Also, petition of 19 members at a regular meeting of the Covert Community Young Women's Christian Association, of Covert, Kans., for the Federal supervision of motion pictures as provided in the Grant Hudson motion picture bill, H. R. 9986; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9248. Also, petition of Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Lincoln, Kans., for the Federal supervision of motion pictures, as provided in the Grant Hudson motion picture bill, H. R. 9986; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9249. By Mr. SULLIVAN of Pennsylvania: Protest of Grace S. Munhall, as governor of the Pennsylvania Chapter, International Federation of Catholic Alumnae, to Senate bill 4582, amending the tariff act and Criminal Code; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9250. By Mr. TEMPLE: Petition of James R. Hunt Post, No. 639, American Legion, Claysville, Pa., favoring the payment of adjusted compensation in full immediately; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9251. By Mr. WATSON: Petition of ex-service men and citizens of Pottstown, Pa., and vicinity, urging the immediate payment to veterans of the World War the cash value of their adjusted-service certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9252. Also, petition of residents of Bucks County, Pa., urging support of Sparks-Capper bill, alien representation amendment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9253. By Mr. WYANT: Petition of First Savings & Trust Co., of Derry, and Vandergrift Savings & Trust Co., Vandergrift, Pa., opposing cash payment of adjusted-service certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9254. Also, petition of Trafford Post, No. 331, the American Legion, Trafford, Pa., urging cash payment as of 1945 at this time of adjusted-service certificates; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9255. Also, petition of Rev. W. V. Barnhart, pastor of the First United Brethren Church, of Latrobe, Pa., urging support of Sparks-Capper amendment eliminating approximately 7,500,000 unnaturalized aliens in proposed congressional reapportionment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9256. Also, petition of Emma E. Walter Union of the Women's Christian Temperance Union, of West Newton, Pa. (50 members), urging support of Sparks-Capper amendment eliminating approximately 7,500,000 unnaturalized aliens in proposed congressional reapportionment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

## SENATE

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1931

(Legislative day of Monday, January 26, 1931)

The Senate met at 12 o'clock meridian, on the expiration of the recess.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senate will receive a message from the House of Representatives.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE-ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

A message from the House of Representatives by Mr. Chaffee, one of its clerks, announced that the Speaker had affixed his signature to the following enrolled bills, and they were signed by the Vice President:

S. 1533. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to adjust payment of charges due on the Blackfeet Indian irrigation project, and for other purposes;

S. 4211. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to provide for the elimination of the Michigan Avenue grade crossing in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved March 3, 1927;

S. 4307. An act to authorize the Commissioners of the District of Columbia to compromise and settle a certain suit at law resulting from the forfeiting of the contract of the Commercial Coal Co. with the District of Columbia in

S. 4551. An act to amend an act entitled "An act to establish a Code of Law for the District of Columbia," approved March 3, 1901, and the acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto:

H. R. 5627. An act relating to the naturalization of certain aliens; and

H. R. 10166. An act to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to proceed with the construction of certain public works at Philadelphia, Pa., and for other purposes.

BAY OF SAN FRANCISCO BRIDGE

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. La Follette] has the floor.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Wisconsin yield to the Senator from California?

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I yield.

Mr. JOHNSON. From the Committee on Commerce I report favorably, with amendments, the bill (S. 5825) granting the consent of Congress to the State of California to construct, maintain, and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco from the Rincon Hill district in San Francisco, by way of Goat Island, to Oakland over the Key Route Mole, and I submit a report (No. 1562) thereon. I ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the bill. I will state to the Senator from Wisconsin and the Senator from Utah [Mr. Smoot] that all the departments agree and approve. The commission appointed by the President of the United States and the State of California met and have approved. The bill is the result of their joint efforts.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

Mr. SMOOT. I have no objection if it does not lead to discussion.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill. The amendment was, on page 2, line 23, before the word "years," to strike out "20" and insert "40," so as to make the bill read:

Be it enacted, etc., That the consent of Congress is hereby granted to the State of California to construct, maintain, and operate a bridge and approaches thereto across the Bay of San Francisco at a point suitable to the interests of navigation, at or near the general site from Rincon Hill, in the city and county of San Francisco, to and across Goat Island, in San Francisco Bay, thence to Oakland, in the county of Alameda, in accordance with the provisions of an act entitled "An act to regulate the construction of bridges over navigable waters," approved March 23, 1906, and subject to the conditions and limitations contained in this act: Provided, That permission for such bridge to cross the Government reservations on Goat Island shall first be obtained from the Secretaries of War, Navy, and Commerce: Provided further, That if any buildings, improvements, or facilities on such Govern-ment reservations are damaged or destroyed by the construction of said bridge they shall be repaired or replaced by the State of